Electronically Filed in TPUC Docket Room on March 15, 2023 at 9:45 a.m.

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

RULEMAKING TO PROMULGATE

RULES FOR UTILITY CYBERSECURITY)

PLAN REPORTING AS REQUIRED

UNDER TENN. CODE ANN. § 65-4-127)

Docket No. 23-00001

COMMENTS OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION AND PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

Pursuant to the Notice of Rulemaking Hearing issued by the Tennessee Public Utility Commission (the "Commission") on January 19, 2023, and Notice of Open Docket for Filing Comments filed by the Commission on January 20, 2023, Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos Energy") and Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. ("Piedmont") (collectively, the "Companies") respectfully submit these Comments on the proposed Rules for Utility Cybersecurity Reporting (the "Rules").

The Companies thank the Commission for soliciting comments from utility stakeholders regarding Utility Cybersecurity Reporting as part of the recently enacted Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-127. Many of the Companies' Comments and proposed changes to the first draft of the proposed Rules include references to the statute itself as well as to the intent of the statute. The Companies' proposed changes to the Rules are included as Exhibit A to these Comments. These Comments address the Companies' proposed changes by section.

(1) 1220-04-15-.01 Definitions

As with many other utilities, the Companies are subject to and governed by numerous federal and state cybersecurity laws, regulations, and directives. A primary concern of the

Companies is to maintain consistency between state and federal regulations to help prevent the possibility of confusion among the several cybersecurity regulatory frameworks. As set forth, the Companies propose certain definitional changes in this rulemaking to match with current federal guidance and help align the Companies' individual and respective cybersecurity measures in Tennessee with those currently in place at the federal level. As such, the Companies propose revising the definitions of "Information Technology System" and "Operational Technology System" to match those set forth by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, in *Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02C* ("Security Directive 2C"). A copy of this security directive has been included by the Companies as Exhibit B to these Comments. The Companies' proposed changes to "Information Technology System" and "Operational Technology System" obviate the need to also have definitions for "Information Technology" and "Operational Technology," which definitions the Companies have removed.

With respect to the inclusion of a definition of a "Cybersecurity Event," the Companies believe that this definition should be removed. Public Chapter Number 1111 provides in relevant part:

SECTION 1. Tennessee Code Annotated, Title 7, Chapter 51, is amended by adding the following as a new part:

. .

7-51-2202

- (a) By July 1, 2023, or within one (1) year after a utility is formed, whichever is later, a utility shall prepare and implement a cyber security plan to provide for the protection of the utility's facilities from unauthorized use, alteration, ransom, or destruction of electronic data.
- (b) A utility shall assess and update the cyber security plan implemented pursuant to this section every two (2) years to address new threats.

. . .

SECTION 2. Tennessee Code Annotated, Title 65, Chapter 4, Part 1, is amended by adding the following as a new part:

. . .

- (b)(1) By July 1, 2023, or within one (1) year after a utility is formed, whichever is later, a utility shall prepare and implement a cyber security plan to provide for the protection of the utility's facilities from unauthorized use, alteration, ransom, or destruction of electronic data. The utility shall annually submit documentation of the utility's compliance with this section to the commission by July 1.
- (2) The documentation required by this section must be made in writing and under oath by the chief executive officer, president, or other person with an equivalent role of the utility.
- (c) A utility shall assess and update the cyber security plan implemented pursuant to this section no less frequently than once every two (2) years to address new threats.

. . .

The Companies support utilities' implementation of appropriate cybersecurity plans and agree with the intent of Public Chapter Number 1111 to ensure that utilities have those plans in place. However, references to and definitions of what constitutes a cybersecurity event and actions taken in response thereto, including the imposition of reporting and/or notice requirements, exceed the scope of Public Chapter Number 1111. As such, the Companies encourage the Commission to remove provisions that go beyond what is contemplated by Public Chapter Number 1111, which includes removing the definition "Cybersecurity event" in its entirety. To the extent the Commission disagrees with the Companies' proposed removal of the term "Cybersecurity Event," the Companies propose that the Commission adopt the definition of "Cybersecurity Incident" as set forth in Security Directive 2C to maintain consistency of terms with federal guidance. Security Directive 2C defines "Cybersecurity Incident" as:

[A]n event that, without lawful authority, jeopardizes, disrupts or otherwise impacts, or is reasonably likely to jeopardize, disrupt or otherwise impact, the integrity, confidentiality, or availability of computers, information or communications systems or networks, physical or virtual infrastructure controlled

by computers or information systems, or information resident on the system. This definition includes an event that is under investigation or evaluation by the Owner/Operator as a possible cybersecurity incident without final determination of the event's root cause or nature (such as malicious, suspicious, benign).

With respect to the definition of "Cybersecurity plan," the Companies propose (1) inserting "or plans" to the definition, recognizing that a cybersecurity framework may involve more than one plan, and (2) inserting language recognizing that cybersecurity plans are designed or intended to protect the utility's systems against unauthorized actions but are not absolute guarantees against such actions.

Finally, the Companies propose the insertion of a definition for the term "Facilities." As noted above, Public Chapter Number 1111 calls for the implementation of cybersecurity plans "to provide for the protection of the utility's facilities from unauthorized use, alteration, ransom, or destruction of electronic data" The Companies believe that defining "facilities" will clarify what components of a utility's business and operations fall within the scope of the cybersecurity plan requirements. Accordingly, the Companies propose defining "Facilities" as "a utility's Information Technology System and Operational Technology System."

(2) 1220-4-15-.02 Confidentiality

The Companies agree that any documentation submitted to the Commission pursuant to the Rules is to be treated as confidential and protected from public inspection. However, given the sensitivity of any cyber-related information that may be submitted to the Commission and the Companies' individual and respective attendant concerns with the disclosure of that information, the Companies propose that, in addition to the confidentiality and disclosure protections already in the proposed Rules, any information submitted to the Commission also be designated and treated by the Commission as Sensitive Security Information as defined within Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations parts 15 and 1520.

(3) 1220-4-15-.03 Cybersecurity Plan

The Companies have no proposed changes to this proposed section.

(4) 1220-4-15-.04 Annual Filing Requirements

Section 1 of proposed 1220-4-15.04 pertains to utilities' provision to the Commission of an attestation that "the utility has prepared and implemented a cybersecurity plan." The Companies believe that providing an attestation is sufficient to demonstrate to the Commission that the Companies have in place appropriate and robust cybersecurity plans. The Companies also believe that an attestation that a utility has prepared and implemented appropriate cybersecurity plans aligns with the intent of Public Chapter Number 1111. However, certain proposed subparagraphs setting forth the information to be provided as part of the attestation not only exceed the scope of Public Chapter Number 1111 but also raise significant concerns about protecting the highly sensitive information that encompasses the Companies', and presumably other utilities', individual and respective cybersecurity plans. As such, the Companies propose deleting subparagraph (a) in its entirety. Information about cybersecurity programs and procedures, even if provided summarily and done under the presumption that such information would be treated confidentially, still leaves open the possibility that this information could be exposed to or obtained by outside parties, which could have detrimental impacts, and pose risks, to the Companies, their customers, and the community at large. The Companies believe that an attestation that a utility has implemented a cybersecurity plan, as further contemplated by the Companies' proposed changes to subparagraph (d), below, is sufficient to meet the Commission's apparent regulatory intent.

The Companies do not have any proposed changes to subparagraph (b).

Regarding subparagraph (c), the Companies' proposed changes relate solely to the definitional proposals set forth above in Section 1, 1220-04-15.01 Definitions.

With respect to subparagraph (d), the Companies' proposed changes are intended to address the concerns raised above concerning subparagraph (a) while, at the same time, ensuring utilities sufficiently represent to the Commission that they have the appropriate cybersecurity plans in place. The deletions and proposed revisions in the first sentence are intended to align with the language of Public Chapter Number 1111, which, as noted above, requires the implementation of cybersecurity plans "for the protection of the utility's facilities from unauthorized use, alteration, ransom, or destruction of electronic data." The deletion of the second sentence of subparagraph (d) reflects that the identification of any parties to be notified in the event of a cybersecurity incident is beyond the scope of Public Chapter Number 1111 and raises confidentiality concerns about the provision of details of a utility's cybersecurity plans.

The Companies propose that subparagraph (e) be deleted in its entirety. First, the requirement that utilities, as part of the attestation, summarize any cybersecurity incidents that may have occurred, identify the parties notified, and set forth remedial actions undertaken exceeds the language and apparent intent of Public Chapter Number 1111. Second, subparagraph (e) raises the same concerns about maintaining confidentiality of highly sensitive information previously described. Third, the Companies are already subject to federal reporting requirements of cybersecurity events, and there is a concern that, through subparagraph (e), the proposed Rules create an alternative reporting framework that does not necessarily fully align with that of the federal government, potentially creating different reporting requirements for the same event.

Regarding subparagraph (f), the Companies have significant concerns about providing any information related to their individual and respective procurement of cybersecurity insurance, particularly any information about the term and limits of coverage. The Companies believe that an indication that they individually and respectively have cybersecurity insurance should be

acceptable to the Commission, and the Companies' proposed changes to subparagraph (f) reflect that.

Section 2 of proposed 1220-4-15.04 concerns "a sworn statement by the utility's chief executive officer, president or another person with an equivalent role and authority" affirming that (1) the utility has prepared and implemented the identified cybersecurity plan; (2) the plan has been prepared or updated within the last two years; and (3) the filed documentation is current and accurate. The Companies are agreeable to the provision of such a statement (and the contents thereof). The Companies request that language be added to the proposed section as follows: "... by the utility's chief executive officer, president or another person with a substantially equivalent role and authority with respect to the cybersecurity plan(s)." This addition is intended to reflect that certain management-level officers may have more direct knowledge of the utility's cybersecurity plans.

(5) 1220-4-15.05 Failure to Comply; Sanctions

The Companies have no proposed changes to this proposed section.

(6) 1220-4-15-.06 Required Notification to Commission of Cyber Event

With respect to proposed 1220-4-15.06, the Companies propose that this section be removed in its entirety. As noted in other sections, Public Chapter Number 1111's scope does not extend to the imposition of requirements that utilities notify the Commission, or any other entity, of a cybersecurity event. Further, significant concerns about maintaining the confidentiality of information associated with a cybersecurity event are attendant with any requirements that such information be disseminated. Accordingly, the Companies encourage the Commission to remove this entire section.

To the extent the Commission maintains this notification provision, in the alternative, the Companies propose that only cybersecurity events reaching a certain level of materiality be required to be reported to the Commission. Consistent with federal obligations on utilities, the Companies propose, in the alternative, that only cybersecurity events that result in operational disruption to the utility's Information Technology or Operational Technology systems or facilities, or that otherwise have the potential to cause operational disruption that adversely affect the safe and efficient provision of services by the utility, be reportable. In this alternative, the Companies further recommend that any notification timeframe align with the recommendations of those set forth by the American Gas Association, which indicates that 72 hours is an appropriate timeframe to notify regulators about material cybersecurity events. This timeframe should allow the Companies to first notify law enforcement agencies of potential events (i.e., TSA, CISA, FBI, etc.) and to obtain a better understanding of the event and its circumstances. The proposed 48-hour timeframe may very well be too short of a period for the Companies to develop that understanding in their particular and respective circumstance. The Companies also propose that, for any notification to the Commission, they have the option to make that notification either orally or in writing as opposed to requiring both means of notification.

Continuing with the alternative if 1220-4-15.06 is not removed, with respect to Section 2 of proposed 1220-4-15.06, highly sensitive information may be imparted as part of this briefing. Accordingly, the Companies propose that any such briefings be limited to material cybersecurity events (as set forth in the previous paragraph), that the information briefed be limited to a high-level assessment sufficient to provide the Commission with the requisite understanding of what transpired, and that the Commission have the discretion whether to conduct such briefing as opposed to making it a mandatory requirement. Cybersecurity events are potentially very diverse

in scope and scale, and this modification allows the Commission to make the determination whether an additional briefing is required rather than having a requirement imposed upon itself and the utility.

(7) 1220-4-15-.07 Cost Recovery for Cybersecurity Investment

The Companies have no proposed changes to this proposed section.

The Companies thank the Commission for its consideration of these Comments and look forward to further discussion on this rulemaking.

Respectfully submitted,

Atmos Energy Corporation

Erik Lybeck, #35233

SIMS|FUNK, PLC

3322 West End Ave, Suite 200

Nashville, TN 37203

(615) 425-7030 - Telephone

(615) 649-8565 – Facsimile

elybeck@simsfunk.com

Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.

3v

Paul S. Davidson # 011789

Holland & Knight LLP

Nashville City Center

511 Union Street, Suite 2700

Nashville, TN 37219

(615) 244-6380 - Telephone

Paul.Davidson@hklaw.com

Exhibit A

to the Comments of Atmos Energy Corporation and Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.

in TPUC Docket No. 23-00001

1220-04-15-.01 Definitions 1220-04-15-.02 Confidentiality 1220-04-15-.03 Cybersecurity Plan

Chapter Number Chapter Title

1220-04-15 Utility Cybersecurity Reporting

Rule Number Rule Title

1220-04-15-.04 Annual Filing Requirements 1220-04-15- 05 Failure to Comply: Sanctions

1220-04-15-.06 Required Notification to Commission of Cyber Event

1220-04-15-.067 Cost Recovery for Cybersecurity Investment

1220-04-15-.01 Definitions

- (1) Commission means the Tennessee Public Utility Commission.
- (2) Cybersecurity event—any unauthorized use, alteration, ransom, or destruction of electronic data involving a utility's information or operation technology systems; a cybersecurity event that has been determined to have an impact on the organization prompting the need for response and recovery.
- (2) Cybersecurity plan a plan or plans intended to protect that provides for the protection of the utility's information technology and operational technology systems Facilities from unauthorized use, alteration, ransom, or destruction of electronic data.
- (3) Facilities means a utility's Information Technology System and Operational Technology System.
- (4) Information Technology System-means any services, equipment, or interconnected systems or subsystems of equipment that are used in the automatic acquisition, storage, analysis, evaluation, manipulation, management, movement, control, display, switching, interchange, transmission, or reception of data or information that fall within the responsibility of the Owner/Operator to operate and maintain, any equipment or interconnected system or subsystem of equipment that is used in the automatic acquisition, storage, manipulation, management, movement, control, display, switching, interchange, transmission, or reception of data or information by the utility. The term information technology includes computers, ancillary equipment, software, firmware and similar procedures, services (including support services), and related resources.
- (5) Information Technology System (IT System) hardware and software related to electronic processing, and storage, retrieval, transmittal, and manipulation of data
- (6) Operational Technology System- is a general term that encompasses several types of control systems, including industrial control systems, supervisory control and data acquisition systems, distributed control systems, and other control system configurations, such as programmable logic controllers, fire control systems, and physical access control systems, often found in the industrial sector and critical infrastructure. Such systems consist of combinations of programmable electrical, mechanical, hydraulic, pneumatic devices or systems that interact with the physical environment or manage devices that interact with the physical environment) used to provide utility service. These
- (7)(5) systems/devices detect or cause a direct change through the monitoring and/or control of devices, processes, and events. Examples include industrial control systems, building management systems, fire control systems, and physical access control mechanisms.
- (8) Operational Technology System (OT System) a system or network that monitors or controls electric, gas, wastewater or water system infrastructure used for utility operations.
- (9)(6) Utility a public utility defined by T.C.A. § 65-4-101 that provides electric, water, wastewater, or natural gas services.

Authority: T.C.A. §§ 65-2-102, 65-4-101, and 65-4-127

1220-4-15-.02 Confidentiality

All documentation submitted in accordance with T.C.A. § 65-4-127 and these rules shall be treated as confidential and protected from public inspection and shall be treated as Sensitive Security Information per 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520.

Authority: T.C.A. §§ 65-2-102, 65-4-127, 10-7-504(a)(21)(i), and 10-7-504(a)(21)(C)(iii).

1220-4-15-.03 Cybersecurity Plan

- (1) By July 1, 2023, or within one (1) year after a utility is formed, whichever is later, a utility shall prepare and implement a cybersecurity plan.
- (2) Cybersecurity plans implemented in compliance with these rules must be assessed and updated by the utility no less frequently than once every two (2) years to address new threats.

Authority: T.C.A. §§ 65-2-102 and 65-4-127.

1220-4-15-.04 Annual Filing Requirements

- (1) By July 1st of each calendar year, all utilities shall submit an attestation that the utility has prepared and implemented a cybersecurity plan. At a minimum, the attestation shall include:
- (a) A summary of the program and procedures that describe the utility's approach to cybersecurity awareness and protection:
- (b)(a) Contact information for utility employee(s) responsible for cybersecurity;
- (c)(b) A statement indicating whether the utility conducts annual cybersecurity training for the utility personnel with access to any utility Information Technology System or Operational Technology System;
- (d)(c) A statement indicating whether the utility has a plan for communicating a cybersecurity event that results in loss of service, financial harm, or breach of sensitive business or customer datathe protection of the utility's facilities from unauthorized use, alteration, ransom, or destruction of electronic data. The statement should specify whether the plan includes notification to the Commission and utility customers;
- (e) A summary of any cybersecurity event during the reporting year that resulted in material loss of service, financial harm, or breach of sensitive business or customer data, including parties notified of the unauthorized action and remedial actions undertaken; and
- (f)(d) A statement indicating whether the utility has procured cybersecurity insurance, and, if so, the current term and limits of such coverage.
- (2) The documentation filed must include a sworn statement by the utility's chief executive officer, president or another person with an equivalent role and authority with respect to the cyber security plan. Such statement shall, at a minimum, affirm that the utility:
- (a) Has prepared and implemented the cybersecurity plan described in the filing;
- (b) The cybersecurity plan has been prepared or updated within the last two (2) years; and
- (c) That all documentation and information filed is current and accurate.

Authority: T.C.A. §§ 65-2-102 and 65-4-127.

- 1220-4-15-.05 Failure to Comply; Sanctions
- (1) A utility fails to comply with these rules, and is considered in non-compliance, when
- (a) The company does not file documentation required by these rules showing that it has prepared a cybersecurity plan by July 1St of each calendar year; and
- (b) The company does not file documentation required by these rules showing that it has implemented that cybersecurity plan by July 1St of each calendar year.
- (2) After hearing, the Commission may impose reasonable sanctions, including civil and monetary penalties, against a utility in non-compliance with these rules.
- (3) Monetary penalties imposed by the Commission will be consistent with the statutory limit set in T.C.A. § 65-4-120.
- (4) If the Commission determines that sanctions shall include a monetary penalty, it may consider:
- (a) The efforts the utility to comply with these rules;
- (b) The financial stability of the utility; and
- (c) The impact of noncompliance on customers of the utility.
- (5) The Commission may require a utility to establish a separate fund to further support its compliance with these rules.
- (6) Any utility in non-compliance shall be reported to the General Assembly in accordance with T.C.A. § 65-4127(f).

Authority: T.C.A. §§ 65-2-102, 65-4-120, and 65-4-127.

1220-4-15-.06 Required Notification to Commission of Cyber Event

(1) If a utility experiences a cybersecurity event, it shall notify, both verbally and in writing, the Chair of the Commission or their designee within 48 hours of the utility's discovery of the cybersecurity event.

(2) Within 30 days of the discovery of the cybersecurity event, the utility shall be available and prepared to brief the Chair of the Commission or their designee on the impact of the cybersecurity event to utility customers and on utility operations.

Authority: T.C.A. §§ 65-2-102 and 65-4-127.

1220-4-15-.07-06 Cost Recovery for Cybersecurity Investment

- (1) To the extent that costs related to action required by this rule are not already recovered in rates, the utility may seek cost recovery:
- (a) By filing a petition pursuant to T.C.A. 65-5-103; or
- (b) If permissible, by requesting an alternative regulatory mechanism pursuant to T.C.A. 65-5-103(d). Authority: T.C.A. §§ 65-2-102, 65-4-127, and 65-5-103.

Exhibit B

to the Comments of Atmos Energy Corporation and Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.

in TPUC Docket No. 23-00001



MEMORANDUM

To: Covered Pipeline Owner/Operators

Date: July 21, 2022

Subject: Revision to the Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02 series: Pipeline Cybersecurity

Mitigation Actions, Contingency Planning, and Testing

Attached to this memorandum is Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02C (SD02C). SD02C is a continuation of the SD-02 series, and supersedes and replaces SD Pipeline-2021-02B.

SD02C is effective on July 27, 2022, and applies to Owner/Operators of hazardous liquid and natural gas pipelines or a liquefied natural gas facility notified by TSA that their pipeline system or facility is critical.

SD02C includes requirements for covered Owner/Operators to 1) Establish and implement a TSA-approved Cybersecurity Implementation Plan; 2) Develop and maintain a Cybersecurity Incident Response Plan to reduce the risk of operational disruption; and 3) Establish a Cybersecurity Assessment Program, and submit an annual plan that describes how the Owner/Operator will assess the effectiveness of cybersecurity measures.

Owner/Operators must continue to implement the specific measures from Security Directive Pipeline 2021-02B, as identified in the Attachment to SD02C, and modified by any TSA-approved alternative measures and/or action plans, until a Cybersecurity Implementation Plan issued pursuant to this Directive is approved by TSA.

Although TSA has not designated this SD as Sensitive Security Information (SSI), the information submitted by the Owner/Operator to TSA as a result of these requirements is protected as SSI per 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520.

All queries concerning the attached SD should be submitted to TSA at <u>TSA-Surface@tsa.dhs.gov.</u>

David P. Pekoske

Administrator

Attachments:

Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02C

id P. Pekesto



U.S. Department of Homeland Security **Transportation Security Administration** 6595 Springfield Center Drive Springfield, Virginia 20598

NUMBER

Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02C

SUBJECT

Pipeline Cybersecurity Mitigation Actions, Contingency Planning, and

Testing

EFFECTIVE DATE

July 27, 2022

EXPIRATION DATE

July 27, 2023

CANCELS AND SUPERSEDES

Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02B

APPLICABILITY

Owners and Operators of a hazardous liquid and natural gas pipeline

or a liquefied natural gas facility notified by TSA that their pipeline

system or facility is critical

AUTHORITY

49 U.S.C. 114(d), (f), (*l*) and (m)

LOCATION

All locations within the United States

I. PURPOSE AND GENERAL INFORMATION

The Transportation Security Administration (TSA) is issuing this Security Directive due to the ongoing cybersecurity threat to pipeline systems, under the authority of 49 U.S.C. 114(*I*)(2)(A). This Security directive continues, under a new performance-based regulatory model, mandatory cybersecurity measures first implemented by TSA in July 2021, as part of what is known as the Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02 series, which first went into effect on July 26, 2021. In general, this Security Directive is applicable to the same pipeline and liquefied natural gas facilities subject to the requirements of the Security Directive Pipeline-2021-01 series, which first went into effect on May 28, 2021.

This Security Directive requires actions necessary to protect the national security, economy, and public health and safety of the United States and its citizens from the impact of malicious cyber intrusions affecting the nation's most critical gas and liquid pipelines. Even minor disruptions in critical pipeline systems may result in temporary product shortages that can cause significant harm to national security. Prolonged disruptions in the flow of commodities could lead to widespread energy shortfalls, with ripple effects across the

benefit analysis), if the Administrator determines that a regulation or security directive must be issued immediately in order to protect transportation security, the Administrator shall issue the regulation or security directive without providing notice or an opportunity for comment and without prior approval of the Secretary."

² See Section II.A. for applicability.

¹ This Security Directive series is issued under the authority of 49 U.S.C. 114(*I*)(2)(A), which states: "Notwithstanding any other provision of law or executive order (including an executive order requiring a cost-

economy. Disruptions and delays may affect other domestic critical infrastructure and industries that depend on the commodities transported by the nation's pipeline systems.

The goal of this Security Directive is to reduce the risk that cybersecurity threats pose to critical pipeline systems and facilities by implementing layered cybersecurity measures that demonstrate a defense-in-depth approach against such threats. Recent and evolving intelligence emphasizes the growing sophistication of nefarious persons, organizations, and governments, highlights vulnerabilities, and intensifies the urgency of implementing and sustaining the requirements in this Security Directive series.³

To protect against the ongoing threat to the United States' national and economic security, this Security Directive mandates that TSA-specified Owners/Operators of pipeline and liquefied natural gas facilities implement the following cybersecurity measures to prevent disruption and degradation to their infrastructure. Specifically, Owner/Operators must do the following:

- 1. Establish and implement a TSA-approved Cybersecurity Implementation Plan that describes the specific cybersecurity measures employed and the schedule for achieving the outcomes described in Section III.A. through III.E.
- 2. Develop and maintain an up-to-date Cybersecurity Incident Response Plan to reduce the risk of operational disruption, or the risk of other significant impacts on necessary capacity, as defined in this Security Directive, should the Information and/or Operational Technology systems of a gas or liquid pipeline be affected by a cybersecurity incident. *See* Section III.F.
- 3. Establish a Cybersecurity Assessment Program and submit an annual plan that describes how the Owner/Operator will proactively and regularly assess the effectiveness of cybersecurity measures and identify and resolve device, network, and/or system vulnerabilities. *See* Section III.G.

TSA has significantly revised the Security Directive initially issued in July 2021 to provide Owner/Operators with more flexibility to meet the intended security outcomes while ensuring sustainment of the cybersecurity enhancements accomplished through this Security Directive series. Cybersecurity experts from TSA and the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) contributed to the development of the measures in this Security Directive series to ensure the efficacy of the requirements in mitigating system vulnerabilities. This revision also reflects industry feedback along with both industry and general congressional support for TSA's transition to this performance-based, security outcome model. In addition, the directive incorporates knowledge gained from TSA's

³ See, e.g., Joint Cybersecurity Advisory (AA22-110A), Russian State-Sponsored and Criminal Cyber Threats to Critical Infrastructure (dated April 20, 2022), available at https://www.cisa.gov/uscert/sites/default/files/publications/AA22-110A_Joint_CSA_Russian_State-Sponsored_and_Criminal_Cyber_Threats_to_Critical_Infrastructure_4_20_22_Final.pdf. See also additional information regarding current threats posted at https://www.cisa.gov/shields-up.

processing and consideration of alternative measure⁴ requests submitted by pipeline Owner/Operators in response to the Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02 series.

The transition to a more flexible, performance-based approach requires all Owner/Operators to submit a Cybersecurity Implementation Plan for TSA approval. This plan, once approved by TSA, will set the security measures and requirements against which TSA will inspect for compliance. See Section II.B. TSA may also require Owner/Operators to provide specific documentation and access to TSA as necessary to establish compliance. See Section IV for examples of the type of records to which TSA may require access.

To ensure ongoing cybersecurity, Owner/Operators must continue to implement the specific measures from Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02B, as identified in the Attachment, and modified by any TSA-approved alternative measures and/or action plans,⁶ until a Cybersecurity Implementation Plan issued pursuant to this Directive is approved by TSA.

The Attachment is annotated to identify how the prescriptive requirements in Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02B align with the performance-based requirements in this Security Directive. This annotation supports Owner/Operators who choose to use the actions they have already taken in compliance with this Security Directive series to incorporate these actions into their Cybersecurity Implementation Plan.

Finally, TSA recognizes that, in response to this performance-based revision to the Security Directive, Owner/Operators may incorporate measures or a schedule for implementation into their proposed Cybersecurity Implementation Plan that is different than those in their previously issued action plans. As Owner/Operators work with TSA to obtain approval of Cybersecurity Implementation Plans, TSA will also work with the Owner/Operator on appropriate modifications to any previously issued action plans.

While TSA has determined that this document is not sensitive security information, all information that must be reported or submitted to TSA pursuant to this Security Directive is sensitive security information subject to the protections of part 1520 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). DHS may use the information, with company-specific data redacted, for DHS's intelligence-derived reports. DHS also may use information submitted for vulnerability identification, trend analysis, or to generate anonymized indicators of compromise or other cybersecurity products to prevent other cybersecurity incidents. Information provided to DHS pursuant to this Security Directive may also be shared with

⁴ The previous versions of this Security Directives series provided procedures for Owner/Operators to request alternative measures to the prescriptive requirements in the directive. TSA could grant these requests if the proposed alternative measures provided a commensurate level of security to the requirements in the directive. ⁵ See also 49 U.S.C. 114(f); 49 CFR part 1503.

⁶ Action plans reflect an agreement between TSA and Owner/Operators on corrective actions to resolve security vulnerabilities before they become noncompliant and/or noncompliant with a specific requirement. The process allows Owner/Operators to either avoid penalties by addressing the issue before it becomes an instance of noncompliance or offset potential civil penalties with investment.

⁷ See OMB Control No. 1652-0056.

other agencies as appropriate.⁸ The distribution, disclosure, and availability of information will be restricted to persons with a need to know, and safeguarding, protecting, and marking methods for sensitive/critical information will be utilized.⁹ As required, TSA sought approval from the Office of Management and Budget for a revision to OMB Control No. 1652-0056 for the collection of information under this Security Directive.

TSA is issuing this Security Directive in consultation with the CISA, the United States Coast Guard, the Department of Energy (including the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission), and the Department of Transportation (including the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration). TSA will seek review and ratification of this Security Directive by the Transportation Security Oversight Board (TSOB). The TSOB is statutorily required to "review and ratify or disapprove" emergency regulations and security directives issued by TSA under 49 U.S.C. 114(*l*)(2). See 49 U.S.C. 114(*l*)(2)(B) and 115(c)(1). If, for whatever reason, the TSOB fails to ratify any section or subsection of this Security Directive, or deems any section or subsection inapplicable, the remainder of this Security Directive shall not be affected.

II. ACTIONS REQUIRED

- A. Applicability, Deadlines for Compliance, and Scope
 - Covered Pipeline Owner/Operators: This Security Directive applies to Owner/Operators of TSA-designated critical pipeline systems or facilities notified before July 26, 2022, that they are required to comply with the Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02 series.¹⁰
 - 2. Additional Critical Pipeline Systems or Facilities: If TSA identifies additional Owner/Operators with critical pipeline systems or facilities who were not already subject to the Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02 series, TSA will notify the Owner/Operator and provide specific compliance deadlines for the requirements in this Security Directive.
 - 3. *Scope:* The requirements in this Security Directive apply to the covered Owner/Operators' Critical Cyber Systems.

⁸ Presidential Policy Directive (PPD) 41 requires Federal agencies to rapidly share incident information with each other to achieve unity of governmental effort. *See* PPD-41 § III.D ("Whichever Federal agency first becomes aware of a cyber incident will rapidly notify other relevant Federal agencies in order to facilitate a unified Federal response and ensure that the right combination of agencies responds to a particular incident"). Furthermore, for purposes of information shared with DHS pursuant to this directive, cyber incident responders with responsibilities under PPD-41 are "covered" persons with a "need to know," as provided by 49 CFR 1520.7 and 1520.11, respectively.

⁹ *See* 49 CFR 1520.5(b)(5) and *https://www.tsa.gov/for-industry/sensitive-security-information*.

¹⁰ See § 1557(b) of the *Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act* Pub. L. 110-53 (121 Stat. 266; Aug. 3, 2007) (9/11 Act) (codified at 6 U.S.C. § 1207 (b) (requiring TSA to review pipeline security plans and inspect critical facilities of the 100 most critical pipeline operators). Applicability for this Security Directive is the same as the Security Directive Pipeline-2021-01 series and Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02 Series.

B. Cybersecurity Implementation Plan

1. No later than 90 days after the effective date of this Security Directive, Owner/Operators must submit a Cybersecurity Implementation Plan to <u>SurfOps-SD@tsa.dhs.gov</u> for TSA approval.

- 2. The Cybersecurity Implementation Plan must provide the information required by Sections III.A. through III.E. of this Security Directive and describe in detail the Owner/Operator's defense-in-depth plan, including physical and logical security controls, for meeting each of the requirements in Sections III.A. through III.E.
- 3. Once approved by TSA, the Owner/Operator must implement and maintain all measures in the TSA-approved Cybersecurity Implementation Plan within the schedule as stipulated in the plan.
- 4. Unless and until TSA approves the Owner/Operator's Cybersecurity Implementation Plan, the Owner/Operator must implement the requirements in the Attachment to this Security Directive, as amended by any TSA-approved alternative measures and/or action plan requirements. Any approved alternative measures or action plan requirements remain in force and effect until completed or rescinded by TSA.

III. CYBERSECURITY MEASURES

The Owner/Operator must:

- A. Identify the Owner/Operator's Critical Cyber Systems as defined in Section VII. of this Security Directive.
- B. Implement network segmentation policies and controls designed to prevent operational disruption to the Operational Technology system if the Information Technology system is compromised or vice versa. As applied to Critical Cyber Systems, these policies and controls must include:
 - 1. A list and description of
 - a. Information and Operational Technology system interdependencies;
 - b. All external connections to the Operational Technology system; and
 - c. Zone boundaries, including a description of how Information and Operational Technology systems are defined and organized into logical zones based on criticality, consequence, and operational necessity.
 - 2. An identification and description of measures for securing and defending zone boundaries, that includes security controls
 - a. To prevent unauthorized communications between zones; and

- b. To prohibit Operational Technology system services from traversing the Information Technology system, unless the content of the Operational Technology system is encrypted while in transit.
- C. Implement access control measures, including for local and remote access, to secure and prevent unauthorized access to Critical Cyber Systems. These measures must incorporate the following policies, procedures, and controls:
 - 1. Identification and authentication policies and procedures designed to prevent unauthorized access to Critical Cyber Systems that include
 - a. A schedule for memorized secret authenticator resets; and
 - b. Documented and defined mitigation measures for components of Critical Cyber Systems that will not have passwords reset in accordance with the schedule required by the preceding subparagraph (III.C.1.a.) and a timeframe to complete these mitigations.
 - 2. Multi-factor authentication, or other logical and physical security controls that supplement password authentication to provide risk mitigation commensurate to multi-factor authentication. If an Owner/Operator does not apply multi-factor authentication for access to industrial control workstations in control rooms regulated under 49 CFR parts 192 or 195, the Owner/Operator shall specify what compensating controls are used to manage access.
 - 3. Policies and procedures to manage access rights based on the principles of least privilege and separation of duties. Where not technically feasible to apply these principles, the policies and procedures must describe the compensating controls that the Owner/Operator will apply.
 - 4. Enforcement of standards that limit availability and use of shared accounts to those that are critical for operations, and then only if absolutely necessary. When the Owner/Operator uses shared accounts for operational purposes, the policies and procedures must ensure
 - a. Access to shared accounts is limited through account management that uses principles of least privilege and separation of duties; and
 - b. Individuals who no longer need access do not have knowledge of the password necessary to access the shared account.
 - 5. Schedule for review of existing domain trust relationships to ensure their necessity and policies to manage domain trusts.
- D. Implement continuous monitoring and detection policies and procedures that are designed to prevent, detect, and respond to cybersecurity threats and anomalies affecting Critical Cyber Systems. These measures must include:

1. Capabilities to—

- a. Prevent malicious email, such as spam and phishing emails, from adversely impacting operations;
- b. Prohibit ingress and egress communications with known or suspected malicious Internet Protocol addresses;
- Control impact of known or suspected malicious web domains or web applications, such as by preventing users and devices from accessing malicious websites;
- d. Block and prevent unauthorized code, including macro scripts, from executing; and
- e. Monitor and/or block connections from known or suspected malicious command and control servers (such as Tor exit nodes, and other anonymization services).

2. Procedures to—

- a. Audit unauthorized access to internet domains and addresses;
- b. Document and audit any communications between the Operational Technology system and an external system that deviates from the Owner/Operator's identified baseline of communications;
- c. Identify and respond to execution of unauthorized code, including macro scripts; and
- d. Implement capabilities (such as Security, Orchestration, Automation, and Response) to define, prioritize, and drive standardized incident response activities.

3. Logging policies that—

- a. Require continuous collection and analyzing of data for potential intrusions and anomalous behavior; and
- b. Ensure data is maintained for sufficient periods to allow for effective investigation of cybersecurity incidents.
- 4. Mitigation measures or manual controls to ensure industrial control systems can be isolated when a cybersecurity incident in the Information Technology system creates risk to the safety and reliability of the Operational Technology system.
- E. Reduce the risk of exploitation of unpatched systems through the application of security patches and updates for operating systems, applications, drivers, and firmware on Critical Cyber Systems consistent with the Owner/Operator's risk-based methodology. These measures must include—

- 1. A patch management strategy that ensures all critical security patches and updates on Critical Cyber Systems are current.
- 2. This strategy required by paragraph III.E.1. must include:
 - a. The risk methodology for categorizing and determining criticality of patches and updates, and an implementation timeline based on categorization and criticality;
 and
 - b. Prioritization of all security patches and updates on CISA's Known Exploited Vulnerabilities Catalog.¹¹
- 3. If the Owner/Operator cannot apply patches and updates on specific Operational Technology systems without causing a severe degradation of operational capability to meet necessary capacity, the patch management strategy must include a description and timeline of additional mitigations that address the risk created by not installing the patch or update.
- F. Develop and maintain a Cybersecurity Incident Response Plan.
 - 1. Owner/Operators must have an up-to-date Cybersecurity Incident Response Plan for the Critical Cyber System that includes measures to reduce the risk of operational disruption, or the risk of other significant impacts on necessary capacity, should their pipeline or facility experience a cybersecurity incident. The Cybersecurity Incident Response Plan must provide specific measures sufficient to ensure the following objectives, as applicable:
 - a. Prompt containment of the infected server or device.
 - b. Segregation of the infected network (or devices) to ensure malicious code does not spread by, as necessary
 - i. Segregating (removing from the network) the infected device(s);
 - ii. Segregating any other devices that shared a network with the infected device(s);
 - iii. Preserving volatile memory by collecting a forensic memory image of affected device(s) before powering off or moving; and
 - iv. Isolating and securing all infected and potentially infected devices, making sure to clearly label any equipment that has been affected by malicious code.
 - c. Security and integrity of backed-up data, including measures to secure backups, store backup data separate from the system, and procedures to ensure that the

¹¹ Available at: https://www.cisa.gov/known-exploited-vulnerabilities-catalog.

- backup data is free of known malicious code when the backup is made and when tested for restoral.
- d. Established capability and governance for isolating the Information and Operational Technology systems in the event of a cybersecurity incident that results or could result in operational disruption.
- e. Exercises to test the effectiveness of procedures, and personnel responsible for implementing measures, in this Cybersecurity Incident Response Plan, no less than annually.
- 2. The Cybersecurity Incident Response Plan must identify who (by position) is responsible for implementing the specific measures in the Incident Response Plan and any necessary resources needed to implement the measures.
- G. Develop a Cybersecurity Assessment Program for proactively assessing and auditing cybersecurity measures.
 - 1. The Owner/Operator must develop a Cybersecurity Assessment Program for proactively assessing Critical Cyber Systems to ascertain the effectiveness of cybersecurity measures and to identify and resolve device, network, and/or system vulnerabilities.
 - 2. The Cybersecurity Assessment Program required by Section III.G.1. must
 - a. Assess the effectiveness of the Owner/Operator's TSA-approved Cybersecurity Implementation Plan;
 - b. Include an architectural design review at least once every two years that includes verification and validation of network traffic and system log review and analysis to identify cybersecurity vulnerabilities related to network design, configuration, and inter-connectivity to internal and external systems; and
 - c. Incorporate other assessment capabilities, such as penetration testing of Information Technology systems and the use of "red" and "purple" team (adversarial perspective) testing.
 - 3. No later than 60 days after TSA's approval of the Owner/Operator's Cybersecurity Implementation Plan, the Owner/Operator must submit the annual plan for their Cybersecurity Assessment Program to SurfOps-SD@tsa.dhs.gov. This plan must describe the Cybersecurity Assessment Program required by Section III.G.1., including the schedule for specific actions. The Owner/Operator must update this plan on an annual basis and submit it no later than one year from the date of the previous plan's submission.

IV. RECORDS

- A. Use of previous plans, assessments, tests, and evaluations. As applicable, Owner/Operators may use previously developed plans, assessments, tests, and evaluations to meet the requirements of this Security Directive. If the Owner/Operator relies on these materials, they must include an index of the records and their location organized in the same sequence as the requirements in this Security Directive.
- B. *Protection of sensitive security information*. The Owner/Operator must, at a minimum, store and transmit the following information required by this Security Directive consistent with the requirements in 49 CFR part 1520¹²:
 - 1. Plans and reports; and
 - 2. Audit, testing, or assessment results.
- C. Documentation to Establish Compliance
 - 1. The Owner/Operator must make records necessary to establish compliance with the requirements of this Security Directive available to TSA upon request for inspection and/or copying.
 - 2. TSA may request to inspect or copy the following documents to establish compliance with this Security Directive:
 - a. Hardware/software asset inventory, including supervisory control, and data acquisition systems.
 - b. Firewall rules.
 - c. Network diagrams, switch and router configurations, architecture diagrams, publicly routable internet protocol addresses, and Virtual Local Area Networks.
 - d. Policy, procedural, and other documents that informed the development, and documented implementation of, the Owner/Operator's Cybersecurity Implementation Plan, Cybersecurity Incident Response Plan, Cybersecurity Assessment Program, and assessment or audit results.
 - e. Data providing a "snapshot" of activity on and between Information and Operational Technology systems, such as
 - i. Log files;

¹² Owner/Operators may contact <u>SSI@tsa.dhs.gov</u> for more information on how to comply with requirements for the protection of Sensitive Security Information.

- ii. A capture of network traffic (i.e., packet capture (PCAPs)), not to exceed a period of twenty-four hours, as identified and directed by TSA;
- iii. "East-West Traffic" of Operational Technology systems/sites/environments within the scope of this Security Directive's requirements; and
- iv. "North-South Traffic" between Information and Operational Technology systems, and the perimeter boundaries between them.
- f. Any other records or documents necessary to establish compliance with this Security Directive.

V. PROCEDURES FOR SECURITY DIRECTIVES

A. General Procedures

- 1. *Confirm Receipt*. Immediately provide written confirmation of receipt of this Security Directive via e-mail to TSA at SurfOps-SD@tsa.dhs.gov;
- 2. *Dissemination*. Immediately disseminate the information and measures in this Security Directive to corporate senior management and security management representatives. The Owner/Operator must provide the applicable security measures in this Security Directive to the Owner/Operator's direct employees and authorized representatives responsible for implementing applicable security measures as necessary to ensure compliance.
- B. Comments. Owner/Operators may comment on this Security Directive by submitting data, views, or arguments in writing to TSA via e-mail at TSA-Surface@tsa.dhs.gov. Any comments referring to specific measures in this Security Directive must be protected in accordance with the requirements in 49 CFR part 1520. TSA may amend the Security Directive based on comments received. Submission of a comment does not delay the effective date of the Security Directive or requirement to comply with the provisions of the Security Directive.

VI. AMENDMENTS TO CYBERSECURITY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

- A. Changes to ownership or control of operations. An Owner/Operator required to submit a Cybersecurity Implementation Plan under Section II.B. of this Security Directive must submit a request to amend its Cybersecurity Implementation Plan if, after approval, there are any changes to the ownership or control of the operation.
- B. Changes to conditions affecting security. An Owner/Operator required to submit a Cybersecurity Implementation Plan under Section II.B. of this Security Directive must submit a request to amend its Cybersecurity Implementation Plan if, after approval, the Owner/Operator makes, or intends to make, permanent changes to the policies, procedures, or measures approved by TSA, including, but not limited to, changes to address:

1. Determinations that a specific policy, procedure, or measure in the Cybersecurity Implementation Plan is ineffective based on results of the audits and assessments required under Section III.G. of this Security Directive; or

- The Owner/Operator has identified or obtained new or additional capabilities for meeting the requirements in the Security Directive that have not been previously approved by TSA.
- C. *Permanent change*. For purposes of this section, a "permanent change" is one intended to be in effect for 45 or more calendar days.
- D. Schedule for requesting amendment. The Owner/Operator must file the request for an amendment to its Cybersecurity Implementation Plan with TSA no later than 50 calendar days after the permanent change takes effect, unless TSA allows a longer time period.

E. TSA approval.

- 1. TSA may approve a requested amendment to a Cybersecurity Implementation Plan if TSA determines that it is in the interest of the public and transportation security and the proposed amendment provides the level of security required under this Security Directive.
- 2. TSA may request additional information from the Owner/Operator before rendering a decision.
- F. *Petition for reconsideration*. No later than 30 calendar days after receiving a denial of an amendment to a Cybersecurity Implementation Plan, the Owner/Operator may file a petition for reconsideration following the procedures in 49 CFR 1570.119.

VII. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

In addition to the terms defined in 49 CFR 1500.3, the following terms apply to this Security Directive:

- A. *Application allowlisting* means a security capability that reduces harmful security attacks by allowing only trusted files, applications, and processes to be run.
- B. *Critical Cyber System* means any Information or Operational Technology system or data that, if compromised or exploited, could result in operational disruption. Critical Cyber Systems include business services that, if compromised or exploited, could result in operational disruption.
- C. Cybersecurity Architecture Design Review means a technical assessment based on government and industry-recognized standards, guidelines, and best practices that evaluates systems, networks, and security services to determine if they are designed, built, and operated in a reliable and resilient manner. These reviews must be designed to be applicable to the Owner/Operator's Information and Operational Technology systems.

D. *Cybersecurity incident* means an event that, without lawful authority, jeopardizes, disrupts or otherwise impacts, or is reasonably likely to jeopardize, disrupt or otherwise impact, the integrity, confidentiality, or availability of computers, information or communications systems or networks, physical or virtual infrastructure controlled by computers or information systems, or information resident on the system. This definition includes an event that is under investigation or evaluation by the Owner/Operator as a possible cybersecurity incident without final determination of the event's root cause or nature (such as malicious, suspicious, benign).

- E. *Days* means calendar days unless otherwise indicated. As used for compliance deadlines, if a requirement must be met on a date that is a national holiday, the compliance deadline will be the next federal business day after the holiday.
- F. Demilitarized Zone (DMZ) or perimeter network, means a network area (a subnetwork) that sits between an internal network and an external network. The security demilitarized zone is used for providing external controlled access to services used by external personnel to the control system network to ensure secure application of system updates and upgrades. For someone on the external network who does not have authorization to connect to the internal network, the demilitarized zone is a dead end.
- G. *East-West Traffic* means, in a networking context, the lateral movement of network traffic within a trust zone or local area network.
- H. *Group policy* means a centralized place for administrators to manage and configure operating systems, applications, and users' settings that can be used to increase the security of users' computers and help defend against both insider threats and external attacks.
- I. *Information Technology System* means any services, equipment, or interconnected systems or subsystems of equipment that are used in the automatic acquisition, storage, analysis, evaluation, manipulation, management, movement, control, display, switching, interchange, transmission, or reception of data or information that fall within the responsibility of the Owner/Operator to operate and maintain.
- J. Interdependencies means relationships of reliance within and among Information and Operational Technology systems that must be maintained for those systems to operate and provide services.
- K. *Memorized secret authenticator* means a type of authenticator comprised of a character string intended to be memorized by, or memorable to, the subscriber, permitting the subscriber to demonstrate something they know as part of an authentication process.
- L. *Necessary capacity* means the Owner/Operator's determination of capacity to support its business-critical functions required for pipeline operations and market expectations.
- M. North-South Traffic means network traffic that moves through a perimeter boundary into another trust level.

N. *Operational disruption*, for purposes of this Security Directive, means a deviation from or interruption of necessary capacity that results from a compromise or loss of data, system availability, system reliability, or control of a TSA-designated critical pipeline system or facility.

- O. Operational Technology System is a general term that encompasses several types of control systems, including industrial control systems, supervisory control and data acquisition systems, distributed control systems, and other control system configurations, such as programmable logic controllers, fire control systems, and physical access control systems, often found in the industrial sector and critical infrastructure. Such systems consist of combinations of programmable electrical, mechanical, hydraulic, pneumatic devices or systems that interact with the physical environment or manage devices that interact with the physical environment.
- P. *Owner/Operator* means a person who owns or maintains operational control over pipeline facilities or engages in the transportation of hazardous liquids or natural gases and who has been identified by TSA as one of the most critical interstate and intrastate natural gas and hazardous liquid transmission pipeline infrastructure and operations.
- Q. *Phishing* means tricking individuals into disclosing sensitive personal information through deceptive computer-based means (such as internet web sites or e-mails using social engineering or counterfeit identifying information).
- R. *Post-exploitation tool* means a capability used after a cybersecurity incident to determine the sensitivity or value of data stored on a machine and the extent to which the machine can be used to further compromise the network.
- S. Security, Orchestration, Automation, and Response means capabilities that enable Owner/Operators to collect inputs monitored by the security operations team. For example, alerts from the security information and event management system and other security technologies where incident analysis and triage can be performed by leveraging a combination of human and machine power help define, prioritize and drive standardized incident response activities. These capabilities allow an Owner/Operator to define incident analysis and response procedures in a digital workflow format.
- T. Shared Account means an account that is used by multiple users with a common authenticator to access systems or data. A shared account is distinct from a group account, which is a collection of user accounts that allows administrators to group similar user accounts together in order to grant them the same rights and permissions. Group accounts do not have common authenticators.
- U. *Service accounts* means accounts used by system services, such as web servers, mail transport agents, databases, etc. Service accounts are generally created to provide a security context for services running on the operating system without a real user.

V. *Software Restriction Policies* means a Group Policy-based feature that identifies software programs running on computers in a domain and control the ability of those programs to run.

- W. *Spam* means electronic junk mail or the abuse of electronic messaging systems to indiscriminately send unsolicited bulk messages.
- X. *Tor*, also known as *The Onion Router*, means software that allows users to browse the web anonymously by encrypting and routing requests through multiple relay layers or nodes. Tor software obfuscates a user's identity from anyone seeking to monitor online activity (such as nation states, surveillance organizations, information security tools). This deception is possible because the online activity of someone using Tor software appears to originate from the Internet Protocol address of a Tor exit node, as opposed to the address of the user's computer.
- Y. *Trust relationship* means an agreed-upon relationship between two or more system elements that is governed by criteria for secure interaction, behavior, and outcomes relative to the protection of assets. This term refers to trust relationships between system elements implemented by hardware, firmware, and software.
- Z. Unauthorized Access of an Information Technology or Operational Technology System means access from an unknown source; access by a third party or former employee; an employee accessing systems for which he or she is not authorized. It may include a non-malicious Owner/Operator policy violation such as the use of shared credential by an employee otherwise authorized to access it.

Ďavid P. Pekoske

Administrator

ATTACHMENT

A. Until the Owner/Operator's Cybersecurity Implementation Plan required by Section II.B. of this Security Directive is approved by TSA, the Owner/Operator must apply the following cybersecurity measures, as modified by any TSA-approved alternative measures, and/or action plans, previously issued to the requirements in the Security Directive Pipeline-2021-02 series.

This attachment has been annotated to identify corresponding sections between Pipeline SD 2021-02B and Pipeline SD 2021-02C (SD2C).

- B. The following requirements must be applied to any Information and/or Operational Technology system connected to a critical pipeline system or facility identified by TSA.
 - 1. In a manner compliant with the most current versions of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Digital Identity Guidelines, ¹³ the Owner/Operator must:

See § III.C.1. of SD2C.

- a. Implement and complete a mandatory password reset of all passwords within Information Technology systems (such as corporate remote access, and Virtual Private Networks).
- b. Implement and complete a mandatory password reset(s) of all equipment within Operational Technology systems, to include Programmable Logic Controllers. The Owner/Operator must continue to comply with any TSA-approved alternative measures previously approved for systems where implementing a mandatory password reset is not technically feasible.
- c. For equipment within Information and Operational Technology systems that do not permit password resets, update or develop a plan that identifies the equipment and provides a timeline for replacing the designated equipment. This plan must be approved by TSA.
- d. Require supervisors of individuals with elevated privilege accounts/permission¹⁴ to verbally confirm and document with users of all such accounts their account ownership and continued

See § III.C.3 of SD2C.

¹³ These actions must be consistent with industry standards, such as those in NIST Special Publication 800-63, Digital Identity Guidelines (available at *https://pages.nist.gov/800-63-3/*) and CISA's Emergency Directive 21-01 (December 13, 2020) (available at *https://cyber.dhs.gov/ed/21-01/*).

¹⁴ See National Security Agency's Cybersecurity Information Bulletin: Defend Privileges and Accounts (defense.gov), PP-19-1039 (August 2019) for information on privileges, available at: https://media.defense.gov/2019/Sep/09/2002180330/-1/-

 $^{1/0/}DEFEND\%20PRIVILEGES\%20AND\%20ACCOUNTS.PDF\#:\sim: text=NSA\%20\%7C\%20Defend\%20Privileges\%20 and \%20Accounts\%20 Traditionally\%2C\%20 administrative, user\%20 accounts\%20 may\%20 have\%20 access\%20 to \%20 valuable\%20 data.$

- need for access to Information and Operational Technology systems.
- e. Implement a schedule for verification of continued need at least every 90 days after the verbal confirmation required by B.1.d., and maintain documentation establishing the date of last verification.
- 2. Continue to apply and/or implement the following additional cybersecurity measures to Information and Operational Technology systems.
 - a. Apply Multi-factor authentication for non-service accounts accessing Information and Operational Technology systems in a manner compliant with the most current version of NIST Special Publication 800-63B, Digital Identify Guidelines, Authentication and Lifecycle Management standards for use of multifactor cryptographic device authenticators.¹⁵

See § III.C.2. of SD2C.

b. Implement network segmentation sufficient to ensure the Operational Technology system can operate at necessary capacity even if the Information Technology system is compromised by, at a minimum—

See § III.B. of SD2C.

i. Identifying Information and Operational Technology network inter-dependencies;

See § III.B.1.a. of SD2C.

ii. Implementing and maintaining capability for network physical and logical segmentation between Information and the Operational Technology systems sufficient to ensure the Operational Technology system can continue to operate even if the Information Technology system is taken offline because it has been compromised;

See § III.D.4. of SD2C.

 iii. Defining a demilitarized zone and using firewall rules, physical separation, and other tools to eliminate unrestricted communication between the Information and Operational Technology systems; See § III.B.2.a. of SD2C.

iv. Organizing Operational Technology system assets into logical zones, such as isolating unrelated sub-processes, by taking into account criticality, consequence, and operational necessity;

See § III.B.1.c. of SD2C.

v. Monitoring and filtering traffic between networks of different trust levels, for example, between the Information and

See § III.B.2.a. of SD2C.

¹⁵ As stated in NIST Special Publication 800-63B, multifactor cryptographic device authenticators or validators must not leverage Short Message Service. *See supra* n. 1313, at section 5.1,

Operational Technology systems, by defining appropriate communication conduits between the logical zones and deploying security controls to monitor and filter network traffic and communications between logical zones;

vi. Prohibiting Operational Technology system protocols from traversing the Information Technology system unless expressly through an encrypted point-to-point tunnel; and See § III.B.2.b. of SD2C.

vii. Developing workarounds or manual controls to ensure industrial control system networks can be physically isolated when the Information Technology system creates risk to the safe and reliable Operational Technology system processes.

See § III.D.4. of SD2C.

c. Review and update (or develop, if necessary) log retention policies to ensure that they include policies and procedures consistent with NIST standards 16 for—

See § III.D.3 of SD2C.

- i. Log management;
- ii. Secure log management infrastructure; and
- iii. How long log data must be maintained.
- d. Employ filters sufficient to-

See § III.D1. of SD22C.

i. Identify malicious email traffic, spam and phishing emails and inhibit them from reaching end users;

See § III.D.1.a. of SD2C.

 Prohibit ingress and egress of communications with known malicious Internet Protocol addresses for Information Technology systems and all Operational Technology systems with external connectivity; See § III.D.1.b. of SD2C.

iii. Prevent users and devices from accessing malicious websites by implementing Uniform Resource Locator block lists and/or allowlists; See §§ III.D.1.a. and III.D.2.a. of SD2C.

- iv. Control access from the Operational Technology system to external internet access using an allowlist; and
- v. Investigate any communication between the Operational Technology system and an outside system that deviates from

See § III.D.2.b. of SD2C.

¹⁶ See NIST Special Publication 800-92, Guide to Computer Security Log Management (available at https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-92/final).

the identified baseline of communications and ensure it is necessary for operations.

e. Set antivirus/anti-malware programs to conduct weekly scans, with on-access and on-demand scans, of Information and Operational Technology systems and other network assets using current signatures.

See § III.D.1. of SD2C.

f. Establish passive Domain Name System capabilities that are consistent with currently recognized standards¹⁷ and, at a minimum, include the following actions:

See §§ III.D.1.c. and III.D.2.a. of SD2C.

- Implement software analytics that allow Owner/Operators to rapidly determine which host sourced each Domain Name System-query.
- ii. Maintain a current list of domains that are frequently visited or searched for by legitimate users within their systems that are not already included in commercially available top one million domain lists; and
- iii. Develop and/or update policies and procedures requiring investigation of the reputation of the domains that are only rarely queried for and/or accessed by legitimate users within their organization, to determine if the communication with these domains carries an inappropriate level of risk to the organization.
- g. Ensure, with respect to all security software updates and patches—

See § III.E. of SD2C.

- i. For operating systems, applications, drivers, and firmware on Information Technology systems:
 - a) For patches and updates that are listed on CISA's Known Exploited Vulnerabilities Catalog (https://www.cisa.gov/known-exploited-vulnerabilities-catalog) and have a NIST Base Score of "Critical" (under the Common Vulnerability Scoring System), the patch/update must be installed within 15 days of its availability.

¹⁷ See Passive DNS-Common Output Format, available at https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-dulaunoy-dnsop-passive-dns-cof.

- b) If the Owner/Operator is unable to install the patch/update for a "Critical" vulnerability within 15 days, it must do the following:
 - 1. Include it on a cumulative list that includes operational and other risk-based considerations to justify not meeting the 15-day deadline, and
 - 2. Install the patch/update within 30 days of its listing on the Known Exploited Vulnerabilities Catalog.
- c) All other updates and patches must be installed within 30 days of availability.
- ii. For operating systems, applications, drivers, and firmware on Operational Technology systems, software updates and patches must be tested within 35 days of update patch availability and implemented within 35 days of testing validation. Patches not implemented must be included on a cumulative list that includes operational and other risk-based considerations justifying the determination not to apply the patch.

See § III.E.3. of SD2C.

- h. Implement a "zero trust" policy that provides layers of defense to prevent unauthorized execution by taking the following actions, as applicable, to the Owner/Operator's Information and Operational Technology systems:
 - If using Microsoft Office, fully disable macro use and userbased approval across the organization for Microsoft Office products (such as Word, Excel) using Group Policy. Macros determined necessary for business functionality may be enabled on a case-by-case basis only after implementing additional host-based security controls and network monitoring;

See §§ III.D.1.d. and III.D.2.c. of SD2C.

ii. Apply application allowlisting to Information and Operational Technology systems and then implement software restriction policies, or other controls providing the same security benefits, to prevent unauthorized programs from executing; See § III.D.2.a. of SD2C.

- iii. If not already incorporated into system-change management, update application allowlisting no less frequently than quarterly to remove applications no longer in use;
- iv. Monitor and/or block connections from known malicious command and control servers (such as Tor exit nodes, and other anonymization services) to Internet Protocol addresses

See § III.D.1.e. of SD2C.

and ports for which external connections are not expected (such as ports other than virtual private network gateways, mail ports, or web ports);

v. Implement Security, Orchestration, Automation, and Response, as applicable. If the Owner/Operator determines these capabilities are not applicable, they must document which aspects of the system do not apply the capability and their justification for excluding these operations; and

See § III.D.2.d. of SD2C.

vi. Require implementation of signatures to detect and/or block connection from post-exploitation tools.

See § III.D.1.e. of SD2C.

i. Organize access rights based on the principles of least privilege and separation of duties, such as user and process accounts limited through account use policies, user account control, and privileged account management, compliant with the most current version of NIST Special Publication 800-53, Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organizations.¹⁸

See § III.C.3. of SD2C.

j. For any group accounts, establish a written process to review operational need for the account, document justification, maintain a list, ensure memorized secret authenticators are compliant with NIST SP 800-63B, maintain a list of personnel who have or had access to group accounts, and maintain a list of dates for last password resets. Within no more than seven (7) days after a user of a group account leaves the Owner/Operator's employment, the Owner/Operator must rotate memorized secret authenticators for the group account.¹⁹

See § III.C.4. of

3. Remove all trust relationships, such as identity stores, between the Information and Operational Technology systems. Separate and dedicated identity providers must be implemented for the Information and Operational Technology systems, if they do not already exist.

See § III.C.5 of SD2C.

¹⁸ Available at https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-53/rev-5/final.

¹⁹ See section 5.1.1.1 of NIST SP 800-63B, supra, at n. 15.