

**BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE**

**April 10, 2026**

<b>IN RE:</b>	)	
	)	
<b>PETITION OF KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY</b>	)	<b>DOCKET NO.</b>
<b>D/B/A AEP APPALACHIAN POWER FOR</b>	)	<b>26-00015</b>
<b>JANUARY, 2025 THROUGH DECEMBER, 2025</b>	)	
<b>ANNUAL RECOVERY UNDER THE TARGETED</b>	)	
<b>RELIABILITY PLAN AND MAJOR STORM RIDER</b>	)	
<b>(“TRP&amp;MS”), ALTERNATIVE RATE</b>	)	
<b>MECHANISMS APPROVED IN DOCKET NO.</b>	)	
<b>17-00032</b>	)	

---

**ORDER GRANTING PETITION TO INTERVENE FILED BY  
CONSUMER ADVOCATE**

---

This matter is before the Administrative Judge of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission (“Commission” or “TPUC”) to consider the *Petition to Intervene* filed by the Consumer Advocate Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General (“Consumer Advocate”) on March 27, 2026.

**BACKGROUND**

Kingsport Power Company d/b/a AEP Appalachian Power (“Kingsport”) is a public utility, subject to Commission jurisdiction, engaged in the business of distributing electric power service to approximately 49,000 customers in its service area, which includes portions of Sullivan, Washington and Hawkins Counties, Tennessee, the City of Kingsport, Tennessee, and the Town of Mount Carmel, Tennessee. On February 27, 2026, Kingsport filed its *Petition of Kingsport Power Company d/b/a AEP Appalachian Power for January 2025 - December 2025 Annual Recovery Under the Targeted Reliability Plan and Major Storm Rider (“TRP&MS”), Alternative Rate Mechanisms Approved in Docket No. 17-00032 (“Petition”)* requesting recovery of deferred Targeted Reliability Plan (“TRP”)

costs and Major Storm (“MS”) expenses that were not offset by cumulative TRP&MS revenues and that have not been recovered through base rates for the review period. The TRP&MS Riders approved in Docket No. 17-00032 allow Kingsport to seek recovery of the amounts not recovered through base rates.

### **CONSUMER ADVOCATE’S *PETITION TO INTERVENE***

In its *Petition to Intervene*, filed on March 27, 2026, the Consumer Advocate seeks to intervene in the docket pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-118, which qualifies the Consumer Advocate to represent the interests of Tennessee consumers of public utility services in proceedings before the Commission. According to the Consumer Advocate, if Kingsport’s *Petition* is approved, Kingsport’s residential customers would receive a \$.97 increase in their monthly residential customer rate.<sup>1</sup> The Consumer Advocate asserts:

The interests of consumers, including without limitation the potential increase in rates to customers through the implementation of the TRP&MS Rider, may be affected by the determinations and orders made by the Commission with respect to: (1) the interpretation, application, and implementation of Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-103 and other relevant statutory and regulatory provisions; and (2) the review and analysis of the documentation, financial spreadsheets, and materials provided by the Company.<sup>2</sup>

In conclusion, the Consumer Advocate states that only by participating in this proceeding can it represent the interests of Tennessee consumers.<sup>3</sup> Kingsport did not oppose the Consumer Advocate’s intervention in these proceedings.

### **FINDINGS & CONCLUSIONS**

Tenn. Code Ann. § 4-5-310 establishes the following criteria for granting petitions to intervene:

---

<sup>1</sup> *Petition to Intervene*, p. 2 (March 27, 2026).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 2-3.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* at 3.

(a) The administrative judge or hearing officer shall grant one (1) or more petitions for intervention if:

(1) The petition is submitted in writing to the administrative judge or hearing officer, with copies mailed to all parties named in the notice of the hearing, at least seven (7) days before the hearing;

(2) The petition states facts demonstrating that the petitioner's legal rights, duties, privileges, immunities or other legal interest may be determined in the proceeding or that the petitioner qualifies as an intervenor under any provision of law; and

(3) The administrative judge or hearing officer determines that the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings shall not be impaired by allowing the intervention.

(b) The agency may grant one (1) or more petitions for intervention at any time, upon determining that the intervention sought is in the interests of justice and shall not impair the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings.<sup>4</sup>

Although it is not an automatic or absolute right to participate in proceedings before the Commission, Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-118(b)(1) provides a general basis for the qualification of the Consumer Advocate to be permitted to intervene as a party to represent the interests of Tennessee public utility consumers. It provides as follows:

The consumer advocate division has the duty and authority to represent the interests of Tennessee consumers of public utilities services. The division may, with the approval of the attorney general and reporter, participate or intervene as a party in any matter or proceeding before the commission or any other administrative, legislative or judicial body and initiate such proceeding, in accordance with the Uniform Administrative Procedures Act, compiled in title 4, chapter 5, and the rules of the commission.<sup>5</sup>

Because Kingsport is requesting recovery of TRP&MS expenses that were not recovered through base rates and approval of the *Petition* will result in an increase in rates paid by Kingsport customers, the Administrative Judge finds there is a sufficient factual basis to find that the legal rights or interests

---

<sup>4</sup> Tenn. Code Ann. § 4-5-310 (West 2025).

<sup>5</sup> Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-118(b)(1) (West 2025).

held by consumers may be determined in this proceeding. In addition, Kingsport did not object to the *Petition to Intervene*.

THEREFORE, upon due consideration, the Administrative Judge concludes that the legal rights, duties, privileges, immunities or other legal interest of Tennessee consumers may be determined in this proceeding. Further, the Administrative Judge finds that the Consumer Advocate qualifies under law as an intervenor for the purpose of representing those consumer interests, there is no opposition to its intervention, and its *Petition to Intervene* was timely-filed and should not impair the interests of justice or the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings. For these reasons, the Administrative Judge concludes that the Consumer Advocate's *Petition to Intervene* should be granted.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:**

The *Petition to Intervene* filed by the Consumer Advocate Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General is granted. The Consumer Advocate Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General may intervene and participate as a party in this proceeding and, as such, shall receive copies of any notices, orders, or other documents filed herein.

  
Monica Smith-Ashford, Administrative Judge