

**BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE**

December 29, 2025

IN RE:)	
)	
PETITION OF TENNESSEE-AMERICAN)	DOCKET NO.
WATER COMPANY TO ADOPT ANNUAL)	25-00089
REVIEW MECHANISM AND ARM TARIFF)	
PURSUANT TO TENN. CODE ANN. § 65-5-)	
103(d)(6))	

ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

This matter came before the Administrative Judge of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission (“Commission” or “TPUC”) to establish a procedural schedule for the orderly administration of these proceedings. On December 5, 2025, Tennessee-American Water Company (“TAWC” or the “Company”) and the Consumer Advocate Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General (“Consumer Advocate”) each filed a Proposed Procedural Schedule. TAWC requested a status conference to discuss the procedural schedule, which was convened by the Administrative Judge on December 9, 2025. The only distinction between the two schedules was the due date for the Consumer Advocate’s first discovery requests. TAWC stated it previously changed this date to accommodate the Consumer Advocate, as well as changed other dates on the schedule. TAWC was concerned that if the date was pushed out further, people would be out for the holidays. The Consumer Advocate stated they had deadlines in other dockets that were approaching and needed additional time. The Administrative Judge told the parties that January 16th would be a reasonable date for the first set of discovery requests. On December 10, 2025, the Administrative Judge sent an email asking the parties to continue to follow the other dates on the proposed procedural schedule, with the January 16th change, until the Consumer Advocate’s filed its intervention. The Consumer Advocate’s intervention was filed on December 11th, and the City of Chattanooga (the “City”) filed

a Petition to Intervene on December 15, 2025. The Administrative Judge granted the interventions filed by the Consumer Advocate and the City in orders issued on December 23, 2025.

The goal and design of any procedural schedule is to efficiently move the proceedings forward to a hearing and final conclusion on the merits. Nevertheless, a procedural schedule's effectiveness directly depends on cooperation by the parties in meeting the individual benchmark dates. Based on the proposed procedural schedules, the Administrative Judge hereby establishes the Procedural Schedule set forth in **Exhibit A** attached to this Order. The Consumer Advocate and the City should coordinate the filing of discovery requests to avoid duplicative requests to TAWC.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED.


Monica Smith-Ashford, Administrative Judge

PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

Docket No. 25-00089

December 29, 2025

Due Date/Deadline	Filing/Activity
November 18, 2025	TAWC ARM Petition, Testimony, and Exhibits filed at TPUC and served on Consumer Advocate
December 16, 2025	Consumer Advocate's First Discovery Requests on TAWC
January 6, 2026	TAWC's Responses to Consumer Advocate's First Discovery Requests
January 13, 2026	Consumer Advocate's Second Discovery Requests and City's Discovery Requests on TAWC (Intervenors should coordinate to avoid duplicative requests)
January 20, 2026	TAWC's Responses to Consumer Advocate's Second Discovery Requests and the City's Discovery Requests
February 3, 2026	Intervenors' Pre-Filed Testimony
February 6, 2026	TAWC's Discovery Requests to Intervenors
February 12, 2026	Intervenors' Responses to TAWC's Discovery Requests
February 19, 2026	TAWC's Pre-Filed Rebuttal Testimony (or testimony in support of the settlement if one is reached)
February 20, 2026	Pre-Hearing Motions
February 23, 2026	Settlement Agreement to be filed
March 3, 2025* (Time TBD)	Pre-Hearing Telephone Conference
March 16, 2026	Hearing

- All filings shall be filed in the TPUC docket room by 2:00 p.m. (central) on the date due.
- Nothing herein restricts the parties from participating in additional informal discovery.
- Copies of all discovery exchanged between the parties shall be filed with TPUC within 3 business days of the exchange of information.
- For all spreadsheets, a copy shall be filed in Excel format with working formulas intact. This includes spreadsheets that are exhibits to Pre-Filed Testimony.
- Rebuttal Testimony is limited only to issues raised in the Intervenors' Direct Testimony and should include the page and line number of the Intervenors' testimony that is being rebutted.