BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE May 8, 2025

IN RE:)	
)	
PETITION OF TENNESSEE-AMERICAN)	DOCKET NO.
WATER COMPANY REGARDING THE 2025)	25-00002
PRODUCTION COSTS AND OTHER PASS-)	
THROUGHS RIDER)	

ORDER GRANTING CONSUMER ADVOCATE'S MOTION TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY

This matter is before the Administrative Judge of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission ("Commission" or "TPUC") for consideration of the *Consumer Advocate's Motion For Leave To File Supplemental Testimony Of William H. Novak* ("Motion") filed by the Consumer Advocate Division of the Office of the Attorney General ("Consumer Advocate") on April 24, 2025. The Consumer Advocate seeks permission to file supplemental testimony of William H. Novak on the issue of Tennessee American Water Company's ("TAWC") Production Costs and Other Pass-Throughs ("PCOP"). According to the Consumer Advocate,

the Tennessee Public Utility Commission's *Order Setting Utility Rates* of April 21, 2025 in TPUC Docket No. 24-00032 adopts a new base rate revenue amount of \$71,219,856. This base revenue amount of \$71,219,856 did not exist in any Commission Order at the time of William H. Novak's pre-filed testimony. Also in the *Order Setting Utility Rates*, the Commission rejected TAWC's argument about a distinction of between 'unaccounted for water' and 'non-revenue water,' and it reconfirmed the 15% standard for lost and unaccounted for water first adopted in the 2008 rate case."¹

_

¹ *Motion*, p. 1 (April 24, 2025).

On April 30, 2025, TAWC filed a letter stating it did not object to the Consumer Advocate's *Motion* but clarified that by not objecting, TAWC was not expressing agreement to the substance of the Consumer Advocate's supplemental testimony.

The Administrative Judge finds that the Consumer Advocate's *Motion* is well taken and should be **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Monica Smith-Ashford, Administrative Judge