BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

May 5, 2022

IN RE:	**)**	
	)	
PETITION OF KINGSPORT POWER	**)**	**DOCKET NO.**
COMPANY D/B/A AEP APPALACHIAN POWER	**)**	**21-00107**
COMPANY FOR A GENERAL RATE	**)**	
INCREASE	**)**	

ORDER GRANTING *CONSUMER ADVOCATE'S MOTIONS FOR REVISED TESTIMONY AND SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY*

This matter is before the Hearing Officer upon the *Consumer Advocate's Motion for Leave to File Revised Testimony of Alex Bradley* ("*Motion for Revised Testimony*") and the *Consumer Advocate's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Testimony of William H. Novak ("Motion for Supplemental Testimony")* filed by the Consumer Advocate Unit in the Financial Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General ("Consumer Advocate") on April 20, 2022. In the *Motion for Revised Testimony*, the Consumer Advocate requests permission to file revised Pre-Filed Testimony of Alex Bradley to address an error in the calculation of Social Security/FICA taxes.

In its *Motion for Supplemental Testimony*, the Consumer Advocate requests that its expert witness be allowed to file Supplemental Testimony to "address revisions to the Consumer Advocates' recommended revenue deficiency and the related rate design due to the calculation errors."[1] In addition, the testimony "addresses certain new LED rates proposed by the Company for its Outdoor lighting and Street Lighting customers."[2] The Supplemental Testimony was attached to the *Motion for Supplemental Testimony.* Kingsport Power Company d/b/a AEP Appalachian Power

---

[1] *Motion for Supplemental Testimony*, p. 1 (April 20, 2022).
[2] *Id.*

Company ("Kingsport Power" or the "Company") did not object to the *Motion for Revised Testimony* or the *Motion for Supplemental Testimony*.

The *Motion for Revised Testimony* simply addresses corrections made in the calculation of Social Security/FICA taxes. The *Motion for Supplemental Testimony* is requested because certain revisions were made as a result of the calculation errors. In addition, Mr. Novak stated in his direct testimony that he would supplement his testimony to address the LED rate proposals after reviewing the cost data. This is supplemental testimony previously referenced. Kingsport Power does not object to either motion. Based on the foregoing reasons, the Hearing Officer finds that both the *Consumer Advocate's Motion for Leave to File Revised Testimony of Alex Bradley* and the *Consumer Advocate's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Testimony of William H. Novak* are well taken and should be **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Monica Smith-Ashford

Monica Smith-Ashford, Hearing Officer