

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

June 14, 2024

IN RE:

PETITION OF TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER
COMPANY'S 2024 INCREMENTAL CAPITAL
RECOVERY RIDER TARIFF

)
)
)
)
)

DOCKET NO.
24-00011

ORDER GRANTING CONSUMER ADVOCATE MOTION FOR SUPPLEMENTAL
TESTIMONY

This matter is before the Administrative Judge of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission (“Commission” or “TPUC”) to consider the *Consumer Advocate’s Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Testimony of David N. Dittmore* (“*Motion*”) filed by the Consumer Advocate Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General (“Consumer Advocate”) on May 21, 2024.

The Consumer Advocate states “[t]he purpose of the Supplemental Testimony is to address revisions to the Consumer Advocates’ recommendations to the ICRR and address certain new issues raised by the filing of a general base rate case proposed by the Company in TPUC Docket No. 24-00032.” The Consumer Advocate asserts that the Supplemental Testimony “will provide a more accurate and complete presentation of the Consumer Advocate’s position and avoid later revisions of Mr. Dittmore’s Testimony” and filed the Supplemental Testimony along with the *Motion*.

On May 24, 2024, Tennessee-American Water Company (“TAWC”) filed a letter asking that it have until June 4, 2024, to respond to the *Motion* due, in part, to the Memorial Day holiday.

The Administrative Judge communicated to the parties via email that TAWC could file its response on June 4th. On June 4th, TAWC chose to file Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony instead of opposing the Consumer Advocate's *Motion*.

After TAWC filed the Petition in the current docket on March 8, 2024, it filed a general base rate case in Docket No. 24-00032¹ on May 1, 2024. The rate case docket presented issues that could potentially impact the Incremental Capital Recovery Rider ("ICRR"). For these reasons, the Administrative Judge finds it is appropriate that the parties be allowed to file supplemental testimony to address these potential issues. Therefore, the Administrative Judge concludes the Consumer Advocate's *Motion* should be **GRANTED**.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED.


Monica Smith-Ashford, Administrative Judge

¹ See *In Re: Petition of Tennessee-American Water Company to Modify Tariff, Change and Increase Charges, Fees, and Rates, and for Approval of a General Rate Increase*, Docket No. 24-00032, *Petition* (May 1, 2024).