IN THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION AT NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	DOCKET NO. 21-00055
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
))))))))

SHILOH FALLS RESPONSE TO CONSUMER ADVOCATE'S SECOND SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS

RESPONSE TO SECOND DISCOVERY REQUESTS

In DR 1-4 to Shiloh Falls, we asked that any contributed assets be identified. In Docket No. 95-03948, the Public Service Commission issued an order on May 31, 1996, approving agreement Shiloh Consumer settlement between Falls and the Advocate (share.tn.gov/tra/orders/1999/9503948.pdf). Paragraph 5 of the Settlement Agreement provides, "[Shiloh Falls] will book a credit of \$193,881 (half of the gross plant in service) to Other Paid in Capital in a specifically designated sub-account, Contributed Capital, in accordance with USOA. The offsetting debit will be made to owner's equity. The Contributed Capital account will be recognized as a reduction in rate base in any future proceedings." This Contributed Capital sub-account should be identified by Shiloh Falls as contributed assets in response to DR 1-4, but Shiloh Falls' original responses to that DR did not identify any contributed assets. Please supplement Shiloh Falls' response.

RESPONSE: Unfortunately, the individuals responsible for the Shiloh Falls development and the construction of the sewage treatment plant have passed away. The two individuals primarily responsible for representing the partners and the two accountants who would have been involved with the entries described in this request have also passed away. Therefore, certain information is limited. The Seller has sent this request to their current accountant and will supplement this response if the information becomes available.

2-2. Shiloh Fall's response to DR 1-5 indicates that Shiloh Falls is collecting tap fees. What are these tap fees intended to cover?

RESPONSE: The tap fees are used to pay the contractor who actually sets the taps but does not cover any equipment such as grinder pumps.

2-3. Shiloh Falls' response to DR 1-9 indicates that there were no capital expenditures. However, the responses to DR 1-6 and DR 1-15 include items that would qualify as capital expenditures, including construction work in progress and remediations expenditures to comply with TDEC NOVs. Please supplement Shiloh Falls' response to DR 1-9 to explain this discrepancy.

RESPONSE: The utility company is owned by SPD Company, LLC, and Shackelford Development Corporation, who did not directly fund any capital expenditures during the period covered by DR 1-9. All capital expenditures during the period were made by the utility company.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Charles B. Welch, Jr.

Tyler A. Cosby

Farris Bobango PLC

414 Union Street, Suite 1105

Nashville, TN 37219

Email: cwelch@farris-law.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via U.S. Mail, with a courtesy copy by electronic mail, upon:

er d. Cosby. Esq.

JAMES P. URBAN (BPR No. 033599)

Deputy Attorney General

KAREN H. STACHOWSKI (BPR No. 019607)

Senior Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Tennessee Attorney General

Financial Division, Consumer Advocate Unit

P.O. Box 20207

Nashville, Tennessee 37202-0207

Phone: (615) 741-3739

Fax: (615) 741-8151

Email: james.urban@ag.tn.gov Email: karen.stachowski@ag.tn.gov

On this the **Z9** th day of June 2022.

3