BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN R	Е:				
PETITION OF ATMOS ENERGY (CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL (CORPORATION FOR AP					
SUPPLEMENTAL PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM D. MATTHEWS ON BEHALF OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION					
I. INTRODUCTION OF WITNESS					
Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.				
A.	My name is William D. Matthews. I am Manager, Rates and Regulatory Affairs				
	with Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos Energy" or "Company"). My business				
	address is 5420 LBJ Freeway, Ste. 1600, Dallas, Texas 75240.				
Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME WILLIAM MATTHEWS THAT FILED PRE-FILED				
	TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?				
A.	Yes.				
	II. PURPOSE OF SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY				
Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT				
	TESTIMONY?				
A.	The purpose of my supplemental direct testimony is to provide information in the				
	record at the request of Commission Staff explaining the revised calculations to the				
	schedules that were filed in Atmos Energy's discovery responses in this docket or				

March 5th as well as its supplemental attachments responses to Data Request 1-08

submitted to the Commission in this docket.

2

O. HOW MANY CHANGES WILL YOU DESCRIBE?

- 3 A. There were three changes reflected in Data Request 1-08 that impact the Company's ARM filing. First, Workpaper 7-9 was updated to reflect a change from June 2021 4 5 to March 2021 for excess deferred income tax calculations. Second, in responding 6 to Data Request 1-04 the Company realized that an account related to allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) had been omitted from the 7 8 Company's filing. The first two changes result in a third change, updating the 9 proposed rates provided in Schedule 11-3 to reflect the change created by the earlier 10 implementation of the excess deferred income tax amortization and the correction 11 to AFUDC.
- 12 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF THE REVISED CALCULATIONS
 13 PROVIDED IN WORKPAPER 7-9.
- A. As stated in the Company's response to Data Request 1-08, the Company updated
 Workpaper 7-9 in order to fulfill its obligations from Docket No. 18-00034.

 Specifically to reflect the accelerated amortization of the Unprotected Excess
 Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes from starting in June 2021 to beginning in
 March 2021 in accordance with the Commission's Order in Docket No. 18-00034.
- 19 Q. IS THE REVISED WORKPAPER 7-9 THE SAME WORKPAPER
 20 PROVIDED IN RESPONSE TO STAFF DATA REQUEST IN DOCKET NO.
- 21 **18-00034?**
- 22 A. Yes. Updated Workpaper 7-9 that was included in Attachment 1 to Data Request 23 1-08, and included in the Supplemental Attachment 1 provided by the Company in

5	O.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE REVISED CALCULATIONS REGARDING		
4		amortization moving from June 2021 to beginning in March 2021.		
3		at the request of the Commission as a post-hearing data request to reflect the		
2		the supplemental response to Staff Request 3-03 provided in Docket No. 18-00034		
1		its supplemental response to Data Request 1-08 in this docket is also the same as		

6 AFUDC THAT IS SHOWN ON WORKPAPER 1-2?

As disclosed by the Company in its response to Data Request 1-04, the Company revised Workpaper 1-2 to include Account 4191 as a part of Workpaper 1-2 for AFUDC and results in the filing being reduced by approximately \$1.8 million. The updated Workpaper 1-2 was included in Attachment 1 to Data Request 1-08 and is the same Workpaper 1-2 also included in the Supplemental Attachment 1 provided

by the Company in its supplemental response to Data Request 1-08 in this docket.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE UPDATE TO BASE RATES PROVIDED ON SCHEDULE 11-3?

The Company updated Schedule 11-3 in its supplemental response to Data Request 1-08 to reflect the updated rate strike to include both the updated Workpaper 7-9 and the updated Workpaper 1-2 as mentioned above. In its original Attachment 1 to Data Request 1-08, the rate strike reflected on Schedule 11-3 only reflected the adjustment for the updated Workpaper 7-9, and not the updated Workpaper 1-2. The updated Schedule 11-3 is shown in both Attachment 1 in the supplemental response to Data Request 1-08 with all the schedules, as well as individually in Attachment 3.

Q. HAS THE COMPANY PROVIDED A SCHEDULE THAT COMPARES THE

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

I		ORIGINAL SCHEDULE I TO THE SCHEDULE I PROVIDED IN 118
2		SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST 1-08?
3	A.	Yes. Attachment 2 provided in the supplemental response to Data Request 1-08
4		shows a reconciliation of the Company's originally filed Revenue Requirement
5		model Schedule 1 in its February 1, 2021 filing to the updated Schedule 1 in
6		Attachment 1 of the supplemental response to Data Request 1-08 to note the
7		adjustments that have been made.
8	Q.	DOES ATTACHMENT 1 FILED BY THE COMPANY IN ITS
9		SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST 1-08 REFLECT ALL
0		THE SCHEDULES WITH THE REVISED CALCULATIONS?
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?
3	A.	Yes.
4		
5		

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:		
PETITION OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2021 ANNUAL RATE REVIEW FILING PURSUANT TO TENN.)	DOCKET NO. 21-00019
CODE ANN. § 65-5-103(d)(6))	
VERIFICATION		
STATE OF TEXAS)		
COUNTY OF DALLAS)		
I, William D. Matthews, being first duly sworn, s	tate th	nat I am the Manager of Rates and
Regulatory Affairs for Atmos Energy Corporation, that	I am	authorized to testify on behalf of
Atmos Energy Corporation in the above referenced dock	et, th	at the amended Direct Testimony
of William D. Matthews in support of Atmos Energy Co	orpora	tion's filing is true and correct to
the best of my knowledge, information and belief.		
	(Wi	My D, Marthews
Sworn and subscribed before me this day of March	-	1. - - - - - - - - - - - - -
My Commission Expires: 9/01/2024		
() Not	ary ID ; Commis	R HERCY (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)