#### BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

#### NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE March 15, 2024

IN RE:	)	
	)	
NAVITAS TN NG, LLC ACTUAL COST ADJUSTMENT	)	DOCKET NO.
(ACA) FILING FOR THE PERIOD OF JANUARY 1, 2021	)	22-00108
THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2021	)	

### ORDER ADOPTING ACA AUDIT REPORT OF TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION'S UTILITIES DIVISION

This matter came before Vice Chairman David F. Jones, Commissioner Robin L. Morrison, Commissioner Clay R. Good, Commissioner Kenneth C. Hill, and Commissioner John Hie of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission (the "Commission" or "TPUC"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, during a regularly scheduled Commission Conference held on February 20, 2024 to consider the Commission's Utilities Division (the "Staff") audit report of Navitas TN NG, LLC Jellico and Byrdstown Systems ("Navitas" or the "Company") annual deferred gas cost account filing for the twelve months ended December 31, 2021. The Actual Cost Adjustment ("ACA") Compliance Audit Report (the "Report") is attached hereto as <a href="Exhibit 1">Exhibit 1</a> and incorporated by this reference.

The Company filed its ACA filing for its Jellico and Byrdstown Systems on October 31, 2022. The Staff completed its audit of the Company's filing on January 12, 2024 and filed its Report on January 19, 2024. The objective of the audit was to verify that the Company's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The original deadline for the completion and a pproval of the ACA Audit was April 30, 2023. Audit Staff by rule has 180 days to complete its audit. The audit deadline, however, may be extended by mutual a greement between Staff and the Company or by action of the Commission. See Commission PGA Rule 1220-04-07-.03(2). The Staff requested an extension of the audit deadline as evidenced by the Staff memo filed in this docket on March 29, 2023. The deadline was initially extended to June 30, 2023, and subsequently to August 30, 2023, October 31, 2023 and December 31, 2023 and finally February 29, 2024 by mutual consent of Staff and Navitas as evidenced by the memos filed in the docket on June 28, 2023, August 24, 2023, October 27, 2023 and December 21, 2023.

calculations of gas costs incurred and recovered were materially correct and that the Company has followed all Commission orders and directives with respect to the ACA account balance.

The Report included three monetary findings and one non-monetary finding. First, Navitas over-stated the amount of gas costs recovered from customers by \$8,551.10, due to using incorrect sales volumes in calculations. This amount is the combined total of \$6,714.23 for the Jellico Division and \$1,836.87 for the Byrdstown Division, representing an under-collection of gas costs.

Staff recalculated gas cost recovery bases on revised Sales Volumes reports provided by Navitas. These revised Reports were discussed with and agreed to by the Company. These findings represent a decrease in the Company reported gas cost recovered from customers of a net \$8,551.10.<sup>2</sup> The Company agreed with Staff Findings.

Second, Navitas overstated its invoiced gas cost for the Byrdstown/Fentress Division by \$9,533.76. This amount is made up of Enbridge/Spectra Pipeline interest charges on the Company's late payments that were improperly passed on to its customers, use of incorrect sales volumes allocation percentages, and including a duplicate transportation charge from B&W Pipeline. The finding represents an over-collection of gas costs.

Staff removed the interest on late payments to Endbridge/Spectra, re-calculated gas cost based on revised Sales Volume/Allocation percentages and removed duplicate B&W Transportation cost. The adjustments resulted in a decrease in the total Purchased Gas Cost of \$9,533.76. 33 The Company agreed with Staff findings.

Third, the Company overstated the total interest due from customers in the amount of \$151.10. Based on the findings presented in Findings 1 and 2, the Staff recalculated the correct amount of interest on the average monthly balances in the ACA account. The result was a decrease in the amount of interest due from customers of \$151.50.4

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Notice of Filing by the Utilities Division of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission, Exh. A, pp. 6-7 (January 19, 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id*. at 8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id*. at 9

The fourth and final audit report finding was that the Company incorrectly billed PGA/ACA rates for the months of April and August 2021.<sup>5</sup> The Company implemented the PGA rate of 0.83420 and ACA 0.06480 in April 2021. The rates were approved by the Commission with an effective date of May 1, 2021. In addition, Navitas implemented the PGA Rate of 0.93510 and ACA 0.0648 in August 2021, which was approved with an effective date of September 7, 2021. The calculation of the ending balance in the Actual Cost Adjustment Account is based on actual gas costs paid versus gas cost recovered. This is a non-monetary finding. The Company agreed with Staff findings.

Except for the reported audit findings, Staff "conclude[d] that the Purchased Gas Adjustment mechanism as calculated in the Actual Cost Adjustment appears to be working properly and in accordance with the TPUC rules for Navitas TN NG, LLC."6

During the regularly scheduled Commission Conference held on February 20,2024 the panel considered the Company's ACA filing and Staff's Compliance Audit Report. The panel found that as a result of the Commission Staff findings, the corrected ending balances in the ACA Accounts at December 31, 2021 are \$1,687.04 in under-collection for the Jellico Division and \$1432.23 in under-collection for the Byrdstown Division. The panel also agreed with the Commission Staff's continuing concern that Navitas should monitor the ending balances in the ACA Accounts monthly in conjunction with the market fluctuations in gas costs to determine whether the Company should file a tariff to adjust its PGA factor. More frequent adjustments, if needed, would more closely align the recoveries with the Company's gas costs, thereby lessening any potential annual rate shock to customers as a result of the true-up process. The panel, therefore, directed the Company to continue to monitor its ACA Account balances closely and to report the balances quarterly to Commission Staff to determine if a modifying PGA tariff filing is needed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*. at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id*. at 1.

The panel unanimously approved the Compliance Audit Report as filed, including the findings and recommendations contained therein.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Actual Cost Adjustment Compliance Audit Report relative to Navitas TN NG, LLC gas costs for the period of January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022, a copy of which is attached to this Order as Exhibit 1, is approved and adopted and the conclusions and recommendations contained therein are incorporated in this Order as if fully rewritten herein.
- 2. Navitas TN NG, LLC shall report to the Tennessee Public Utility Commission Staff, on a quarterly basis, its Actual Cost Adjustment Account balances.
- 3. Navitas TN NG, LLC shall file a tariff within thirty (30) days to begin surcharging the approved balances in the Actual Cost Adjustment Accounts, effective with its March 2024 customer billing.
- 4. Any person who is aggrieved by the Commission's decision in this matter may file a Petition for Reconsideration with the Commission within fifteen (15) days from the date of this Order.
- 5. Any person who is aggrieved by the Commission's decision in this matter has the right to judicial review by filing a Petition for Review in the Tennessee Court of Appeals, Middle Section, within sixty (60) days from the date of this Order.

#### FOR THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION:

Vice Chairman David F. Jones, Commissioner Robin L. Morrison, Commissioner Clay R. Good, Commissioner Kenneth C. Hill and Commissioner John Hie concurring. None dissenting.

**ATTEST:** 

Earl R. Taylor, Executive Director

Earl Taylor ash

# EXHIBIT 1

Electronically Filed in TPUC Docket Room on January 19, 2024 at 11:34 a.m.

## BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

**January 19, 2024** 

IN RE:	)
NAVITAS TN NG, LLC	) ) Docket No. 22-00108
ACTUAL COST ADJUSTMENT (ACA) AUDIT	)

## NOTICE OF FILING BY THE UTILITIES DIVISION OF THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 65-4-104, 65-4-111 and 65-3-108, the Utilities Division of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission gives notice of its filing of the Navitas TN NG, LLC.'s ACA Audit Report in this docket and would respectfully state as follows:

- 1. The present docket was opened by the Commission to hear matters arising out of the audit of Navitas TN NG, LLC.'s (hereafter the "Company") ACA filing covering the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021.
- 2. The Company's ACA filing was received on October 31, 2022, and the Audit Staff (hereafter the "Staff") completed its audit of same on January 12, 2024.
- 3. On November 21, 2023, the Utilities Division submitted its preliminary ACA audit findings to the Company via e-mail. The Company responded on November 28, 2023 via e-mail and this response has been incorporated into the final report.

4. The Utilities Division hereby files its Report attached as <u>Exhibit A</u> with the Tennessee Public Utility Commission for deposit as a public record and approval of the findings and the recommendations contained therein.

Respectfully Submitted:

Lisa Youst

Lisa Foust

Utilities Division of the

Tennessee Public Utility Commission

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of January 2024, a true and exact copy of the foregoing has been either hand-delivered or delivered via email, to the following persons:

Chair Herbert H. Hilliard Tennessee Public Utility Commission 502 Deadrick Street 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Nashville, TN 37242 hilliardhh@bellsouth.net

Thomas Hartline, President Navitas Utilities Corp. 3186-D Airway Avenue Costa Mesa, CA 92626 thartline@navitasutility.com

Karen Stachowski
Office of the Attorney General
Consumer Advocate and Protection Division
P. O. Box 20207
Nashville, TN 37202
Karen.Stachowski@ag.tn.gov

Lica Fouct

### **EXHIBIT A**

#### COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

OF

#### **NAVITAS TN NG, LLC.**

#### **ACTUAL COST ADJUSTMENT**

**Docket # 22-00108** 

PREPARED BY

#### **TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

UTILITIES DIVISION

January 2024

#### COMPLIANCE AUDIT

#### **NAVITAS TN NG, LLC.**

#### **ACTUAL COST ADJUSTMENT**

#### **Docket # 22-00108**

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGI	E <b>NO.</b>
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	AUDIT OPINION	1
III.	SUMMARY OF COMPANY FILING	1
IV.	BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON COMPANY	2
V.	JURISDICTION AND POWER OF THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION	3
VI.	DESCRIPTION OF PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT (PGA) RULE	4
VII.	SCOPE OF AUDIT	5
VIII.	ACA FINDINGS	6
IX.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11
	ACHMENT 1 CULATION OF ACA FACTOR FOR JELLICO CUSTOMERS)	13
	ACHMENT 2 (CALCULATION OF ACA FACTOR FOR DSTOWN/FENTRESS CUSTOMERS)	14
APPE	ENDIX A (PGA FORMULA)	15

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The subject of this audit is Navitas TN NG, LLC.'s ("Navitas" or "Company") compliance with the Actual Cost Adjustment ("ACA") and Refund Adjustment ("RA") of the Purchased Gas Adjustment Rule ("PGA Rule") of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission ("TPUC" or "Commission"). The objective of the audit was to determine whether the purchased gas adjustments, which are encompassed by the ACA, and approved by the TPUC for the twelve (12) months ended December 31, 2021, were calculated correctly and were supported by appropriate source documentation.

#### II. <u>AUDIT OPINION</u>

The Audit Staff's ("Staff") audit resulted in three (3) monetary findings and one (1) non-monetary finding.<sup>2</sup> The net amount of the findings is negative \$831.16 in over-recovered gas costs. Except for the findings mentioned above, Staff concludes that the Purchased Gas Adjustment mechanism as calculated in the Actual Cost Adjustment appears to be working properly and in accordance with the TPUC rules for Navitas TN NG, LLC.

#### III. SUMMARY OF COMPANY FILING

The Company submitted its ACA filings on October 31, 2022, covering the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021. There were separate filings for the Jellico Division and the Byrdstown/Fentress Division. The Jellico Division filing reflected an ending balance in its ACA account at December 31, 2021, of negative \$5,104.75, which represents an over-collection of gas costs from customers. The Brydstown/Fentress Division filing reflected an ending balance in its ACA account at December 31, 2021, of positive \$9,055.18, which represents an under-collection of gas costs from its customers. The tables below provide a summary of each ACA account as submitted by the Company.<sup>3</sup>

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As of April 5, 2017 the name of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority has changed to Tennessee Public Utility Commission and board members of the agency will be known as Commissioners rather than Directors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Refer to Section VIII for a description of the findings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The negative ending balance of Navitas's Jellico ACA account indicates that the Company has over-collected this amount from its customers as of December 31, 2021. The positive ending balance of Navitas's Byrdstown/Fentress ACA account indicates that the Company has under-collected this amount from its customers as of December 31, 2021.

#### SUMMARY OF THE ACA ACCOUNTS<sup>4</sup>

#### **Jellico Division**

Line No.	vision	Company (as filed)
1	Beginning Balance at 1/1/2021	\$(65,866.19)
2	Activity During Current Period:	
3 4	Plus Purchased Gas Costs Minus Gas Costs Recovered	109,713.52 47,704.89
5 6	Ending Balance before Interest Plus Interest	(3,857.56) (1,247.19)
	<b>Ending Balance Including Interest at 12/31/21</b>	\$(5,104.75)
Byrdstow Line No.	n/Fentress Division	Company (as filed)
1	Beginning Balance at 1/1/21	\$619.79
2	<b>Activity During Current Period:</b>	
3 4	Plus Purchased Gas Costs Minus Gas Costs Recovered	69,859.24 61,526.01
5 6	Ending Balance before Interest Plus Interest	8,953.02 102.16
	<b>Ending Balance Including Interest at 12/31/21</b>	\$9,055.18

#### IV. BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON COMPANY

Navitas TN NG, LLC (Local Distribution Company), with its local office located at 613 Sunset Trail, Jellico, Tennessee, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Navitas Assets, LLC (parent company), which has its headquarters at 3186-D Airway Ave., Costa Mesa, California. On December 20, 2010, Navitas was awarded its Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CCN) when the Tennessee Regulatory Authority voted unanimously to approve the transfer of control and authority from Gasco Distribution Systems ("Gasco") to Navitas.<sup>5</sup>

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A negative number represents an over-recovery (or over-collection) of gas costs; a positive number presents an under-recovery (or under-collection) of gas costs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In Re: Joint Petition of Navitas TN NG LLC and Gasco Distribution Systems, Inc. for Approval of Transfer of Control and Authority of Gasco Distribution Systems, Inc., Docket No. 10-00220, TRA Order (December 30, 2010).

Navitas is a natural gas distributor, which provides service to approximately 564 customers<sup>6</sup> in the City of Jellico (Campbell County), City of Byrdstown (Pickett County) and a few customers in Fentress County, all of which are located in northeast Tennessee. In addition to Tennessee, the parent company also operates in Oklahoma, Kentucky and Ohio. Navitas purchases the natural gas used to serve these areas from Delgasco, Inc, Petrol Energy, LLC, and B&W Pipeline. Navitas uses B&W Pipeline and Spectra Energy, Inc. to transport the gas.

#### V. <u>JURISDICTION AND POWER OF THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY</u> COMMISSION

Tennessee Code Annotated (T.C.A.) §65-4-104 gave jurisdiction and control over public utilities to the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, now the Tennessee Public UtilityCommission. By virtue of Chapter 305 of the Public Acts of 1995, jurisdiction and control over public utilities was transferred from the Tennessee Public Service Commission to the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "TRA" or "Authority") on July 01, 1996. T.C.A. §65- 4-104 states that:

The Authority shall have general supervision and regulation of, jurisdiction, and control over, all public utilities...

T.C.A. states further in §65-4-111 that the public utilities are to maintain a Uniform System of Accounts:

The Authority shall have the power after hearing, upon notice, by order in writing to require every public utility... to keep its books, records, and accounts so as to afford an intelligent understanding of the conduct of its business, and to that end to require every public utility of the same class to adopt a uniform system of accounting. Such system shall conform, where applicable to any system adopted or approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission of the United States. And to furnish annually, or at other times as the Authority may require, a detailed report of finances and operations as shown by said system of accounts.<sup>7</sup>

The TRA responded to T.C.A. §65-4-111 by establishing its own rule 1220-4-1-.11 regarding the uniform system of accounts which public utilities should maintain. The TRA's rule provides:

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Annual Report period ending December 31, 2020.

The following uniform system of accounting will be followed by utilities and other companies making periodic reports to the Authority:

1. For Classes A and B gas companies - Uniform System of Accounts as adopted by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners as revised June 30, 1972, and any amendments or revisions pertaining thereto.

The TRA received its authority to examine the books and records of public utilities from T.C.A. §65-4-105 which states that the TRA would possess all the other powers conferred on the TRA. T.C.A. §65-3-108 gives the TRA:

full power to examine the books and papers of the said companies, and to examine, under oath, the officers, agents, and employees of said companies...to procure the necessary information to intelligently and justly discharge their duties and carry out the provisions of this chapter and chapter 5 of this title.

The Utilities Division of the Commission is responsible for auditing those companies under the Commission's jurisdiction to ensure that each company is abiding by Tennessee statutes as well as the Rules and Regulations of the Commission. Lisa Foust and Michelle Mairs of the Utilities Division conducted this audit.

#### VI. <u>DESCRIPTION OF PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT (PGA) RULE</u>

#### **Actual Cost Adjustment Audits:**

The PGA Rule can be found in Chapter 1220-4-7 of the Rules of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission. The PGA Rule permits the Company to recover, in a timely fashion, the total cost of gas purchased for delivery to its customers and to assure that the Company does not over-collect or under-collect gas costs from its customers.

The PGA consists of three major components:

- 1) The Actual Cost Adjustment (ACA)
- 2) The Gas Charge Adjustment (GCA)
- 3) The Refund Adjustment (RA)

The ACA is the difference between the revenues billed to customers by means of the GCA and the cost of gas invoiced to the Company by suppliers plus margin loss (if allowed by order of the TPUC in another docket) as reflected in the Deferred Gas Cost account. The ACA then "trues-up" the difference between the actual gas costs and the gas costs recovered from the customer through a surcharge or a refund. The RA refunds the "true-up" along with

other supplier refunds. For a more complete definition of the GCA and RA, please see the PGA Formula in Appendix A.

Section 1220-4-7-.03(2) of the PGA Rule requires:

Each year, the Company shall file with the [Authority] an annual report reflecting the transactions in the Deferred Gas Cost Account. Unless the [Authority] provides written notification to the Company within one hundred eighty (180) days from the date of filing the report, the Deferred Gas Cost Adjustment Account shall be deemed in compliance with the provisions of these Rules. This 180-day notification period may be extended by mutual consent of the Company and the [Authority] Staff or by order of the [Authority].

#### VII. SCOPE OF AUDIT

To accomplish the audit objective, the Staff conducted in-house audit work, during which the Company's calculations of gas costs incurred and gas costs recovered were examined. The Staff also audited a sample of customer bills to determine if the proper PGA rates and ACA rates were being applied in the Company's calculation of the customers' bills. These bills were selected to be representative of the residential, commercial, and industrial customers in each of the Company's service areas. The sample was selected from all twelve months of the audit period. Where appropriate, Staff requested additional information to clarify the filing.

#### VIII. ACA FINDINGS

Staff's audit findings totaled a net over-collection of \$(831.16). This is the result of three (3) monetary findings that represent an over-recovered amount, and one (1) non-monetary finding. When added to the Company's calculated balance, the results in a <u>net</u> ending balance in the ACA Account of \$3,119.27<sup>8</sup>. A summary of the ACA Account as filed by the Company and as adjusted by the Staff is shown below, followed by a description of the findings.

#### SUMMARY OF THE ACA ACCOUNT\*\*

		Navitas Combined Filing	Staff Audit Results	Difference (Findings)
1	Beginning Balance at 1/1/2021	\$(65,246.40)	\$(65,246.40)	\$0.00
2	Plus Purchased Gas Costs	179,572.76	170,039.00	(9,533.76)
3	Minus Gas Costs Recovered	109,230.90	100,679.80	(8,551.10)
5	Plus Interest	(1,145.03)	(993.53)	<u>\$151.50</u>
6	Ending Balance on 12/31/2021	\$3,950.43	\$3,119.27	(831.16)

<sup>\*\*</sup>A number in ( ) is a negative or credit balance which represents an over-collection of gas costs. A negative or credit balance for Gas Costs Recovered is the opposite or an under-collection of gas costs.

#### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:**

FINDING #1	Gas Cost Recovery (Jellico & Byrdstown)	\$ 8,551.10	Under-Recovery
FINDING #2	Invoiced Gas Cost (Byrdstown)	\$ (9,533.76)	Over-Recovery
FINDING #3	Interest (Jellico & Byrdstown)	\$ 151.50	Under-Recovery
FINDING #4	Incorrect PGA/ACA billing Rate	Non-Monetar	y Finding
	Net Result	\$ (831.16)	Over-Recovery

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The ending balance is made up of \$1,687.04 under-collection for the Jellico Division and \$1,432.23 under-collection for the Byrdstown/Fentress Division.

#### FINDING #1:

#### **Exception**

The Company overstated its total recovered gas cost by \$8,551.10 across both its Jellico and Byrdstown Division.

#### **Discussion**

The Company overstated its gas cost recovered in the Jellico Division due to using incorrect Sales Volumes. Upon review, the Company conceded that the reported Sales Volume numbers for the Jellico division as recorded by the Company should be revised. The difference in the reported sales volumes resulted in a decrease in the recovered gas cost of \$6,714.23.

Discrepancies in the Sales Volume amounts reported during the year also resulted in an overstatement of the Company's gas cost recovery for the Byrdstown Division of \$1,836.87.

Staff recalculated gas cost recovery based on revised Sales Volume reports provided by Navitas. These revised reports were discussed with and agreed to by the Company. and agreed upon by the Company. The finding represents a decrease in the Company-reported gas cost recovered from customers of a net \$8,551.10.

#### **Company Response**

#### FINDING #2

#### **Exception**

The Company's invoiced gas cost was overstated in the Byrdstown Fentress Division, in the amount of \$ 9.533.76.

#### **Discussion**

The Company overstated its gas costs due to three (3) factors; 1) Interest charged on past due Enbridge/Spectra late payments which were passed on to the customer, 2) Incorrect Sales Volume/Allocation percentages, and 3) Duplicate B&W transportation costs.

Staff removed the interest on late payments to Enbridge/Spectra, re-calculated gas cost based on revised Sales Volume/Allocation percentages, and removed duplicate B&W Transportation costs. The adjustments resulted in a decrease in the total Purchased Gas Cost of \$ 9,533.76.

#### **Company Response**

#### FINDING #3:

#### **Exception**

The Company overstated the total interest due from customers in the amount of \$151.50.

#### **Discussion**

Based on the findings presented in Findings 1 and 2, the Staff recalculated the correct amount of interest on the average monthly balances in the ACA Account. The result was a decrease in the amount of interest due from customers of \$151.50.

#### **Company Response**

#### Finding #4

#### **Exception**

The Company billed incorrect PGA/ACA rates for the months of April and August 2021. The discovery of the incorrect billing rates does not lead to a monetary adjustment of the ACA Account balance.

#### **Discussion**

The Company implemented the PGA rate of 0.83420 and ACA 0.06480 in April 2021. The rates were approved by the Commission with an effective date of May 1 2021. Likewise, Navitas implemented the PGA Rate of 0.93510 and ACA 0.0648 in August 2021 that was approved with an effective date of Sept 7, 2021. The calculation of the Ending Balance in the Actual Cost Adjustment Account, however, is based on *actual* gas costs *paid* versus gas cost *recovered*. Therefore, **this is a non-monetary finding.** 

#### **Company Response**

#### IX. **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Staff reviewed the gas costs and gas cost recoveries of Navitas TN NG, LLC for the twelve (12) month period ended December 31, 2021. Based on the filing as shown in Section VIII, the **net balances** in the ACA Accounts as of December 31, 2021 should be \$1,687.04 (undercollection) for the Jellico Division and \$1,432.23 (under-collection) for the Byrdstown/Fentress Division. The net ending balance in the combined Navitas filing, as summarized in Section VIII of the Report is \$3,119.27under-collection.

In order to adjust the Jellico and the Byrdstown/Fentress ACA balances, the Staff calculated ACA adjustment factor to be applied to customer bills in the Jellico Division is \$0.0069 per CCF (see Attachment 1), and the Staff calculated ACA adjustment factor to be applied to customer bills in the Byrdstown/Fentress Division is \$0.0189 10 per CCF (see Attachment 2).

Staff recommends that these factors be implemented beginning with the Company's March 2024 billing and should stay in effect until new factors are calculated and approved in Navitas' next ACA filing covering the period January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022.

It is important for Navitas to closely monitor its ACA balance on a monthly basis. As actual data is gathered at the end of each month, the ACA schedule can be updated to the latest balance. This balance, along with gas cost increases and decreases in the market, should be a factor in the Company's decision whether a tariff filing to adjust the PGA adjustment factor is needed. If the Company filed more frequent PGA adjustments customers would see smaller increases or decreases throughout the year, instead of a drastic change in rates at the end of the audit. Staff, therefore, recommends that the Company monitor its ACA balances more closely and report these balances quarterly to TPUC Staff to determine if a PGA filing is warranted.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> TPUC Rule 1220-04-07 (PGA Rule) does not require the Company to quarterly file its ACA balance with TPUC Staff nor does it prevent the Commission from ordering it. These reports could ensure that the gas costs are more evenly spread to customers over the year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The ACA factors are derived for each division by dividing Staff's corrected amounts by the projected sales volumes for the next twelve (12) months in an attempt to refund these amounts over a twelve-month period. See Attachment 1 and Attachment 2. However, there are timing differences between the close of the audit period and the effective date of a new factor. There is always regulatory lag inherent in the true-up process. Larger companies are capable of calculating ACA factors and implementing them immediately, prior to audit. However, the smaller companies are encouraged to await the results of the Staff's audit before implementing an ACA factor.

#### Navitas TN NG, LLC Calculation of the ACA Factor (for Jellico customers)

Line <u>No</u>	Factor to be applied to residential, commercial and industrial customers:			
1	Beginning Balance at 1/1/21	\$	(65,866.19)	
2	Plus Purchased Gas Costs		109,713.52	
3	Minus Gas Costs Recovered		40,990.66	
4	Plus Interest		(1,169.63)	
5	Ending Balance Including Interest at 12/31/21	\$_	<u> 1,687.04</u>	
6	Sales Volumes **		245,453	CCF
7	ACA Factor - surcharge/(refund) (Line 5 divided by Line 6)	\$_	0.0069	Per CCF

<sup>\*\*</sup> Historical sales volumes for 12 months ending 12/31/21

#### Navitas TN NG, LLC Calculation of the ACA Factor (for Byrdstown/Fentress customers)

Line <u>No</u>	Factor to be applied to residential, commercial and industrial customers:		
1	Beginning Balance at 1/1/21	\$	619.79
2	Purchased Gas Costs		60,325.48
3	Gas Costs Recovered		59,689.14
4	Plus Interest		176.10
5	Ending Balance Including Interest at 12/31/21	_	1,432.23
6	Sales Volumes **		75,828 CCF
7	ACA Factor - surcharge/(refund) (Line 5 divided by Line 6)	\$	0.0189 Per CCF

<sup>\*\*</sup> Historical sales volumes for 12 months ending 12/31/21

#### **APPENDIX**

#### **PGA FORMULA**

The computation of the GCA can be broken down into the following formulas:

$$Firm GCA = \begin{array}{c} D + DACA & P + T + SR + CACA \\ \hline SF & ST \\ \end{array}$$

where

GCA = The Gas Charge Adjustment in dollars per Ccf/Therm, rounded to no more than five decimal places.

D = The sum of all fixed Gas Costs.

DACA = The demand portion of the ACA.

P = The sum of all commodity/gas charges.

T = The sum of all transportation charges.

SR = The sum of all FERC approved surcharges.

CACA = The commodity portion of the ACA.

DB = The per unit rate of demand costs or other fixed charges included in base rates in the most recently completed general rate case (which may be zero if the Company so elects and the Commission so approves).

CB = The per unit rate of variable gas costs included in base rates in the most recently completed general rate case (which may be zero if the Company so elects and the Commission so approves).

SF = Firm Sales.

ST = Total Sales.

The computation of the RA can be computed using the following formulas:

where

RA = The Refund Adjustment in dollars per Ccf/Therm, rounded to no more than five decimal places.

DR1 = Demand refund not included in a currently effective Refund Adjustment, and received from suppliers by check, wire transfer, or credit memo.

DR2 = A demand surcharge from a supplier not includable in the GCA, and not included in a currently effective Refund Adjustment.

CR1 = Commodity refund not included in a currently effective Refund Adjustment, and received from suppliers by check, wire transfer, or credit memo.

CR2 = A commodity surcharge from a supplier not includable in the GCA, and not included in a currently effective Refund Adjustment.

CR3 = The residual balance of an expired Refund Adjustment.

i = Interest on the "Refund Due Customers" account, using the average monthly balances based on the beginning and ending monthly balances. The interest rates for each calendar quarter used to compute such interest shall be the arithmetic mean (to the nearest one-hundredth of one percent) of the prime rate value published in the "Federal Reserve Bulletin" or in the Federal Reserve's "Selected Interest Rates" for the4th, 3rd, and 2nd months preceding the 1st month of the calendar quarter.

- SFR = Firm sales as defined in the GCA computation, less sales under a transportation or negotiated rate schedule.
- STR = Total sales as defined in the GCA computation, less sales under a transportation or negotiated rate schedule.