

**From:** [Contact TPUC](#)  
**To:** [Ectory R. Lawless](#)  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] Dock # 21-00059 continue water availability fees, reject it  
**Date:** Friday, March 31, 2023 10:53:45 PM

---

**21-00059**

---

**From:** Jay Ulrich <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 31, 2023 1:05 PM  
**To:** Contact TPUC <Contact.TPUC@tn.gov>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Dock # 21-00059 continue water availability fees, reject it

I think this appeal needs to be rejected. the Water availability fee needs to be discontinued UNLESS they start obeying the hardeman county court order. Which is maintenance fee (the annual dues) of \$48 per plot which is defined as multiple lots that adjoin each other as a plot or a single non adjoining lot.

they have changed PLOT to LOT and in some cases changed \$48 to over \$480. is this Fair since Multiple lots are required to meet today's building codes and violates a court order.

Candlewood is already collecting \$48 @ per adjoining lots which violates a court order. Now according to rumors, they are calling the \$9 a maintenance fee. Also, is what they call the annual dues. which means they are double dipping.

- 1) According to the Contract as we were informed, Limestone has nothing to do with the water availability fees. they were to be collected and kept by Candlewood by terms of the sales contract. so why are the protesting the discontinuance of the water availability fees?
- 2) from the rumors, Limestone and Candlewood board uses the same lawyer. in this matter, there is a possibly CONFLICT of interest.
  - a) Question: is this appeal made on behalf of Candlewood's board interest using Limestones name or Limestone's interest.
  - b) it needs to be verified that Limestone is going to cancel or is it a conflict of interest threat?

I have sent an email to Limestone trying to get an answer to these concerns.

the NEED TO SELL the water Co. >> . One of the reasons given was Candlewood could not meet the needs of getting a back up well is.> the board refused to have the books audited. claimed not enough money. But the rumor is the books have not been audited in last 9 years ago. Cannot confirm no audits in over 9 years. BUT can confirm their refusal to have one at the time the water sale was made.

could say more but