BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE
October 30, 2026

IN RE:	)	
	)	
JOINT APPLICATION OF LIMESTONE WATER UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, LLC AND THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, FOR APPROVAL OF THE ACQUISITION OF AND TO OPERATE THE SAULSBURY DISTRIBUTION WATER SYSTEM, AND TO TRANSFER OR ISSUE A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY	)	**DOCKET NO. 26-00036**

ORDER ESTABLISHING SECOND AMENDED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

This matter is before the Administrative Judge of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission ("Commission" or "TPUC") to consider the proposed amended procedural schedules filed by the Consumer Advocate Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General ("Consumer Advocate") and Limestone Water Utility Operating Company, LLC ("Limestone") on October 22, 2026, and October 23, 2026, respectively.

On October 13, 2026, the Administrative Judge entered the *Order Granting Limestone Water Utility Operating Company, LLC's Motion to Amend Procedural Schedule* ("*Order Postponing Procedural Schedule*") which postpones the dates for filing of the Company's Rebuttal Testimony, the Settlement Agreement Filing Deadline, and the Target Hearing Date. The *Order Postponing Procedural Schedule* directed the Parties to file a proposed revised procedural schedule on or before October 20, 2026.[1]

The Parties were unable to agree upon a revised procedural schedule and filed their own respective revised schedules. The proposed schedules are identical save for the addition of dates for

[1] *Order Postponing Procedural Schedule*, p. 2 (October 13, 2026).

the filing of a third round of discovery and responses included on the Consumer Advocate's proposed schedule.[2] The Consumer Advocate noted that Commission data requests to which Limestone had not responded on the date of filing seek information that was not yet part of the record and that such responses may introduce new issues that require additional discovery.[3] Limestone argued against the inclusion of the additional discovery, stating that the Consumer Advocate has already had two rounds of discovery, Commission data requests often occur after the filing of testimony, the Consumer Advocate may use any responses to the Commission data requests, the Consumer Advocate could have asked the questions raised by the Commission data requests in its own discovery, and it is patently unfair for the Consumer Advocate to obtain additional discovery following the filing of Limestone's Rebuttal Testimony.[4]

The Administrative Judge finds that the Consumer Advocate has not sufficiently shown cause to include the necessity of additional discovery on the revised procedural schedule. The Consumer Advocate's includes the third round of discovery noting "if necessary."[5] In addition, the Consumer Advocate may use any information or documents filed in response to the Commission's data requests. For these reasons, the request to include a third round of discovery is denied. If responses to Commission data requests provides sufficient cause to seek additional discovery in accordance with Commission Rules, the Parties may file appropriate motions at that time.

The Parties' proposed schedules set an October 29, 2026, date for the filing of the Joint Applicants' Rebuttal Testimony. Since that date has passed and no rebuttal testimony has been filed, the Administrative Judge has adjusted the date for filing of the rebuttal testimony to November 5, 2026, one week later than the Parties' proposed date.

---

[2] *See Proposed Amended Procedural Schedule of the Consumer Advocate* (October 22, 2026) ("*Consumer Advocate Proposed Schedule*"); *Joint Applicants' Limestone Water Utility Operating Company, LLC and the City of Grand Junction Proposed Amended Procedural Schedule* (October 23, 2026) ("*Limestone Proposed Schedule*").
[3] *Consumer Advocate Proposed Schedule*, p. 2 (October 22, 2026).
[4] *Limestone Proposed Schedule* pp. 1-2 (October 23, 2026).
[5] *Consumer Advocate Proposed Schedule*, p. 1 (October 22, 2026).

The Second Amended Procedural Schedule is attached as **Exhibit A** to this Order and incorporated herein.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Aaron J. Conklin
Administrative Judge
By Commission Delegated Authority

EXHIBIT A
SECOND AMENDED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE
Docket No. 26-00036

October 30, 2026

Due Date	Filing/Activity
November 5, 2026	Joint Applicants' Rebuttal Testimony
January 14, 2027	Deadline for Filing Settlement Agreement
January 21, 2027	Pre-Hearing Motions
February 4, 2027	Pre-Hearing Status Conference
February 16, 2027	**Target Hearing Date**

- All filings shall be filed in the TPUC docket room by 2:00 p.m. (Central Time) on the date due.
- Nothing herein restricts the parties from participating in additional informal discovery.
- Copies of all discovery exchanged between the parties shall be filed with TPUC within three business days of the exchange of information.
- All spreadsheets shall be filed in Excel format with working formulas intact.
- Any Pre-filed Testimony should include all supporting worksheets in Excel format with working formulas intact.
- Rebuttal Testimony should be limited only to issues raised in the Consumer Advocate's Direct Testimony and should include the page and line number of the Consumer Advocate's testimony that is being rebutted.