BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION AT NASHVILLE

)	
)	
Chattanooga Gas Company Petition for)	
Approval of its 2022 Annual Rate Review)	
Filing Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-)	Docket No. 23-00029
5-103 (d)(6))	
)	
)	

OF WILLIAM H. NOVAK

ON BEHALF OF

THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE DIVISION OF THE OFFICE OF THE TENNESSEE ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 28, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	ARM ADJUSTMENT PROPOSED BY CHATTANOOGA GAS COMPANY	4
II.	RATE ALLOCATION AND RATE DESIGN	.11
III.	RECOMMENDATIONS	.14

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment WHN-1 Consumer Advocate Revenue Deficiency Calculation

1	Q1.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
2		OCCUPATION FOR THE RECORD.
3	A1.	My name is William H. Novak. My business address is 19 Morning Arbor Place,
4		The Woodlands, TX, 77381. I am the President of WHN Consulting, a utility
5		consulting and expert witness services company.1
6		
7	<i>Q2.</i>	PLEASE PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF YOUR BACKGROUND AND
8		PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
9	A2.	Briefly, I have both a Bachelor's degree in Business Administration with a major
10		in Accounting, and a Master's degree in Business Administration from Middle
11		Tennessee State University. I am a Certified Management Accountant and am
12		also licensed to practice as a Certified Public Accountant.
13		
14		My work experience has centered on regulated utilities for over 40 years. Before
15		establishing WHN Consulting, I was Chief of the Energy & Water Division of the
16		Tennessee Public Utility Commission (the "Commission") where I had either
17		presented testimony or advised the Commission on a host of regulatory issues for
18		over 19 years. In addition, I was previously the Director of Rates & Regulatory
19		Analysis for two years with Atlanta Gas Light Company, a natural gas
20		distribution utility with operations in Georgia and Tennessee. I also served for
21		two years as the Vice President of Regulatory Compliance for Sequent Energy
22		Management, a natural gas trading and optimization entity in Texas, where I was

¹ State of Tennessee, Registered Accounting Firm ID 3682.

I		responsible for ensuring the firm's compliance with state and federal regulatory
2		requirements.
3		
4		In 2004, I established WHN Consulting as a utility consulting and expert witness
5		services company. Since 2004, WHN Consulting has provided testimony or
6		consulting services to state public utility commissions and state consumer
7		advocates in at least ten state jurisdictions.
8		
9	<i>Q3.</i>	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?
10	A3.	I am testifying on behalf of the Consumer Advocate Division ("Consumer
11		Advocate" or the "CA") of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General.
12		
13	<i>Q4</i> .	HAVE YOU PRESENTED TESTIMONY IN ANY PREVIOUS DOCKETS
14		REGARDING CHATTANOOGA GAS COMPANY?
15	A4.	Yes. I've presented testimony in TPUC Docket Nos. U-85-7382, 88-01363, 90-
16		08876, 91-03765, 93-06946, and 18-00017 concerning rate cases involving
17		Chattanooga Gas Company ("CGC" or the "Company") as well as dockets for
18		other generic tariff and rulemaking matters. In addition, I previously presented
19		testimony concerning CGC's Annual Review Mechanism (ARM) in Docket Nos.
20		21-00048 and 22-00032 that are the subject of this proceeding.
21		
22	Q5.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
23		PROCEEDING?

1	A5.	My testimony will support and address the Consumer Advocate's concerns,
2		positions and recommendations with respect to the Petition filed by CGC to adjust
3		its rates and charges. Specifically, I will address the following:
4		1. The overall adjustment to the ARM proposed in the Company's filing
5		including the impact of specific errors and miscalculations contained in
6		the filing that were discovered during the Consumer Advocate's review;
7		2. The Consumer Advocate's proposed Rate Design for the ARM revenue
8		deficiency; and
9		3. Other regulatory and accounting adjustments.
10		
11	Q6.	WHAT DOCUMENTS HAVE YOU REVIEWED IN PREPARATION OF
_	Qu.	WHAT DOCUMENTS HAVE TOO KEVIEWED IN FREFARATION OF
12	Qu.	YOUR TESTIMONY?
	A6.	
12		YOUR TESTIMONY?
12		YOUR TESTIMONY? I have reviewed the Company's Petition filed on April 20, 2023, along with the
12 13 14		YOUR TESTIMONY? I have reviewed the Company's Petition filed on April 20, 2023, along with the testimony and exhibits presented with its filing. In addition, I have reviewed the
12 13 14		YOUR TESTIMONY? I have reviewed the Company's Petition filed on April 20, 2023, along with the testimony and exhibits presented with its filing. In addition, I have reviewed the Company's workpapers supporting its proposed revenue adjustment calculations.
112 113 114 115 116		YOUR TESTIMONY? I have reviewed the Company's Petition filed on April 20, 2023, along with the testimony and exhibits presented with its filing. In addition, I have reviewed the Company's workpapers supporting its proposed revenue adjustment calculations. I have also reviewed the Company's responses to the Consumer Advocate's
112 113 114 115 116		YOUR TESTIMONY? I have reviewed the Company's Petition filed on April 20, 2023, along with the testimony and exhibits presented with its filing. In addition, I have reviewed the Company's workpapers supporting its proposed revenue adjustment calculations. I have also reviewed the Company's responses to the Consumer Advocate's discovery requests. Finally, I reviewed previous Commission's Orders associated
112 113 114 115 116 117		YOUR TESTIMONY? I have reviewed the Company's Petition filed on April 20, 2023, along with the testimony and exhibits presented with its filing. In addition, I have reviewed the Company's workpapers supporting its proposed revenue adjustment calculations. I have also reviewed the Company's responses to the Consumer Advocate's discovery requests. Finally, I reviewed previous Commission's Orders associated with the Company's ARM mechanism in TPUC Docket Nos. 19-00047, 20-
112 113 114 115 116 117 118		YOUR TESTIMONY? I have reviewed the Company's Petition filed on April 20, 2023, along with the testimony and exhibits presented with its filing. In addition, I have reviewed the Company's workpapers supporting its proposed revenue adjustment calculations. I have also reviewed the Company's responses to the Consumer Advocate's discovery requests. Finally, I reviewed previous Commission's Orders associated with the Company's ARM mechanism in TPUC Docket Nos. 19-00047, 20-

3

I. ARM ADJUSTMENT PROPOSED BY CHATTANOOGA GAS

2 COMPANY

3

6

1

4 Q7. MR. NOVAK, PLEASE EXPLAIN THE OVERALL PURPOSE AND

5 STRUCTURE OF THE ARM AND THE RELIEF THAT CGC IS ASKING

FROM THE COMMISSION THROUGH ITS PETITION.

- 7 A7. The overall purpose of the ARM is to allow the Company to adjust its rates on a
- 8 timely basis outside of the traditional rate case process. The structure for the
- 9 ARM was agreed to by CGC, Party Staff, the Chattanooga Regional
- Manufacturer's Association, and the Consumer Advocate in TPUC Docket No.
- 11 19-00047² and then incorporated into the Commission's Order³ in that docket.
- Since the establishment of the ARM structure, the Commission has approved the
- rate adjustments shown below on Table 1.

Table 1 – Prior ARM Approvals		
Docket Approved Rate Cap		
Number	Increase	Increase
20-000494	\$4,758,576	N/A
21-000485	11,545,439	\$6,800,000
22-000326	7,911,764	6,800,000

14

² Stipulation and Settlement Agreement by and Among Chattanooga Gas Company, the Consumer Advocate Unit of the Attorney General, the Chattanooga Regional Manufacturer's Association, and Party Staff, TPUC Docket No. 19-00047 (July 26, 2019).

³ Order Approving Settlement Agreement, TPUC Docket No. 19-00047 (October 7, 2019).

⁴ Order Approving 2019 ARM Filing, TPUC Docket No. 20-00049 (October 27, 2020).

⁵ Order Approving Settlement Agreement on Chattanooga Gas Company's 2020 Annual Rate Review Filing Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-103(d)(6), TPUC Docket No. 21-00048 (November 1, 2021).

⁶ Order Approving Chattanooga Gas Company's 2021 Annual Rate Review Filing Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-103(d)(6), TPUC Docket No. 22-00032 (October 28, 2022).

1	<i>Q8.</i>	HOW MUCH OF AN ARM ADJUSTMENT HAS THE COMPANY
2		REQUESTED IN THIS DOCKET?
3	A8.	In the current Docket, the Company has requested a revenue adjustment of
4		\$11,917,087 limited to a rate cap of \$6.8 million. ⁷ This revenue adjustment
5		represents the 4 th ARM filing made by CGC.
6		
7	Q9.	HOW IS THE COMPANY'S \$11,917,087 RATE ADJUSTMENT
8		CALCULATED?
9	A9.	CGC's proposed rate adjustment of \$11,917,087 is calculated in accordance with
10		the terms of the Commission Order in TPUC Docket No. 19-00047. The overall
11		methodology for the rate adjustment calculation is shown below in Table 2 which
12		provides a comparison of the current ARM proposal along with the revenue
13		deficiency approved by the Commission in the Company's prior ARM dockets.
14		

 $^{^7}$ Direct Testimony of Tiffani Weems, File <CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1>, Tab "Schedule 1", TPUC Docket No. 23-00029 (April 20, 2023).

Table 2 – ARM Revenue Deficiency Calculations				
	22-00032	23-00029		
Item	ARM Filing ⁸	ARM Filing ⁹	ARM Filing ¹⁰	ARM Filing ¹¹
Rate Base	\$163,379,679	\$190,129,250	\$210,018,272	\$246,518,095
Operating Income at Present Rates	8,096,435	4,962,492	9,075,381	8,700,152
Earned Rate of Return	4.96%	2.61%	4.32%	3.53%
Fair Rate of Return	7.12%	7.12%	7.12%	7.12%
Required Operating Income	11,628,714	13,532,641	14,948,263	17,546,174
Operating Income Deficiency	3,532,279	8,570,149	5,872,882	8,846,022
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.347169	1.347169	1.347169	1.347169
Revenue Deficiency	\$4,758,576	\$11,545,439	\$7,911,764	\$11,917,087

1

2 Q10. HAS CGC ADJUSTED THE RECONCILIATION AMOUNT CONTAINED

3 IN ITS INITIAL FILING?

- 4 A10. Yes. As mentioned previously, CGC originally requested the Commission to
- 5 approve an ARM revenue deficiency of \$11,917,087 that has since been revised
- to \$12,044,393 to correct 30 errors that were discovered during the Consumer
- 7 Advocate's review and analysis.¹²

8

9

Q11. HOW IS THE REVISED RECONCILIATION AMOUNT OF \$12,044,393

10 *CALCULATED?*

- 11 A11. CGC's revised revenue deficiency of \$12,044,393 is still calculated in accordance
- with the terms of TPUC Docket No. 19-00047 and reflects updated and corrected

⁸ Rebuttal Testimony of Gary Tucker, p. 5, TPUC Docket No. 20-00049 (August 25, 2020). *See Order Approving 2019 ARM Filing*, TPUC Docket No. 20-00049 (October 27, 2020).

⁹ Direct Testimony of Gary Tucker, File <CGC Tucker Supplemental Exhibit GT-4>, Tab "Schedule 1", TPUC Docket No. 21-00048 (July 14, 2021). See Order Approving Settlement Agreement on Chattanooga Gas Company's 2020 Annual Rate Review Filing Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-103(d)(6), TPUC Docket No. 21-00048 (November 1, 2021).

¹⁰ Supplemental Testimony of Tiffani Weems, File <CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1>, Tab "Schedule 1", TPUC Docket No. 22-00032 (July 14, 2022).

¹¹ Direct Testimony of Tiffani Weems, File <CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1>, Tab "Schedule 1", TPUC Docket No. 23-00029 (April 20, 2023).

¹² Direct Testimony of Tiffani Weems, File <CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1>, Tab "Schedule 1", TPUC Docket No. 23-00029 (June 23, 2023).

data to the initial filing. A comparison of the initial and revised revenue deficiency calculations is shown below in Table 3.

3

Table 3 – Revised Revenue Deficiency Comparison		
Initial Revised		
Item	ARM Filing ¹³	ARM Filing ¹⁴
Rate Base	\$246,518,095	\$245,514,760
Operating Income at Present Rates	8,700,152	8,534,240
Earned Rate of Return	3.53%	3.48%
Fair Rate of Return	7.12%	7.12%
Required Operating Income	17,546,174	17,474,761
Operating Income Deficiency	8,846,022	8,940,521
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.347169	1.347169
Revenue Deficiency	\$11,917,087	\$12,044,393

4

5

6

Q12. HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE CALCULATIONS SUPPORTING THE

REVISED ARM FILING?

A12. Yes. I reviewed both the Company's original and revised filings. I also prepared discovery requests for supplemental supporting information that was not contained in the original filing. In addition, I have had continuing discussions with CGC regarding both filings. The purpose of my review was to determine whether CGC's ARM calculation was based on actual amounts recorded in its books.

13

14

O12. WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF YOUR REVIEW?

15 A12. Overall, I found that CGC's revised filing appropriately reflected the actual revenues, expenses and net investment recorded on the Company's ledger.

¹³ Direct Testimony of Tiffani Weems, File <CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1>, Tab "Schedule 1", TPUC Docket No. 23-00029 (April 20, 2023).

¹⁴ Direct Testimony of Tiffani Weems, File <CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1>, Tab "Schedule 1", TPUC Docket No. 23-00029 (June 23, 2023).

Likewise, I also found that the reconciliation generally reflected the methodologies established in TPUC Docket No. 19-00047.

3

4

Q13. HAS THE COMPANY ADDRESSED YOUR AREAS OF CONCERN IN

5 THE REVISED FILING?

A13. Yes. The Company's revised filing results in a revenue deficiency of

\$12,044,393 and corrects the 30 errors that I discovered during the Consumer

Advocate's investigation. This revision fully addresses my particular areas of

concern in the revenue deficiency calculation. However, Consumer Advocate

witness Bradley proposes additional adjustments to Operation & Maintenance

Expense that total to \$-167,069 as shown below in Table 4.

12

Table 4 – Consun	ner Advocate O&I	M Expense Adjusti	ments
O&M	CGC	CA	Adjusted
Expense	Amount ¹⁵	Adjustment ¹⁶	Amount
Payroll	\$5,013,279	\$-114,908	\$4,898,371
Post-Retirement Benefits	97,975	-51	97,924
AGL Allocations	6,156,539	-52,110	6,104,429
Total	\$11,267,793	\$-167,069	\$11,100,724

13

The details for these O&M Expense adjustments are discussed more fully in Mr.

15 Bradley's testimony.

16

17

18

Q14. HOW DOES THE \$-167,069 REDUCTION IN O&M EXPENSES IMPACT

THE REVENUE DEFICIENCY CALCULATION?

¹⁵ Direct Testimony of Tiffani Weems, File <CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1>, Tab "Schedule 7", TPUC Docket No. 23-00029 (June 23, 2023).

¹⁶ Exhibit AB-1 included with Consumer Advocate witness Bradley's testimony.

1 A14. The Consumer Advocate's proposed reduction of \$-167,069 to O&M Expense

reduces the revenue deficiency to \$11,698,227 as shown on Attachment WHN-1.

A comparison of CGC's revised revenue deficiency and the Consumer

4 Advocate's revenue deficiency that takes these O&M adjustments into account is

5 shown below in Table 5.

6

Table 5 – Revised Revenue Deficiency Comparison			
	CGC Revised CA		
Item	ARM Filing ¹⁷	Calculation ¹⁸	
Rate Base	\$245,514,760	\$245,438,676	
Operating Income at Present Rates	8,534,240	8,785,783	
Earned Rate of Return	3.48%	3.58%	
Fair Rate of Return	7.12%	7.12%	
Required Operating Income	17,474,761	17,469,346	
Operating Income Deficiency	8,940,521	8,683,563	
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.347169	1.347169	
Revenue Deficiency	\$12,044,393	11,698,227	

7

8

Q15. HAS THE COMPANY LIMITED THE REVENUE INCREASE IN THIS

9 **DOCKET?**

10 A15. Yes. In Docket No. 21-00048, the Commission approved a 4-year annual rate cap
11 of \$6.8 million from ARM filings. 19 As a result, the Company has limited the
12 revenue increase in this Docket to \$6.8 million instead of the revised \$12,044,393
13 calculated amount. 20 My recommendation for the allocation of this \$6.8 million

¹⁷ Direct Testimony of Tiffani Weems, File <CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1>, Tab "Schedule 1", TPUC Docket No. 23-00029 (June 23, 2023).

¹⁸ Attachment WHN-1.

¹⁹ Order Approving Settlement Agreement on Chattanooga Gas Company's 2020 Annual Rate Review Filing Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-103(d)(6), p. 14, TPUC Docket No. 21-00048 (November 1, 2021).

²⁰ Direct Testimony of Tiffani Weems at 2:20 to 2:22.

1	revenue deficiency to the different customer classes is discussed in the next
2	section of my testimony.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	[Testimony continues on next page]
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1		II. RATE ALLOCATION AND RATE DESIGN
2		
3	Q16.	MR. NOVAK, HOW DID THE COMPANY PROPOSE TO ALLOCATE
4		THE \$6.8 MILLION ARM REVENUE DEFICIENCY TO THE
5		DIFFERENT CUSTOMER CLASSES?
6	A16.	To recover the \$6.8 million ARM Revenue Deficiency, the Company has
7		proposed a 5.00% increase in rates to the Kordsa special contract with the balance
8		applied evenly to all other customers with the exception of Volkswagen. ²¹ The
9		Company has proposed no change (0.00%) in rates to the Volkswagen special
10		contract resulting from the ARM Revenue Deficiency. This methodology is
11		consistent with the Commission's previous decision on the ARM rate change
12		allocation approved in Docket No. 22-00032. ²² The calculation of the Company's
13		proposed customer class allocation of the ARM Revenue Deficiency is presented
14		below in Table 6.
15		
16		
17		[Testimony continues on next page]
18		
19		
20		
21		

²¹ Direct Testimony of Ashley K. Vette at 3:1 to 3:14.

²² Order Approving Chattanooga Gas Company's 2021 Annual Rate Review Filing Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-103(d)(6), TPUC Docket No. 22-00032 (October 28, 2022), Page No. 17.

Table 6 – Company Proposed Revenue Allocation ²³								
Rate Normalized Percentage Revenue								
Schedule	Revenue	Increase	Increase					
Residential R1	\$23,999,098	12.78%	\$3,066,765					
Multi-Family R4	44,046	12.78%	5,628					
Commercial C1	5,583,268	12.78%	713,467					
Commercial C2	14,046,066	12.78%	1,794,900					
Industrial F1/T2	4,074,220	12.78%	520,631					
Industrial I1	0	12.78%	0					
Industrial T1	1,568,118	12.78%	200,384					
Industrial F1/T2/T2	2,110,692	12.78%	269,718					
Industrial T3	1,711,511	12.78%	218,708					
Contract-VW ²⁴	86,516	0.00%	0					
Contract-Kordsa	195,974	5.00%	9,799					
Total	\$53,419,509	12.75%	\$6,800,000					

In order to be consistent with prior Commission decisions on rate increase
allocations, I recommend that the Commission adopt the Company's proposed
methodology shown in Table 6 to allocate the \$6.8 million ARM revenue
deficiency to the different customer classes.

Q17. WHAT SPECIFIC RATE DESIGN HAS THE COMPANY PROPOSED?

A17. As to specific tariff rates, the Company has proposed a change in all billing items

(customers charges, usage charges, billing demand charges and capacity charges)

in order to achieve appropriate tariff rates that reflect the Commission's decision

in this docket.²⁵ This approach appears reasonable to me and is likely to result in

the most equitable overall impact to customers. I therefore recommend that the

Commission adopt the Company's proposed rate design for this docket.

5

²³ Direct Testimony of Ashley K. Vette, File <Exhibit AV-4>, Tab "Schedule 17.1", TPUC Docket No. 23-00029 (April 20, 2023).

²⁴ Company Filing, Schedule 35.14, TPUC Docket No. 23-00029 (April 20, 2023) (CONFIDENTIAL).

²⁵ Direct Testimony of Ashley K. Vette, File <Exhibit AV-4>, Tab "Schedule 17", TPUC Docket No. 23-00029 (April 20, 2023).

1		
2	Q18.	DO YOU HAVE ANY CLOSING COMMENTS ON THE COMPANY'S
3		ARM FILING?
4	A18.	Yes. The Company changed its accounting system during the ARM review
5		period. This change proved to be a challenge for both the Company and the
6		Consumer Advocate to navigate through two accounting systems simultaneously
7		in a single ARM review period. As a result, there were more changes to this
8		ARM filing than what we have previously experienced. To avoid a repeat of
9		these errors and to streamline the ARM filing process, the Company and the
10		Consumer Advocate have agreed to meet and discuss these topics after this
11		current filing has completed.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		[Testimony continues on next page]
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

1		III. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
2		
3	Q19.	MR. NOVAK, COULD YOU PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR
4		RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION.
5	A19.	My recommendations are as follows:
6		I recommend the Commission accept the Company's proposed methodology
7		to allocate the ARM Revenue Deficiency cap of \$6.8 million evenly across-
8		the-board to all customer classes, with the exception of Special Contract
9		customers, based upon the margin ratio of each customer class. As to Special
10		Contract customers, consistent with past Commission practice, I recommend
11		that the Commission approve rate increases of 5.00% to Kordsa and 0.00% to
12		Volkswagen.
13		• I recommend that the Commission approve the Company's proposed increase
14		to all rate components (customers charges, usage charges, billing demand
15		charges and capacity charges) to achieve the \$6.8 million ARM Revenue
16		Deficiency cap.
17		
18	Q20.	DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?
19	A20.	Yes, it does. However, I reserve the right to incorporate any new information that
20		may subsequently become available.
21		
22		
23		

24

IN THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION AT NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE: CHATTANOOGA GAS COMPANY'S PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2022 ANNUAL RATE REVIEW FILING PURSUANT TO TENN. CODE ANN. § 65-5- 103(D)(6)))) DOCKET NO. 23-00029 -)))					
AFFIDAVIT						

I, <u>William H. Novak</u>, on behalf of the Consumer Advocate Division of the Attorney General's Office, hereby certify that the attached Direct Testimony represents my opinion in the above-referenced case and the opinion of the Consumer Advocate Division.

WILLIAM H. NOVAK

Sworn to and subscribed before me This 36 day of 3 day, 2023.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: January 31, 2027.

ATTACHMENT WHN-1 Consumer Advocate Revenue Deficiency Calculation

INDEX TO SCHEDULES For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

	Schedule
Results of Operations	1
Average Rate Base	2
Lead Lag Results	3
Working Capital Expense Lag	4
Income Statement at Current Rates	5
Comparative O&M Expense Summary	6
Taxes Other than Income Income Taxes	7
Excise and Income Taxes	8
Rate of Return Summary	9
Revenue Conversion Factor	10

Results of Operations For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

Line No.	Rate Base	CGC Revised Filing A/ \$ 245,514,760	Adjustments -76,083	Consumer Advocate \$ 245,438,677 B/
2	Operating Income At Current Rates	8,534,240	251,543	8,785,783 C/
3	Earned Rate Of Return	3.48%	0.10%	3.58%
4	Fair Rate Of Return	7.12%	7.12%	7.12% D/
5	Required Operating Income	17,474,761	-5,415	17,469,346
6	Operating Income Deficiency (Surplus)	8,940,521	-256,958	8,683,563
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.347169	1.347169	1.347169 E/
8	Revenue Deficiency (Surplus)	\$ 12,044,393	\$ -346,166	\$ 11,698,227

A/ CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1 (Updated 6-23-2023), Schedule 1. B/ Schedule 2.

C/ Schedule 5.

D/ Schedule 9.

E/ Schedule 10.

Average Rate Base For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

Line No.		CGC Revised Filing	A/ Ad	justments		Consumer Advocate	
	Additions:				-		
1	Utility Plant in Service	\$ 396,985,306	\$	0	\$	396,985,306	
2	Construction Work in Progress	29,507,408		0		29,507,408	
3	Deferred LNG Maintenance (Net of ADIT)	256,983		0		256,983	
4	Incremental ARM Reg Asset (Net of ADIT)	0		0		0	
5	Materials & Supplies	498,326		0		498,326	
6	Prepayments	-2,981		0		-2,981	
7	Gas Inventory	10,949,088		0		10,949,088	
8	Deferred Rate Case Expense	434,025		0		434,025	
9	Working Capital	913,424		-11,787		901,637	B/
10	Unamortized ARM Reconciliation Balance	6,282,995		-87,046		6,195,949	C/
11	Deferred Pension Contribution	297,427		0		297,427	
12	Total Additions	\$ 446,122,002	\$	-98,833	\$	446,023,169	
	Deductions:						
13	Removal of Non-Allocable Net AGSC Plant	\$ -133,155	\$	0	\$	-133,155	
14	Accumulated Depreciation	149,854,725		0		149,854,725	
15	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	28,655,888		0		28,655,888	
16	Regulatory Liability - Excess Deferrals	18,171,063		0		18,171,063	
17	Deferred Income Tax - ARM Reconciliation Balance	1,642,061		-22,750		1,619,311	C/
18	Customer Advances for Construction	0		0		0	
19	Reserve for Uncollectibles	339,904		0		339,904	
20	Reserve for Health Insurance	85,281		0		85,281	
21	Other Reserves	108,174		0		108,174	
22	Customer Deposits	1,866,307		0		1,866,307	
23	Accrued Interest on Customer Deposits	16,994		0		16,994	
24	Total Deductions	\$ 200,607,242	\$	-22,750	\$	200,584,492	
25	Rate Base	\$ 245,514,760	\$	-76,083	\$	245,438,677	

A/ CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1 (Updated 6-23-2023), Schedule 2.

B/ Schedule 3.

C/ Consumer Advocate Workpapers.

Lead Lag Results
For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

Line No. 1	Revenue Lag	CGC Revised Filing A/	Adjustments 0.00	Consumer Advocate 43.76
2	Expense Lag	38.39	0.03	38.42
3	Net Lag	5.37	-0.03	5.34 B/
4	Daily Cost of Service	\$304,920_	\$339_	304,581 B/
5	Lead Lag Study	\$ 1,637,055	\$ -10,593	1,626,462
6	Tax Collections Withheld	-723,631	0	-723,631
7	Manual Adjustment to Match Company Calculation	0	-1,194	-1,194 C/
8	Net Cash Working Capital Provided	\$913,424	\$	901,637

A/ CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1 (Updated 6-23-2023), Schedule 3.

B/ Schedule 4. C/ Consumer Advocate Workpapers.

Working Capital Expense Lag
For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

Line No.				Amount	A/	Lag	A/		Dollar Days
	Operating & Maintenance Expenses:		_					_	
1	Purchased Gas Expense		\$	68,201,348		40.94		\$	2,792,163,187
2	O&M Labor			5,013,279		14.01			70,236,039
3	Pension Expense			22,144		0.00			0
4	Post-Retirement Benefits Other Than Pension			97,975		0.00			0
5	Miscellaneous Employee Benefits			774		4.47			3,460
6	401K Benefits			181,797		12.07			2,194,290
7	Health/Life Insurance Expense			591,590		8.98			5,312,478
8	Allocated Cost			6,156,539		21.94			135,074,466
9	Uncollectible Expense			346,178		43.76			15,148,749
10	Other Operating Expense			7,879,534		31.49			248,126,526
	Taxes:								
11	Taxes Other Than Income Tax			4,496,191		171.16			769,568,052
12	State Income Tax - Current			223,780		37.88			8,476,786
13	State Income Tax Deferred			716,868		0.00			0
14	Federal Income Tax - Current			905,514		37.88			34,300,870
15	Federal Income Tax - Deferred			1,484,203		0.00			0
	Other:								
16	Depreciation Expense			9,813,336		0.00			0
17	Interest on Customer Deposits			71,140		0.00			0
18	Interest Expense - Short Term Debt			421,227		-51.36			-21,634,219
19	Interest Expense - Long Term Debt			4,672,372		45.76			213,807,743
20	Total Working Capital Requirement		\$	111,295,789		38.39		\$	4,272,778,427
21	Daily Working Capital Requirement	\$ 304,920							
22	Return on Equity			12,196,018					
23	Total Cost of Service		\$	123,491,807					
24	Consumer Advocate Calculations	\$ 304,581 B/				38.42	B/		

A/ CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1 (Updated 6-23-2023), Schedule 4 (Reset). B/ Consumer Advocate Workpapers.

Income Statement at Current Rates
For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

Line No.	_	_	CGC Revised Filing	A/	Adjustments_	_	Consumer Advocate	_
	Operating Revenues:							
1	Gas Sales & Transportation Revenues	\$	121,530,693	\$	0	\$	121,530,693	
2	AFUDC - Interest		429,785		0		429,785	
3	AFUDC - Equity		907,147		0		907,147	
4	Other Revenues		1,122,858		0		1,122,858	
5	Total Operating Revenue	\$	123,990,484	\$	0	\$_	123,990,484	-
	Operating & Maintenance Expenses:							
6	Purchased Gas Expense	\$	68,201,348	\$	0	\$	68,201,348	
7	Operations & Maintenance - Labor		5,013,279		-114,909		4,898,370	B/
8	Operations & Maintenance - NonLabor		15,276,530		-52,161		15,224,369	B/
9	Total Operating & Maintenance Expenses	\$	88,491,157	\$_	-167,069	\$	88,324,088	-
	Other Expenses:							
10	Depreciation Expense	\$	9,813,336	\$	0	\$	9,813,336	
11	Amortization - ARM Reconciliation Balance		12,565,990		-174,092		12,391,898	C/
12	Interest on Customer Deposits		71,140		0		71,140	
13	General Taxes		4,496,191		0		4,496,191	
14	State Excise Taxes		116,941		22,289		139,230	
15	Federal Income Taxes		-98,511		67,330		-31,181	
16	Total Other Expenses	\$	26,965,087	\$_	-84,474	\$_	26,880,613	-
17	Total Operating Expenses	\$ __	115,456,244	\$_	-251,543	\$_	115,204,701	=
18	Utility Operating Income	\$ __	8,534,240	\$ <u>_</u>	251,543	\$_	8,785,783	=

A/ CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1 (Updated 6-23-2023), Schedule 5.

B/ Schedule 6.

C/ Consumer Advocate Workpapers.

CHATTANOOGA GAS COMPANY Comparative O&M Expense Summary For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

Line No.	Expense	CGC Revised Filing A/	Adjustments	Consumer Advocate
1	Payroll Expense	\$ 5,013,279	\$ -114,909	\$ 4,898,370 B/
2	Pension	22,144	0	22,144
3	Post-Retirement Benefits	97,975	-51	97,924 B/
4	Miscellaneous Employee Benefits	774	0	774
5	Employee Savings Plan (401K Benefits)	181,797	0	181,797
6	Health/Life Insurance Expense	591,590	0	591,590
7	Benefits Capitalized	0	0	0
8	Fleet Services & Facilities Expense	560,776	0	560,776
9	Outside Services	1,150,091	0	1,150,091
10	Bad Debt Expense	346,178	0	346,178
11	Sales Promotion Expense	-49,365	0	-49,365
12	Customer Service & Account Expense	10,930	0	10,930
13	Franchise and Riders	0	0	0
14	Administrative & General Expense	1,720,541	0	1,720,541
15	A&G Salaries Capitalized	0	0	0
16	Other Distribution & Storage Expense	4,486,560	0	4,486,560
17	AGL Service Company Allocations	6,156,539	-52,110	6,104,429 B/
18	Total O&M Expense	\$ 20,289,809	\$	\$ 20,122,740

A/ CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1 (Updated 6-23-2023), Schedule 7.
B/ Consumer Advocate Exhibit AB-1.

Taxes Other than Income Income Taxes For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

Line No. 1	Property Taxes	CGC Revised Filing A/ Ad \$ 2,501,579 \$	Consumer Justments C/ Advocate \$ 2,501,579
2	TPUC Inspection Fee	247,164	0 247,164
3	Payroll Taxes	357,738	0 357,738
4	Franchise Tax	793,703	0 793,703
5	Gross Receipts Tax	579,660	0 579,660
6	Allocated & Other Taxes	16,346_	0 16,346
7	Total	\$ <u>4,496,191</u> \$	0 \$ 4,496,191

A/ CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1 (Updated 6-23-2023), Schedule 8.

Excise and Income Taxes For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

Line No.	_		CGC Revised Filing A	, <u>,</u>	Adjustments	=	Consumer Advocate	_
1	Operating Revenues	\$	123,990,484	\$ <u>_</u>	0	\$_	123,990,484	_
	Operating Expenses:							
2	Purchased Gas Expense	\$	68,201,348	\$	0	\$	68,201,348	į
3	O&M Expenses		20,289,809		-167,069		20,122,740	B/
4	Depreciation Expense		9,813,336		0		9,813,336)
5	Amortization - ARM Reconciliation Balance		12,565,990		-174,092		12,391,898	B/
6	Interest on Customer Deposits		71,140		0		71,140	
7	General Taxes		4,496,191	_	0	_	4,496,191	_
8	Total Operating Expenses	\$	115,437,814	\$	-341,161	\$_	115,096,653	=
	NOI Before Excise and Income Taxes	\$		\$	341,161	\$	8,893,831	
9	AFUDC - Equity		-907,147		0		-907,147	
10	Interest Expense		-5,629,803		1,745		-5,628,059	
11	Permanent Tax Differences	_	61,537		0		61,537	
12	Net Income Before Income Taxes	\$	2,077,257	\$_	342,906	\$ __	2,420,163	=
	Tennessee Excise Tax Calculation:							
13	Net Income Before Income Taxes	\$	2,077,257	\$	342.906	\$	2.420.163	:
14	Excise Tax Rate	Ψ	6.50%	Ψ	6.50%	Ψ	6.50%	
15	Excise Tax Expense	\$		\$	22,289	\$	157,311	
16	Less After-Tax Excess Deferred Tax Liability Amortization	•	18,081	Ψ	0	Ψ	18,081	
17	Net Excise Tax Expense	\$	116,941	\$	22,289	\$	139,230	
18	Excise Tax - Current	\$	599,927	\$	-22,289	\$	577,638	j
19	Excise Tax - Deferred	\$	716,868	\$	0	\$	716,868	<u>.</u>
	Fodovel Income Toy Coloulation							
00	Federal Income Tax Calculation:	Φ.	0.077.057	•	0.40,000	Φ.	0.400.400	
20 21	Net Income Before Income Taxes Net Excise Tax Expense	\$	2,077,257 116,941	\$	342,906 22,289	\$	2,420,163 139,230	
22	Net Income Before Federal Income Tax	\$	1,960,316	\$	320,617	\$	2,280,933	
23	FIT Rate	Φ	21.00%	Φ	21.00%	Φ	21.00%	
23 24	Federal Income Tax Expense	\$		\$	67,330	\$	478,996	
25	Less After-Tax Excess Deferred Tax Liability Amortization	Ψ	-510,177	Ψ	07,550	Ψ	-510,177	
26	Net Federal Income Tax Expense	\$	-98,511	\$	67,330	\$_	-31,181	
27	Federal Income Tax - Current	\$	-1,582,714	\$	67,330	\$	-1,515,384	
28	Federal Income Tax - Deferred	\$	1,484,203	\$ -	0	\$	1,484,203	=
		•		Ť=		*=	-,,===	=

A/ CGC Weems Exhibit TW-1 (Updated 6-23-2023), Schedule 9.

B/ Schedule 5. C/ Schedule 9.

Rate of Return Summary
For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

		CGC			
Line		Percent of		Weighted	
No.	Class of Capital	Total	Cost Rate	Cost Rate	
1	CGC Short-Term Debt	6.30%	3.01%	0.19%	
2	CGC Long-Term Debt	44.47%	4.73%	2.10%	
3	Common Equity	49.23%	9.80%	4.82%	
4	Total	100.00%		7.12%	
5 6 7	Interest Expense Short-Term Debt: Rate Base Short-Term Weighted Debt Cost Short-Term Debt Interest Expense	CGC Revised Filing A/ \$ 245,514,760 0.19% \$ 465,570	Adjustments \$ -76,083	Consumer Advocate \$ 245,438,677 0.19% \$ 465,425	
8 9	Interest Expense Long-Term Debt: Rate Base Long-Term Weighted Debt Cost	\$ 245,514,760 2.10%	\$ -76,083 2.10%	\$ 245,438,677 2.10%	
10	Long-Term Debt Interest Expense	\$ 5,164,234	\$ <u>-1,600</u>	\$ 5,162,633	
11	Total Interest Expense	\$ 5,629,803	\$ <u>-1,745</u>	\$5,628,059	

Revenue Conversion Factor For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2022

Line No.		Amount	Balance A/
1	Operating Revenues		1.000000
2	Add: Forfeited Discounts	0.008796	0.008796
3	Balance		1.008796
4	Uncollectible Ratio	0.003825	0.003858
5	Balance		1.004938
6	State Excise Tax	0.065000	0.065321
7	Balance		0.939617
8	Federal Income Tax	0.210000	0.197320
9	Balance		0.742297
10	Revenue Conversion Factor (Line 1 / Line 9)		1.347169