IN THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION AT NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE: JOINT PETITION OF TENNESSEE))
WASTEWATER SYSTEMS, INC., AND TPUC STAFF (as a Party) TO INCREASE RATES AND CHARGES) DOCKET NO. 20-00009)
SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY	
OF	
JOE SHIRLEY	

- 1 Q. Please state your name, position and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Joe Shirley. I am the Director of Utility Audit & Compliance with the
- 3 Tennessee Public Service Commission. My business address is 502 Deaderick Street,
- 4 Fourth Floor, Nashville, TN 37243.
- 5 Q. Did you previously submit pre-filed direct testimony in this docket?
- 6 A. Yes. My pre-filed direct testimony was filed in this docket on January 31, 2020.
- 7 Q. What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?
- 8 A. After Tennessee Wastewater Systems, Inc. ("TWSI") and Commission Staff filed their
- 9 Joint Petition, the Consumer Advocate Unit in the Financial Division of the Office of the
- 10 Attorney General ("Consumer Advocate") was granted intervention. The parties have
- since engaged in substantive discussions regarding the merits of this case, during which
- the Consumer Advocate proposed certain financial adjustments to the forecasted revenue
- requirements for the attrition period, as well as additional accounting procedures for escrow
- funds and developer payments. In order to avoid further litigation, the parties agreed to
- make certain revisions to the requested relief in this docket. Staff witness Kevin
- McClenathan will file supplemental testimony detailing the recommended financial
- adjustments to the forecasted revenue requirements and providing Revised Commission
- 18 Staff Exhibits, Schedules and Workpapers incorporating the recommended financial
- 19 adjustments. The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to describe and recommend
- additional accounting and reporting procedures for escrow funds and developer payments.
- I will also summarize the impact of the proposed financial adjustments on the
- recommended service rates. TWSI witness Suzanne Christman will file supplemental
- 23 testimony providing further support for the proposed revisions.
- 24 Q. Please summarize the impact of the proposed financial adjustments on the
- 25 recommended service rates.
- As detailed in the supplemental testimony of Staff witness Kevin McClenathan, the parties
- are recommending that the proposed revenue deficiency be reduced by \$44,905, from an
- originally proposed deficiency of \$449,198 to a revised deficiency of \$404,293. The
- parties, however, have agreed to increase escrow fees by the same amount of the decrease
- in the revenue deficiency and corresponding cost of service. As such, the proposed rate
- design, as reflected on revised Schedule 13 attached to Mr. McClenathan's supplemental

testimony, is adjusted to decrease base service rates and increase escrow charges in the aggregate of \$44,905 so that the proposed combined rates remain the same as the combined rates that were originally proposed. Specifically, the recommended combined rates for wastewater service and escrow charges are unchanged by the financial adjustments and remain the same as the recommended combined rates for Residential Customers, Commercial Customers Without Food Service, Commercial Customers With Food Service, and Commercial Cabin Customers set forth in the Joint Petition and Customer Notice and described on pages 7 through 9 of my pre-filed direct testimony.

9 Q. Did you previously recommend any changes in the accounting requirements for developer funds collected by TWSI?

Yes. On pages 10 and 11 of my pre-filed direct testimony, I recommended the Commission change the accounting treatment of developer payments from all sources and for all purposes to require that such amounts be recorded as Contributions In Aid of Construction ("CIAC") and earmarked for necessary capital projects or other purposes permitted by the Commission. I also recommended that use of such CIAC reserves be governed by the same procedures for use of escrow funds as set forth in TPUC Rule 1220-04-13-.07(7), which would require TWSI to first receive authorization from the Commission via an approved petition or, in emergency situations, authorization in writing from the Chair, prior to using such CIAC reserves.

20 Q. Are you making any changes to this recommendation?

A.

A.

No. I am still recommending the accounting changes for developer payments as I described them on pages 10 and 11 of my pre-filed direct testimony. However, I would like to clarify that the recommended accounting changes would not apply to the gross-up payments collected from developers pursuant to the Company's tariff to recover the corporate federal income taxes associated with related Contributions In Aid Of Construction. Such gross-up payments should be reserved by the Company for payment of the federal tax liability associated with the contributions.

Further, the parties have discussed and agreed upon additional accounting and reporting procedures for escrow funds and developer payments that are consistent with my earlier accounting recommendation.

- Q. Please describe the additional accounting and reporting procedures for escrow funds and developer payments that you are recommending to the Commission.
- A. To better track the accountability and availability of escrow funds and developer payments for their intended purposes, I recommend the Commission recognize and adopt the following accounting and reporting procedures:
- 1. Escrow funds and developer payments from all sources, except for gross-up payments collected from developers pursuant to the Company's tariff to recover federal income taxes associated with Contributions In Aid Of Construction, will be earmarked and held in reserve for capital needs or other expenditures approved by the Commission, consistent with TPUC Rule 1220-04-13-.07(7).
- TWSI's use of such escrow funds and developer payments must be approved by the Commission or, in case of emergency by the Chair, upon petition or written request by the Company, consistent with TPUC Rule 1220-04-13-.07(7).
 - 3. Escrow funds will accrue and be credited to a separate regulatory liability account, consistent with the Company's current accounting practice.

- 4. Developer payments from all sources will accrue and be credited to a cash CIAC account(s), consistent with the accounting recommendations made in this docket.
- 5. Escrow funds and developer payments from all sources will be deposited at least monthly into a separate, non-operating bank account, consistent with the Company's present practice for escrow funds.
- 6. Withdrawals from the separate, non-operating bank account will be made only to fund capital projects or other expenditures previously approved by the Commission or the Chair, consistent with TPUC Rule 1220-04-13-.07(7).
- 7. Annually, as part of the Commission's Annual Reporting process, TWSI will provide a reconciliation report of the cash balance in the separate, non-operating bank account as of December 31 each year to the balances in the escrow liability and developer CIAC accounts in the Company's general ledger. Additionally, TWSI will provide the bank account statements and general ledger accounts that support the reconciliation report.
- 8. TWSI will provide a reconciliation report and supporting bank statements and general ledger accounts at another specific date(s) upon written request by the Consumer Advocate or Commission Staff.

Q. Do you have any additional comments regarding the recommended accounting and reporting procedures for escrow funds and developer payments?

Yes. The parties recognize that a beginning point of the accounting and reporting of the escrow funds and developer payments must be established. As such, TWSI witness Suzanne Christman is providing an initial reconciliation report in this docket which serves as the beginning balance and starting point of the reconciliation process.

Additionally, the parties recognize that in Docket No. 19-00085 the Commission approved TWSI's petition to use escrow and reserve funds to make needed capital improvements at Hidden Springs Resort. Accordingly, accounting entries and related withdrawals of escrow and reserve funds from the separate, non-operating bank account to fund the pre-authorized expenditures for the Hidden Springs Resort project should be recognized as part of the accounting and reporting requirements recommended in this docket. However, the recommendations made in this docket are not intended to disturb, or propose any changes to, the accounting and reporting requirements for the Hidden Springs Resort project ordered by the Commission in Docket No. 19-00085.

Finally, the parties recognize that the accounting and reporting procedures recommended in this docket do not limit a party from recommending, or the Commission from ordering, additional accounting and reporting requirements related to future capital projects or expenditures that may be approved by the Commission as the circumstances may warrant.

20 Q. Does this conclude your supplemental testimony?

A. Yes it does.