

May 25, 2021

Chairman Kenneth C. Hill ATTN: Ectory Lawless, Docket Clerk Tennessee Public Utility Commission 502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor Nashville, TN 37243

Re: Docket 21-00048,

Chattanooga Gas Company's Petition for Approval of Its 2020 Annual Rate Review Filing Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-103 (D)(6)

Dear Chairman Hill:

When Chattanooga Gas Company ("CGC") made its initial case filing in this docket to commence its annual rate review pursuant to CGC's adopted Alternative Regulatory Method ("ARM") approved in Docket 19-00047, CGC referenced a Schedule 35.8, Class Cost of Service Study ("Cost Study") that was not included in the schedules submitted to the Commission or Parties.

So that the record is clear, this Schedule 35.8, as designated by CGC, is not a required document to be filed. More importantly, CGC did not rely upon any class of service study in making its rate design proposal in the present docket. CGC's intent was to provide this Cost Study in order to further facilitate the Commission's and parties' review and understanding of CGC's rate design. However, due to circumstances beyond the Company's control, CGC has not yet been able to complete this analysis, and CGC will not be able to timely complete this analysis in order for it to be filed and used in this docket.

If you have any further questions regarding this, please let us know.

Yours truly,

Butler Snow LLP

J.W. Luna

cc: TPUC Staff

Karen Stachowski, Consumer Advocate

T 615.651-6700 F 615.651.6701 www.butlersnow.com Jones Wilson (J.W.) Luna T 615-651-6749 jw.luna@butlersnow.com 150 3rd Ave. South Suite 1600 Nashville, TN 37201