S. Morris Hadden
Jimmie Carpenter Miller
Gregory K. Haden
Michael L. Forrester
Stephen M. Darden
James N. L. Humphreys<sup>1</sup>
Michael S. Lattier<sup>5,6</sup>
Scott T. Powers
Leslie Tentler Ridings
Jason A. Creech
Meredith Bates Humbert
Joseph B. Harvey<sup>4</sup>
Caroline Ross Williams<sup>1</sup>

Respond to: Kingsport Office Joseph B. Harvey 423-378-8854 jharvey@hsdlaw.com

## HUNTER SMITH DAVIS

SINCE 1916

Kingsport Office 1212 North Eastman Road P.O. Box 3740 Kingsport, TN 37664 Phone (423) 378-8800 Fax (423) 378-8801 Johnson City Office 100 Med Tech Parkway Suite 110 Johnson City, TN 37604 Phone (423) 283-6300 Fax (423) 283-6301

All Attorneys Licensed in Tennessee Unless Noted

Additional Bar Memberships: VA<sup>1</sup>, NC<sup>2</sup>, KY<sup>3</sup>, GA<sup>4</sup>, FL<sup>5</sup>, MT<sup>6</sup>, CA only<sup>7</sup>, TX & MD (TN Pending)<sup>8</sup>

June 13, 2025

Marcy E. Walker<sup>2</sup>
J. Christopher Rose<sup>1</sup>
Sydney B. Gilbert
Will A. Ellis
Jordan T. Richardson
Laura Medlin Mickel<sup>2</sup>
Colin M. Wyvill
Luis A. Treviño<sup>8</sup>
Daniel J. Edwards
Georgia R. Seay

Of Counsel:
William C. Bovender
William C. Argabrite
Mark S. Dessauer
Christopher D. Owens<sup>1,3</sup>
Jeannette Smith Tysinger
John B. Buda<sup>7</sup>
Sarah E. Larkin

www.hsdlaw.com

KPOW-18155

VIA EMAIL (tpuc.docketroom@tn.gov) & FEDEX

David F. Jones, Chairman c/o Ectory Lawless, Dockets & Records Manager Tennessee Public Utility Commission 502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor Nashville, TN 37243

Electronically Filed in TPUC Docket Room on June 13, 2025 at 1:15 p.m.

Re:

IN RE: PETITION OF KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY d/b/a AEP APPALACHIAN POWER FOR JANUARY, 2024 – DECEMBER 2024 ANNUAL RECOVERY UNDER THE TARGETED RELIABILITY PLAN AND MAJOR STORM RIDER ("TRP&MS"), ALTERNATIVE RATE MECHANISMS APPROVED IN

DOCKET NO. 17-00032 DOCKET NO.: 25-00022

Dear Chairman Jones:

On behalf of Kingsport Power Company d/b/a AEP Appalachian Power, we transmit herewith Rebuttal Testimony of John A. Stevens.

The original and four (4) copies are being sent via Federal Express.

Very sincerely yours,

HUNTER, SMITH & DAYIS, LLP

Joseph B. Harvey

Enclosure

cc: Kelly Grams, General Counsel (w/enc.)
David Foster (w/enc.)

Monica L. Smith-Ashford, Esq. (w/enc.)

Karen H. Stachowski, Esq. (w/enc.) Shilina B. Brown, Esq. (w/enc.) Vance Broemel, Esq. (w/enc.)

Craig Cox (w/enc.)

William C. Bovender, Esq. (w/enc.)

William K. Castle (w/enc.)
Elisabeth Bruce (w/enc.)
Terra N. Allen (w/enc.)

Via Email: Kelly.Grams@tn.gov Via Email: david.foster@tn.gov

Via Email: monica.smith-ashford@tn.gov Via Email: Karen.Stachowski@ag.tn.gov Via Email: Shilina.Brown@ag.tn.gov Via Email: vance.broemel@ag.tn.gov

Via Email: craig.cox@tn.gov

Via Email: bovender@hsdlaw.com
Via Email: wkcastle@aep.com
Via Email: ebruce@aep.com
Via Email: Terra.Allen@ag.tn.gov

KgPCo Exhibit No. Witness: JAS

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN A. STEVENS ON BEHALF OF KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY D/B/A AEP APPALACHIAN POWER BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 25-00022

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.
2	A.	My name is John A. Stevens.
3	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME JOHN A. STEVENS WHO SUBMITTED DIRECT
4		TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
7	A.	My rebuttal testimony responds to six recommendations contained in the direct testimony
8		of the Consumer Advocate Unit (Consumer Advocate) of the Office of the Tennessee
9		Attorney General witness Clark D. Kaml. Specifically, I respond to Consumer Advocate
10		witness Kaml's recommendations that the Commission: (i) repeat its requirement that the
11		Company include all supporting workpapers, in both pdf and native formats, in future
12		TRP&MS filings; (ii) accept the Company's revenue request of \$13,006,130 as the
13		appropriate amount for TRP&MS Rider recover; (iii) approve the Company's proposal
14		to recover the \$4,999,371 of O&M costs associated with Hurricane Helene over a two-
15		year period; (iv) approve KgPCo's request to recover \$10,506,444 through the
16		TRP&MS Rider; (v) require the Company to provide notice of the costs of its anticipated
17		capital projects in accordance with Commission rules; and (vi) require KgPCo to
18		highlight in future TRP&MS filings, changes to those customer classes where no

19

customers previously existed.

		KgPCo Exhibit No.  Witness: JAS Page 2 of 3
1	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS REGARDING MR. KAML'S
2		RECOMMENDATION THAT THE COMMISSION REPEAT ITS REQUIREMENT
3		THAT THE COMPANY INCLUDE ALL SUPPORTING WORKPAPERS, IN BOTH
4		PDF AND NATIVE FORMATS, IN FUTURE TRP&MS FILINGS?
5	A.	Yes. The Company included all supporting workpapers, in both pdf and native formats in this
6		current proceeding and will continue to do so in future proceedings.
7	Q.	MR. KAML RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMISSION ACCEPT THE REVENUE
8		REQUEST OF \$13,006,130 AS THE APPROPRIATE AMOUNT FOR THE TRP&MS
9		RIDER RECOVERY. DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS?
10	A.	Yes. The Company agrees with Mr. Kaml's recommendation that \$13,006,130 is the
11		appropriate amount for the TRP&MS Rider recovery.
12	Q.	MR. KAML RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMISSION APPROVE THE
13		COMPANY'S PROPOSAL TO RECOVER THE \$4,999,371 OF O&M COSTS
14		ASSOCIATED WITH HURRICANE HELENE OVER A TWO-YEAR PERIOD. THIS
15		WILL RECOVER \$2,499,685 IN THIS DOCKET AND DEFER \$2,499,685 TO NEXT
16		YEAR. DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS?
17	A.	Yes. The Company agrees with this recommendation and notes that it reduces the level of
18		deferred actual TRP&MS costs that will be recovered through the rates proposed in this case
19		from \$13,006,130 to \$10,506,444. This reduces the increase that Residential customers will
20		see in the service charge component on their monthly bill from \$4.61 to \$2.99.
21	Q.	MR. KAML RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMISSION APPROVE THE

COMPANY'S REQUEST TO RECOVER \$10,506,444 THROUGH THE TRP&MS

RIDER IN THIS CASE. DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS?

24 Α. Yes. The Company also agrees with this recommendation.

22

23

KgPCo Exhibit No.
Witness: JAS
Page 3 of 3

1	Ų.	DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS REGARDING MR. KAML'S
2		RECOMMENDATION THAT THE COMMISSION REQUIRE THE COMPANY TO
3		PROVIDE NOTICE OF THE COST OF ITS FUTURE ANTICIPATED CAPITAL
4		PROJECTS?
5	A.	Yes. In accordance with Chapter 1220-4-101 of the Rules of the Tennessee Public Utility
6		Commission, the Company is required to submit a Capital Expenditure Forecast to the
7		Commission each year. The Company will submit this Capital Expenditure Forecast in the
8		future, in accordance with Commission rules.
9	Q.	MR. KAML RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMISSION REQUIRE KINGSPORT TO
10		HIGHLIGHT IN FUTURE TRP&MS FILINGS, CHANGES TO THOSE CUSTOMER
11		CLASSES WHERE NO CUSTOMERS PREVIOUSLY EXISTED. HOW DO YOU
12		RESPOND?
13	A.	The Company does not oppose this recommendation.
14	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
15	Δ	Ves It does