Electronically Filed in TPUC Docket Room on December 14, 2022 at 11:20 a.m.

Before the Tennessee Public Utility Commission

Docket No. 22-00136

Petition of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. for Approval of Negotiated Franchise Agreement with Hartsville/Trousdale County, Tennessee Pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated § 65-4-107

Testimony of Eddie Davidson

On Behalf of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.



Q. Please state your name and business address.

- A. My name is Eddie Davidson. My business address is 83 Century
 Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee.
 - Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
 - A. I am employed by Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. ("Piedmont" or the "Company") as Director, Government Affairs for the Tennessee Region.
 - Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
 - A. I have a B.S. in Political Science from Middle Tennessee State University. I have worked in various capacities for the Tennessee House of Representatives, and served six years as the Assistant Chief Clerk of the House from 1996 until 2002. In 2002, I joined the administration of Nashville Mayor Bill Purcell as an Associate Director of the Metro Nashville Public Works Department. I then served as a legislative liaison to the Tennessee General Assembly on behalf of Metro Nashville, eventually becoming the Director of Legislative Affairs for the City. In this role, I managed all government affairs at the local, state, and federal level. I stayed on with Metro Nashville in the administration of Mayor Karl Dean as a Senior Advisor for Infrastructure and Intergovernmental Affairs prior to joining Piedmont in 2009.

1 Q. Have you previously testified before the Tennessee Public Utility 2 Commission ("TPUC" or "Commission") or any other regulatory 3 authority? 4 A. I previously testified before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA"), 5 the Commission's predecessor, and the TPUC regarding Piedmont's flood 6 recovery efforts and Piedmont's franchise agreements with the Town of 7 Nolensville, the City of LaVergne, the City of Fairview, the Town of 8 White House, the City of Ashland City, the City of Greenbrier, the City of 9 Mt. Juliet., the City of Franklin, and the City of Brentwood. In addition, I 10 have testified before numerous legislative committees at the state and local 11 level. 12 Q. Do you hold any positions in any professional or trade associates? 13 A. I am a member of board of directors of the Tennessee Gas Association and 14 previously served as chair of the 2010-2011 and 2012-2013 Legislative 15 Committee. I am also currently a board member of the Tennessee 16 Lobbyists Association and the Tennessee Chamber of Commerce and 17 Industry. 18 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding? 19 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present information to the Commission 20 relating to the franchise agreement entered into between Piedmont and the 21 County of Hartsville/Trousdale, Tennessee ("Hartsville/Trousdale" or the 22 "County"), as authorized by Ordinance 251-2022-21.

1 Q. Could you please explain the circumstances that caused a need for a 2 franchise agreement between Piedmont and the County? 3 Yes. Under Section 65-26-101 of the Tennessee Code Annotated, A. 4 Piedmont is required to have the consent of the County, in the form of a 5 municipal ordinance, in order to enter onto the streets and alleys of the 6 County for the purpose of placing, maintaining, or expanding its natural 7 gas distribution and transmission facilities. 8 Piedmont is currently providing natural gas service to the County pursuant 9 to a thirty (30) year franchise agreement between the Company and the 10 City of Hartsville that was approved by the City and accepted by Piedmont 11 on March 5, 1990 ("Original Franchise"). Pursuant to the terms of the 12 Original Franchise, the existing authorization to enter onto the property of 13 the City and to provide natural gas service expired March 5, 2020. As 14 such, under Tennessee law, a new franchise agreement is necessary in 15 order for Piedmont to continue its provision of service to the County after 16 that date. Subsequent to the execution of the Original Franchise, the city 17 of Hartsville and Trousdale County elected to form a metropolitan 18 government. 19 Q. When and how did Piedmont begin pursuing a new franchise 20 arrangement with the County? 21 A. Prior to the expiration of the Original Franchise, and in the ordinary 22 course of the Company's business, Piedmont began negotiations with the

County for renewal of its franchise authority in the fourth quarter of 2019 but those negotiations were discontinued during the pandemic.

Q. What was the result of those negotiations?

A. The negotiations were successful and ultimately resulted in a franchise agreement acceptable to both the Company and the County. The franchise agreement was incorporated into County Ordinance 251-2022-21 and addressed by the County's Board of Commissioners with a first reading on July 25, 2022 and a second reading and public hearing on August 29, 2022.

Q. Was the ordinance approved by the County?

A. Yes. Ordinance 251-2022-21 was presented to the County's Board of Commissioners for approval. Ordinance 251-2022-21, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A to the Petition filed in this docket, and incorporated herein by reference, was subsequently approved by the County's Board of Commissioners on its second reading on August 29, 2022 and signed by the Mayor of the County. Ordinance 251-2022-21 renews Piedmont's franchise arrangement with the County for a period of twenty-five (25) years.

Q. What are the primary provisions of the new franchise ordinance?

A. The new franchise ordinance grants Piedmont the right to provide service to customers within the municipal limits of the County and access to the streets and public rights-of-way within the County. In exchange for these

1 rights and accesses, Piedmont will pay an annual franchise fee no more 2 than five percent (5%) of the annual gross revenues it collects from 3 customers who are located and provided service within the County limits if, during the term of the franchise, the County passes a resolution that 4 5 calls for the payment of such franchise fee. In addition, Piedmont must 6 comply with certain procedures with respect to the conduct of its business 7 within the County's corporate limits. 8 Q. Is Piedmont satisfied with the negotiated resolution of these issues? 9 A. Yes. Both parties were ultimately able to agree with the negotiated result 10 and that result is reflected in Ordinance 251-2022-21, which was approved 11 by the County's Board of Commissioners on August 29, 2022, and 12 subsequently accepted by Piedmont. 13 Q. In your opinion, are the franchise terms set forth in Ordinance 251-2022-14 21 necessary and proper for the public convenience and in the public 15 interest? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Do you know of any facts that would indicate that the new franchise 18 arrangement reflected in Ordinance 251-2022-21 is not in the public 19 interest? 20 A. No.

1	Q.	What are you asking the Commission to do in this proceeding?
2	A.	Based on the facts discussed above, we are asking the Commission to
3		approve the new franchise agreement between Piedmont and the County
4		as reflected in Ordinance 251-2022-21 to be effective March 5, 2020.
5	Q.	What parameters has Piedmont been operating under since the
6		expiration of the prior franchise?
7	A.	We have continued to serve our customers in the County under the
8		authority provided by T.C.A. § 65-4-107(b) pending approval of the new
9		franchise.
10	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
11	A.	Yes.