

**BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE**

June 26, 2026

| | | |
|--|---|-------------------|
| IN RE: |) | |
| |) | |
| PETITION OF TENNESSEE-AMERICAN |) | DOCKET NO. |
| WATER COMPANY TO ADOPT ANNUAL |) | 25-00089 |
| REVIEW MECHANISM AND ARM TARIFF |) | |
| PURSUANT TO TENN. CODE ANN. § 65-5- |) | |
| 103(d)(6) |) | |

ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE FOR AMENDED PETITION

This matter came before the Administrative Judge of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission (“Commission” or “TPUC”) during a Status Conference held via WebEx to discuss the procedure for consideration of the current phase of the docket and to establish a procedural schedule for the orderly administration of these proceedings. Tennessee-American Water Company (“TAWC”), the Consumer Advocate Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General (“Consumer Advocate”), and the City of Chattanooga (“City”) were represented at the Status Conference.

In its Order issued June 5, 2026, the Commission denied TAWC’s *Petition of Tennessee-American Water Company to Adopt Annual Review Mechanism and ARM Tariff Pursuant To Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-103(d)(6)* (“*Petition*”) and set forth specific modifications that would be necessary for the Commission to consider approval if TAWC wished to amend its *Petition*. Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-103(d)(1)(C), TAWC filed the *Amended Petition of Tennessee-American Water Company to Adopt Annual Rate Review Mechanism and ARRM Tariff Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-103(d)(6)* (“*Amended Petition*”) on June 18, 2026. The Commission has 60 days to approve or deny the *Amended Petition*.

The goal and design of any procedural schedule is to efficiently move the proceedings forward to a hearing and final conclusion on the merits. Nevertheless, a procedural schedule's effectiveness directly depends on cooperation by the parties in meeting the individual benchmark dates. The Administrative Judge hereby establishes the Procedural Schedule set forth in **Exhibit A** attached to this Order. The Consumer Advocate and the City should coordinate the filing of discovery requests to avoid duplicative requests to TAWC.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED.


Monica Smith-Ashford, Administrative Judge

PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE for TAWC’s AMENDED PETITION

Docket No. 25-00089

June 26, 2026

| Due Date/Deadline | Filing/Activity |
|------------------------------------|---|
| June 18, 2026 | TAWC Amended ARRM Petition, Testimony, and Exhibits filed at TPUC |
| June 30, 2026 | Intervenors’ Discovery Requests on TAWC (Intervenors should coordinate to avoid duplicative requests) |
| July 7, 2026 | TAWC’s Responses to Discovery Requests |
| July 10, 2026 | Intervenors’ Pre-Filed Testimony |
| July 15, 2026 | TAWC’s Discovery Requests to Intervenors (if necessary) |
| July 20, 2026 | Intervenors’ Responses to TAWC’s Discovery Requests (if necessary) |
| July 22, 2026 | TAWC’s Pre-Filed Rebuttal Testimony (or testimony in support of the settlement if one is reached) |
| July 24, 2026 | Settlement Agreement Deadline |
| August 5, 2026, at 2:00 p.m. (CDT) | Pre-Hearing WebEx Conference |
| August 17, 2026 | Hearing |

- All filings shall be filed in the TPUC docket room by 2:00 p.m. (central) on the date due.
- Nothing herein restricts the parties from participating in additional informal discovery.
- Copies of all discovery exchanged between the parties shall be filed with TPUC within 3 business days of the exchange of information.
- For all spreadsheets, a copy shall be filed in Excel format with working formulas intact. This includes spreadsheets that are exhibits to Pre-Filed Testimony.
- Rebuttal Testimony is limited only to issues raised in the Intervenors’ Direct Testimony and should include the page and line number of the Intervenors’ testimony that is being rebutted.