Electronically Filed in TPUC Docket Room on November 6, 2019 at 12:10 p.m.

FARRIS BOBANGO PLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Nashville · Memphis Philips Plaza 414 Union Street, Suite 1105 Nashville, Tennessee 37219

(615) 726-1200 telephone · (615) 726-1776 facsimile

H. LaDon Baltimore
Of Counsel
dbaltimore@farris-law.com

Direct Dial: (615) 687-4243

November 6, 2019

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Chairman Robin L. Morrison c/o Ectory Lawless Tennessee Public Utilities Commission 502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor Nashville, TN 37243

Re: Docket No. 19-00057, Petition of Navitas TN NG, LLC for Approval of an Adjustment in the Rates, Charges, and Tariffs

Dear Chairman Morrison:

Attached for filing is Navitas TN NG, LLC ("Navitas") Second Response to Consumer Advocate's First Discovery Request. The parties are working together on a rolling and priority response basis as agreed and set forth in the Agreed Procedural Schedule.

As required, an original of this filing, along with four (4) hard copies, will follow. Please contact me if you have any questions concerning this filing, or require additional information.

Sincerely,

H. LaDon Baltimore

Counsel for Navitas TN NG, LLC

Cc: Daniel P. Whitaker, Esq.

Thomas Hartline

IN THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ATNASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

INRE:)	
)	
PETITION OF NAVITAS TN NG, LLC)	
FOR APPROVAL OF AN ADJUSTMENT)	Docket No. 19-00057
IN THE RATES, CHARGES, AND)	
TARIFFS)	

NAVITAS TN NG, LLC SECOND RESPONSE TO CONSUMER ADVOCATE'S FIRST DISCOVERY REQUEST

Navitas TN NG, LLC ("Navitas"), through its undersigned counsel, submits the following second response to the Consumer Advocate's First Discovery Request:

1-1. Provide a comprehensive explanation regarding the account balance shown in Account 874.16 for Navitas Utilities Company ("NUC"), and why it does not match the amount shown reflected in account 874 within the Navitas Tennessee ("NTN") General Ledger.

RESPONSE:

74,719 TNNG 874

72,727 NUC 874-16

1,992 discrepancy

2,389 due to depreciation credits from meters adjustments, where Navitas moved from P&L to Fixed Assets our estimated labor and cost or refurbished meters. See transaction below.

Credit is not being captured when we run process to bill intercompany, depreciation process is posted quarterly, normally after billing is done, so it is a transaction that was added after billing

was done.

First two months shows clearly from where difference is coming from

-397 irrelevant, under 1k, but mainly due to same issue, late invoices received and booked after billing process is done. No further comments.

1,992 Total discrepancy.

505497	(4.6.4)	(AFC)*	MUS	लूड (देव)	10、1 mile, \$15 (1.25 k)
660 Pana £ 1607 Meters and r	e guéators	1548 00	4,326 Deters		
rect Costs 674 Mains & Service used Costs 874 Mains & Service	s Espense 874 15 16a		55.00 155 meters 199.00 557 meters		Kenlucky Fennessee
vect Costs 874 Mains & Service	s Expense 874.46 Na	DOMÁNIA.	1,293,00 3,513 meters	nga in Alaisin (ilinin in ann an Alaisin an Alaisin an Alaisin an Alaisin an Alaisin (ilinin in an Alaisin in	Ossahoma
ulect Costs 874 - Mains & Service	i Expense 974 Zir 488.	averkene.	T,00 1 mater		Texas
	hránackánhás sa ág	ONTO NEEDS			
	en kararan kararan karara	ariasi kirakta		neastaunas een en	
	Weeks was been				
	ana ana ana ana ana ana ana	wasa <mark>kama</mark>		Normalisma kalismo Nimelia (kalismo kalisma kalismo kalismo kalismo kalismo kalismo kalismo kalismo kalismo ka	
	BARAKA BUSAN BARAS	vitera <mark>santa</mark>	seise suaaasaasaasaas	ednia and antiqua di entra esta antiqua de antiqua de esta esta esta esta esta esta esta est	
	energia de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composi	Astro (Habita		Karalinassa and an assassa karalina karanina and a sa karanina sa karanina sa karanina sa karanina sa karanina	
ananikursandan artar	กล้องสุด องสมา สารเลยที่เสลา 250	กระสารณา เพื่อสำหรับสารสาร	elitare le l'action de l'experience de l'exper	era e la composition de la companya	. De la final de la companya de la c

SEE ATTACHMENT.

- 1-5. Refer to NUC's 2018 General Ledger and NTN's 2018 General Ledger. The following question references Account 921.
 - (a) Regarding the accounts (listed below) that comprise NUC's Account 921, discuss whether they are allocable to NTN:
 - i. 6110 Comm Equip;
 - ii. 6120 Comm Serv;
 - iii. 6140 Office Consumables:
 - iv. 6242 Fuel;
 - v. 921.15 Office Supplies KY;
 - vi. 921.16 Office Supplies TN;
 - vii. 921.28 Office Supplies TX;
 - viii. 921.46 Office Supplies OK; and
 - ix. 921 Office Supplies Other.
 - (b) Why does the product of applying the allocation factor (11.3661504%) to NUC's Account 921 along with adding the amount in 921.16 not match the amount recorded in Account 921 on NTN's general ledger? Discuss the discrepancies for the months of March, June, July, August, September, October, November, and December.

RESPONSE:

- a. Account 921.16 is direct charged to Tennessee. Accounts 921.15, .28, and .46 are not charged to Tennessee. All other 921 accounts are allocated between all four jurisdictions
- b. 1) Accounts .15, .28, and .46 would need to be removed.

2) Generally, Navitas does not go back and rebill for small changes; thus in a vendor invoice

comes in after about the 21st of the following month NUC does not rebill the various jurisdictions

and likewise if something gets miss classed and subsequently moved, for example at the close of

the quarter, NUC does not make an adjustment. SEE ATTACHMENT.

1-8. Refer to NUC's 2018 General Ledger and NTN's 2018 General Ledger. The following

questions references account 925.

(a) What is the Company's justification for inclusion of NUC Account "6445 Safety

& Security", which contain Oklahoma Corporation Commission pipeline safety

fees, in the allocated total charged to NTN?

(b) Why does the product of applying the allocation factor (11.3661504%) to NUC's

Account 925 not match the amounts recorded in Account 925 on NTN's general

ledger? Discuss the discrepancies for the months of July, August, and October.

RESPONSE:

a. To the best of Navitas' knowledge, information and belief, it was assumed that the

pipeline safety fee in each jurisdiction would be similar and thus direct charge would be unnecessary.

b. See 5b.

1-12. Provide a copy of Navitas' monthly budget to actual comparison reports and variance

explanations for the test year and through the most recent period.

RESPONSE: N/A – Navitas does not have monthly budgeting.

1-13. Provide copies of final orders concerning the most recently concluded general rate case

for Navitas' regulated subsidiaries and affiliates.

RESPONSE: SEE ATTACHMENT.

3

1-21. Refer to the "Item 31 CONFIDENTIAL schedule of employees" spreadsheet with the Company's filing. Provide a listing of the job descriptions and responsibilities of those employees with allocable costs to TN operations.

RESPONSE:

Location

Costa Mesa

Richard Varner – CEO, financial management, business strategy, daily corporate guidance.

Thomas Hartline – *President*, daily operations and corporate management.

Ross Modglin – *Business Manager*, information technology, business processes, A/R and customer service head.

Noelle Ward – Human Resources, personnel manager, benefits, business insurance.

Vanessa Novak – *Regulatory Associate*, regulatory filings, rate case management, intracompany billing, contract management.

Roberto Miranda – Accountant, A/P, PP&E, cash management.

Joe Irwin – Gas Controller, gas supply management.

Colin Ratkowski – *Project Manager*, engineering.

Oklahoma

Trenda Kelly - Billing Manager, customer billing.

Karri Crane – Customer Service, billing, customer service.

Valarie King – Customer Service, billing, customer service, billed taxes.

- 1-31. Refer generally to the NUC General Ledger 2018.xlsx. Specifically, refer to the charges contained in Account 925. Respond to the following:
 - (a) Provide copies of the advertisements provided to Oklahoma Press Service, Tennessee Press Service, and Pipeline Regulatory Consultants, Inc;
- (b) Provide the company's reasoning for not using direct assignment for charges within account 925 as it does for account 874, 887, 921, and 923; and
 - (c) Provide a narrative response detailing the purpose of 2 charges (rows 17492 and 17545) with a memo reference of "safety incentive."

RESPONSE:

- a) To be in a supplemental response.
- b) Please see response to question 1-8.
- c) Navitas has a semiannual safety bonus program. The structure of the program is as follows:

 Each employee is eligible for a \$100 safety bonus each six-months. The full bonus is awarded provided there are no treatable injuries or accidents causing property damage. In the event of an incident its cost is universally deducted from the bonus. For example, an employee stabs themselves in the knee while opening a roll of pipe. The clinic visit for stiches costs \$600. Assuming the company has 30 employees, the safety bonus is reduced from \$100 to \$80 per employee. The program provides a meaningful incentive and emphasizes we are all in it together.
- 1-32. Refer to the NUC General Ledger 2018.xlsx. Specifically, refer to the charges contained in Account 926. Provide the company's reasoning for not using direct assignment for charges within Account 926 as it does for Accounts 874, 887, 921, and 923.

RESPONSE: The employee benefits account, which is primarily comprised of health care is not direct charged for a host of reasons:

i. Direct charge would set up an incentive to discriminate on the basis of health care cost in employment.

- ii. A substantial number of employees are allocated between jurisdictions.
- iii. A non-trivial amount of employee time is shared without allocation or direct charge to TN (without reciprocal events).
- iv. The healthcare bill is full of highly sensitive information.
- v. The healthcare bill is complex with certain universal charges and certain individual charges.
- vi. Each jurisdiction has different rules yet those employees are shared with other jurisdictions.
- vii. Any theoretical savings gleaned, which in managements judgement is unlikely, would be dissipated by increased accounting costs and risk.
- 1-35. On page 4 of Mr. Hartline's testimony (lines 16 27), he discusses the general methods used to assign Navitas Utility Corporation to Navitas Tennessee and concludes that this total is \$411,694 during the test period and such costs are assigned using various categories. With respect to his testimony, provide the following for 2018 by month:
 - (a) Extraordinary third-party charges;
 - (b) Pass through charges (separately identifying any cost that is not eligible for recovery in the Gas Cost Adjustment);
 - (c) Direct Charges; and
 - (d) Allocated charges that are proportionally distributed to various systems.

RESPONSE: The information is provided in the initial filing as follows:

- a. To the extent there is a charge it is the last line(s) of the monthly billing provided in Item 13.
- b. GCA charges, account 804, are the last several lines of the regular monthly billing provided in Item 13.
- c. and d. Navitas is under the impression the Consumer Advocate has been provided the monthly Excel billing file from NUC. If this is not the case please advise as the Consumer Advocate should have these files electronically.
- 1-36. With respect to the extraordinary third-party charges referenced on page 4 of Mr.
 Hartline's testimony, indicate whether such charges are direct assigned or allocated to Navitas' Tennessee jurisdiction, and discuss the method used to make the cost assignment.

RESPONSE: The extraordinary third party charges being referenced are by and large contractor charges for the installation of a service. These are direct charged from NUC to the system (in this case either Byrdstown or Jellico in Tennessee) and generally capitalized by that system. The charges from the contractor are passed through NUC with no markup or addition service charge.

1-37. Regarding the Disconnect Charge (equal to six months times the monthly customer charge), confirm that such charges apply to all customers wishing to voluntarily disconnect service.

RESPONSE: Yes.

- 1-38. In the following example, identify and explain how Disconnect and Re-Connect charges would be calculated and levied:
 - (a) Assume a customer orders a disconnect on June 1 and a re-connect on October 1; and
 - (b) Assume a long-standing customer taking service without interruption decides to move and leaves June 1.

RESPONSE: (a) & (b) As submitted the disconnect charges would be the same for both; however, it seems reasonable to have the disconnect charge expire after 24 months of continuous service.

1-41. Provide the underlying accounting support for the \$1,737,313 recorded to account 1724,
 Mains - Acquired Systems. Identify the portion of this value that relates to any acquisition premium paid in excess of book value.

RESPONSE: The \$1,737,313 figure was established in preceding rate cases. No part of this figure is acquisition premium in excess of book value.

1-42. Identify the miles of Main by type of pipe and by the vintage (decade) in which the pipe was installed. The total accounted for mileage of Main should equal the total miles of Main within the Navitas Tennessee system. To the extent any miles of pipe cannot be determined, indicated they are "unknown."

RESPONSE: SEE ATTACHMENT.

1-52. Regarding the revenue information provided within Item 18, explain why the number of residential bills varies between the categories of Gas, PGA, and Customer Charge.

RESPONSE: Customer Charge – This figure is the number of customers with an active meter, generally it should always be the greatest of the three. Gas – This figure is the number of customers who incurred a tariff charge; it is possible to incur a tariff charge (interest fee) without taking gas. PGA – This figure represents the number of customers who used at least ½ CCF of gas that month, generally it is the smallest of the three; additionally this is the figure that we consider our active customers for the month.

1-53. Regarding Item 18, indicate whether the Pending Late Fees relates to Late Fees charged in the current month or whether such charges reflect accumulated Late Fee charges.

RESPONSE: Late charges are including in line [Type of Customer] Gas; as this is the tariff line. It only includes late charges for the period, it is not cumulative.

1-54. Are late fees associated with PGA charges credited to the PGA collection? If not, explain whether late fees assigned to the PGA collection are appropriately reflected as a reduction to the overall revenue requirement.

RESPONSE: Late fees are included in terms and conditions revenue. One-hundred percent of terms and conditions revenue is deducted from overall revenue requirement, thus it is appropriately accounted for in the filing. In regards to the specific question, we do not credit PGA revenue with a portion of late fees.

1-55. Regarding information provided in Item 18, provide final billing sheet information subsequent to April 2019. Also, provide the information for March 2019 that was apparently not included within the MFR response.

RESPONSE: SEE ATTACHMENT for all 2019 Bill Edit Lists.

This 6th day of November, 2019.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

H. LaDon Baltimore (BPR #003836)

FARRIS BOBANGO, PLC

Philips Plaza

414 Union Street, Suite 1105

Nashville, Tennessee 37219 Telephone: 615.726.1200

Facsimile: 615.726.1776

Emails: dbaltimore@farris-law.com

Counsel for Navitas TN NG, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via U.S. Mail or electronic mail upon:

Daniel P. Whitaker, Esq. Office of the Tennessee Attorney General Financial Division, Consumer Advocate Unit P.O. Box 20207-0207 Nashville, TN 3720207

Email: <u>Dniel.Whitaker@ag.tn.gov</u>

Phone: 615-532-9299

This the 6th day of November, 2019.

IN THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ATNASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

INRE:)
PETITION OF NAVITAS TN NG, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF AN ADJUSTMENT IN THE RATES, CHARGES, AND))) Docket No. 19-00057)
TARIFFS)
RESPONSE OF NAVITAS TO CONSUM REQUEST TO NAV	

ATTACHMENT

Q1-1

3:33 PM

10/21/19 Accrual Basis

Navitas TN NG, LLC Transaction Detail By Account January through December 2018

0.00 74,719.00	7,642.00 5,021.00 6,161.00 4,960.00 5,953.00 74,719.00	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other		Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo			
	7,642.00 5,021.00 6,161.00 4,960.00 5,953.00 74,719.00	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other		Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo			Total Direct Costs
44,96 52,64 57,64 63,86 68,77 74,7	7,642.00 5,021.00 6,161.00 4,960.00 5,953.00	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other		Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo		& Services	Total 874 · Mains & Services
44,96 52,62 57,64 63,86 68,76	7,642.00 5,021.00 6,161.00 4,960.00	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other		Navitas Utility Corpo	18111 1812T	11/30/2018 12/31/2018	Bill
44,95 52,65 57,66	7,642.00 5,021.00	2233 · Other 2233 · Other		The state of the s	1810T	10/31/2018	Ø 0 ≅ ≅
44,90 52,62	7.642.00	3333 C		Navitas Utility Corpo	18081	08/31/2018	B ₩ ₩
99	9,203.00	2233 · Other		Navitas Utility Corpo	18071	07/31/2018	8
35,779.0	5,612.00	2233 · Other		Navitas Utility Corpo	18051	05/31/2018	2 B
30,167.0	7.933.00	2233 : Other		Navitas Utility Corpo	18047	04/30/2018	Bill
22,234.0	5,040.00 5,018,00	2233 · Other		Navitas Utility Corpo	1803T	03/31/2018	8
17,216.00	4,6UZ.00	2233 · Other		Navitas Utility Corpo	1802T	02/28/2018	8
10,568,00	5,966.00	2233 · Other		Navitas Utility Corpo	1801T	01/31/2018	8/4 : Mains or Services
							Direct Costs
		CIF Spin	Memo	Name	Num	Date	Туре

1000

3:34 PM 10/21/19 Accrual Basis

Navitas Utility Corporation Transaction Detail By Account January through December 2018

	:				_
General Journal Credit Card Charge	Credit Card Charge Credit Card Charge General Journal Credit Card Charge	Credit Card Charge General Journal General Journal Bill	General Journal General Journal Credit Card Charge Credit Card Charge General Journal Bill General Journal Credit Card Charge General Journal Credit Card Charge	Seneral Journal Bill Credit Card Charge General Journal Credit Card Charge Bill General Journal Bill General Journal Credit Card Charge Credit Card Charge Credit Card Charge General Journal Bill	Type Date Nu Direct Costs 874 · Mains & Services Expense 874.16 · Mains & Services Expense 874.16 · Mains & Services Expense TN Bill 01/01/2018 1802 General Journal 01/08/2018 1802 General Grad Charge 01/19/2018 1802 Credit Card Charge 01/19/2018 1802 Credit Card Charge 01/22/2018 1802 Credit Card Charge 01/24/2018 1802 Credit Card Charge 01/24/2018 1802 General Journal 01/31/2018 1801 Bill 02/01/2018 1801 General Journal 02/01/2018 1801 General Journal 02/01/2018 1802 General Journal 02/01/2018 1801 General Journal 02/01/2018 1802
06/25/2018 06/27/2018	06/06/2018 06/08/2018 06/11/2018 06/20/2018	05/29/2018 05/30/2018 05/30/2018 05/30/2018	04/02/2018 04/18/2018 04/19/2018 04/21/2018 04/21/2018 04/30/2018 05/01/2018 05/02/2018 05/04/2018 05/12/2018 05/12/2018	02/28/2018 03/01/2018 03/05/2018 03/05/2018 03/16/2018 03/19/2018 03/21/2018 03/21/2018 03/27/2018 03/27/2018 03/28/2018 03/28/2018 03/21/2018	Date Ces Expense Services Expe 01/01/2018 01/02/2018 01/08/2018 01/19/2018 01/12/2018 01/12/2018 01/24/2018 01/24/2018 01/24/2018 01/31/2018 02/01/2018 02/01/2018 02/01/2018
180321 180627	180605 180608 180321	180529 180321 180530	180321 180321 180504 180504 180504 180430 180321 180504 180521 180518	180228 180417 180307 180307 180417 2456 180321 180321 180321 180417 180417 180417	Num 180209 180110 180209 180209 180209 180209 180209 180209 180121 180207 180121
874 Mains & Servic	874 Mains & Servic 874 Mains & Servic	874 Mains & Servic 870 Mains & Servic Volunteer Trenching	874 Mains & Servic 874 Mains & Servic Volunteer Trenching 874 Mains & Servic	Volunteer Trenching 874 Mains & Servic 874 Mains & Servic Mountain Valley Su 5100 Jerry Walker Rexnord Industries 874 Mains & Servic 874 Mains & Servic	Volunteer Trenching 874 Mains & Servic 874 Mains & Servic
JW Supplies for	Supplies for Supplies for	Supplies for Supplies for JW 557 meters Emergency r	JW Supplies for Supplies for Supplies for 557 meters Emergency r JW oil change an JW	558 meters Emergency r Supplies for JW Supplies for Methanol Jell payroll mistake JW Barrel locks Supplies for Supplies for 557 meters Emergency r	Emergency r Supplies for Supplies for Supplies for Supplies for Oil change JW Supplies for JW Supplies for JW EMW For a 4* JW JW JW
Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee	Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee	Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee Tennessee
2274 · Jerry 5100 · Services 2274 · Jerry	2274 - Jerry 2274 - Jerry 2274 - Jerry 5100 - Services	2274 · Jerry 2274 · Jerry 5100 · Services 1607 · Meters			2221 · Vendors 2221 · Vendors 2274 · Jerry 5100 · Services 2274 · Jerry 2274 · Jerry 2274 · Jerry 5100 · Services 2221 · Vendors 1607 · Meters 2221 · Vendors 5100 · Services 5100 · Services 5100 · Services
240.21 2,268.33 39.38	250,00 49,84 -274,54 2,286,19	28.12 10.37 2,290.46	250.00 2,356.70 2,388.79 8.07 14.18 250.00 2,484.46 582.11 2,287.03	2,308.22 250.00 37.87 2,335.86 103.83 298.09 100.00 2,264.33 1,186.62 6.54 64.58	250.00 29.49 2,361.44 28.15 304.79 87.19 9.56 2,396.10 499.55 250.00 2,043.68 2 308.22
		199.00	199.00	199.00	Credit 200.00
32,270.96 34,539.29 34,578.67	29,420,18 29,470,02 29,744.56	27,068.35 27,078.72 29,369.18 29,170.18	16,867.89 19,224.59 21,613.38 21,621.45 21,635.63 21,436.63 21,436.63 21,686.63 24,171.09 24,753.20 27,040.23	10,368.17 10,169.17 1 10,419.17 10,457.04 12,792.90 12,896.73 13,194.82 13,294.82 13,294.82 15,559.15 16,745.77 16,752.31 16,816.89 16,617.89	250.00 279.49 2,640.93 2,669.08 2,973.87 3,061.06 3,070.62 5,466.72 5,466.72 5,766.27 6,016.27 8,059.95

Page 1

10/21/19 Accrual Basis

Navitas Utility Corporation Transaction Detail By Account January through December 2018

General Journal 12				5			Credit Card Charge 12		sidiai Journai				TGE 1	General Journal 11		General Journal 10								neral Journal	_	General Journal 09	_	neral Journal			Seneral Journal Of		rge		General Journal 0	rge	_					Credit Card Charge 0		neral Journal	Bill Dard Charge D	
12/21/2018		_		- •			12/05/2018	12/01/2018	11/30/2018	* 1/20/2010	728/2018	1/21/2018	1/13/2018	1/09/2018	1/01/2018	10/30/2018	10/30/2018	10/26/2018	10/12/2018	10/11/2018	10/10/2018	10/03/2018	10/01/2018	09/30/2018	09/30/2018	09/14/2018	09/01/2018	08/31/2018	08/31/2018	08/31/2016	08/20/2018	08/07/2018	08/06/2018	08/01/2018	07/30/2018	07/28/2018	07/24/2018	07/24/2018	07/19/2018	07/19/2018	07/09/2018	07/06/2018	07/01/2018	06/30/2018	06/28/2018 06/28/2018	Date
181217 181221					101307	181207	181205		181130	021101	101120	181121	181113	181100		181030	777	181026	180321	181011	181010	181003		180930	180930	180321		180321	3112	160830	180321	180321	180806		180730	180728	180724	180321	30-026	30-025	180321	180706	00000	180630	180627	E
874 Mains & Servic	874 Mains & Servic	0/4 Mains & Servic	or4 Mains & Servic		COT MICHIEL OF SEC	874 Mains & Service	874 Mains & Servic	Volunteer Trenching			OF WINDING OF SELVIC	B74 Mains & Servic	874 Maine & Sonio	Partico Louising	Volunteer Transhing	Production validy Ou	Mountain Vallage 8:			874 Mains & Servic	874 Mains & Servic	874 Mains & Servic	Volunteer Trenching			Pattern Control of the Control of th	Volunteer Trenching	WOULD Valley SU	Mountain Valley Su				874 Mains & Servic	Volunteer Trenching		874 Mains & Servic		4	Volunteer Trenching	Volunteer Trenching		874 Mains & Service	Voluntary Tananakina	Newton moustiles	874 Mains & Servic	Name
supplies JW Payroll JW	Supplies JW	supplies JW	supplies JW	Payroll JW	AAF eGupin in	oil character	erinology IM	Emergency r	557 meters	Payroll JW	Supplies JW	Of Charles JAA	rayiui Jyy	emergency r	COL HEIGHT	567 - 27 Uo yell	old oron Jav		MAY CONTRACTOR	Supplies for	Simpline for	engine fan r	Emergency r	557 meters	WF		Emergence	Methanol Jell	Methanol Jell	557 meters	WL	ME	Supplies for	Emergency r	557 meters	blies for	WE	JW	fix cut 2" line	Replace line	JW	Simplifier for	55/ meters	20 93480132	Supplies for	Мето
Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	lennessee	i cimessee	Tomosoco	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	lennessee	rennessee	ennessee	ennessee	ennessee	Tennessee	Hennessee	CHICSSEE	Tonnossee	Tonnogode	Tonnesco	Tonnesco	Tonnoccon	Tennessee	Tennessee	ennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tonnassoo	Tennessee	Tennessee	Tennossee	Tennessoo	Tennessee	Tennossee	ennessee	ennessee	Tennessee	Tennessee	Class
2274 · Jerry 5100 · Services	•		٠	5100 · Services	2274 · Jerry			•	•	٠	2274 · Jerry	2274 · Jerry		•	1607 · Meters		•	•	•									٠		٠	٠	5100 · Services	•	2221 : Vandor		2274 Dervices							1607 · Meters		2274 - Jerry	Cir Split
17.03 3 218 41	5.67	7.57	948	2.417.27	210.17	9.60	250.00	250	1,101.01	2 482 57	10.37	105.87	2,309.79	250.00		223.13	2,710.31	2,711.36	48.60	27.01	190.96	250.00	!	2,385.50	2,385.81	250.00	2,243.59	307.69	30.96		2.287.06	3 470 00	43 85	300	01.776	0.00	2,351./3	926.00	812.00	4,245.42	45.76	250.00		184.29	19.29	Debit
								199.00							199.00								199.00							199 00				199.00									199.00			Credit
69,701.52	69,678.87	00,07,00	60 671 30	00 664 00	67 244 55	67,034,38	67,024,78	66,774,78	00,9/3./8	201312	64 491 21	64 480 R4	64,374,97	62,065,18	61.815.18	62.014.18	61.791.05	59,080,74	56,369.38	56,320.78	56,293.77	56,102.81	55,852.81	56,051.81	53,666.31	51,280.50	51,030.50	48,786.91	48 479 22	48 448 26	46,360.20	43,881.11	43,837.26	43,587.26	43,786.26	43,214.16	43,214.16	40,862.43	39,936.43	39,124.43	34,879.01	34,833.25	34.583.25	34,782.25	34 597 96	Balance

1-1

3:34 PM

10/21/19 Accrual Basis

Navitas Utility Corporation Transaction Detail By Account January through December 2018

75,116.33	75,116.33	Total Direct Costs 75,116.33	Total 874 · Mains & Services Expense		General Journal 12/30/2018 181230 557 meters Tennessee 2274 Jerry 6.70	
75,116.33	75,116.33	75,116.33	70,110,30			
2,389.00	2,389.00	2,389.00	2,389.00	20000	199 00	
72,727.33	72,727.33	72,727.33	72,727.33	12,161,00	72,926.33	

IN THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ATNASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:)	
PETITION OF NAVITAS TN NG, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF AN ADJUSTMENT IN THE RATES, CHARGES, AND TARIFFS)	Docket No. 19-00057
RESPONSE OF NAVITAS TO CONSUM REQUEST TO NAV		

ATTACHMENT

Q1-5

01-5

11/04/19 Accrual Basis 11:35 AM

Navitas TN NG, LLC General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

Q.1.-5-

Туре	Date	Num	Name	Мето	Split	Debit	Credit	Balance
1100 · Cash								3.848.17
1107 · Checking - Bank	ank 7							-173.22
Payment	01/02/2019	Visa/	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	528.09		354.87
Payment	01/02/2019	Visa/	NG LLC		1243 · Custom	2 257 47		254.07
Payment	01/02/2019	Batch 1			1243 · Custom	11 520 42		47.016.04
General Journal	01/02/2019	190102		BANKCARD	903 · Custome	4.010,-	120 80	14,132.70
Bill Pmt -Check	01/03/2019	1467	Navitas Litility Corpo		223 · Other		12 002 00	14,002.07
Pavment	01/03/2019	Batch 2	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 Custom	00 117	13,903,00	18.86
Bill Pmt -Check	01/04/2019	1468	Bank 7	VOID: Loan 4	2233 - Othor	80.7		211.76
Pavment	01/07/2019	Vica/	Caron TM MI	VOID, LOSII I	4243 Outto	0.00		211.76
Doymont	01/07/2019	V 184/			1243 · Custom	779.62		991.38
rayment	61.02/20/10	Batch 3			1243 · Custom	163.08		1,154,46
Fayment	01/08/2019	Visa/			1243 · Custom	396.51		1 550 97
Payment	01/08/2019	Batch 4			1243 · Custom	4.398.88		5 949 85
Payment	01/08/2019	Visa/	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	162 71		6 112 56
Payment	01/08/2019	Batch 5	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	120.38		6 232 04
Payment	01/10/2019	Visa/			1243 · Custom	214 72		6.447.66
Check	01/10/2019	ACH	KOC. Insurance	Policy Numbe	924 - Insurance	1	708 00	0,447.00
Payment	01/10/2019	Ratch 6	Navitae TNI NG 110	og Marinos	1242 Custom	0000	196.00	6,249.66
Rill Dmt -Chack	04/44/2019			1000000	2222 Custoff	202.85	1	6,452.51
Bill Dmt Check	04/44/2019		- Yang	Loan 1032/03	2233 : Officer		514.05	5,938.46
	01/11/2019	2/47	Darik /	Loan TUTU533			4,270.00	1,668.46
	01/11/2019	14/1	Campbell County Ir	Ad-Valorem T			8,044.00	-6,375.54
	6107/11/10	14/2	Pickett County I rust	Ad-Valorem T	2203 · Taxes		377.00	-6,752.54
Fayment	01/11/2019	Batch 7			1243 · Custom	92.91		-6,659,63
Fayment	01/11/2019	Visa/			1243 · Custom	245.67		-6.413.96
Payment	01/14/2019	Visa/	8		1243 · Custom	663.84		-5 750 12
Payment	01/14/2019	Batch 8	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	8 030 99		2 280 87
Payment	01/14/2019	Visa/	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	412 49		2,500.3
Payment	01/15/2019	Visa/	Navitas TN NG LLC			903.57		3 506 03
Payment	01/15/2019	Batch 9	Š			100.00		2,090,93
Payment	01/16/2019	Visa/	Ž			220.00		3,090,93
Payment	01/17/2019	Batch				1 510 48		4,030,39
Payment	01/18/2019	Batch				0,000,00		0,000,07
Bill Pmt -Check	01/21/2019	1473				67:100:01	(24,407.16
Bill Pmt -Check	01/21/2019	1474	Jellico City Peccarder	T maralal to	2203 CERE		3,152.00	21,255.16
Bill Pmt -Check	01/21/2019	1475	City of Jellico	Jolling TNI On			3,0/2.00	18,183.16
Bill Pmf -Check	01/21/2019	1476	City of bellico	Jollico TN O4			2,933.86	15,249.30
Bill Pmt -Check	01/21/2019	1477	Town of Burdetown	Town of Dura	. '		4,156.90	11,092.40
Rill Purt Check	01/21/2019	1478	Town of Burdotour	YOUR TENTO	zzus raxes		1,568.54	9,523.86
Bill Dart Check	04/04/0040	1470	Town of Building	VOID. TOWER D		0.00	1	9,523.86
All FILL COOCA	04/02/0040	6/4		lown of Byrd			923.77	8,600.09
rayment	01/22/2019	VISa/	Navitas IN NG LLC			84.48		8,684.57
rayment Otali	6102/22/10	VISa/	Navitas IN NG LLC	,	1243 · Custom	541.48		9,226.05
Check	01/22/2019	1073	Bank 7	Transfer	1125 · Checkin	4,822.00		14,048.05
Fayment	01/22/2019	Visa/	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	616.29		14 664 34
Bill Pmt -Check	01/22/2019	1480			2233 · Other		13.022.00	1,642,34
Payment	01/22/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	25.828.88		27.471.22
Deposit	01/23/2019			Deposit	1243 · Custom	11 729 17		30 200 30
General Journal	01/23/2019	190125		Paydown LOC			25 000 00	39,200.39
Payment	01/24/2019	Visa/	Navitas TN NG LLC			389 60	20,000,02	14,600.39
Check	01/24/2019	Transfer	Tennessee Departm	Sales Tax Pa	2406 · Sales T		1 142 00	14,009.99
							00.1	

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

Balance	3,624.01 4,768.97 5,256.68	17,079,13 12,054,13	13,265.25 238.25	364.80	-3,303.20	13,565.18	15,165.18	557.71	1,131.84	3,809.32	4,220.31	1,216.31	964.75	2,031,62 6,595,44	7,835.86	6,830.86	10,405.17	6,135.17	5,349,65	5,324.65	6,614.21	7,148.68	6,140.68	7,106.09	2,106.09	1,908.09	2,439.66	8.735.84	9,712.15	4,712.15	5,676.75	7,938,13	2,838.13	10,753.66	808.66	28,088.68
Credit		5,025.00	13,027.00	00 020 V	1,47			15,001.00				3,004.00	251.56			1,005.00		4,270.00	324.43 461.09	25.00			1,008.00		5,000.00	198.00				2,000.00		5.000.00	100.00	10,000,00	00.000.01	
Debit	3,130.61 1,144.96 487.71	11,822.45	1,211.12	126.55	2,628.00	14,842.38	1,600.00 393.53		574.13	160 99	250.00		10000	1,055.87	1,240.42		3,574.31				1,289.56	534.47	436 28	529.13		524 70	167.60	6,128.36	976.31	4	964.60	2,401.30		7,915.53	55.00	27,280.02
Split	1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom 2233 · Other	1243 · Custom 2233 · Other	1243 · Custom	1125 · Checkin	1243 · Custom	3103 · Wember 1243 · Custom	2233 · Other	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	-SPLIT-	2233 · Other	903 · Custome	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2233 · Other		2233 · Other 2233 · Other					2233 · Other 1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2307 · LOC - B	924 · Insurance	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2307 · LOC - B	1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom	2307 · LOC - B	2203 · Taxes	1243 · Custom 2307 · LOC B	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom
Memo				l oan 1010633	Transfer	In the state of the	mvesunent no				Deposit	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	BANKCAKU				1040000	Loan 1010633	Loan 1032785	Navitas TN N					Paydown LOC	Policy Numbe				Paydown LOC		Paydown LOC	Acct #: 32111	Pavdown I OC		
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas IN NG LEC Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas IN NG LEC Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas TN NG LLC Bank 7	Bank 7	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG L.L.C	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas Utility Corpo	Maritan TN M.	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas IN NG LLC	Bank /	Bank 7	Tennessee Public U	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas I Rility Como	Navitas Ottling Colpo	Navitas TN NG LLC	. (0	KOC- Insurance Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	O 1 1 O 14 14 T	Navitas IN NG LLC		Tennessee Departm	Navitas In NG EEC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC
Num	Batch Visa/ Visa/	1488	visa/ 1489	Visa/ Transfer	1076	Batch	Visa/	1490	Visa/ Ratch	Visa/		1491	190304	Batch	Batch	xfer	VISa/	1492	1494	1495	Batch	1406	Batch	Visa/	190308	ACH Visa	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	190312 Betst	Batch	190315	1497	190318	Visa/	Batch
Date	02/22/2019 02/25/2019 02/25/2019	02/25/2019	02/27/2019	02/28/2019 02/28/2019	02/28/2019	02/28/2019	03/01/2019	03/01/2019	03/04/2019 03/04/2019	03/04/2019	03/04/2019	03/04/2019	03/04/2019	03/04/2019	03/05/2019	03/05/2019	03/07/2019	03/08/2019	03/08/2019	03/08/2019	03/08/2019	03/08/2019	03/08/2019	03/08/2019	03/08/2019	03/11/2019	03/11/2019	03/11/2019	03/12/2019	03/12/2019	03/13/2019	03/15/2019	03/15/2019	03/18/2019	03/18/2019	03/18/2019
Туре	Payment Payment Payment	Check	Check	Payment Bill Prnt -Check	Check	Payment General Journal	Payment Payment	Check 3	Payment Payment	Payment	Deposit	Check	Dayment	Payment	Payment	Check	Payment Bill Pmt Chock	Bill Pmf -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Payment Rill Drot - Chark	Payment	Payment	General Journal	Check	Payment	Payment	Payment	General Journal	rayment Payment	General Journal	Bill Pmt -Check	General Journal	Payment	rayment

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	28,665.15	12,834.66	14,525.57	4,830.11	5,060.08	8,986.90	7,276.90	254.90	2,898.69	3,323.00	11.749.27	11,572.87	546.87	6,574,87	7 250 56	222,56	1,558.10	2,645,45	3,325.97	1,296.97	1,556.36	1,893.98	10,937,64	10,555.66	-446.34	235.06	-1 005 75	-1,636.72	2,087.56	4,436.27	4,552.29	5.217.47	5.421.02	5,968.02	4,959.02	689.02	491.02	921.90 -4 088.02	-578.02	-1,788.02
Credit	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	20,013.00	10,000.00				1,710.00	7,022.00				176.40	11,026.00			7,028.00			0000	2,029.00				381.98	11,002.00		1.551.56	630.97							1,009.00	4,270.00	198.00	5.010.00	1 1	1,210.00
Debit	576.47	10,188.51	6.060	304.54	387.98	3,538.84		2 642 70	627.19	7.443.18	780.21		000	0,028.00	445.95	•	1,335.54	1,087.35	75.080	242.01	105.00	250.00	9,043.66		681 40	310.75			3,724.28	2,348.71	239.27	425.97	203.55	547.00			430 96		3,510.00	
Split	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom		1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom		2406 · Sales T	2233 : Other 1243 : Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom		1243 · Custom	2233 - Other	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2233 · Other	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2233 - Other	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	-SPLIT-	1243 · Custom	903 · Custome	2233 - Other 1243 - Custom	1243 · Custom	2203 · Taxes	2203 · Taxes	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2233 · Other	2233 · Other 924 · Inc. gran	1243 Custom	2233 · Other	1125 · Checkin	zzus · raxes
Memo		Deposit				!	Sales Tax Pa						Transfer	1000								Deposit		BANKCARD											1040622	Policy Mumbe	olicy redilibe		Transfer	ZUTO FIBIICIES
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Tennessee Departm	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Simon Davis	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas IN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Como	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas TN NG LLC	Mayitae I Hility Corno	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	City of Jellico	Town of Byrdstown	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas IN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG L.L.C	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo Rank 7	KOC- Insurance	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank 7 Tennessee Denartm	consece Department
Num	Visa/ Transfer	Batch	Transfer	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Transfer	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	1498	1077	Visa/	Batch	1500	VISa/	Batch	1501	Visa/	Visa/	1	Batch	190402	Visa/	Batch	1504	1505	Visa/	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	Visa/	Batch	1500	ACH A	Batch	1507	1078 wire)
Date	03/19/2019 03/19/2019	03/20/2019 03/20/2019	03/20/2019	03/20/2019	03/21/2019	03/21/2019	03/22/2019	03/22/2019	03/22/2019	03/25/2019	03/25/2019	03/25/2019	03/27/2019	03/28/2019	03/28/2019	03/28/2019	03/29/2019	03/29/2019	03/29/2019	04/01/2019	04/01/2019	04/01/2019	04/02/2019	04/02/2019	04/03/2019	04/03/2019	04/03/2019	04/03/2019	04/04/2019	04/05/2019	04/05/2019	04/08/2019	04/08/2019	04/08/2019	04/10/2019	04/10/2019	04/10/2019	04/10/2019	04/10/2019)
Туре	Payment Bill Pmt -Check	Deposit Payment	Bill Pmt -Check Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Crieck Dill Dart Chook	Check Clark	Payment	Payment	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Pavment	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Payment	Deposit	Payment Copyrel Journal	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Payment	Bill Prit -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Pavment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment Bill Dmf Chock	Bill Pmt -Check	Check	Payment	Bill Pmt -Check	Creck Bill Pmt -Check	

Navitas TN NG, LLC

General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	-1,628.88	-1,234.41	-1,133.58	2 747.50	4 036 20	8 219 24	9 2 19 24	9,835.73	10,085.73	5,085.73	20,396.99	19,012.99	15,500.51	5,500.51	5,748.65	11,276.57	6,276.57	1,270.57	10,913,19	1,891,19	2,727.37	15,812.12	14,294,12	15,570.09	4 256.09	5,370.87	1,756.77	357.85	-4,667.15	-713.13	76.32	326.32	-3,699.68	-3,322.96	-2,825.25	5,022.14	2,993.14	3,093.14	4,114.28	3,460.71	-3,610.41	-3,297.01 -3,183.93	
Credit	TANKS.		123 80	000						5,000.00	1 204 00	1,304.00	4.253.27	10,000.00		0000	5,000.00	0,000,00		9,022.00		4	1,516.00	1 314 00	10,000.00		3,614.10	1,398.92	00.020,0				4,026.00				2,029.00		7.530.00	45.00	149.70		
Debit	159.14	384.47	00.00	5.000.00	293.67	4,182.95	1,000.00	616.49	250.00		97.11.5,61	740 79			248.14	76' / 7C'C		8.845.58	791.04		836.18	13,084.75	1 275 07			1,114.78			3 954 04	15.95	773.48	250.00		376.72	497.71	7,847,39	400 00	1 021 15			070	113.08	
Split	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2307 · LOC - B	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2413 · Custom	1243 · Custom	-SPUII-	2307 - EOC - B	2233 · Other	1243 · Custom	2233 · Other	3999 · Returne	1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom	3999 · Refurne	2233 · Other	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2233 · Other	1243 Custom	1243 · Custom 2233 · Other	1243 · Custom	2406 · Sales T	3999 · Returne	1243 · Custom	2203 · laxes	2233 - Other	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	-SPLIT-	2233 - Other	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom	1243 : Custoffl 2233 : Other	2233 - Office 1243 - Gustom	1243 · Custom	2233 · Other	903 · Custome	1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	
Memo	711			TNNG Loan fr			Deposit	a C	Deposit	r ayuuwii LOC				Pay back TN		Pay back TN		Deposit						Sales Tax Pa	Pay back TN	The House of the Control of the Cont	Jeilico, IN Q4	TOWIT OF BYIG			:	Deposit								Service Charge			
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Cody / Brittany Dople		Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	()	Navitas IN NG LLC		Navitas TN NG LLC	Fort Cobb Fuel Auth	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Manifest TM NO	Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas Utility Corpo		Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Assets LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Tennessee Departm	: : : :	Navitas TN NG LLC	Oily of Jelico Town of Rurdstown	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Monitor deliber	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Favlor Boily	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	
Num	Batch	Batch	1508	190412	Visa/	Batch	1,62.2	VISal	190416	Batch	1509	Batch	1510 T	I ranster	Batch	Transfer	Transfer		Visa/	1511 Cisa <i>l</i>	Batch	1512	Batch	Transfer	Transfer	VISa/	1514	1515	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Transfor	Visa/	Visa/	Batch	Transfer	Visa/			190430	Visa/	Batch	
Date	04/11/2019 04/12/2019	04/12/2019	04/12/2019	04/12/2019	04/15/2019	04/15/2019	04/15/2019	04/16/2019	04/16/2019	04/16/2019	04/16/2019	04/17/2019	04/17/2019	04/18/2019	04/18/2019	04/18/2019	04/19/2019	04/22/2019	04/22/2019	04/22/2019	04/22/2019	04/22/2019	04/23/2019	04/24/2019	04/24/2019	04/25/2019	04/25/2019	04/25/2019	04/25/2019	04/26/2019	04/26/2019	04/26/2019	04/29/2019	04/29/2019	04/29/2019	04/29/2019	04/30/2019	04/30/2019	04/30/2019	05/01/2019	05/02/2019	05/02/2019	
Type	Payment Payment	Payment	Check	General Journal	Daymont	Deposit	Davment	Deposit	General Journal	Payment	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment Of the Payment	General format	Payment	Payment	General Journal	Check	Deposit	Check	Pavment	Payment	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Check	Cerieral Journal	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Payment	Denosit	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Payment	Payment	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Payment	Conord forms	Check	Payment	Payment	

Navitas TN NG, LLC

edger	2019
_	une 30, 2019
Genera	As of June

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	-2,814,64	-3.021.29	-439.70	37.12	327.59	1 431 79	67:-04:-	10,133.31	10,049.03	15,656.03	1,649.03	1,714.03	2,721.08	3,221.08	-1 048 92	-906.67	304.25	02/180-	-589.25	-5/1.25	154.64	-7,858.36	-7,758.36	-2 758 36	2 499 04	2 200.00	-2,200.20	-1,834.24	159.95	364.42	5,786.60	9,011.21	4,011.21	4,133.52	11,631.77	12.067.32	10.872.32	20,212,32	6.471.33	20.1.14.0	0,002.64	6,887.88	864.88	1,026.55	2 977 72	0,000.00	5,305.17	3,484.64	3,962.73	-5 658 27	2,000,0	77,005.2	-5,3/2/23	4,248.79	10,703.28
Credit	With the second	206,65								100	14,007.00				4.270.00			700	130.00			8,013.00											5,000.00				1.195.00		14 022 00	1,042.00		1	6,023.00							9 621 00	4.00	00.7			
Debit	369.29		2,581.59	476.82	290.47	1.104.20	8 723 72	493.52	5 007 00	00.700,0	c c	00.00	1,007.05	200.00		142.25	515 42	31:010	78	16.00	725.89		100.00	5,000.00	258.42	219 74	748 06	200,000	11.400	204.47	5,422.18	3,224,61		122,31	7,498.25	435.55		9 621 00)) 	301 52	20:00	40.07		161.67	1.951.17	327 45	01.710	1/9.4/	478.09			203.06	9 621 00	9,021.00	0,404,48
Split	1243 · Custom	903 · Custome	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1125 Checkin	2233 Other	4243 Circi	4245 Custolli	1245 · Custom	2413 · Custom	2233 · Other	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	924 · Insurance	1243 · Ciretom	1243 · Custom	2223 Custom	2233 : Other	1243 · Custom	2307 · LOC - B	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom			1243 Custom		1243 · Custom	2307 · LOC - B				2406 · Sales T	3103 · Member	2233 · Other						1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom			1243 · Custom	1191 · Dep. In	1191 · Den In	1243 · Custom	1191 · Den In	1243 Custom	C+2
Memo		ARD							ă	;				1	Loan 1010633			Policy Number	}				,	TNNG Loan fr								0	raydown LOC				Sales Tax Pa	Xfer from N.A												closed accou	closed accou		Xfer from N A		
Me		BANKCARD							Transfer				Ċ	Ceposit	Loan 1			Policy	6					NN N								Č	rayo				Sales	Xfer fro												closed	closed		Xfer fr		
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas TN NG LLC	Navrtas IN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC		as Utility Como	Navitas TN NG I I C	Navitas TN NG L.C				Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC		<u></u>	Navitas TN NG LTC	Mayitas I Hilty Como	Novigo Thi MO 1.10	Navitas IIN NG LLC		Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas IN NG LL C	Navitae TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NC 110	Novitor TN NO LEO	NG LLC		Navitas In NG LLC	Navitas In NG LLC		Tennessee Departm Sales		Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG 11 C	Navitae Littley Cone	Mayitas Offility Colpo	Navitas IIV ING LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitos TN NO 110	S IN NO LLC	closed	closed	Navitas TN NG LLC	Xfer fro	Navitas TN NG LL C.	
	Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas TN NG	Navrtas IN NG		_	Batch Navitas TN NG LLC	:	2	Navitas Utility Corno		:	יינייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	ŗ	Bank /	_	Batch Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas TN NG II C	Navitas TN NG I I			Navigas IIN NG LLC		. Navitas TN NG LLC				OLI ON ALL SALVEN	Navitas TN NG 110	Navitae TN NG LLO	Navida III NG LLC	Contract Contract	Navidas IN NG LLC		Navitas IN NG LLC	r Tennessee Departm	22	1520 Navitas Utility Corpo	Visa/ Navitas TN NG LLC		:			_	Visa/ Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LL C	Navitae TN NG 1-0	Mayilds I'M ING EEC			;		CITEN AT serive	
Name	Batch Navitas TN NG LLC	190502	Visa/ Navitas TN NG	Visa/ Navitas IN NG	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Visa/	Bank 7	1518 Navitas Utility Como	Ratch	Visa/		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	151/ Bank /	Visa/	Batch	KOC- Insurance	Navitas TN NG II C	Navitas TN NG I I	1519	CIC)	VISA/ NAVIERS I'N ING LLC	190514	Batch Navitas TN NG LLC	Visa/ Navitas TN NG LLC			Navitae TN NC - I	Batch Navites TN NG 110	ACH O Navitas TN NO LEO	100500	190020	VISA/ NAVIGAS IN NG LEC	batch	Batch Navitas IN NG LLC	I ranster Tennessee Departm	190522	1520			1521	l Jesul	VISA/	Batch	Visa/ Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LL C	Visa/ Navitos TN NC 1 1	40000	190524		Navitas TN NG LLC		CITEN NT selveN	

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	10,905.99	875.99	-3,232.19	-2,486.67	-2,486.67	-2,517.67	-2.293.50	-2.523.84	-1,328.14	1,678.86	9,640.69	9,003,94	9.352.35	5,347.35	6,720.22	7,369.75	1,353.75	-2,300.23	-2,565.05	-2,763.05	-2,585.93	2,451,93	-4,101.00	8 222 24	3,210.24	3,467.33	454.33	407.91	654.19	2,153.36	2,294.15	2/0.15 5 276 15	1,257,15	2,076,15	3,889.99	4,082.60	4,128.07	4,221.41 2,703.41	1,703,41	2,193.28 1,614.28
Credit		10,030.00	5,031.00		00.50	00.16	18.24	230.34				444 59	7.00	4,005.00		00 900 8	4 270 00			198.00					5,012.00		3,013.00				900	2,010.00	4,019.00					1.518.00	1,000.00	579.00
Debit	202.71	922 82	E S	745.52	0.00	242.41			1,195.70	3,007.00	163.25	3			1,372.87	048.03		88.50	252.70		177.12	270.85	403.32	10,000.00		257.09	13 58	105.00	81.28	1,499.17	140.79	5.000.00		819.00	1,813,84	192.61	95.4/	r ? ?		489.87
Split	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2233 · Other	1243 · Custom	1191 - Den In	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	903 · Custome	1243 · Custom	1125 · Checkin 1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	-SPLIT-	1107 · Checkin	2233 · Other	1243 · Custom	2233 · Other	2233 · Other	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	924 · Insurance	1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom		1243 · Custom	2307 · LOC - B	2233 - Other		2233 : Omer 1243 : Custom	1243 · Custom	•	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom 2233 · Other	2307 · LOC - B	2233 · Other	1125 · Checkin	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom	2233 Other	2307 · LOC - B	1243 · Custom 2406 · Sales T
Memo					Service Chara			BANKCARD	Teamfer	ransier		190605 return	190605 return				Loan 1010633		:	Policy Numbe				TNNG Loan fr								TINNG LOC L		Transfer					Paydown LOC	Sales Tax Pa
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	NAVIGAS 114 ING EEC		Navitas TN NG LLC	Patricia Coyt	() () () () () () () () () ()	Navitas IN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC			Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank 7	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Novitor TN NO 110	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas I Hilly Com	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas IN NG LLC	Navitas Utility Corno		Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank 7	Navitas IN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas Assets LLC	O 11 Oly INT active M	Tennessee Departm
Num	Batch Transfer	Visa/	1522	190531	190531	Visa/	1523	190603	1080	Batch	Visa/	190605	190605	1525 Visa/	Satch	1526	1524	Visa/	Batch	Zica,	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	190612	1527	1528	Visa/	Visa/	Visa/	Batch	1529	190619	1530	1081	Batch	Visa/	Batch	1531	190620 Ratch	Transfer
Date	05/30/2019 05/30/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	06/03/2019	06/03/2019	06/03/2019	06/04/2019	06/04/2019	06/05/2019	06/05/2019	06/05/2019	06/05/2019	06/06/2019	06/06/2019	06/07/2019	06/07/2019	06/07/2019	06/10/2019	06/10/2019	06/10/2019	06/11/2019	06/12/2019	06/12/2019	06/13/2019	06/14/2019	06/17/2019	06/17/2019	06/18/2019	06/18/2019	06/19/2019	06/19/2019	06/19/2019	06/20/2019	06/20/2019	06/20/2019	06/20/2019	06/20/2019	06/21/2019
Type	Payment Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Bill Prnt -Check	General Journal	General Journal	Payment	Check	Ceneral Journal	Check	Payment	Payment	General Journal	General Journal	Payment	Payment	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	General Journal	Dayment	Bill Pmt -Check	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Bill Pmt -Check	General Journal	Bill Pmt -Check	Daymont	Payment	Payment	Payment	Bill Pmt -Check	Pavment	Check

Navitas TN NG, LLC General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

Balance	614.28 1,994.88 2,058.32 2,078.78 78.78 4,073.37 4,141.54	262.62 10,287.62 10,448.62 6,448.62 549.33 8,549.33 627.33 627.33 2,602.66 3,378.83 3,378.83	3,353.19 0.00 0.00 4,021.39 4,133.14 4,883.14 4,933.14 1,171.17 2,039.56 2,289.56 2,554.95 22,135.87 22,414.12 23,3026.10 23,350.80	1,731.21 1,731.21 2,395.90 2,498.26 2,478.26 456.26 586.05
Credit	1,000.00 2,000.00 4,025.00	4,000.00 6,026.00 8,027.00	593,790.54	22,029.00 20.00 2,022.00
Debit	1,380.60 63.44 20.46 3,994.59 68.17	146.08 10,025.00 161.00 126.71 8,000.00 1,975.33 776.17	597,316.95 111,75 500.00 250.00 1,160.03 768.39 250.00 265.39 19,580.92 278.25 611.98 324.70	302.61 664.69 102.36 129.79
Split	2307 - LOC - B 1243 - Custom 1243 - Custom 1243 - Custom 2307 - LOC - B 1243 - Custom 1243 - Custom 2223 - Other	1243 · Custom 1125 · Checkin 1243 · Custom 2233 · Other 1243 · Custom 2233 · Other 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 903 · Custome	1243 · CustomSPLITSPLIT. 1243 · Custom 1107 · Checkin 1243 · CustomSPLITSPLIT1243 · CustomSPLIT1243 · Custom1243 · Custom	1107 · Checkin 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 903 · Custome 1107 · Checkin
Memo	Paydown LOC Paydown LOC	Transfer Paydown LOC TNNG LOC L Interest on Ov Service Charg	Deposit Deposit Transfer Deposit	Transfer Service Charge Transfer
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC Navitas TN NG LLC Navitas TN NG LLC Navitas TN NG LLC Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas IN NG LLC Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Bank 7 Navitas TN NG LLC Navitas TN NG LLC Bank 7 Navitas TN NG LLC
Num	190621 Batch Visa/ Visa/ 190624 Batch Visa/ 1532	1082 Batch 190626 1533 Visa/ 190627 1534 Visa/ Batch Batch 190628	Batch 4. Batch 1073 Batch Batch Batch Batch Batch Batch	1074 dep 1/ Batch Batch 190131 1075 Batch
Date	06/21/2019 06/21/2019 06/24/2019 06/24/2019 06/24/2019 06/25/2019 06/25/2019	06/25/2019 06/25/2019 06/26/2019 06/26/2019 06/27/2019 06/27/2019 06/28/2019 06/28/2019 06/28/2019	ng - Bank 7 Bank2 ng - Bank2 ng - Bank2 01/07/2019 01/14/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019 01/22/2019	01/29/2019 01/29/2019 01/30/2019 01/31/2019 02/11/2019 02/11/2019
Туре	General Journal Payment Payment Payment General Journal Payment Payment Bill Pmt -Check	Check Check Payment General Journal Bill Pmt -Check Payment Bill Pmt -Check Payment Payment Payment Payment General Journal General Journal	1124 · Checking - Bank 7 1124 · Checking - Bank2 1125 · Checking - Bank2 1125 · Checking - First Volunteer Payment 01/07/2019 Deposit 01/14/2019 Payment 01/22/2019 Payment 01/28/2019 Payment 01/28/2019	Check Payment Payment Check Check Payment

Navitas TN NG, LLC

Ledger	30, 2019
General	As of June

11/04/19			Ø	General Ledger	ger			
Accrual Basis				As of June 30, 2019	019			
Туре	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Split	Debit	Credit	Balance
Payment	02/15/2019	Batch		TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE TOTAL PROPERTY	1243 · Custom	9,45		595.50
Fayment	02/15/2019	Batch	Z			50.00		645.50
Payment	02/19/2019	Batch ::	Navitas IIN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	407.22		1,052.72
Payment	02/19/2019		IN NG			323.38		1,179.17
Payment	02/22/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC			272.23		1.774.78
Payment	02/25/2019	Batch	Z			116.76		1,891.54
Payment	02/25/2019	Batch			•	101.52		1,993.06
Payment	02/25/2019	Batch			•	564.14		2,557.20
rayment	02/25/2019	Batch	Z			301.96		2,859.16
Payment	02/27/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	043.75		3,590.91
Check	02/28/2019	1076		Transfer		00.00	2 628 00	4,334.29
Payment	02/28/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC			186.57	0.00	2.092.86
Payment	02/28/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	511.84		2,604.70
Check	02/28/2019	190228	!	Service Charge	903 · Custome		21.55	2,583.15
Payment	03/05/2019	Batch				357.23		2,940.38
Payment	03/08/2019	Batch	Navitas IN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	685.00		3,625.38
Payment	03/18/2019	Rafor ::	2 2		1243 · Custom	330.10		3,955.48
Payment	03/18/2019	Batch	Z			978 60		4,473.87
Payment	03/19/2019	Batch ::	7		1243 Custom	883.83		5,636,39
Payment	03/22/2019	Batch	Z			327.46		5,963,85
Payment	03/25/2019	Batch	INNG			448.45		6,412.30
Payment	03/25/2019	Batch	INNG		-	202.70		6,615.00
Payment	03/26/2019	Batch	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S			459.19		7,074.19
Craymen	03/22/2018	Batch	Navitas IN NG LLC	1		99.57		7,173.76
Crieck	03/27/2019	10// 10/04	Bank /	ranster		0	6,028.00	1,145.76
Payment	03/29/2019	Batch ::			1243 · Custom	720 56		2,149.89
Payment	03/29/2019	Batch	Z		-	398 10		2,070,43 3,268,55
Check	03/29/2019	190329		Service Charge	903 · Custome		50.99	3 247 56
Payment	04/08/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC	•	1243 · Custom	340.75		3,588.31
Check	04/10/2019	1078	:	Transfer			3,510.00	78.31
Payment	04/16/2019	Batch	Navitas IN NG LLC		1243 Custom	399.60		477.91
Payment	04/22/2019	: 45 ES	2 2		1243 · Custom	39.21		517.12
Payment	04/22/2019	Batch	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		1243 · Custom	105.05		1,430.31
Payment	04/23/2019	Batch				20.46		1.363.82
Payment	04/29/2019	Batch	200		-	602.25		1,966.07
Payment	04/29/2019	Batch	2		•	121.52		2,087.59
Payment	04/29/2019	Batch	Ů,			562.14		2,649.73
Payment	04/29/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC			1,211.58		3,861.31
Payment	04/30/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom	81.16 275 18		3,942.47 4 217 65
Check	04/30/2019	190430		Service Charge	$^{\circ}$) ;	20.26	4 197 39
Payment	05/06/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC)	1243 · Custom	1,067.39) 	5,264.78
Check	05/07/2019	1079	Bank 7	Transfer			5,007.00	257.78
rayinen	6102/61/60	Batch	Navitas IN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	80.05		337.83

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Tvoe	Date	N.						
			2000	Olliean	aplic	Debit	Credit	Balance
Payment	05/17/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	27 77 74	-	
Payment	05/20/2019	Batch	NN			11.110		715.54
Payment	05/20/2019	Batch	<u>d</u>			104.48		820.02
Payment	05/20/2019	Batch	9			120.28		940.30
Payment	05/24/2019	Ratch	-			219.89		1,160.19
Payment	05/28/2019	Ratch				474.85		1,635.04
Payment	05/28/20149	tota			1243 · Custom	238.51		1,873,55
Payment	05/28/2019	Datoh			1243 · Custom	199.92		2 073 47
Davment	05/20/2019		2		1243 · Custom	1,313.66		3 387 13
Daymont	02/30/5018	patch	Navitas IN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	50.18		3 437 31
raymen	61.02/15/50	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	314 42		2,101.01
Cleck	05/31/2019	190531		Service Charge	903 · Custome		20.80	2,730.84
Check	06/04/2019	1080	Bank 7	Transfer	1107 · Checkin		3 007 00	722.04
Payment	06/10/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	01 58	00.700,0	723.84
Payment	06/17/2019	BAtch	0		12/3 · Custom	91.30		815.42
Payment	06/17/2019	Batch			1243 Custom	160.00		864.23
Payment	06/18/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG 110		4243 CUSTOTI	68.23		932.46
Ches.	06/19/2019	1081	Rank 7	T. C. C. C.		9,859.21		10,791.67
Pavment	06/20/2019	- G	014	ransier	1107 · Checkin		819.00	9,972.67
Daymont	06/24/2019	 Date:	┙.		1243 · Custom	54.34		10 027 01
Dames	00/21/2019	Batch			1243 · Custom	27.31		10.054.32
Faymen	06/24/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	20101		20.400.01
Payment	06/25/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1243 · Custom	11 30		10,255.33
Check	06/25/2019	1082		Transfer	1107 · Chackin	06.11		10,266.63
Payment	06/25/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC			44.00	10,025.00	241.63
Payment	06/25/2019	Batch	2			1 12.32		353.95
Payment	06/26/2019	Batch				554.30		1,238.25
Payment	06/28/2019	Batch	las TN NG			40.90		1,279.15
Check	06/28/2019	190628		Service Charge	903 · Custome	07.10	20.23	1,341.25
Total 1125 · Checking - First Volunteer) - First Volunteer					E7 000 EE		20.1.20,1
						57,320,33	50,020,92	1,321.02
1128 - Jellico Cash Drawer Total 1128 - Jellico Cash Drawer	Drawer ash Drawer							0.00
1191 - Dan In Error								0.00
General Journal	04/2/140	* 5000						00.00
General Journal	05/28/2019	190528		closed accou	-SPLIT-	9,621.00		9,621.00
General Journal	05/31/2019	190531		AICH HUITH IN.A	1107 · Checkin -SPI IT-	00 0	9,621.00	0.00
H 240 H					i i	0.00	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1	0.00
Iotal 1191 : Dep. In Error	rror					9,621.00	9,621.00	0.00
1199 · Loan proceeds clearing account	s clearing accou	ŧ						
Total 1199 · Loan proceeds clearing account	ceeds clearing acc	count						000
1100 · Cash - Other								
Total 1100 · Cash - Other	lher							00:0
Total 1100 · Cash							WATER THE PERSON NAMED TO A PE	00.0
						664,258.50	663,432.46	4,674.21

	Balance	172,768.02	172,768.02	158.990.13	158,462,04	158,350.15	157,570.53	157,407.45	154.012.23	153,615,72	149,216.84	149,054.13	148,333.75	148,549.32	148,346.47	148,253.56	148,007.89 147.244.05	139 313 06	138,900.57	137,997.00	137,897.00	138,042.44	137,702.98	117 332 21	117,282,21	117,197.73	116,581.44	116,039.96	90,211.08 89.051.05	88,282,66	76,553.49	76,288.10	75,898.50	(5,397.22	55.476.05	49 004 72	48.371.44	48.093.19	47,481.21	46,925.88	46,601.18	36,590.71 36,412.95
	Credit	The state of the s	2 257 47	11,520.42	528.09	111.89	779.62	163.08	3,283.47	396.51	4,398.88	162.71	169.71	214.72	202.85	92.91	245.67	8 030 99	412.49	903.57	100.00	000	339.46	18 851 29	20.00	84.48	616.29	241.48	7,6288	768.39	11,729.17	265.39	389.60	301.28 19 580 92	340.32	6 471 33	633.28	278.25	611.98	555.33	324.70	10,010.47 177.76
	Debit	an annual and a second a second and a second a second and																				145.44																				
ger 019	Split		1107 · Checkin		-	. *	1107 · Checkin		-			1107 · Checkin	O	. *	1107 · Checkin	1107 Checkin		٠			1107 · Checkin	460.01 · Resid	•			٠	1107 Checkin		-				1107 Checkin	٠	٠	1107 · Checkin	-		٠		٠.	1107 · Checkin
General Ledger As of June 30, 2019	Memo	TANK TO THE TANK T							Adj level pay				Jellico gas bill								Sidney Jones	oldriey Jories									Bank Draft											
	Name	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas IN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	z	Navitas IN NG L.LC	2 2	Z	Z	Navitas IN NG LLC		Z	Navitas TN NG LLC			Navitas IN NG LLC			Navitas TN NG LLC		Z 7	Navitas TN NG LLC	STANGL	STS	s TN NG		Navitas IN NG LLC		STNNG	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	IS TN NG L	Z ;		2 2	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC
	Num	<u> </u>	Visa/	Batch 1	Visa/	Batch 2	Ratch 3	Batch 4	19010	Visa/	batch 4	Batch 5	19011	Visa/	Batch 7	Visa/	Visa/	Batch 8	Visa/	Visa/	5atch 9	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Batch	VISa/	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Batch	ACH	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Visa/	Batch ::	Visa/	Batch	Katch	VISa/		Visa/
	Date		01/02/2019	01/02/2019	01/02/2019	01/03/2019	01/07/2019	01/07/2019	01/07/2019	01/08/2019	01/08/2019	01/08/2019	01/09/2019	01/10/2019	01/11/2019	01/11/2019	01/14/2019	01/14/2019	01/14/2019	01/15/2019	01/15/2019	01/16/2019	01/17/2019	01/18/2019	01/18/2019	01/22/2019	01/22/2019	01/22/2019	01/22/2019	01/22/2019	01/23/2019	01/24/2019	01/24/2019	01/24/2019	01/25/2019	01/25/2019	01/25/2019	01/25/2019	01/25/2019	01/28/2019	01/28/2019	01/28/2019
11/04/19 Accrual Basis	Туре	1200 · A/R	Payment	Payment	Payment	Paymen	Payment	Payment	General Journal	Payment	Payment	Payment	General Journal	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Fayment	General Journal	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Devreer	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Poumont	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment

Navitas TN NG, LLC General I edger

General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

Balance	36,306,15 36,080,39 34,985,13 34,082,52 34,017.83 30,046.13 29,943.77 160,088.60 160,791.40 160,721.40 156,432.21 157,901.23 145,790.13 145,790.13 145,790.13 145,790.13 145,790.13 145,790.13 145,790.13 145,790.13 145,790.13 145,790.13 145,790.13 145,404.60 145,146.23 145,346.23 147,002.29 145,790.13 147,002.29 145,790.13 147,002.29 145,790.13 147,002.29 145,790.13 139,19.43 139,19.43 139,19.43 139,19.43 127,648.29 127,648.29 127,648.29 127,648.29 127,648.29 127,648.29 127,648.29 127,648.29 127,648.29 127,198.46 99,63.1.97 76,526.21	† · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Credit	106.80 225.76 1,095.26 3,971.70 102.36 1,382.05 504.54 504.54 504.54 1,382.05 504.54 1,00.00 2,198.66 1,663.95 1,212.16 385.53 3,015.22 1,00.00 2,109.99 2,00.00 44.25 1,173.06 9,395.74 9,45 50.00 426.05 407.22 1,233.40 2,12.82 1,416.84 1,538.27 1,416.84 1,538.27 1,416.84 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,416.84 1,538.27 1,416.84 1,233.40 2,12.82 1,416.84 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,416.84 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.27 1,538.65 2,223 1,538.65 1,538))
Debit	130,144.83 702.80 28.84 1.00	
Split	1125 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin 1125 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin 1125 · Checkin 1127 · Checkin 1127 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin	
Memo	02/07/2019 Bi Penalty Calcu 01/08/2019 Adj level pay Danny Taylor Jellico gas bill Clty Tax Char Judy Eaches	
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC	
Num	Batch Batch Batch Batch Batch 19021 19021 19021 19021 19021 Visa/ Visa/ Visa/ Batch	
Date	01/28/2019 01/29/2019 01/29/2019 01/30/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 02/01/2019 02/04/2019 02/04/2019 02/04/2019 02/04/2019 02/04/2019 02/04/2019 02/04/2019 02/11/2019 02/11/2019 02/13/2019 02/13/2019 02/13/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019 02/19/2019	
Type	Payment Payment Payment Payment Payment Payment General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal Payment	

Navitas TN NG, LLC

dger	2019
- Le	une 30, 2
enera	کے
Ŏ	As

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

	71,660,65 71,559.13 70,994.99 70,507.28 58,382.87 57,171.75 57,221.75 56,490.00 55,546.62 55,420.07 40,577.69 40,577.69 40,577.69 152,639.43 152,639.43 152,639.43 152,639.43 152,639.43 152,639.12 152,639.13 152,639.13 152,639.13 133,376.69 138,691.69 138,372.68 144,775.03 144,775.03 137,396.18 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19 137,396.19	Page 13
200	7.10 7.10 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00	
Credit	116.76 116.76 116.76 116.76 117.71 11,822.45 564.14 487.71 11,822.45 301.96 11,211.12 731.75 943.38 186.57 4,642.38 160.99 2,677.48 1,066.87 4,563.82 357.23 11240.42 3,574.33 1289.56 529.13 531.79 167.60 6,128.36 976.31 964.60 789.05 27,280.02 27,280.02 27,280.02 27,280.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02 27,880.02	
Debit	50.00 50.00 112,248.31 1,009.95 2,628.00 43.96	
Split	1125 - Checkin 1125 - Checkin 1125 - Checkin 1125 - Checkin 1107 - Checkin 1125 - Checkin 1107 - Checkin	
Memo	Payment Coll 03/07/2019 Bi Adj level pay 02/08/2019 Reverse Pena	
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC	
Num	Batch Visa/ Visa/ Batch	
Date	02/25/2019 02/25/2019 02/25/2019 02/25/2019 02/25/2019 02/25/2019 02/25/2019 02/26/2019 02/26/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 03/04/2019 03/04/2019 03/05/2019 03/05/2019 03/05/2019 03/05/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019 03/11/2019	
Туре	Payment	

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

Balance	00 100 14	75 655 20	75 509 02	75 120 95	71 582 11	68.938.32	68,311,13	67,983.67	67,535,22	67,332.52	59,889.34	59,109.13	59,285.53	58,826.34	58,726.77	58,776.77	58 101 08	57,096,95	56,009.60	55,289.04	53,953.50	53,272.98	52,874.88	53,702.61	152 539 12	144,741,27	144,499.26	144,394.26	135,350.60	134,669.20	134,358.45	130,034.17	128 169 44	127.930.23	127,504.26	126,957,26	126,616.51	126,412.96	126,268.13	125,837.17	125,678.03	125,283.56	125,182.73	125.012.86	120,829.91
Credit	204 EA	229 97	146.30	387.98	3.538.84	2,643.79	627.19	327.46	448.45	202.70	7,443.18	780.21	!	459.19	76.88	229 74	445.95	1,004.13	1,087.35	720.56	1,335.54	680.52	398.10			7,797.85	242.01	105.00	9,043.66	681.40	310.73	2,724.20	116.02	239.21	425.97	547.00	340.75	203.55	144.83	430.96	159.14	394.47	00.001	293.67	4,182.95
Debit	TANK THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE												176.40		2000	00.00							827 73	96.837.65	1,998.86																		123.80		
Split	1107 · Checkin.	1107 · Checkin	812 · Gas used	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin		1107 · Checkin	1125 Checkin	1125 Checkin	•	110/ Checkin	110/ · Checkin	1107 Checkin	1125 Checkin	488 00 · Penalt	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin		1107 · Checkin	1125 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 : Checkin	488.00 Penalt	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	2415 · Level P		1107 · Checkin	1107 Chockin			•	1107 - Checkin		•		1125 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin 812 · Gas usad	1107 - Chookin	1107 - Checkin			•	•	1107 · Checkin
Memo			Jellico gas bill									O momin	Official Davis		Woody Jacks								Penalty Calcu	04/07/2019 Bi	Final 03/08/20	Adj level pay	-											llid sep obillel.	Scale of the collection of the				Cody / Brittan		
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas TN NG LLC	9				Navitas IN NG LLC	Novitos TN NG LLC	Navidas IN NG LLC				Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	IN NG	Navitas TN NG LLC	Z ;	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC			Navitas TN NG LLC			Navitas IN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG CLC	2 9	28	Z	Navitas TN NG LLC		<u>.</u>	NOC	Navitas TN NG LLC		2 2		1 =	TN NG LL	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas TN NG LLC	
Num	Visa/	Batch	19041	Visa/	batch	Batch	Visa/	Batch ::	: 5 to 1		Vies/	1498	Batch	Batch	19041	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Rafe:	Visa/	Batch	Batch	19041	19041	19041	1904 I	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Visal Ratch	Batch	Visa/	19051	Batch	Batch	Visa/	Batch	1508	Visa/ Ratch	
Date	03/20/2019	03/20/2019	03/20/2019	03/21/2019	02/21/2019	03/22/2019	03/22/2013	03/25/2019	03/25/2019	03/25/2019	03/25/2019	03/25/2019	03/26/2019	03/26/2019	03/26/2019	03/28/2019	03/28/2019	03/26/2019	03/29/2019	03/29/2019	03/29/2019	03/29/2019	03/31/2019	03/31/2019	03/31/2019	03/31/2019	04/01/2019	04/02/2019	04/03/2019	04/03/2019	04/04/2019	04/04/2019	04/05/2019	04/03/2019	04/08/2019	04/08/2019	04/08/2019	04/08/2019	04/10/2019	04/11/2019	04/12/2019	04/12/2019	04/12/2019	04/15/2019	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Type	Payment	Fayment	General Journal	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Check	Payment	Payment	General Journal	Payment	Daymont	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Dayment	Pavment	Payment	Payment	Payment	General Journal	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Creck	Payment	•

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

Type	Date	Num	Name	Мето	Split	Debit	Credit	Balance
Payment	04/16/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1107 · Checkin		616.49	120,213.42
Payment	04/16/2019	Batch					399.60	119,813.82
Payment	04/16/2019	Batch	NGL				39.21 15 311 26	119,774.61
Payment	04/17/2019	Batch	9		1107 · Checkin		740 79	104,403.33
Payment	04/18/2019	VISa/	Navitas IN NG LLC		•		248.14	103.474.42
Deposit	04/22/2019	ACH :	Navitas TN NG LLC	Don't Drag	•		5,527.92	97,946.50
Payment	04/22/2019	Visa/	Z	Dalik Clail	1107 · Checkin		8,845.58	89,100.92
Payment	04/22/2019	Cisa/	200		1107 Checkin		791.04	88,309,88
Payment	04/22/2019	Batch	28				836.18	87,473.70
Payment	04/22/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC				105.19	86,752.51
Payment	04/22/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC				13.084.75	86,647.46
Payment	04/23/2019	Batch	NS		1125 · Checkin		2004.7	73,502.71
Payment	04/23/2019	Hatch	Navitas TN NG LLC		٠		1,275.97	72 266 28
Payment	04/25/2019	VISa/	Navitas IN NG LLC		•		1,114.78	71,151.50
Payment	04/26/2019	Visa/	Navitas IN NG LLC		-		3,954.04	67,197,46
Payment	04/26/2019	Batch	Navitas 114 NG LLC				15.95	67,181.51
Payment	04/29/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG 11 C		1107 · Checkin		773.48	66,408.03
Payment	04/29/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC				7,847.39	58,560.64
Payment	04/29/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC				602.25	57,958.39
Payment	04/29/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC				121.52	57,836.87
Payment	04/29/2019	Visa/	Navitas TN NG LLC				707.14	57,274.73
Fayment	04/29/2019	Visa/	Navitas TN NG LLC				376.77	20,777.02
Payment	04/29/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC		1125 · Checkin		1 211 58	56,400.30
Daymont	04/30/2019	Batch	Navitas TN NG LLC				81.16	55,100.12
Davment	04/30/2019	VISa/			1107 · Checkin		100.00	55 007 56
Pavment	04/30/2019	batch	Navitas IN NG LLC		1107 · Checkin		1.021.15	53.986.41
General Journal	04/30/2019	100£4	Navitas IN NG LLC		1125 · Checkin		275.18	53.711.23
General Journal	04/30/2019	19051	Navitas IN NG LLC	06/07/2018 Bi	480.01 · Resid	53,687.98		107,399,21
General Journal	04/30/2019	19051	Navitae TN NG LLC	Penalty Calcu	488.00 · Penalt	902.65		108,301.86
General Journal	04/30/2019	19051		Adilevel nev c	480.01 · Resid		369.27	107,932.59
Check	05/01/2019	1516	Z	Taylor Reily #	4413 · Level P	(601.77	107,330.82
General Journal	05/01/2019	19051	Z	Hackler Jarb	488 00 · Penali	149.70		107,480.52
Payment	05/02/2019	Visa/	Navitas TN NG LLC		1107 · Checkin	20.00	24.0 40	107,530.52
Payment	05/02/2019	Batch	Z		1107 · Checkin		313.40	107,217.12
Payment	05/02/2019	Batch	INNG				369.29	106.734.75
Payment	05/05/2019	VISa/			•		2,581.59	104.153.16
Payment	05/06/2019	VISA/	Mayitas IN NG LLC				476.82	103,676,34
Payment	05/06/2019	Visa/	2 2				1,067.39	102,608.95
Payment	05/06/2019	Batch					290.47	102,318.48
Payment	05/06/2019	Batch	202		1107 · Checkin		8,723.72	93,594.76
Payment	05/07/2019	Visa/			•		1,104.20	92,490.56
General Journal	05/07/2019	19060	Navitas TN NG LLC	Janice Barton	480.01 · Resid		493.52	91,997.04
Payment	05/08/2019	Batch	STANG		1107 · Checkin		135.79	91,861.25
	6103/60/60	VISA/	Navitas IN NG LLC	٠	1107 · Checkin		1,007.05	90,789.20
								7
								Page 1

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	90,273.78	90,131,53	90,113.53	89,737,92	89,332.03	89 151 98	88,951,98	88,693.56	88,473.82	88,027.86	86,033.67	85,000,90 85,461,40	82,226,88	76,804.70	76,700.22	76,579.94	76,360.05	10,231.14	68,739.49 68 303 94	67 912 42	67.887.38	67,725.71	65,774.54	65,447.09	65,267.62	64,789.53	64,314,68	62 676 25	63.583.19	62,269,53	55,815.04	55,612.33	55,562.15	54,639.33	53,893.81	53,579.39	75,664,44	77,006.03	70 204 02	79,204.02	78,979.85	77,784.15	69,822.32	69,659.07
Credit	515.42	142.25	18.00 55.61	725 89	100.00	80.05	200.00	258.42	219.74	445.96	1,994.19	204 47	3,224,61	5,422.18	104.48	120.28	219.89	122.31	435.55	391.52	25.04	161.67	1,951.17	327.45	179.47	478.09	474.85 238 £1	100.01	293.06	1,313.66	6,454.49	202.71	50.18	922.82	745.52	314.42					242.41	1,195.70	7,961.83	163,25
Debit																																				22 085 05	1 341 67	1,041.07	2.170.70	18.24		-		
Split	1107 · Checkin	1107 Checkin		1107 Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1125 · Checkin	-SPLIT.		٠.	1107 Checkin	٠.		1107 · Checkin			1125 Checkin	1107 Checkin			1107 · Checkin		•				•	1125 · Checkin		•			1107 · Checkin	1125 · Checkin	1107 - Checkin	1107 : Checkin	480 01 · Resid	488 00 · Penalt	480 01 · Resid	2415 · Level P	1107 · Checkin				1107 · Checkin
Memo			Jellico gas bill	•			Refund constr																													06/07/2019 Ri	Penally Calcu	05/08/2019 -	Adjlevel pay c	Patricia Coyt				
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC			Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC			Navitas IIN NG LLC	2	Z	Navitas TN NG LLC	Z	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas IN NG LLC	<u> </u>	Z	Z	Z	Z				Z	Navitas IN NG LLC	= =		Navitas TN NG LLC			9	Navitas IN NG LLC	֓֟֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֟֓֓֓֟֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֟֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓	Navitas TN NG LLC			86.	192	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	9 K	Navitas IN NG LLC	Navitas IN NG LLC	INDVITABLE PRINTED TO THE
Num	Batch	Batch	19051	Visa/	Visa/	Batch	190514	Satch	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Visa/	ACH 0	Batch	Batch	Batch ::	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Ratch	Batch	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Batch	:: 5 to 20	Visa/	Batch	Batch	19060	19060	19060	19060	1523	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	1001 >
Date	05/10/2019	05/10/2019	05/10/2019	05/13/2019	05/13/2019	05/13/2019	05/14/2019	05/15/2019	05/16/2019	05/16/2019	05/17/2019	05/20/2019	05/20/2019	05/20/2019	05/20/2019	05/20/2019	05/20/2019	05/21/2019	05/22/2019	05/23/2019	05/23/2019	05/23/2019	05/23/2019	05/24/2019	05/24/2019	05/24/2019	05/28/2019	05/28/2019	05/28/2019	05/28/2019	05/29/2019	05/30/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	06/03/2019	06/03/2019	06/04/2019	06/05/2019	-
Туре	Payment Payment	Payment	General Journal	Payment	Payment	Payment	General Journal	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	Check Check	Payment Payment	Payment	Payment	

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	70 153 66	68.780.79	68 131 26	68,042.76	90 062 29	67 612 94	67.342.09	67.250.51	67,116,51	66,713,19	66,456.10	66,442.52	66,337.52	64,838.35	64,789.54	64,721.31	64,640.03	64,499.24	54,640.03	52,826.19	52,633.58	52,588.11	52,494,77	52 440 43	51,950,56	51 923 25	50,542,65	50,479,21	50,458.75	46,464,16	46,263,15	46,251.85	46,105.77	46,037.60	45,153.30	45,040.98	45,000.08	44,839.08	44,712.37	44,607.37	43.831.20	41,855.87	41,793,77	61.454.20	62,614,50	62,680.41	64,559,66
Credit		1.372.87	649.53	88.50	252.70	177.12	270.85	91.58	134.00	403.32	257.09	13.58	105.00	1,499.17	48.81	68.23	81.28	140.79	9,859.21	1,813.84	192.61	45.47	93.34	54.34	489.87	27.31	1,380.60	63.44	20.46	3,994,59	201.01	11.30	146.08	68.17	884.30	112.32	40.90	161.00	126.71	105.00	776.17	1,975.33	62.10				
Debit	494.59																																											19,660.43	1,160.30	65.91	1,019.20
Split	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 Checkin	1125 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin							•		•	٠		1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1125 Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1125 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1125 · Checkin	1125 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin		-				1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1125 · Checkin	480.01 · Resid	488.00 · Penalt	480.01 · Resid	2410 LEVER F
Memo	190605 return																																											07/07/2019 B	Penalty Calcu	06/08/2019 Adilevel nav C	Augusta pay to
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC				2;	Ζį	2	z	Z	Z		Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG L.L.C	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Z	Z	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	-													
Run	190605	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Visa/	Visa/	Visa/	Visa/	Visa/	Batch	BAtch	Batch	VISa/	VISa/	Batch	19062	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	Visa/	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Batch	Batch	Visa/	Visa/	Batch	Batch	Batch	19071	19071	19070	,
Date	06/05/2019	06/06/2019	06/06/2019	06/07/2019	06/07/2019	06/10/2019	06/10/2019	06/10/2019	06/10/2019	06/11/2019	06/13/2019	06/14/2019	06/17/2019	06/17/2019	96/1//2019	06/1//2019	06/11/2018	06/18/2019	06/18/2019	06/20/2019	06/20/2019	06/20/2019	06/20/2019	06/20/2019	06/21/2019	06/21/2019	06/21/2019	06/24/2019	06/24/2019	06/24/2019	06/24/2019	06/25/2019	06/25/2019	06/25/2019	06/25/2019	06/25/2019	06/26/2019	06/26/2019	06/27/2019	06/28/2019	06/28/2019	06/28/2019	06/28/2019	06/30/2019	06/30/2019	06/30/2019 06/30/2019)
Туре	General Journal	Payment	Payment	Fayment	Payment	Fayment	Fayment	Payment	raymen	Fayment	Fayment	Fayment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Fayment	Payment	Fayment	Payment	Fayment	Payment	Payment	Payment	Payment	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal General Journal									

Navitas TN NG, LLC

General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	64,550.66 64,600.66	64,600.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	64,600.66	00:0	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,855.60 1,855.60 5,266,15	5,266.15	8,000.00	0.00	0.00
Credit	00.6	558,928.61						558,928.61				THETOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOT		0.00			
Debit	50.00	450,761.25						450,761.25				**************************************	3,410.55	3,410.55			
Split	812 · Gas used 488.00 · Penalt												2233 · Other				
Memo	Jellico gas bill Kabir & Appa												Inspection Fe				
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC												Tennessee Public U				
Num	19071 19071												Form	Α.			dirtis
Date	06/30/2019 06/30/2019	ners	ceivables le Receivables		recks ed checks	n r bad debt on for bad debt	ther		unds od Funds	k in progress work in progress	Other ry - Other		Pipeline Safety 02/19/2019	TRA Pipeline Safet	Vendors s to Vendors		mit, & membership , permit, & member
Туре	General Journal General Journal	Total 1243 · Customers	1250 · Pre-sale Receivables Total 1250 · Pre-sale Receivables	1253 · Other Total 1253 · Other	1283 · Returned checks Total 1283 · Returned checks	1293 · Provision for bad debt Total 1293 · Provision for bad debt	1200 · A/R - Other Total 1200 · A/R - Other	Total 1200 · A/R	1299 · Undeposited Funds Total 1299 · Undeposited Funds	1300 · Inventory 1353 · System work in progress Total 1353 · System work in progress	1300 · Inventory - Other Total 1300 · Inventory - Other	Total 1300 · Inventory	1400 · Prepaids 1401 · Prepaid TRA Pipeline Safety Bill 02/19/2019	Total 1401 · Prepaid TRA Pipeline Safety	1402 - Deposits to Vendors Total 1402 - Deposits to Vendors	1421 · Taxes Total 1421 · Taxes	1424 · License, permit, & membership Total 1424 · License, permit, & membership

83,767.46 83,767.46

1722 · Services - Built systems Total 1722 · Services - Built systems

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Type Date	Num	Name	Memo	Split	Debit	Credit	Balance
1400 · Prepaids · Other Total 1400 · Prepaids · Other							0.00
Total 1400 · Prepaids					3,410.55	0.00	13,266.15
1500 · CIEB 1521 · ACA asset General Journal 03/31/2019	180331		Undercharged	414.96 · Unbill		5,907.00	16,757.00 16,757.00 10,850.00
Total 1521 · ACA asset					0.00	5,907.00	10,850.00
1522 · Prepaid gas calc - Ind Total 1522 · Prepaid gas calc - Ind							0.00
1523 · Prepaid gas calc - Agr Total 1523 · Prepaid gas calc - Agr							0.00
1581 · Unbilled current period Total 1581 · Unbilled current period							0.00
1599 · Purchased line loss Total 1599 · Purchased line loss							0.00
1500 · CIEB - Other Total 1500 · CIEB - Other							00.0
Total 1500 · CIEB					00.0	5,907.00	10,850.00
1600 · P and E 1606 · Leasehold improvements Total 1606 · Leasehold improvements	ıts						0.00
1656 · A/D - Leasehold improvements Total 1656 · A/D - Leasehold improvements	ents vements						0.00
1600 · P and E - Other Total 1600 · P and E - Other							0.00
Total 1600 · P and E					The state of the s	TOTAL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	00.00
1700 · F/A 1720 · Land Total 1720 · Land							457,342.96 260.00 260.00
1721 - Mains - Built systems Total 1721 - Mains - Built systems							21,532.25 21,532.25

	dger	
NG	Led	
vitas TN	neral	
Navil	Gen	

As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Credit Balance	1,737,313.00	00'0	-263,960.00 -263,960.00	3,726,51		78.53 -6,823.63 78.53 -6,823.63		471.15 -7,059.20	-10,352.08 174.51 -10,526.59	174.52 -10,701.11		174.52 -11,224.66 174.52 -11,399.18	1,047.10	-1,210,315,60			3,619.40 -1,221,173.81		3,619.40 -1,232,032.01	21,716.41 -1,232,032.01	0.00
Debit	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O							0.00					00.0						THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	0.00	
Split	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O				1774 · A/D - M 1774 · A/D - M	1774 · A/D - M 1774 · A/D - M	1774 · A/D - M 1774 · A/D - M			1774 · A/D - M 1774 · A/D - M	A/D	1774 - A/D - M 1774 - A/D - M			-SPLIT-	-1120	- LIAS	-SPLIT.	-11-48-		
Memo																					
Num Name					190131 190228	190331 190430	190531 190630		90131	190228 190331	190430	90630		70	190131	190331	90430	90531	7030		
Date	systems - Acq systems	Acq systems es - Acq systems	j adjustment - Acq adjustment	1728 · Services - Acq adjustment Total 1728 · Services - Acq adjustment	01/31/2019 02/28/2019		05/31/2019 19(06/30/2019 19(fains B/S	/31/2019		04/30/2019 190		iervices B/S	04/24/2040	•	,	,	05/31/2019 190	610210	lains A/S	es A/S ervices A/S
Туре	1724 · Mains - Acq systems Total 1724 · Mains - Acq systems	1725 · Services - Acq systems Total 1725 · Services - Acq systems	1727 · Mains - Acq adjustment Total 1727 · Mains - Acq adjustment	1728 · Services · Acq adjustment Total 1728 · Services · Acq adjustn	1771 - A/D - Mains B/S General Journal General Journal	General Journal	General Journal General Journal	Total 1771 · A/D - Mains B/S	1772 · A/D - Services B/S General Journal 01	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	Total 1772 · A/D - Services B/S	1774 · A/D · Mains A/S	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal		lotal 1774 · A/D - Mains A/S	1775 · A/D - Services A/S Total 1775 · A/D - Services A/S

Navitas TN NG, LLC General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

54.69 54.70 54.70 54.70 54.70 54.70 328.19 0.00 10,000.00 10,000.00 10,000.00 10,000.00 10,000.00 475.52 0.00	1,49	23,23 1,49 1,49 1,49 1,49
1774 · A/D · M 54.69 1774 · A/D · M 54.70 1774 · A/D · M 54.70 2307 · LOC · B 10,000.00 2307 · LOC · B 10,000.00 2233 · Other 168.47 2233 · Other 307.05 475.52	6,9	1774 · A/D - M 1774 · A/D - M
10,0	1774 · A/D · M 3 2307 · LOC - B 10,0 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M	1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M 2307 · LOC · B 2307 · LOC · B 10,0 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M
328.19 6,927.19 10,000.00 10,000.00 168.47 307.05 475.52	2307 · LOC · B 2307 · LOC · B 2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M	2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2233 · Other 307.05 2233 · Other 307.05 1774 · A/D - M
6,927.19 23,23 10,000.00 10,00 10,000.00 10,00 168.47 307.05 475.52	2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2233 · Other 307 05 2233 · Other 307 05 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M	2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2233 · Other 307.05 2233 · Other 307.05 1774 · A/D - M
6,927.19 23,23 10,000.00 10,00 10,000.00 10,00 168.47 307.05 475.52	2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2233 · Other 307.05 2233 · Other 307.05 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M	2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 14 2233 · Other 307.05 2233 · Other 307.05 1774 · A/D - M
10,000.00 10,000.00 168.47 307.05 475.52	2307 · LOC - B 2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 307.05 475.52 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M	2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 2307 · LOC - B 10,000.00 11 2233 · Other 307.05 2233 · Other 307.05 1774 · A/D · M
10,000.00 168.47 307.05 475.52	10,000.00 168.47 307.05 475.52 M	Other 168.47 Other 307.05 A/D - M
168.47 307.05 475.52	2233 · Other 168.47 2233 · Other 307.05 475.52 1774 · A/D · M 1774 · A/D · M	Other 168.47 Other 307.05 A/D - M
	475.52 - A/D - M - A/D - M - A/D - M	A/D - M A/D - M A/D - M A/D - M A/D - M A/D - M
	. A/D - M . A/D - M . A/D - M	A/D - M A/D - M A/D - M A/D - M A/D - M
	. A/D - M . A/D - M . A/D - M	A/D - M A/D - M A/D - M A/D - M A/D - M

11/04/19 Accrual Basis				General Ledger As of June 30, 2019	5, ددد d ger 2019			
Actions	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Split	Debit	Credit	Referen
1882 · A/A - Legal C General Journal General Journal General Journal	1882 - A/A - Legal Costs General Journal 01/31/2019 General Journal 02/28/2019 General Journal 03/31/2019	190131 190228 190331			1774 · A/D - M 1774 · A/D - M 1774 · A/D - M	TANA TANAN	491.59	-140,592.91 -141,084.48 -141,576.07
General Journal General Journal General Journal	04/30/2019 05/31/2019 06/30/2019	190430 190531 190630			1774 · A/D - M 1774 · A/D - M 1774 · A/D - M	;	389.51 389.51 389.50 389.50	-141,969.66 -142,359.17 -142,748.67 -143,138.17
Total 1882 · A/A - Lei 1800 · OLTA - Other	Total 1882 · A/A - Legal Costs 1800 · OLTA - Other					0.00	2,545.26	-143,138,17
Total 1800 · OLTA - Other	Other					THE STATE OF THE S		0.00
1900 - Intangible(s)						10,475.52	21,524.93	36,910.37
2000 - Asset clearing account Total 2000 - Asset clearing account	Total 1900 - Intarigible(s) 2000 - Asset clearing account Total 2000 - Asset clearing account							0.00
10 · A/P 2203 · Taxes								0.00
Bill Bill Pmt -Check Bill	01/08/2019 01/11/2019	2018	Campbell County Tr Campbell County Tr	Ad-Valorem T	2402 · Advalor	8 044 00	8,044.00	-11,720.96 -19,764.96
Bill Pmt -Check Bill	01/11/2019	2018 1472 2040	Pickett County Trust Pickett County Trust	Ad-Valorem T Ad-Valorem T		377.00	377.00	-11,720,96 -12,097.96 11,720.06
Bill Pmt -Check Bill Pmt -Check	01/21/2019 01/21/2019 01/21/2019	1474 1475	Jellico City Recorder Jellico City Recorder City of Jellico	Ad-Valorem T Ad-Valorem T Jellico TN O2	2402 · Advalor 1107 · Checkin	3,072.00	3,072.00	-14,720.96 -14,792.96 -11,720.96
Bill Pmt -Check Bill Pmt -Check	01/21/2019	1476	City of Jellico Town of Byrdstown	Jellico, TN Q1		2,933.86 4,156.90 1 568 54		-8,787.10 -4,630.20
Pat -Check Pat -Check	01/21/2019 01/21/2019 03/15/2019	1478 1479 1497	Town of Byrdstown Town of Byrdstown	VOID: Town o		0.00 923.77		-3,061.66 -3,061.66 -2,137.89
	03/31/2019	Q119 Q12019	City of Jellico Town of Burdstown	Jellico, TN Q1	1107 · Checkin 2405 · Franchi	100.00	3,614,10	-2,037.89 -5,651.99
Pmt -Check Pmt -Check	04/03/2019 04/03/2019	1504 1505	City of Jellico Town of Byrdstown	owit of byru	2405 · Franchi 1107 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin	1,551.56	1,398.92	-7,050.91 -5,499.35
Bill Pmt -Check Bill Pmt -Check	04/10/2019 04/10/2019 04/25/2019	2018 wire	Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm	2018 Franchis		1,210.00	1,210.00	4,868.38 -6,078.38 -4,868.38
Bill Pmt -Check Bill	04/25/2019 06/30/2019	1514 Q2 2019	City of Jellico Town of Byrdstown Town of Byrdstown	Jellico, TN Q4 Town of Byrd Town of Ryrd	1107 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin 2405 · Eranchi	3,614.10 1,398.92	;	-1,254.28 -144.64
	06/30/2019 06/30/2019	Q219 2020	City of Jellico Tennessee Departm	Jellico, TN Q2 Acct #: 34000	2405 · Franchi 2407 · Gross R		808.22 2,106.76 7.268.64	-663.58 -2,770.34 -10 038 98
Total 2203 · Taxes						29,581.62	27,899.64	-10,038.98

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

CO	0.00	00.0	-102,693.29 -103,452.29	-89,549,29	-89,549.29	-89,035.24	-84,765.24	-68,591,24	-72,861.24	42,830.24	-123,675,77	-124,021.77	-112,775.77	-108,764.77	-103,849.77	-104,174.20	-95,135.20	-93,155.20	-51,635.20	49,114.20	44,069.20	-35,332.20	-31,062.20	-110,874.70	-111,220.70	-96,978.70	-93,974.70	-93,999.70	-94,400.79	-89, 185, 79	-88,861.36	-88,400.27	-88,375.27	-61,348.27	-51,348.27	-44,326.27	-33,300.27	-30.542.27	-28,513.27
Credit			759.00						4,270.00	0.000	346.00	759.00			324.43	524,43	3,410.55				4.270.00			79,812.50	340.00	759.00		25.00	60.104								4 270 00	00.014	
Debit	NULL TRANSFER TO THE TRANSFER			13,903.00	0.00	514.05	3,152.00	13,022.00	700	30,031.00			12,005.00	4,011.00	4,915.00	11,019,00		3,410.55	7 520.00	5,021,00		13,027.00	4,270.00		15,001,00		3,004.00		1,005.00	4,270.00	324.43	461.U9 25.00	1,008.00	26,019.00	10,000.00	7,022.00		7,028.00	2,029.00
Split	No. of the state o		-SPLIT.	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 - Checkin	-SPLIT- 1107 - Checkin	-SPLIT-	-SPLIT.	-SPLIT-	1107 · Checkin	1107 Checkin	427 · Interest	1107 Checkin	1401 Prepaid	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	-SPLIT-	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	SPLIT-	1107 · Checkin	SPLIT.	1107 · Checkin	903 · Custome 427 · Interest	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 - Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	107 · Checkin	107 · Checkin	SPLIT-	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin
Мето	THE PARTY OF THE P			7.000	1 oan 1032785	Loan 1010633			Loan 1010633						Loan 1032785						Loan 1010633	1040000	Loan 1010633				Marine TN M	:		Loan 1010633	Loan 1032785	Navitas TN N				- •-	Loan 1010633	•	
Name			Navitas Assets LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo Rank 7	Bank 7	Bank 7	Fort Cobb Fuel Auth	Navitas Utility Corpo	Darik / Navitas Utility Como	Navitas Utility Corpo	Fort Cobb Fuel Auth	Navitas Assets LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank 7	Navitas Utility Corpo	Tennessee Public U	Termessee Public U Navitas Hilify Como	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank 7	Navitas Utility Corpo Bank 7	Dank / Navitas Hillity Corpo	Fort Cobb Fuel Auth	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Assets LLC	Tennessee Public 11	Bank 7	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank / Bank 7	Bank 7	Tennessee Public U	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo			Navitas Utility Corpo	
Num	!		1901T	1468	ACH	1470	1473	1480	1481	1901T	1901T	19021 Transfer	1482	1483	 	1484	FORM				<u>.</u> :	Transfer				19031	LL.			1493		•		Fransfer F		3	_:	1500	-
Date	Ø		01/01/2019	01/04/2019	01/11/2019	01/11/2019	01/21/2019	01/26/2019	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	02/17/2019	02/11/2019	02/15/2019	02/15/2019	02/19/2019	02/19/2019	02/20/2019	02/21/2019	02/25/2019	02/26/2019	02/28/2019	02/28/2019	02/28/2019	03/01/2019	03/01/2019	03/04/2019	03/05/2019	03/05/2019	03/08/2019	03/08/2019	03/08/2019	03/08/2019	03/19/2019	03/22/2019	03/26/2019	03/26/2019	03/28/2019	
Type	2213 · Refunds Total 2213 · Refunds	2233 · Other	Bill Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check		Bill Pmt -Check			Bill Prof. Chack	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check		Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Check	Check	Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill	蓋 ð	5 E G	C.ec	- III	Bill	Check Bill Pmf Chock	Bill Pmt Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt Chack	Bill Pmt -Check	

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

	Balance	28 850 27	77.000,002	-60,736.30	06,755,80-	76,555.30	-//,546.30	-73,276.30	-68,266.30	-66,882,30	-62,629,03	-57 629 03	48 GD7 D2	47,000,00	42,000,00	46 324 03	40,000,00	42,308.03	40,279,03	-40,625,03	-53,095.03	-80,059,48	-85,818,48	-/2,811,48	-68,541.48	-60,528.48	-46,506.48	-40,483.48	-44,753.48	-34,723.48	-35,069.48	-30,038.48	-67,376.48	-68,135.48	-64,130.48	-58,124.48	-53,854.48	-48,842.48	-45,829.48	43,811.48	-39,792.48	-38,274.48	-34,249.48	-38,519.48	-32,493,48	-24,466,48	-24,812.48	-55,076.39	11.114.00	-55,477.77
Credit	Credit	346 00	50 030 03	750.00	00:50											4 270 00	00.0		346.00	20.00	52 OEA AE	750.00	00.867						4,270.00		346.00		37,338.00	759.00									4	4,270.00			346.00	401.38		378,035.87
Debit	Dent				11 002 00	1 009 00	00.000;	4.270.00	5,010,00	1,384.00	4,253.27	2,000.00	9,022.00	1,518.00	5,025,00		4 026 00	00 620 2		7.530.00			14 007 00	4 270 00	8,67,000	0,013,00	14,022.00	6,023.00		10,030.00	6	5,031.00		4 000	4,005.00	0,000,00	4,270.00	3,012.00	2,013.00	4,010.00	4,019.00	1,518.00	4,025.00	00 000	0,020.00	0,027,00			THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COL	425,251.39
Split		-SPLIT-	-SPLIT-	-SPLIT.	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Chackin	1107 Chockin	1107 CHECKIII	4404 OTECKET	1107 · Checkin	110/ · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	-SPLIT-	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	-SPLIT-	1107 · Checkin	-SPLIT.	-SPLIT-	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 Checkin	SDIT.	4407 Observin	CDI T CHECKIN	1107 Obserie	SPI IT	- AP E1 -	-3F E11- 1107 - Chockin	1107 Checkin	1107 Chackin	1107 · Checkin			1107 · Chackin	1107 - Checkin	1107 · Checkin	-SPI 17-	1107 - Chackin	1107 · Checkin	SPIT-	SPLIT-	887 · Maintena	-	
Memo							Loan 1010633									Loan 1010633								Loan 1010633				Loan 1010633								Loan 1010633							Loan 1010633							
Name		For Copp Fuel Auth	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Assets LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank 7	Navitas Utility Corpo	Fort Cobb Fire! Auth	Navitas I Hillity Corno	Navitae I Hility Corpo	Monitor (Hillar Orman	Navitas Cillity Corpo	Navitas Assets LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank /	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Fort Cobb Fuel Auth	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Assets LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank 7	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank 7	Navitas Utility Corno	Fort Cobb Filel Auth	Navitas Utility Como	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Assets LLC	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank 7	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Assets L.C.	Navitas Utility Corpo	Bank 7	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Fort Cobb Fuel Auth	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo		
Num	1000T	1003 F.:.	19031	19041	1503	1506	1502	1507	1509	1510	Transfer	1511	- 6	7.01	0.00	1904Z	ransrer	ranster	1904 I	l ransfer	1904	19051	518	1517	1519	1520	1521	19052	Transfer	1905T	1522	19057	1906T	1525	1526	1524	1527	1528	1529	1530	1531	1532	19062	1533	1534	1906T	1906T	1812T		
Date	03/34/2040	03/31/2019	04/04/2013	04000000	04/00/2019	04/08/2019	04/10/2019	04/10/2019	04/16/2019	04/17/2019	04/19/2019	04/22/2019	04/22/2040	04/05/2019	04/25/2019	04/26/2019	04/20/2019	04/28/2019	04/20/2019	04/30/2019	04/30/2019	61.02/10/20	05/07/2019	05/10/2019	05/13/2019	05/22/2019	05/23/2019	05/28/2019	05/30/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	06/01/2019	06/05/2019	06/06/2019	06/07/2019	06/12/2019	06/13/2019	06/18/2019	06/19/2019	06/20/2019	06/25/2019	06/25/2019	06/26/2019	06/27/2019	06/30/2019	06/30/2019	UO/30/2019		
Type		: 2		Bill Dmt Chook	Bill Dmt Chock			Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Check	Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill	Bill Pmt -Check	Bill Day Choose	Bill Circle	Bill Pmt Chook		### ### ### ### ### #### #############		Bill Dmt Check		Dill Pill - Check		Bill Pmt -Check	ā i	Bill Pmt -Check	=	Bill Pmt -Check					Bill Pat -Check	Bill Filt -Check					Bill Pmt -Check		DE PERT CHECK	UIII Pmt -Check			Š	Total 2233 · Other	

NG, LLC	edger-
Navitas TN	General L

As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	00.0	0.00	0.00	.65 £16 7E	00.0	.75.000.00	-75,000.00	-85,000.00	-50,000.00	-30,000.00	-25,000.00	-20,000.00	-10,000.00	00:0	-5,000.00	0.00	0.00	-10,000.00	-15,000.00	-14,000.00	-11,000.00	-7,000.00	-15,000,00	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	-15,000.00
Credit				405 935 51				10,000.00							5,000.00	2 000 00	00.000	10,000.00	5,000.00			8 000 00	43,000,00					43,000.00
Debit	Temmus and the second s			454,833.01				10,000,00	25,000.00	20,000.00	5,000.00	5,000.00	5,000.00	10,000.00	5 000 00	0,000.00	5,000.00			1,000.00	2,000.00	4,000.00	103,000.00					103,000.00
Split	Trimer .			Talanta			404F	1815 - ML KYNG 1815 - ML KYNG			1107 - Checkin	-			1107 · Checkin		•		1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	•	1107 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin						
Мето	T. C.						1020208	Partial payme	Paydown LOC	Paydown I OC	TNNG Loan fr.	Paydown LOC	TNNG Loan fr	Daylon OC L	Paydown LOC	Paydown LOC	Paydown LOC TNNG LOC L											
Name	THE PARTY OF THE P																											
Num							190104	190111	190125	190129	190308	190312	190315	190318	190416	190514	190520	190612	190620	190621	190624	190626 190627						
Date	clared Is declared	isco to Gasco	Jer		s lities		01/04/2019	01/11/2019	01/23/2019	01/29/2019	03/08/2019	03/12/2019	03/15/2019	03/18/2019	04/16/2019	05/14/2019	05/20/2019	06/12/2019	06/20/2019	06/21/2019	06/24/2019	06/26/2019	天 7	(0		LOC ink 2 LOC	5 1	
Туре	2243 · Dividends declared Total 2243 · Dividends declared	2250 · A/P due to Gasco Total 2250 · A/P due to Gasco	2200 · A/P - Other Total 2200 · A/P - Other	Total 2200 · A/P	2100 · Payroll Liabilities Total 2100 · Payroll Liabilities	2300 · NP	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	Total 2307 · LOC - Bank 7	2315 · LM KYNG Total 2315 · LM KYNG	0 0 0000	2321 · NUC - Bank 2 LOC Total 2321 · NUC - Bank 2 LOC	2300 · NP - Other Total 2300 · NP - Other	Total 2300 · NP

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	-59.794.78 -11,493.00 -3,449.00 -3,072.00 -1,100.00 -2,200.00 -3,300.00 -4,400.00 -5,500.00 -6,600.00	-6,600.00	-1,100.18 -1,100.18	-19,265.00	-19,465.00 -19,665.00	-19,865.00	-20,065.00 14.265.00	-14,265.00	-14,165.00	-13,965.00	-15,165.00	-15,365.00	-15,565.00	-15,365.00	14,765,00	-15 265 00	-15,265.00	-15,265.00	-15,265.00
Credit	1,100.00 1,100.00 1,100.00 1,100.00 1,100.00	6,600.00		1	200.00 200.00	200.00	700.00		200.00	200 00	1,000.00	200.00	200.00		500 00	00.000		None of the second of the seco	3,100.00
Debit	8,044.00 377.00 3,072.00	11,493.00					5 800 00	300.00		200.00				200.00	000.000	00.0	0.00	00'0	7,100.00
Split	2203 · Taxes 2203 · Taxes 2203 · Taxes 2203 · Taxes 408 · Ad-Valor 408 · Ad-Valor 408 · Ad-Valor 408 · Ad-Valor			:	1125 · Checkin 1125 · Checkin	1125 · Checkin	480 01 Pasid	480.01 · Resid	1107 · Checkin	480.01 · Kesid 1107 · Checkin	1107 Checkin	1107 · Checkin	1107 · Checkin	480.01 · Resid	1107 · Checkin	480.01 Resid	480.01 Resid	480.01 · Resid	
Memo	Property addr Property addr Property GA0 2019 Ad-Valo 2019 Ad-Valo 2019 Ad-Valo 2019 Ad-Valo 2019 Ad-Valo				4 Donny Broyl 4 James Hus	8 Michael JO	Denneit Annlied	Deposit Appli	39 Arthur Dann	Final 03/08/20 59 Mike Munger	70 Jellico Me	70 Floyd Brown	78 Robin Rac	Deposit Applied	86 Jellico TN	Deposit Applied	05/08/2019	Deposit Applied	
Name	Campbell County Tr Pickett County Trust Jellico City Recorder				Navitas IN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	INGVIEWS FIN INC LLLO		Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG II C	2	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC		Navitas TN NG LL C				
Num	2018 2018 2019 190131 190238 190331 190531		d)				19021	19030	5133	19041	1141		109139	19051		19060	19060	Lan/1	
Date	Tax Payable 01/08/2019 01/11/2019 01/14/2019 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 06/30/2019	em Tax Payable	e funds Payable Ier Refunds Payabl	eposits	01/07/2019	01/14/2019	01/31/2019	02/28/2019	03/04/2019	04/01/2019	04/15/2019	04/16/2019	04/26/2019	04/30/2019	05/09/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	00/30/2019	er Deposits
Туре	2400 · A/E 2402 · Advalorem Tax Payable Bill 01/108/20 Bill 01/11/20 Bill 01/11/20 General Journal 02/28/20 General Journal 04/30/20 General Journal 04/30/20 General Journal 06/30/20 General Journal 06/30/20	Total 2402 · Advalorem Tax Payable	2404 · Customer Refunds Payable Total 2404 · Customer Refunds Payable	2413 · Customer Deposits	Deposit	Deposit	General Journal	General Journal	Deposit	Deposit	Deposit	Deposit	Deposit	General Journal	Deposit	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	Total 2413 · Customer Deposits

Navitas TN NG, LLC

General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance		-7,034.20	-7,034.20	-6,934.20	-0,934.20	-0,934.20	-6,934.2U 6,834.20	0,00,00	-6,734,20	-6,734.20	-0,734.20	-0,634.20 6 634 20	-0,034,20 -6,534.20	6 434 20	-6.334.20	-6 234 20	-6.134.20	-6.034.20	-5,934.20	-5,834.20	-5,734.20	-5,734.20	-5,634.20	-5,534.20	-5,434.20	-5,334.20	-5,234.20	-5,234.20	-5,234.20	-5,234.20	-5,234.20	-5 234 20	-5,234.20	-5,234.20	-5,234.20	-5,234.20	-5,134.20	-5,034.20	-5,034.20	-5,034,20	0,004.20	5,034.20	-5,034,20	5,034.20	5,034.20	-5,034.20 -5,034.20	-5,034.20
Credit																																															
Debit	Water and the second se	000	100.00	00.00	00.0	00:0	100.00	100.00	00.00	00.0	100.00	00.0	100 00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00	00.00	00.0	00.00	0.00	00:00	00.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	00.00	00.0	00.0	000	000	00.0	00.0	000	000	00.00
Split	Table 1	1243 - Custom	-							-		-		1243 · Custom				٠				-	٠			1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom			-			1243 · Custom			1243 · Custom		1243 · Custom						-			243 · Custom
Memo	THE PARTY OF THE P	2012 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ref	2014 - 4th ref	2014 - 4th ref	2014 - 4th ref	2014 - 4th ref	2014 - 4th ref	2014 - 4th ref	2014 - 4th ref		•	2017 - 1st ref	2014 - 4th ret	2014 - 4th ref	2014 - 4th ret	2015 - 3rd ret	2010 - ZIIQ Fel	2017 - 18t ref	2012 - 4th ref	2012 - 4th ref	2012 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ref	2013 - 4th ret	2013 - 4th ret	2014 - 4th ref	2014 - 4th ref		,	2014 - 4th ref	2014 - 4th ref 1	2014 - 4th ref 1	2014 - 4th ref 1						
Name		Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Z	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Navitas TN NG LLC	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	2 2	2 2	=		2 2	Navitas IN NG LLC	2	Z	Z	Z	Navitas TN NG LLC	Ś	S TR	Z i	2	Navitas IN NG LLC	Navitas IN NG LLC	2 2	S	N S	Navitas TN NG LLC	IN NG	Navitas TN NG LLC										
Num		190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190207	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514	190514
Date	Aid-To-Construct	02/02/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/02/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	02/07/2013	02/07/2019	02/07/2013	02/07/2019	02/07/2013	02/07/2019	02/07/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019	05/14/2019
Туре	2414 · Refundable Aid-To-Construct	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	Contrata Countral	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General formal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General fournal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal					

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	-5,034,20 -5,034,20 -5,034,20 -5,034,20	-5,034,20	-20,902.40 -17,618.93 -13,223.95 -15,851.95 -8,054.10	-9,623.03 -11,502.28	-11,502.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-39,501.66	-59,667.28 -2,522.23	-2,525.68 -3.663.48	4,288.05	-4,552.17 -4,552.85	4,517.48	-4,520.10	-5,929.52	-7,018.09	-7,361.57 -7,558.34	-7,561.46	-7,506.77 -3,992.67
Credit	1	00:00	2,628.00	2,170.70	6,677.95					16,377.95		3,45 1,137.80	624.57	264.12 0.68		1,002.34	407.08	844.78	343.48 196.77	3.12	45.31
Debit	0.00	2,000.00	3,283.47 4,394.98 7,797.85 601.77	ATTERNATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	16,078.07				·	36,671.07					35.37						3,614.10
Split	1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom		1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom					Ē		1	480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid		480.01 Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid		400.01 Resid	480.01 · Resid	2203 Taxes
Мето	2015 - 3rd ref 2016 - 2nd ref 2017 - 1st ref 2017 - 1st ref		Adj level pay									Sidney Jones 02/07/2019 Bi	02/07/2019 Bi	02/07/2019 Bl 01/08/2019	Danny Taylor	03/07/2019 Bi	03/07/2019 Bi	04/07/2019 Bi	04/07/2019 Bi	Final 03/08/20 Final 03/08/20	Jellico, TN Q1
Name	Navitas TN NG LLC Navitas TN NG LLC Navitas TN NG LLC Navitas TN NG LLC											lennessee Departm Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm	rennessee Deparm	Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm	lennessee Departm	City of Jellico
Num	190514 190514 190514 190514	ıct	19010 19021 19041	19060 19071								19011 19021	19021	19021	19021	19030	19030	19041	19041	19041	Q119
Date	05/14/2019 05/14/2019 05/14/2019 05/14/2019	Total 2414 · Refundable Aid-To-Construct	instormers 01/07/2019 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019	05/31/2019 06/30/2019	ay Customers	t Interest sposit Interest	f from seller ability from seller	tions Payable ollections Payable)ther			01/15/2019 01/31/2019	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	02/28/2019	02/28/2019	03/31/2019	03/31/2019	03/31/2019	03/31/2019
Туре	General Journal General Journal General Journal	Total 2414 · Refund	2415 · Level Pay Customers General Journal 01/07, General Journal 02/28, General Journal 03/31, General Journal 04/30,	General Journal General Journal	Total 2415 · Level Pay Customers	2419 · Acc Deposit Interest Total 2419 · Acc Deposit Interest	2450 · ACA liability from seller Total 2450 · ACA liability from seller	2499 · EEP Collections Payable Total 2499 · EEP Collections Payable	2400 - A/E - Other Total 2400 · A/E - Other	Total 2400 · A/E	2403 · Sales Tax Payable 2405 · Franchise Tax	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	Bill

		Ralanco	-2,593.75 -2,893.75 -3,261.90 -3,524.89	-3,556.01 -3,560.43 -3,555.98 -3,577.22 -3,642.53 -3,754.89 -3,757.86	4,058.29 4,104.01 4,237.46 4,240.24 4,240.73 -3,432.51 -1,325.75	-1,325.75	-3,005,54 -1,863,54 -1,863,54 -1,863,54 -3,120,60 -3,120,60 -3,120,60 -3,140,69 -3,550,09 -1,781,09 -1,781,09 -1,781,09 -1,781,09 -1,781,09 -1,781,09 -1,575,29	10.000.
		Credit		31.12 4.42 1.24 85.31 112.36 2.97 0.43	300.00 45.72 133.45 2.78 0.49	6,771.34	1,257.06 20.09 453.65 1,064.41 439.79 822.06 369.40 126.86	
		Debit	1,398.92	4.45	808.22 2,106.76	7,967.82	1,142.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,769.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	
Ger Ger	019	Split	2203 · Taxes 928 · Regulato 480.01 · Resid	480.01 Resid 480.01 Resid 480.01 Resid 480.01 Resid 480.01 Resid 480.01 Resid	480.01 Resid 480.01 Resid 480.01 Resid 480.01 Resid 2203 Taxes		1107 · Checkin 480.01 · Resid	
General I eduer	As of June 30, 2019	Memo	Town of Byrd 1/4 2019 Fran 06/07/2018 Bi 06/07/2018 Bi	04/08/2018 Bi 04/08/2019 Janice Barton Janice Barton 06/07/2019 Bi 06/07/2018 Bi 06/08/2019	07/07/2019 B 07/07/2019 B 07/07/2019 B 06/08/2019 Town of Byrd Jellico, TN Q2		Sales Tax Pa 02/07/2019 Bi 02/07/2019 Bi 02/07/2019 Bi 02/07/2019 Bi 02/07/2019 Bi 02/07/2019 Bi 03/07/2019 Bi 03/07/2019 Bi 03/07/2019 Bi 03/07/2019 Bi 03/07/2019 Bi 03/07/2019 Bi 04/07/2019 Bi	
9 0	1	Name	Town of Byrdstown Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm Town of Byrdstown City of Jellico		Tennessee Departm	
		NCB	Q1 2019 190331 19051 19051	19051 19060 19060 19060 19060 19060	19071 19071 19071 19070 Q2 2019 Q219		Transfer 19021 19021 19021 19021 19021 19021 19021 19030 19030 19030 19041 19051 19051	
		Date	03/31/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 04/30/2019 04/30/2019	04/30/2019 05/07/2019 05/07/2019 05/31/2019 05/31/2019 05/31/2019 06/30/2019	06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019	se Tax	iade, County, City 01/24/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 02/22/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 03/31/2019 03/31/2019 03/31/2019 03/31/2019	
11/04/19	Accrual Basis	Туре	Bill General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal	General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal	General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal Bill Bill	Total 2405 · Franchise Tax	Check 101/24/2019 Check 01/24/2019 General Journal 01/31/2019 General Journal 02/22/2019 General Journal 02/28/2019 General Journal 03/31/2019 General Journal 03/31/2019	
11/	ΑĊ							

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

	-1,579.61	-1,579.61 -2,112.12	-2,112.12	-2,158.88	-963.88	-903.88	-963.88	-1,288.42	-1,288.42	-1,293.42	-714.42	-714.42	-714.42	-1,025.62	-1,025.62	-1,025.62	-1,032.05	-1,032.05		-54,139.51	-54,143.65	-55,707,55	-56,968.97	-56,969.79	-56,927.35	-56,930.49	-58,312.92	-59,039,12	-59.225.82	-60,404.86	-60,880.25	-61,175,42	-61,179.17	-61,233.54	-62,091,72	-62,138.39	-62,143.70	-62,139.84
::		532.51		46.76			6	324.54		2.00				311.20		4.62	1.81	5,779.76		**	4.14 1.563.68	865.45	396.19	0.82		3.14	546.20	365.68	1.02	1,179.04	475.39	295.17	3.75	503.45	354.73	46.67	5.31	1.48
Dohif	}	0.00	0.00		0.385.00	00.0	0.00	000	0.00	670.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	c c	00.0	5		7,753.25							47.44												5.34	, } }
Split	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid	٠	480.01 · Resid		•	480.01 · Resid			480 01 - Beeid			•	480.01 · Resid	400.01 · Resid		•				٠	480.01 · Resid				480.01 · Resid		
Memo	06/07/2018 Bi	06/07/2018 Bi	06/07/2018 Bi	06/07/2018 Bi	06/07/2019 Bi	06/07/2019 Bi	06/07/2019 Bi	06/07/2019 Bi	06/07/2019 Bi	Sales Tax Pa	07/07/2019 B			07/07/2019 B		07/07/2019 B	06/08/2019			Sidney Jones	02/07/2019 Bi	02/07/2019 Bi	02/07/2019 Bi	01/08/2019	Danny Taylor	03/07/2019 Bi	03/07/2019 Bi	03/07/2019 Bi	02/08/2019	04/07/2019 Bi	04/07/2019 Bi	04/07/2019 Bl Final 03/08/20	Final 03/08/20	06/07/2018 Bi	06/07/2018 Bi	06/07/2018 Bl 04/08/2019 -	Janice Barton	Janice Barton
Name	Tonnoccoo Donortm	de la cosada Dabatell	Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm		() () () () () () () () () ()	reniessee Departm		Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm		ı	lennessee Departm		Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm	lennessee Departm			Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm												
Num	19051	19051	19051	Transfer	19060	19060	19060	19060	19060	Transfer	19071	19071	19071	19071	19071	19071	19070	City		19011	19021	19021	19021	19021	19021	19030	19030	19030	19030	19041	1904	19041	19041	19051	19051	19051	19060	19060
Date	04/30/2019	04/30/2019	04/30/2019	05/22/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019 05/31/2019	06/21/2019	06/30/2019	06/30/2019	06/30/2019	06/30/2019	06/30/2019	06/30/2019	06/30/2019	ax - State, County,	ots Tax	01/15/2019	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	02/28/2019	02/28/2019	02/28/2019	02/28/2019	03/31/2019	03/31/2019	03/31/2019	03/31/2019	04/30/2019	04/30/2019	04/30/2019	05/07/2019	05/07/2019
Type	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	Check	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal General Journal	Check	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General countrial	Total 2406 · Sales Tax - State, County, City	2407 · Gross Receipts Tax	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal												

Navitas TN NG, LLC General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	-62,262.63 -62,404.40 -62,408.85 -62,409.37	-62,478.05 -62,650.66 -62,654.83 -62,656.16 -55,387.52	-55,387.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	-57,745.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Credit	122.79 141.77 4.45 0.52	68.68 172.61 4.17 1.33	8,564.43					21,115.53					THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS
Debit	1	7,268.64	7,316.42					23,037.49					
Split	480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid	480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid 2203 · Taxes						1					Ē
Memo		07/07/2019 B 07/07/2019 B 05/08/2019 2019-2020 St											
Name	Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm	Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm Tennessee Departm											
Num	19060 19060 19060	19071 19070 2020				Fee							
Date	05/31/2019 05/31/2019 05/31/2019 05/31/2019	06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019	eceipts Tax	ax _.		iise, Attorney Fec anchise, Attorney	able - Other x Payable - Other	ayable	iity	alc - Ind jas calc - Ind	alc - Agr las calc - Agr	lher	
Туре	General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal	General Journal General Journal General Journal Bill	Total 2407 · Gross Receipts Tax	2408 · County Tax Total 2408 · County Tax	2409 · City Tax Total 2409 · City Tax	2420 · Jellico Franchise, Attorney Fee Total 2420 · Jellico Franchise, Attorney Fee	2403 · Sales Tax Payable · Other Total 2403 · Sales Tax Payable · Other	Total 2403 · Sales Tax Payable	2500 · BIEC 2521 · ACA liability Total 2521 · ACA liability	2522 · Prepaid gas calc - Ind Total 2522 · Prepaid gas calc - Ind	2523 · Prepaid gas calc - Agr Total 2523 · Prepaid gas calc - Agr	2500 · BIEC - Other Total 2500 · BIEC - Other	Total 2500 · BIEC

11/04/19 Accrual Basis				General Ledger	iger 2019			
Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Split	Debit	Credit	Balance
2600 · CPLTD 2607 · Bank 7 · System Loan Bill 01/26/ Bill 02/26/ Bill 03/26/ Bill 03/25/	em Loan 01/26/2019 02/26/2019 03/26/2019 04/25/2019	19012 19022 19032	Bank 7 Bank 7 Bank 7 Bank 7	Loan 1010633 Loan 1010633 Loan 1010633	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other		102.54 103.05 103.56	-20,507.05 -20,507.05 -20,609.59 -20,712.64 -20,816.20
	05/28/2019 06/25/2019	19052		Loan 1010633	2233 · Other 2233 · Other	The state of the s	104.08 104.60 105.12	-20,920.28 -21,024.88 -21,130.00
lofal 2507 · Bank 7 · System Loan 2627 · Bank 2 · system loan TN Total 2627 · Bank 2 - system loan TN	System Loan m loan TN system loan TN					0.00	622.95	-21,130.00
2600 · CPLTD - Other Total 2600 · CPLTD - Other	r Other							0.00
Total 2600 · CPLTD						00'0	622.95	-21,130.00
2700 - LTD 2707 - Bank 7 - System Loan Bill 01/26/ Bill 02/26/ Bill 03/26/ Bill 04/25/ Bill 05/28/	em Loan 01/26/2019 02/26/2019 03/26/2019 04/25/2019 05/28/2019	19012 19022 19042 19052	Bank 7 Bank 7 Bank 7 Bank 7 Bank 7 Bank 7	Loan 1010633 Loan 1010633 Loan 1010633 Loan 1010633 Loan 1010633	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other	1,764.97 1,773.79 1,782.66 1,791.58 1,800.53		450.841.88 450.841.88 449.076.91 447.303.12 445.520.46 443.728.88 441.928.35
Total 2707 · Bank 7 - System Loan	System Loan					10,723.07	00.0	-440,118.81
2727 · Bank 2 · system loan TN Total 2727 · Bank 2 · system loan TN	m loan TN system loan TN							00.0
2799 · J&DA sellor loan Total 2799 · J&DA sellor loan	an Ior Ioan							00.0
2700 · LTD - Other Total 2700 · LTD - Other	ē							0.00
Total 2700 · LTD						10,723.07	0.00	-440,118.81
2800 · DL 2821 · Deferred tax liability Total 2821 · Deferred tax liability	a bility tax liability							0.00
2800 · DL . Other Total 2800 · DL - Other	L							0.00
Total 2800 · DL						The state of the s	-	0.00
			,					

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

11:35 AM

Navitas TN NG, LLC General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

Ralanco		0.00 -565,378.72 -492,978.72 -494,578.72 -504.199.72	-504 199 72	-72,400.00	-576 599 72	0.00	584,093.71	52,978.72	62,978.72 67,978.72 77,978.72	27.978.77	00.0	0.00	5:907.00	0.00	0000	5 007 00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Credit	Transaction of the state of the	1,600.00	11,221.00		11.221.00					0.00			0.00			U U U	
Debit			00'0		0.00				10,000.00 5,000.00 10,000.00	25,000.00		5,907.00	5,907.00			5,907.00	
Split	ATT THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	1107 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin	Ĭ					100	1107 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin	тура		1521 · ACA as					
Мето	TOO TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO T	Investment fro Xfer from N.A						100 H 200	Pay back TN Pay back TN Pay back TN			Q1 gas balance					
Name																	
Num		190228 190522						Transfer	Transfer Transfer			180331			• - Other ome - Other	_	
Date	st iterest	quity erest 02/28/2019 05/22/2019	er Interest	3000 - Opening Bal Equity - Other Total 3000 - Opening Bal Equity - Other	ial Equity	w : Draw	ngs Earnings	Flow 04/17/2019	04/18/2019	Sash Flow	ue · Other Utility Operating Income 414.91 · Prior Period Billing Adj Total 414.91 · Prior Period Billing Adj	d Revenues 03/31/2019	billed Revenues	414.98 · Sale tax remuneration Total 414.98 · Sale tax remuneration	414 · Other Utility Operating Income - Other Total 414 · Other Utility Operating Income - Other	Total 414 · Other Utility Operating Income	· Customer Classes 4121 · Resident/Comm Tarrif Total 4121 · Resident/Comm Tarrif
Туре	2900 · Minority interest Total 2900 · Minority interest	3000 · Opening Bal Equity 3103 · Member Interest General Journal General Journal	Total 3103 · Member Interest	3000 · Opening Bal Equity - Other Total 3000 · Opening Bal Equity - O	Total 3000 · Opening Bal Equity	30700 · Members Draw Total 30700 · Members Draw	3900 · Retained Earnings Total 3900 · Retained Earnings	3999 · Returned Cash Flow General Journal	General Journal General Journal	Total 3999 · Returned Cash Flow	Revenue 414 · Other Utility Operating Income 414.91 · Prior Period Billing Adj Total 414.91 · Prior Period Billing A	414.96 · Unbilled Revenues General Journal 03/31/20	Total 414.96 · Unbilled Revenues	414.98 · Sale tax remuneration Total 414.98 · Sale tax remunera	414 · Other Utilit Total 414 · Other	Total 414 · Other Util	480 · Customer Classes 4121 · Resident/Comm Tarrif Total 4121 · Resident/Comm T

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Dehit
Split
Memo
Name
Num
Date
i

Balanco	0.00	00.0	00.0	00.00	0.00	-87.33	-32,689.33	-31,827.67	-31,894.33	-60,967.98	-85,853.71	-85,937.18	-97,399.39	-97,287.41	-97,320.68	-100,303,73	-102,004.04	00.700.001	-102,037.20	0.00	4,005.00	-3,996.00	7,992.00	-8,001.00	-11,970.00	-15,912.00	-15,966.00 -15,957.00	-15,966.00	-19,854.00
Credit	Name of the second seco					87.33	19.76	0	55.052.30	21.35	24,885.73	63.47	122.42	0	33.27	13.32	1,685.01	103 010 84	10.010	4 005 00		00 6	3,987.00	9.00	3,969.00	3,915.00	54.00	9.00	3,888.00
Debit	Takena and the same and the sam							861.66						111.98				073 64	; ;		0.00	00°6					9.00		
Split	mmont and an arrangement of the state of the				!	-SPLT- -SPLT-	-SPLIT-	-SPLIT- 480 01 : Pocid	-SPLIT-	-SPUT-	-SPIT-	-SPLIT-	-SPLIT-	-SPLII- 480 01 - Pesid	-SPLIT-	-SPLIT-	-SPLIT. -SPLIT.			480.01 · Resid		400.01 · Resid		480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid		480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid		480.01 · Resid	
Memo	The state of the s					Signey Jones 02/07/2019 Bi	01/08/2019 -	Danny Taylor	03/07/2019 Bi	02/08/2019	04/07/2019 Bl Final 03/08/20	06/07/2018 Bi	04/08/2019	Janice Barton	06/07/2019 Bi	05/08/2019	07/07/2019 B 06/08/2019 -				•			04/07/2019 Bi		06/07/2018 Bi 4 04/08/2019 -		Janice Barton 4	
Name																													
Num					10011	19021	19021	19021	19030	19030	19041	19051	19051	19060	19060	19060	19070			19021	19021	19021	19030	19041	19041	19051	19060	19060	19060
Date	ural Tarrif icultural Tarrif	4171 · Resident/Comm PGA Total 4171 · Resident/Comm PGA	ıral PGA İcultural PGA	4197 · Customer Meter Charge Total 4197 · Customer Meter Charge	ntial Tariff	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	02/28/2019	02/26/2019	03/31/2019	04/30/2019	05/07/2019	05/07/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019	06/30/2019	sidential Tariff	Itial Cust Chra	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	01/31/2019	02/28/2019 02/28/2019	03/31/2019	03/31/2019	04/30/2019	05/07/2019	05/31/2019	05/31/2019
Type	4123 - Agricultural Tarrif Total 4123 - Agricultural Tarrif	4171 · Resident/Comm PGA Total 4171 · Resident/Comm I	4173 · Agricultural PGA Total 4173 · Agricultural PGA	4197 · Customer Meter Charge Total 4197 · Customer Meter Ch	480.01 · Residential Tariff General Journal	General Journal	General Journal General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	Total 480.01 · Residential Tariff	480.02 · Residential Cust Chro	General Journal	General Journal General Journal	General Journal	General Journal General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal	General Journal

-5,247,00

Navitas TN NG, LLC

General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Туре	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Split	Debit	Credit	Balance
General Journal General Journal	06/30/2019 06/30/2019	19071 19070		07/07/2019 B 06/08/2019	480.01 · Resid	THE PARTY OF THE P	3,870.00	-23,733.00
Total 480,02 · R	Total 480.02 · Residential Cust Chrg	į, D			į	18.00	23 769 00	-23 751 00
480.09 · Residential PGA	ential PGA							00:10
General Journal	01/15/2019	19011		Sidney Jones	480 01 · Resid		c c	00.0
General Journal	01/31/2019	19021		02/07/2019 Bi	480.01 Resid		20.52	-50.52
General Journal	01/31/2019	19021		01/08/2019 -			19,700.32	-19,817.04
General Journal	01/31/2019	19021		Danny Taylor		553 11	00:7	-19,824.62
General Journal	01/31/2019	19021		Danny Taylor			38 11	19,27,1,31
General Journal	02/28/2019	19030		03/07/2019 Bi	•		17,304,23	-36.613.85
General Journal	02/28/2019	19030		02/08/2019			12.59	36 626 44
General Journal	03/31/2019	19041		04/07/2019 Bi	•		14,675.72	-51,302,16
General Journal	04/30/2019	19041		Final 03/08/20	•		41.43	-51,343,59
General Journal	04/30/2019	19051		06/07/2018 Bl	•		5,565.34	-56,908.93
General Journal	05/07/2019	19050		04/US/2019		;	54.56	-56,963,49
General Journal	05/07/2019	19060		Janice Darton		66.18		-56,897.31
General Journal	05/31/2019	19060		DE/07/2019 Bi	480.01 · Kesid		16.17	-56,913,48
General Journal	05/31/2019	19060		05/08/2019 51	400.01 · Resid		1,155.46	-58,068.94
General Journal	06/30/2019	19071		07/07/2019 B			4.04	-58,072.98
General Journal	06/30/2019	19070		06/08/2019			670.17	-58,743,15
Total 480.09 · Residential PGA	esidential PGA				ı	619.29	59.373.56	58 754 27
481.11 · Commercial Tariff	ercial Tariff					Ì		17:40:100-
General Journal	01/31/2019	19021		02/07/2019 Ri	ARO O1 . Donid		4	0.00
General Journal	02/28/2019	19030		03/07/2019 Bi			22,518.96	-22,518.96
General Journal	03/31/2019	19041		04/07/2019 Bi			13,609.73	-38,328.69
General Journal	03/31/2019	19041		Final 03/08/20			15,171,48	-51,500,18
General Journal	04/30/2019	19051		06/07/2018 Bi	•		2 387 45	-52,613,29
General Journal	05/31/2019	19060		06/07/2019 Bi			3 116 27	-01,000.74
General Journal	06/30/2019	19071		07/07/2019 B	480.01 · Resid	į	3,545.64	-67,662.65
Total 481.11 · Commercial Tariff	ommercial Tariff					00'0	67.662.65	-67 662 65
481.12 · Commercial Cust Chrg	ercial Cust Chrg							000
General Journal	01/31/2019	19021		02/07/2019 Bi	480 01 · Resid		041	0.00
General Journal	02/28/2019	19030		03/07/2019 Bi	480 01 · Resid		873.00	-873.00
General Journal	03/31/2019	19041		04/07/2019 Bi	480.01 · Resid		873.00	-1,740.00
General Journal	03/31/2019	19041		Final 03/08/20			9.00	-2.628.00
General Journal	05/31/2019	1905		06/07/2018 Bi	480.01 · Resid		873.00	3,501.00
General Journal	06/30/2019	19071		05/07/2019 Bl	480.01 · Resid		873.00	4,374.00
Total 481 12 . Co	Total 481 12 . Commoraid Out Ober	i				TOTAL	8/3.00	-5,247.00
יאומי דטריים איי	ATTITUTE CAST CLUST CITY	o,				00.0	5,247.00	-5,247,00

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

Туре	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Split	Debit	Credit	Balance
481.19 · Commercial PGA	ial PGA 01/31/2019	10024				THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	name and a second	00'0
General Journal	02/28/2019	1902		02/07/2019 Bl	480.01 Resid		16,287.13	-16,287.13
General Journal	03/31/2019	19041		04/07/2019 51	480.01 Resid		10,470.16	-26,757.29
General Journal	03/31/2019	19041		Einel Optobloc			8,957.75	-35,715.04
General Journal	04/30/2019	19051		06/07/2040 D:	480.01 · Resid		699.20	-36,414.24
General Journal	05/31/2019	19060		06/07/2018 51	480.01 · Kesid		5,593.24	-42,007.48
General Journal	06/30/2019	19071		07/07/2019 B	480.01 · Resid		1,878.47	-43,885.95
Total 481.19 · Commercial PGA	nercial PGA				'	000	00 000 00	00.003.01
481 24 . Legislandis AS 184	Tourie					9	40,200.09	-46,260.09
Total 481.21 - Industrial Tarrif	trial Tarrif							0.00
481.22 · Industrial Cust Chem	Crief Chen							0.00
Total 481,22 · Industrial Cust Chrg	trial Cust Chrg							0.00
481 29 - Industrial DCA	VOC							0.00
Total 481.29 · Industrial PGA	trial PGA							00:00
20 1 1 1 10 101								00.00
Total 481.31 - Agricultural Heat Tariff Total 481.31 - Agricultural Heat Tariff	al Heat Tariff Illural Heat Tarif	3 +						0.00
No. in the second secon	מו ופמו ומו	<u>-</u>						0.00
481.32 - Agricultural Heat Cust Chrg	If Heat Cust Ch	Î.G						
rotal 461,32 · Agricultural Heat Cust Chrg	Jitural Heat Cust	Chrg						00.0
481.39 · Agricultural Heat PGA	Heat PGA							
lotal 481.39 · Agricultural Heat PGA	Iltural Heat PGA	_						0.00

0.00 -18,408.32 -34,797.79 -49,125.36 -58,538.64 -62,909.55 -66,376.28 -66,376.28 18,408.32 16,389.47 14,327.57 9,413.28 4,370.91 3,466.73 66,376.28 0.00 480.01 · Resid... 02/07/2019 Bi... 03/07/2019 Bi... 04/07/2019 Bi... 06/07/2018 Bi... 06/07/2019 Bi... 19021... 19030... 19041... 19051... 481.49 - Agricultural Power PGA Total 481.49 - Agricultural Power PGA
 482.01 · Government Tariff

 General Journal
 01/31/2019

 General Journal
 02/28/2019

 General Journal
 03/31/2019

 General Journal
 05/31/2019

 General Journal
 06/30/2019
 Total 482.01 · Government Tariff General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal

0.00

0.00

481.42 · Agricultural Power Cust Chrg Total 481.42 · Agricultural Power Cust Chrg

481.41 - Agricultural Power Tariff Total 481.41 - Agricultural Power Tariff

0.00

Navitas TN NG, LLC General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Ralanco	0.00 -224.00 -448.00 -672.00 -896.00 -1,120.00	1 344 00	0.00 -14,697.05 -27,683.75 -38,911.05 -48,341.55 -48,136.57 -50,344.78	-50,344.78	0.00	421,777.27	0.00 -50.00 -100.00 -150.00 -902.80 -903.80 -933.75 -1,224.70 -1,224.70 -1,224.70 -1,224.70 -2,102.43 -2,102.43 -2,102.43 -2,102.43 -2,152.43 -2,1	-5,806.95
Credit	224.00 224.00 224.00 224.00 224.00	1.344.00	14,697.05 12,986.70 11,227.30 6,430.50 2,208.51	50,344.78		423,388.20	50.00 50.00 50.00 702.80 1,009.95 50.00 827.73 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 1,160.30 1,160.30	6,596.00
Debit		0.00		00.00		1,610.93	789.05	789.05
Split	480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid	•	480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid 480.01 · Resid	E.	Î		1125 · Checkin 1125 · Checkin 1125 · Checkin 1125 · Checkin 1243 · Custom 1107 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin 1107 · Checkin 1243 · Custom	
Memo	02/07/2019 Bi 03/07/2019 Bi 04/07/2019 Bi 06/07/2018 Bi 06/07/2019 Bi		02/07/2019 Bi 03/07/2019 Bi 04/07/2019 Bi 06/07/2018 Bi 06/07/2019 Bi				4 Connect Fee A Connect Fee 8 Connect Fee 14 Connect Fee Payment Coll Judy Eaches Payment Coll Penalty Calcu 39 Connect Fee Reverse Pena Woody Jacks Penalty Calcu 59 Connect Fee 70 Connect Fee Penalty Calcu Hackler, Jarb Hackler, Jarb Penalty Calcu Reverse Penalty Calcu Hackler, Jarb Repenalty Calcu Penalty Calcu Repenalty Calcu Repenalty Calcu	
Name							Navitas TN NG LLC	
Num	19021 19030 19041 19051 19060	ĘD.	19021 19030 19041 19051 19060		b-		19021 19030 19030 5133 5133 19041 19041 19051 19051 19060	Ş
Date	482.02 · Government Cust Chrg neral Journal 01/31/2019 neral Journal 02/28/2019 neral Journal 04/30/2019 neral Journal 05/31/2019 neral Journal 05/31/2019	Total 482.02 · Government Cust Chrg	ment PGA 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 06/30/2019	vernment PGA	480 · Customer Classes - Other Total 480 · Customer Classes - Other	r Classes	selvice charges 01/07/2019 01/107/2019 01/122/2019 01/22/2019 02/18/2019 02/28/2019 03/26/2019 03/33/2019 03/31/2019 04/16/2019 04/16/2019 04/30/2019 06/30/2019	es & service charge
Type	482.02 · Govern General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal General Journal	Total 482.02 · Gc	482.09 - Government PGA General Journal 02/28/2 General Journal 03/31/2 General Journal 04/30/2 General Journal 05/31/2 General Journal 05/31/2	Total 482.09 · Government PGA	480 · Customer Classes - Other Total 480 · Customer Classes - C	Total 480 · Customer Classes	400.00 Periatties & Service Charges Deposit 01/07/2019 Deposit 01/07/2019 Deposit 01/07/2019 Deposit 01/14/2019 General Journal 02/18/2019 General Journal 02/18/2019 General Journal 02/18/2019 General Journal 03/13/2019 General Journal 03/13/2019 Deposit 04/16/2019 Deposit 04/16/2019 General Journal 05/31/2019 General Journal 06/30/2019	l otal 488.00 · Penalties & service charges
							·	

Navitas TN NG, LLC General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	0.00	-421,677.22	00.00	0.00	0.00 20,260.04 54,140.53	76,957.00 108,575,56 120,855.81	138,226.54 149,731.97	167,580.99	180,197.99 180,888.58 184,143.90	184,143.90	0.00	00.0	0.00 169.71 375.89 522.19	722.63 731.63	731.63	731.63	0.00
Credit	on the state of th	429,984.20								00.00					0.00	00:00	
Debit		8,306.98			20,260.04 33,880.49	31,618.56 12,280.25	17,370.73	17,849,02 5,532.00 7,085,00	7,085.00 690.59 3,255.32	184,143.90			169.71 206.18 146.30	55.61 9.00	731.63	731.63	
Split	•				2233 · Other 2233 · Other			2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other					1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom	1243 · Custom 1243 · Custom			
Memo					Brydstown/Fe Jellico Brydstown/Fe	Jellico Brydstown/Fe	Brydstown/Fe	Shydstown/Fe	Brydstown/Fe Jellico				Jellico gas bill Jellico gas bill Jellico gas bill Jellico gas bill	Jellico gas bill Jellico gas bill			
Name					Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Hility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo								
Num					1901T 1901T	1902T	1904T	1905T	1906T				n - Other 19011 19021 19041	19051	ation - Other	_	
Date	her		purchase odity purchase	urchases ad Purchases	rchases 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 02/28/2019	02/28/2019 03/31/2019	04/30/2019	05/31/2019 05/31/2019 05/31/2019	06/30/2019	e Purchases	irchases as Purchases	· Gas used for Utility Operation 6496 · Customer Discounts Total 6496 · Customer Discounts	812 · Gas used for Utility Operation - Other neral Journal 01/09/2019 19011 neral Journal 02/11/2019 19021 neral Journal 03/20/2019 19041 neral Journal 04/08/2019 19051	05/10/2019 06/30/2019	Total 812 · Gas used for Utility Operation - Other	d for Utility Operatio	pply as Supply
Туре	Revenue - Other Total Revenue - Other	Total Revenue	Cost of Goods Sold 5001 · Commodity purchase Total 5001 · Commodity purchase	800 · Well Head Purchases Total 800 · Well Head Purchases	804 · City Gate Purchases Biti 01/8 Biti 01/8			.	- TE TE	Total 804 · City Gate Purchases	805 · Other Gas Purchases Total 805 · Other Gas Purchases	812 · Gas used for Utility Operation 6496 · Customer Discounts Total 6496 · Customer Discounts	812 · Gas used General Journal General Journal General Journal	General Journal General Journal	Total 812 - Gas	Total 812 · Gas used for Utility Operation	813 · Other Gas Supply Total 813 · Other Gas Supply

Navitas TN NG, LLC	General Ledger	As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

coods Sold - Other Condition of the cost Cook Sold - Other	lype Date	te Num	Name	Memo	Split	Dehir	, to dit	č
Securities Sec	Cost of Goods Sold - Other Total Cost of Goods Sold - Othe		7710	TOTAL	The state of the s	The state of the s	unaio	Dalance 0.00
reciation Expense Depreciation Expense Depreciation Expense Depreciation Expense Depreciation Expense Ournal 0/3/1/2019 190228 Ournal 0/3/1/2019 190231 Ournal 0/3/1/2019 190331 Observedation Expense Interventation Other Observedation Expense Interventation Other Ournal 0/3/1/2019 190331 Interventation Other Interventation Other Ournal 0/3/1/2019 190331 Interventation Other Ournal 0/3/1/2019 190331 Interventation Other Other Amortization Interventation Other Amortization Interventation Other Amortization Interventation	otal Cost of Goods Sold				1	184 875 53		0.00
### 1774 - A/D - M 2,717.91 ### 200.0019 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.93 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.93 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.93 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.93 ### 2,717.92 ### 2,717.93	5098 • Deferred fuel cost					00.00	0.00	184,875.53
Depreciation Expense Depreciation Expense Depreciation Expense Depreciation Expense Depreciation De	rect Costs							0.00
Expense - Other Oth/3/12019 190331 2,717.91 03/31/2019 190131 1774 - AD - M 2,717.92 03/31/2019 190228 1774 - AD - M 2,717.92 03/31/2019 190331 1774 - AD - M 2,717.82 06/30/2019 190531 1774 - AD - M 2,713.81 06/30/2019 190531 1774 - AD - M 2,713.81 1co Expense 16,295.20 16,295.20 1co Expense 16,295.20 16,295.20 1co Mid-1/2019 190228 1774 - AD - M 1,885.97 1co Expense 16,295.20 1774 - AD - M 1,881.96 1co Mid-1/2019 190228 1774 - AD - M 1,881.96 1co Expense 100331 1774 - AD - M 1,891.96 1co Expense 100331 1774 - AD - M 1,891.96 1co Expense 100331 1774 - AD - M 1,891.96 1co Expense 100331 1774 - AD - M 1,891.96 1co Expense 100331 1774 - AD - M 1,891.96	403 · Depreciation Expense 5999 · Depreciation Total 5999 · Depreciation							0.00
1774 - A/D - M 2,717.91 1774 - A/D - M 2,717.91 1774 - A/D - M 2,717.92 1774 - A/D - M 2,713.81 1774 - A/D - M 1,285.20 1774 - A/D - M 1,985.97 1774 - A/D - M 1,981.96 1903.31 1905.31 1905.31 1774 - A/D - M 1,891.96	403 · Depreciation Expense							0.00
06/30/2019 190331 1774 - A/D - M 2,717.92 04/30/2019 190531 1774 - A/D - M 2,713.83 06/30/2019 190630 1774 - A/D - M 2,713.81 06/30/2019 190630 1774 - A/D - M 2,713.81 06/30/2019 190630 1774 - A/D - M 2,713.81 06/30/2019 190630 1774 - A/D - M 1,985.97 07/31/2019 190630 1774 - A/D - M 1,985.97 07/31/2019 190630 1774 - A/D - M 1,881.95 06/30/2019 1774 - A/D - M 1,881.95				71.	A/D	2,717.91		0.00 2,717.91
04/30/2019 190430 1774 - A/D - M. 2,713.81 06/30/2019 190430 1774 - A/D - M. 2,713.81 100 Expense - Other				77.	/4 · A/U · M 74 · A/D · M	2,717.92		5,435.83
1774 - A/D - M 2,713.83 1774 - A/D - M 2,713.83 1774 - A/D - M 1,985.97 1774 - A/D - M 1,881.95 1774 - A/D - M 1,891.95 1774 - A/D - M 1,891.95 1775 - A/D - M 1,891.95 1775 - A/D - M 1,891.95 1776 - A/D - M 1,891.95 1777 - A/D - M 1,891.95 1777 - A/D - M 1,891.95 1778 - A/D - M 1,891.95 17				17.	74 · A/D - M.	2,713.81		8,153.75
tion Expense - Other Expense Expense Inc. 295.20 Inc. 296.20 Inc.				7,1	8	2,713.83 2,713.81		13,581.39
Expense figures for the state of the state o	Total 403 · Depreciation Expe	inse - Other				16 295 20	000	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
ation at	Total 403 · Depreciation Expense	a.			-	16 205 20	00.0	10,295.20
ation ation - Other 01/31/2019	407 · Other Amortization					10,480.40	0.00	16,295.20
ation - Other 01/31/2019	6699 · Amortization Total 6699 · Amortization							0.00
01/31/2019 190131 1774 · A/D - M 1,985.97 1784 · A/D - M 1,985.97 1774 · A/D - M 1,985.97 1774 · A/D - M 1,985.98 1774 · A/D - M 1,889.39 1774 · A/D - M 1,891.96 1774 · A/D - M 1,891.96 1774 · A/D - M 1,891.95 177	407 · Other Amortization - C	ther						o, o
1774 · A/D - M 1,985.98 1774 · A/D - M 1,985.98 1774 · A/D - M 1,889.39 1774 · A/D - M 1,889.39 1774 · A/D - M 1,891.96 190430 190430 190531 1774 · A/D - M 1,891.96 1774 · A/D - M 1,891.95 1775 · A/D - M 1,891.95 1776 · A/D - M 1,891.95 1777 · A/D - M 1,891.95	General Journal 01/31/20			177		1,985.97		0.00
04/30/2019 190430 1/74 - A/D - M 1,891.96 1/774 - A/D - M 1,891.96 1/774 - A/D - M 1,891.95 1/74 - A/D - M 1,8		•		771	74 · A/D - M	1,985.98		3,971,95
1774 · A/D - M 1,891.95 06/30/2019 190630 1774 · A/D - M 1,891.95 1774 · A/D - M 1,891.95 1774 · A/D - M 1,891.95 1754 · A/D - M 1,891.95 1755 · A/D - M 1,891.95 175				177	\$ \$	1,8891,96		5,861.34
ortization - Other 11,537.20 zation 11,537.20 t t				177 171	\$ 4 0	1,891,95		9,645.25
zation 11,537.20 r t	Total 407 · Other Amortization	Other				11.537.20	000	11,037.20
11,537.2U t tmant	Total 407 · Other Amortization					7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	20:0	03.750,11
5928 - Field Service 5931 - Labor Total 5931 - Equipment Total 5932 - Equipment	874 - Mains & Services					1,557.20	0.00	11,537.20
5932 - Equipment Total 5032 - Fruinment	5928 · Field Service 5937 · Labor Total 5931 · Labor							00.0
Total 5030 Fruinment	5932 · Equipment							0.00
ימים דלומות אינים ויינים וייני	Total 5932 · Equipment							0.00

Navitas TN NG, LLC

11:35 AM

11/04/19 Accrual Basis				J	General Ledger	dger 2019			
	Type	Date	Ncm	Name	Memo	Split	Debit	Credit	Balance
	5933 · Fuel Surcharge Total 5933 · Fuel Surcharge	urcharge uel Surcharge				TANK I TO THE TA	THE STATE OF THE S	THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	00'0
	5928 · Field S Total 5928 · Fi	5928 · Field Service - Other Total 5928 · Field Service - Other	<u>e</u>						0.00
	Total 5928 · Field Service	Service					- THE STATE OF THE		0.00
	874 · Mains & Services - Other 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 11 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 11 06/30/2019	rvices - Other 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 06/30/2019	1902T 1902T 1904T 1905T	Navitas Utility Corpo		2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other	5,793.00 5,189.00 7,594.00 4,748.00 5,296.00 4,991.00		5,793.00 10,982.00 18,576.00 28,524.00 28,614.00
	Total 874 · Mains & Services - Other	& Services - Othe	ŧ.				33,611.00	0.00	33,611,00
Tot	Total 874 · Mains & Services	ervices					33,611.00	0.00	33.611.00
	7 · Maintenance of Mains 01/31 02/28 03/31 04/30 06/30	f Mains 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 06/30/2019	1901T 1902T 1903T 1905T 1906T	Navitas Utility Corpo	MATERIALS	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 272.00 401.38		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 272.00 673.38
10	Total 887 - Maintenance of Mains	ce of Mains					673.38	0.00	673.38
893	893 · Maintenance of Meters 5923 · NUC · Asset billing Total 5923 · NUC - Asset billing	Meters at billing Asset billing							0000
	893 · Maintenance of Meters - Other 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 06/30/2019	of Meters - Ott 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 05/31/2019	1901T 1902T 1903T 1904T 1905T	Navitas Utility Corpo		2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other	638.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 50.00		638.00 638.00 638.00 638.00 638.00 688.00
•	Total 893 · Maintenance of Meters - Other	ance of Meters -	Other				688.00	00'0	688.00
Tota	Total 893 · Maintenance of Meters	se of Meters					688.00	00:00	688.00
894 Tota	894 • Maintenance of Other Equipment Total 894 • Maintenance of Other Equipment	Other Equipme se of Other Equip	nt ment						0.00

Navitas TN NG, LLC

General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Туре	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Split	Debit	Credit	Balance
Direct Costs - Other Total Direct Costs - Other	other					7	144 A	0.00
Total Direct Costs						62,804.78	0.00	62 804 78
Indirect Costs 903 · Customer Records & Collection 6320 · Bank fees Total 6320 · Bank fees	cords & Collection s < fees	<i>-</i>						00.0
6399 · Reconcili . Total 6399 · Reco	6399 · Reconciliation Discrepancies Total 6399 · Reconciliation Discrepancies	ies ancies						00:0
6410 · Lic, permit, member Total 6410 · Lic, permit, member	it, member permit, member							0.00
6412 · Postage-billing Total 6412 · Postage-billing	oilling age-billing							0.00
903 · Customer F	903 · Customer Records & Collection - Other neral Journal 101/09/2010	tion - Other						00.0
Check Bill	01/31/2019	190131 190131	Novigo 1 Hiller	BANKCARD Service Charge		129.89 20.00		129.89
General Journal Check	02/04/2019	190204	Navida Offinity Colpo	BANKCARD		511.00 210.74		660.89 871.63
蕾	02/28/2019	1902T	Navitas Utility Corpo	service Charge	1125 · Checkin 2233 · Other	21.55 385.00		893.18
General Journal Bill	03/04/2019	190304		BANKCARD		251.56		1,529,74
Check	03/29/2019	190329	l ennessee Public U	Filing fee for Service Chame	2233 · Other 1125 · Checkin	25.00		1,554.74
Bill General Journal	03/31/2019	1903T	Navitas Utility Corpo		2233 Other	937.00		1,575.73 2.512.73
General Journal	04/30/2019	190402		BANKCARD Service Charge	1107 · Checkin	381.98		2,894.71
Bill Fot	04/30/2019	1904T	Navitas Utility Corpo	38	2233 · Other	43.00 192.00		2,939.71
General Journal	05/02/2019	190502		Service Charge RANKCARD	1125 · Checkin	20.26		3,151.97
General Journal	05/24/2019	190524		closed accou		7.00		3,358.62
Check	05/31/2019	190531		Service Charg	1191 · Dep. In	31.00		3,396.62
iii o	05/31/2019	1905T	Navitas Utility Corpo	oci vice crialge	2233 · Other	362.00		3,417.51
General Journal	06/03/2019	190603		BANKCARD	1107 · Checkin	230.34		5,7 / 9.5 l 4.009.85
General Journal	06/28/2019	190628		190605 return	1107 · Checkin	2.00		4,016.85
General Journal	06/28/2019	190628		Service Charg	-SPLIT- 903 · Custome	0.64 25.00		4,017.49
Check Bill	06/28/2019	190628		Service Charge	1125 · Checkin	20.23		4,042.49
E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	9.020000	19091	Navitas Utility Corpo		2233 · Other	361.00		4,423.72
lotal 903 Custor	Total 903 : Customer Records & Collection - Other	ection - Oth	ıer		•	4,423.72	00:00	4,423.72
Total 903 · Customer Records & Collection	Records & Collect	uo				4,423.72	0.00	4,423.72

Navitas TN NG, LLC General Ledger

General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

904 · Bad Doht		Name	Memo	Split	Debit	Credit	Balance
6495 · Bad debt Total 6495 · Bad debt							0.00
904 • Bad Debt • Other Total 904 · Bad Debt • Other							0.00
Total 904 · Bad Debt					77.14.5		0.00
908 · Customer Assistance 5927 · Customer Service Total 5927 · Customer Service							00.0
908 · Customer Assistance - Other 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 05/31/2019 06/30/2019	1901T 1902T 1903T 1904T 1905T	Navitas Utility Corpo		2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other	738.00 1,191.00 1,103.00 721.00 721.00		0.00 0.00 738.00 1,929.00 3,032.00 3,753.00 4,474.00
Total 908 · Customer Assistance - Other	Other				5 193 00	00.0	5,193.00
Total 908 - Customer Assistance				ŀ	5.193.00	000	5, 193.00
909 · Information Advertising 6460 · Company promotions Total 6460 · Company promotions						}	00.0
909 · Information Advertising - Other 01/31/2019 11 02/28/2019 11 03/31/2019 11 04/30/2019 11 05/31/2019 11 05/31/2019 11	ther 1901T 1903T 1904T 1905T	Navitas Utility Corpo		2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other	25.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00		25.00 25.00 25.00 25.00 25.00 25.00
Total 909 · Information Advertising - Other	- Other			1	292.00	00.00	292.00
Total 909 · Information Advertising					292.00	00:00	292.00
920 · Administration & Gen Sales 5921 · NUC - Operations Total 5921 · NUC - Operations							00.0
5926 · Administration Total 5926 · Administration							00:0

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Balance	0.00 6,696.00 14,235.00 21,512.00 28,437.00 35,165.00 43,165.00	43,165.00	43,165.00	0.00	0.00 2,646.00 4,899.00 7,742.00 9,093.00 11,385.00 13,931.00	13.931.00	13.931.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00
Credit		00:00	00:00			0.00	0.00				
Debit	6,696.00 7,539.00 7,277.00 6,925.00 6,728.00 8,000.00	43,165.00	43,165.00		2.646.00 2.253.00 2.843.00 1.351.00 2.292.00 2,546.00	13,931.00	13,931.00				
Split	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other				2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other						
Memo											
Name	Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo Navitas Utility Corpo				Navitas Utility Corpo						
Nom	-Other 1901T 1902T 1903T 1904T 1905T	es - Other			1901T 1902T 1904T 1905T						
Date	920 · Administration & Gen Sales · 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 02/31/2019 04/30/2019 05/31/2019 05/31/2019 05/31/2019	Total 920 · Administration & Gen Sales - Other	ation & Gen Sales	s oenses e Expenses	plies - Other 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 06/30/2019	Supplies - Other	oplies	sə:	6310 - Accounting, consultation Total 6310 - Accounting, consultation	l t & filing onsult & filing	
Туре	920 · Administral Bill Bill Bill Bill	Total 920 · Admin	Total 920 · Administration & Gen Sales	921 · Office Supplies 6200 · Office Expenses Total 6200 · Office Expenses	921 · Office Supplies - Other Bill 01/31/201 Bill 02/28/201 Bill 03/31/201 Bill 04/30/201 Bill 05/31/201	Total 921 · Office Supplies - Other	Total 921 · Office Supplies	923 · Outside Services 6300 · Acct Total 6300 · Acct	6310 - Accounting, consultation Total 6310 - Accounting, consultat	6420 · Tax consult & filing Total 6420 · Tax consult & filing	6425 · Legal Total 6425 · Legal

11/04/19 Accrual Basis

Belence	0.00 3,745,00 6,455,00 9,576,00 12,964,00 15,921,00	18,033.00	18,033.00	0.00 198.00 777.00 975.00 1,553.00 1,751.00 2,867.00 3,065.00 4,056.00 4,256.00 6,225.00 6,225.00 6,225.00 6,225.00 123.00 149.00 83.00 123.00 1654.00 6,54.00	1,104.00
Credit		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Debit	3,745.00 2,710.00 3,121.00 3,388.00 2,957.00 2,112.00	18,033.00	18,033.00	198.00 579.00 198.00 578.00 198.00 198.00 198.00 198.00 1,076.00 6,225.00 6,225.00 46.00 46.00 176.00 309.00	70. 1 0.
Split	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other	l l		1107 · Checkin 2233 · Other	
Memo				Policy Numbe Policy Numbe Policy Numbe Policy Numbe Policy Numbe	
Name	Navitas Utility Corpo			KOC- Insurance Navitas Utility Corpo	
N E	1901T 1902T 1903T 1904T 1905T			ACH 1901T ACH 1902T ACH 1903T ACH 1906T 1901T 1903T 1904T 1904T	
Date	923 · Outside Services - Other 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 05/31/2019 06/30/2019	Total 923 · Outside Services - Other al 923 · Outside Services	- Insurance 6440 · Bonds & insurance Total 6440 · Bonds & insurance	• - Other 01/10/2019 01/31/2019 02/10/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 05/10/2019 05/10/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 04/30/2019	
Туре	923 · Outside S Bill Bill Bill Bill Bill	Total 923 · Outside Servi	924 · Insurance 6440 · Bonds & insurance Total 6440 · Bonds & insura	924 · Insurance - Other Check 01/10/20 Bill 02/28/20 Check 03/11/20 Bill 03/31/20 Check 03/11/20 Bill 04/30/20 Bill 04/30/20 Bill 06/30/20 Bill 06/30/20 Bill 06/30/20 Total 924 · Insurance - Other Total 925 · I/D - Safety & Security Bill 04/30/20 Bill 03/31/20 Bill 03/31/20 Bill 05/31/20 Bill 05/31/20	

Navitas TN NG, LLC **General Ledger** As of June 30, 2019

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

Balance	2.748.00 5.607.00 5.607.00 8.544.00 11,398.00 14,521.00	17,697.00 0.00 300.00 1,510.00	1,810.00	0.00 759.00 1,500.00 1,831.00 2,202.00 3,410.00 3,456.00 4,959.00 5,290.00 6,569.00 6,569.00 6,569.00 6,569.00 6,569.00 1,10.00 9,471.00 9,471.00 9,471.00 11,509.00 11,976.00 11,976.00 11,976.00 12,494.00 13,169.00
Credit	Total Control	00.0	0.00	
Debit	2,748.00 2,859.00 2,937.00 2,854.00 3,123.00 3,176.00	17,697.00 300.00 1,210.00	1,810.00	759.00 238.00 331.00 371.00 908.00 346.00 759.00 331.00 331.00 346.00 617.00 617.00 331.00 346.00 1759.00 371.00 617.00 617.00 617.00 331.00 301.00 301.00 301.00 301.00 301.00 301.00 301.00 301.00 301.00 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300
Split	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other	2405 · Franchi 2203 · Taxes	Z403 · Franchi	2233 · Other 2233 · Other
Memo		1/4 2019 Fran	2)4 2013 FIGIL	Pickups Tools & Equip Office Equipm Meters 01/19 Eakly O 02/19 Rent for Pickups Tools & Equip Office Equipm Meters 02/19 Eakly O 03/19 Eakly O 03/19 Eakly O 03/19 Eakly O 04/19 Eakly O Pickups Tools & Equip 04/19 Rent for
Name	Navitas Utility Corpo	Tennessee Departm		Navitas Assets LLC Navitas Utility Corpo
Num	1901T 1902T 1904T 1905T	se 190331 2018	Sense	1901T 1901T 1901T 1901T 1901T 1902T 1902T 1902T 1903T 1903T 1903T 1904T 1904T 1904T 1904T 1904T
Date	nefits 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 05/31/2019 06/30/2019	e Benefits pmmission Expen 03/31/2019 04/10/2019 06/30/2019	ry Commission Exp Expense eral Expense	01/01/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 03/31/2019 03/31/2019 03/31/2019 03/31/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 04/30/2019 04/30/2019 04/30/2019
Туре	926 · Employee Benefits Bill 0	Total 926 · Employee Benefits 928 · Regulatory Commission Expense General Journal 04/10/2019 General Journal 06/30/2019	Total 928 · Regulatory Commission Expense 930 · Misc General Expense Total 930 · Misc General Expense	931 - Rents Ball Ball Ball Ball Ball Ball Ball Bal

Accrual Basis

11:35 AM 11/04/19

Balanco	15,167.00 15,225.00 15,728.00 16,053.00 16,418.00 17,311.00 18,070.00 18,543.00 19,371.00 19,371.00 19,371.00	20,629.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 152.00 263.00 540.00 595.00 689.00 774.00
Crodit		0.00
Debit	346.00 58.00 503.00 325.00 365.00 893.00 759.00 127.00 325.00 365.00 893.00	20,629.00 152.00 111.00 277.00 55.00 94.00 85.00
Split	2233 · Other 2233 · Other	2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other 2233 · Other
Memo	05/19 Eakty O Pickups Tools & Equip Office Equipm Meters 06/19 Rent for 06/19 Eakly O Pickups Tools & Equip Office Equipm	
Name	Fort Cobb Fuel Auth Navitas Utility Corpo Fort Cobb Fuel Auth Navitas Utility Corpo	Navitas Utility Corpo
Num	19057 19057 19057 19057 19067 19067 19067 19067	<u>Q</u>
Date	05/31/2019 05/31/2019 05/31/2019 05/31/2019 05/31/2019 06/31/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019 06/30/2019	932 · Maintenance of General Plant 6220 · Facilities Total 6220 · Facilities 932 · Maintenance of General Plant - Other Bill 01/31/2019 1901T Bill 02/28/2019 1902T Bill 04/30/2019 1903T Bill 05/31/2019 1905T Bill 06/30/2019 1906T Fotal 932 · Maintenance of General Plant - Other
Type		932 · Maintenance of Ge 6220 · Facilities 7 Total 6220 · Facilities 932 · Maintenance of Bill 0 Bill 0 Bill 0 Bill 0 Bill 0 Bill 0

Total 932 · Maintenance of General Plant

Indirect Costs - Other Total Indirect Costs - Other

774.00

0.00

774.00

0.00

133,276.72

0.00

133,276.72

0.00 0.00 0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

Total Indirect Costs

6000 · O/H 6210 · Payroll Expenses Total 6210 · Payroll Expenses

6400 · Biz exps Total 6400 · Biz exps

6000 · O/H - Other Total 6000 · O/H - Other

Total 6000 · O/H

Balance	0.00	0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.0	0.00 1,100.00 2,200.00 3,300.00 4,400.00	5,500.00 6,600.00	6,600.00	6,600.00	0.00
Credit	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O				THE STATE OF THE S			made a second se		The second secon			White completely property of the property of t	0.00	00.00	
Debit	The state of the s									Average of the second s		1,100.00 1,100.00 1,100.00 1,100.00	1,100.00	0.000,00	6,600.00	
Split												2402 · Advalor 2402 · Advalor 2402 · Advalor 2402 · Advalor	2402 - Advalor			
Memo												2019 Ad-Valo 2019 Ad-Valo 2019 Ad-Valo 2019 Ad-Valo 2019 Ad-Valo	2019 Ad-Valo			
Name																
Num William		m		on - Other ration - Other	LC	13						190131 190228 190331 190430	190630			
Date	nses Expenses	Income 7 Revenue non-utility operation 6710 · Other income pre 2013 Total 6710 · Other income pre 2013	6711 · Sales Tax Discounts Total 6711 · Sales Tax Discounts	417 · Revenue non-utility operation - Other Total 417 · Revenue non-utility operation - Other	Total 417 · Revenue non-utility operation	• Interest Income 6810 • Interest income pre 2013 Total 6810 • Interest income pre 2013	419 - Interest Income - Other Total 419 · Interest Income - Other	Income	her - Other		Expense • • Ad-Valorem Tax 6408 • Advalorem Tax Exp Total 6408 • Advalorem Tax Exp	m Tax - Other 01/31/2019 02/28/2019 03/31/2019 04/30/2019 05/31/2019	06/30/2019	lotal 408 · Ad-Valorem Tax - Other	en lax	· Income Tax 6900 · Corporate income tax Total 6900 · Corporate income tax
Туре	66000 · Payroll Expenses Total 66000 · Payroll Expenses	Other Income 417 • Revenue non-utility operation 6710 • Other income pre 2013 Total 6710 • Other income pre 201	6711 · Sales Tax Discounts Total 6711 · Sales Tax Disco	417 · Revenue Total 417 · Rev	Total 417 · Revenu	419 · Interest Income 6810 · Interest inco Total 6810 · Interes	419 · Interest Income - Other Total 419 · Interest Income - O	Total 419 · Interest Income	Other Income - Other Total Other Income - Other	Total Other Income	Other Expense 408 · Ad-Valorem Tax 6408 · Advalorem Tax Exp Total 6408 · Advalorem Tax	408 · Ad-Valorem Tax - Other General Journal 01/31/2015 General Journal 02/28/2015 General Journal 03/31/2015 General Journal 04/30/2015 General Journal 05/31/2015	General Journal	Total 408 - Ad-V.	Total 400 - Au-Valuleiti Tax	409 · Income Tax 6900 · Corporate income tax Total 6900 · Corporate income

8
age
_

0.00

2,201,284.80

2,201,284.80

No accnt Total no accnt

0.00

0.00

Accrual Basis 11:35 AM 11/04/19

Navitas TN NG, LLC General Ledger As of June 30, 2019

	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	.57	00	.26	.26	35	5,5	3.0		15.	49	.56	.56	.56	4 4	1	<u> </u>	3.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	4	0.00
G	Í	Travui manai					0.00 2 607 57	2,607.57	2,932.00	5,531.26	5,531.26	5,992.35	0,000.20 8 583 25	8 591 01	11.173.51	11.173.51	11,183.49	13,757.56	13,757.56	13,757.56	16,323,14	16 303 14	2	16,323.14	Ö	0 0		22,923.14	0 0
Credit		1																				000		0.00				0.00	
Debit	THE STATE OF THE S	TRANSMISS.					2,607.57		324.43	2,599.26	461.00	2.590.90		7.76	2,582.50		96.6	2,574.07	ć	0.00 2.565.58		16,323,14	16 202 44	10,323,14			22 022 44	tr.0%0.14	
Split		1					2233 · Other	2233 · Other	2233 Other	2233 · Officer 2233 · Other	2233 Other	2233 Other	2233 · Other	480.01 · Resid	2233 · Other	2233 - Other	480.01 · Resid	2233 · Other	480.01 - Pecid	2233 Other	2233 Other		1						
Memo	1 TOTAL AND THE PROPERTY OF TH						Loan 1010633	Loan 1010633	Loan 1032/85	Loan 1010633	Loan 1032785	Loan 1010633	Loan 1010633	Final 03/08/20	Loan 1010633	Loan 1010633	U4/U8/ZU19	Loan 1010633	05/08/2019 -	Loan 1010633	Loan 1010633								
Name							Bank 7	Bank /	Back 7	Bank 7	Bank 7	Bank 7	Bank 7	F	Bank /	\ 3 3	Rank 7	Bank 7		Bank 7	ank 7								
Num							19012 B						19032 B		19042 B						19062 Bi								
Date	ax - Other me Tax - Other	Тах	ense	expense erest expense	6861 - Interest-Meter Deposits Total 6861 - Interest-Meter Deposits	xpense - Other	01/26/2019	02/15/2019	02/26/2019	02/26/2019	03/05/2019	03/26/2019	03/26/2019	04/25/2019	04/25/2019	04/30/2019	05/28/2019	05/28/2019	05/31/2019	06/25/2019	06/25/2019	Total 427 · Interest Expense - Other	Expense	se pre 2013	Apense pre 2013	ther Other			
Туре	409 · Income Tax - Other Total 409 · Income Tax - Other	Total 409 · Income Tax	427 · Interest Expense	6860 · Interest expense Total 6860 · Interest expense	6861 · Interest· Total 6861 · Inte	427 · Interest Expense - Other	.		=	III I		## RE	General Journal	Bill		General Journal	Bill	Bill	General Journal	<u>.</u>	Ē	Total 427 · Intere	Total 427 · Interest Expense	6760 · Other expense pre 2013		Other Expense - Other Total Other Expense - Other	Total Other Expense	7001 · Suspense	Total 7001 · Suspense

IN THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ATNASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

Docket No. 19-00057

ATTACHMENT

Q1-13

BEFORE THE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION CAUSE NO. PUD 201400140 FOR A CHANGE OR MODIFICATION IN) THE RATES, CHARGES AND TARIFFS OF) ORDER NO. FORT COBB FUEL AUTHORITY, L.L.C.

HEARINGS: January 22, 2015 and February 11, 2015, in Courtroom B

2101 North Lincoln Boulevard, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105

637181

Before Mary Candler, Administrative Law Judge

Ron Comingdeer and Kendall W. Parrish, Attorneys representing Fort APPEARANCES:

Cobb Fuel Authority, L.L.C.

C. Eric Davis, Assistant General Counsel representing Public Utility

Division, Oklahoma Corporation Commission

Jerry J. Sanger and Erick W. Harris, Assistant Attorneys General representing the Office of the Attorney General, State of Oklahoma

FINAL ORDER APPROVING JOINT STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This cause comes before the Oklahoma Corporation Commission ("Commission") for an Order of the Commission.

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On May 13, 2014, Fort Cobb Fuel Authority, LLC ("Fort Cobb" or "the Company") filed its Notice of Intent to file for rate relief in this Cause.

On May 15 and September 25, 2014, the Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma ("Attorney General") filed Entries of Appearance.

On June 30, 2014, the Company filed its Application.

On July 22, 2014, the Public Utility Division of the Oklahoma Corporation Commission ("PUD") filed its Response Regarding Applicant's Compliance with the Minimum Filing Requirements. PUD determined that Fort Cobb did not substantially comply with the Minimum Filing Requirements set forth in OAC 165:70. PUD also provided the specific deficiencies so that the Company could provide all information required by the Minimum Filing Requirements.

On October 9, 2014, the Company filed a Supplemental Application.

On October 23, 2014, the Company filed a Motion for an Order Prescribing Procedural Schedule. In addition, the Company filed a Motion to Establish Notice Requirements for the Hearing on the Merits on its Supplemental Application for permanent rate relief. Both matters were set for hearing on October 30, 2014, and were heard and recommended at that time.

On November 20, 2014, the Commission issued Order No. 633333, establishing a procedural schedule. This Order also provided that August 29, 2014, was the agreed beginning of the 180 day processing time frame required by 17 O.S. §152(B).

On December 4, 2014, the Public Utility Division ("PUD") filed its Major Issues List.

On December 16, 2014, PUD filed the Responsive Testimonies of Javad Seyedoff, David Garrett, Paul Newmark, Kiran Patel, Tracy Izell, Michael Knapp, Robert Thompson, and Jeremy Schwartz, along with PUD's Accounting Exhibit.

On December 17, 2014, the Company filed its Emergency Application for Interim Rate Relief, pursuant to OAC 165:5-7-50.

On December 30, 2014, the Commission issued Order No. 634617, prescribing notice to be given to customers for the hearings on the Company's requests for interim rate relief and for permanent rate relief.

On December 31, 2014, the Company filed its Notice of Hearing for the Emergency Application for Interim Rate Relief. The Emergency Application was set for hearing on January 15, 2015, and was continued to January 22, 2015.

On January 2, 7, 9, 14, 21, 22 and 30, 2015, public comment was filed.

On January 9, 2015, the Company filed two Affidavits evidencing its mailing of customer notice as required by Order No. 634617. In addition, the Company filed the Rebuttal Testimony of Thomas Hartline.

Also on January 9, 2015, PUD filed the Responsive Testimony of Jeremy Schwartz.

On January 14, 2015, the Attorney General filed the Rate Design Rebuttal Testimony of Edwin Farrar.

Also on January 14, 2015, PUD filed a summary of the pre-filed testimony of Javad Seyedoff.

On January 15, 2015, the Company filed the Rate Design Rebuttal Testimony of Thomas Hartline.

Also on January 15, 2015, PUD filed a Statement of Position.

On January 16, 2015, PUD filed a Motion to Determine Sufficiency of Notice.

Also on January 16, 2015, the Company filed summaries of the prefiled testimonies of Thomas Hartline, as well as an Exhibit List. Likewise, PUD filed summaries of the pre-filed testimonies of Jeremy Schwartz, Michael Knapp, Paul Newmark, Robert Thompson, Tracy Izell, Kiran Patel and David Garrett, as well as an Exhibit List.

On January 20, 2015, PUD filed a Notice of Hearing on its Motion to Determine Sufficiency of Notice. The matter was set for hearing on January 22, 2015 and was heard and recommended at that time.

Also on January 20, 2015, the Attorney General filed a summary of the Rate Design Rebuttal Testimony of Edwin Farrar.

On January 22, 2015, the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") opened the record and heard arguments of counsel on PUD's Motion to Determine Sufficiency of Notice. The ALJ asked if there were any customers present who wished to make public comment. There were none. After hearing arguments of counsel on PUD's Motion to Determine Sufficiency of Notice, the ALJ ruled that notice was not sufficient and ordered the Company to mail additional notice to its customers, and continued the hearing to February 11, 2015, at 10:30 a.m.

On February 2, 2015, a Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement ("Stipulation") was filed, which Stipulation was signed by the Company, the Attorney General, and PUD. This Stipulation is attached hereto as Attachment B.

On February 4, 2015, the Company filed two Affidavits evidencing that notice was provided as required by the ALJ on January 22, 2015.

On February 6, 10 and 11, 2015, public comment was filed.

On February 9, 2015, PUD filed its Testimony in Support of Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement.

On February 11, 2015, the Hearing on the Merits was reconvened. At the beginning of the hearing, two of the Company's customers who were present, Joe Bob Pruitt and James Taylor, were allowed to offer oral public comment. Their public comment included assertions that the Company's rates are already too high, that installation of an alternative heat source (such as propane) would be prohibitively expensive, that nearby ONG customers pay significantly less for gas than Fort Cobb's customers, that information concerning the Company's purchased gas adjustment clause should be more readily available on the Company's website, and that the Company's requested rate of return was too high. After public comment was received, the ALJ heard testimony from the parties supporting the Stipulation filed February 2, 2015. Following the presentation of testimony, the Attorney General stated that he maintained his support of the Stipulation.

II. SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF THE STIPULATION

Summaries of the pre-filed testimonies of the parties, as well as testimony presented at the Hearing on the Merits on February 11, 2015, are available in Attachment "A" hereto. Additional testimony and cross-examination is available from the transcript. Testimony summaries included below reflect testimony offered at the Hearing on the Merits on February 11, 2015.

Thomas Hartline (Fort Cobb)

Mr. Hartline testified on behalf of the Company and stated that the Stipulating Parties requested the Commission to approve the parties' compromise of the issues presented in this Cause as set forth in the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement. Mr. Hartline further testified that the Stipulating Parties represent to the Commission that the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement represents a fair, just and reasonable settlement of the issues, and that the terms and conditions are in the public interest. Mr. Hartline urged the Commission to issue an order in this Cause adopting and approving the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement in its entirety.

Mr. Hartline summarized the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement by stating the parties agreed to the total revenue requirement of \$3,745,000, excluding the cost of gas; that the parties agree to tariff rates and structures reflected on the tariff sheets marked as Exhibit "1" to the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement; and that the new tariff rates and structures reflect the parties' next step to continue the process begun in Cause No. PUD 201000026 to unify the customer class rates and structures of the Fort Cobb and former LeAnn Gas Company class rates and structures. He stated that the parties believe it is in the best interest of the customers and the Company to continue the move toward the unification of the class rates and structures in future causes; and that the Stipulating Parties further agree that every residential and commercial customer bill, every month, shall include language making it clear that the first five Ccfs are charged on a "per Ccf" basis. Mr. Hartline concluded by stating that the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement resolves the Company's request for interim and permanent rate relief requested by the Company in this Cause.

Jeremy Schwartz (PUD)

At the hearing on the merits, Mr. Schwartz testified in support of the parties' Stipulation. Mr. Schwartz summarized PUD's role in a rate case such as this one, which includes balancing the interests of the Company and its customers. He also summarized PUD's extensive review process, which included several on-site visits to the Company's counsel's office in Oklahoma City, OK; two on-site visits to the Company's Oklahoma headquarters in Eakly, Oklahoma; and a visit to the Company's corporate headquarters in Costa Mesa, CA.

Mr. Schwartz acknowledged that PUD, the Attorney General, and the Company agreed to a revenue requirement amount of \$3,745,000, a figure which was significantly lower than that requested by the Company in its original and supplemental applications.

Mr. Schwartz then detailed the parties' agreed-upon rate design and resulting customer impacts, as well as changes to the Company's rate structure, all as detailed in PUD's Testimony in Support of the Stipulation.

Mr. Schwartz testified that PUD believes that all parties to this Cause made a good faith effort to settle the issues in this Cause in a manner that was beneficial to all, and that the resulting Stipulation is one that is fair, just, and reasonable, and in the public interest. He stated that the agreement was based on a revenue requirement that will allow the Company to provide safe and reliable service to its ratepayers.

Robert Thompson (PUD)

In response to questioning from the ALJ, Mr. Robert Thompson testified at the hearing that he was involved in the Cause, that the Stipulation represented a resolution of all issues, and that there was evidence to support the agreed-upon revenue requirement.

III. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

THE COMMISSION FINDS that it is vested with jurisdiction in this Cause pursuant to Article IX, § 18 of the Oklahoma Constitution and 17 O.S. §§ 151 and 152.

THE COMMISSION FURTHER FINDS that notice has been properly given in accordance with OAC 165:5-7-51.

THE COMMISSION FURTHER FINDS that the Stipulating Parties executed a Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement, attached hereto as Attachment "B," and incorporated herein by reference.

THE COMMISSION FURTHER FINDS that the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement reflects a full, final, and complete settlement of all issues in this proceeding.

THE COMMISSION FURTHER FINDS that based upon the record, the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement is in the public interest and should be adopted by order of this Commission.

THE COMMISSION FURTHER FINDS that Fort Cobb shall submit a revised tariff consistent with the findings herein to the Director of the Public Utility Division and such tariff shall become effective on the date the Director of the Public Utility Division approves the tariff in conformance with this Order.

ORDER

THE COMMISSION THEREFORE ORDERS that the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement, attached hereto as Attachment "B," should be and the same is hereby approved.

THE COMMISSION FURTHER ORDERS that the findings of fact and conclusions of law, set forth above, are hereby adopted as the Order of the Commission.

THE COMMISSION FURTHER ORDERS that Fort Cobb shall submit a revised tariff consistent with the findings herein to the Director of the Public Utility Division and such tariff shall become effective on the date the Director of the Public Utility Division approves the tariff in conformance with this Order.

THIS ORDER SHALL BE EFFECTIVE immediately.

BOB ANTHONY, Chairman Dana L. Murchy DANA L. MURPHY, Vice Chairman
J. TODD HIETT, Commissioner
CERTIFICATION
DONE AND PERFORMED by the Commissioners participating in the making of this Order, as shown by their signatures above, this day of, 2015.
[Seal] PEGGY MITCHELL, Secretary
REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
The foregoing findings, conclusions and order are the report and recommendation of the undersigned administrative law judge. MARY CANDIER Administrative Law Judge

Attachment "A"

TESTIMONY SUMMARIES OF THE PARTIES

Testimony of Fort Cobb Fuel Authority, L.L.C.

Supplemental Testimony of Thomas Hartline filed October 9, 2014

Mr. Thomas Hartline testified on behalf of Fort Cobb Fuel Authority, LLC ("FCFA" or "Fort Cobb") that he is the Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer of Fort Cobb. Mr. Hartline testified about the operations of Fort Cobb and about sponsoring the supplemental schedules contained in the supplemental application filed in this cause. Mr. Hartline further testified the FCFA's basic request remains the same as filed in the Application package filed on June 30, 2014, except corrections for some errors found that reduced the requested revenue requirement to \$4,564,810 as reflected in the supplemental schedules marked as Attachment A attached to his testimony. Mr. Hartline further testified that his supplemental testimony did not replace the testimony filed on June, 2014; however he made some corrections to the pro forma numbers found in the original schedules filed with the Application filing package on June 30. 2014, to reflect FCFA's response to the Public Utility Division's ("PUD") response to the Application filing package filed on July 22, 2014, and to correct errors discovered during the PUD staff's audit process. Mr. Hartline testified except as specifically stated in the supplemental filing, the company's previous filings including his testimony and schedules attached to the June 30, 2014, filing remain the same. Mr. Hartline testified that he appreciated the way PUD staff has worked with Fort Cobb and how it had allowed them to move this case forward. He testified that too often PUD staff's efforts go unrecognized and he wanted to give proper recognition to Mr. Thompson and his staff for working with the company to make sure PUD staff has all the information they need to complete their audit for this cause. Mr. Hartline testified that more significant corrections made were to reflect adjustments associated with the acquisition adjustment made in previous rate cases and to properly reflect interest expense as a nonoperating expense. Mr. Hartline further testified that FCFA had also updated the cost of service study based on information provided to them by the PUD staff. Mr. Hartline testified that based on the adjustments made, FCFA is requesting an increase in rates that will produce, based on test year data, an annual revenue requirement of \$4,564,810. Based on this revenue requirement, FCFA has revised their proposed tariff by adjusting rates to produce annualized revenue slightly under this figure. He stated that the revised tariff was attached to his filed testimony.

Mr. Hartline testified that Schedule B-1 shows the pro forma rate base of \$7,028,786 which is derived from Section B Schedule 2. Mr. Thomas further testified that he then applied a reasonable rate of return and calculated the additional federal and state income taxes to arrive at the total annual revenue requirements for all of the Company's natural gas operations in Oklahoma of \$4,564,810.

Mr. Hartline testified that the Supplemental Schedule B-2 shows the pro forma rate base of \$7,028,786. It is comprised of \$6,727,969 of net plant with the balance being other rate base. Supplemental Schedule C-1 reflects the acquisition adjustment from previous rate cases and results in the proforma plant in service as December 31, 2013 of \$13,259,144. Schedule D-1

calculates the accumulated depreciation recognizing the acquisition adjustment previously referenced. Mr. Hartline testified that the Supplemental Schedule E-1 reflects the cash working capital based on the adjustments made on supplemental schedule H-1. Mr. Hartline testified that the Supplemental Schedule F-1 reflects the weighted average cost of capital. The Company utilized its actual capital structure to derive a reasonable return on rate base, which the Company believes represents a fair return on stockholder's equity. Mr. Hartline testified that he did make an adjustment to the company proforma common stock amount due to the personal guarantees provided by the investors on the debt of the Company. The 2% adjustment was used in Cause PUD 201000026 & PUD 201000022 as well as in a Tennessee rate case with the Company. He testified that the Company's requested return is comparable to returns on investment demanded by investors in small, closely held rural capital-intensive industries facing increasing competitive pressures across energy sources. Mr. Hartline testified that the Supplemental Schedule H-1 is the statement of actual and pro forma income and expenses per books for the test year ended December 31, 2013, and reflects pro forma adjustments resulting in a pro forma test year income and pro forma total revenues and pro forma expenses. Further, the Schedule also contains footnotes which describe the pro forma adjustments made by the Company. Mr. Hartline testified that the Supplemental Schedule I-1 shows our depreciation expense. The Company adjusted this schedule to reflect the depreciation rates proposed by staff in Causes PUD 201000026 & PUD 201000022. Mr. Hartline testified that the Supplemental Schedule J explains the income tax impact on Fort Cobb associated with the supplemental schedules. Mr. Hartline testified that the Supplemental Section L contains summaries of the proposed rate design cost of service information. Mr. Hartline testified that the Supplemental Schedule M is the pro forma revenue summary which reflects the revenues produced from the current rates and the revenues that would be produced from our proposed rates. This Schedule shows an annual revenue increase of approximately 1.244 million dollars.

Mr. Hartline testified that the Supplemental Schedule N contains the proposed rates by customer class which the Company requests the Commission to approve in this cause. Also contained in this supplemental schedule is the company revised tariff which includes a surcharge previously approved with modifications requested in Cause PUD 201400134. The proposed changes to our currently approved tariff are reflected as redline edits on the tariff marked as Attachment B attached to his filed testimony.

Rebuttal Testimony of Thomas Hartline filed January 9, 2015

Mr. Hartline testified that he had read the testimony of the Commission staff that was filed in this Cause and that he understood the testimony of Commission Staff on Page 7 of the PUD Accounting Exhibit outlined adjustments B-1 through B-5 as well as H-1 through H-9. He also testified that in addition staff had adjusted the rate of return and the tax rate.

Mr. Hartline further testified that the Company agrees with H-1 & H-8 and will not dispute H-3 & H-4. Mr. Hartline testified that B-3 and B-4 are simply calculations which are affected by certain other changes but the method of calculation is not in question. Mr. Hartline testified that staff had significantly adjusted the inputs to the weighted cost of capital (WACC), which he would discuss later in his testimony. When this change, which involved using a different method to value the personal guarantees provided by the owners for the debt of the Company, proliferated through the accounting exhibit, it artificially decreased the amount of

interest expense experienced by the Company which in turn artificially decreased the cash working capital amount. The Company requests that the actual interest expense as shown in WP F-3 be restored to the Cash Working Capital calculation.

Mr. Hartline testified that the issue with regard to B-2 and B-5 is simply a matter of consistency in that the Oklahoma Corporation Commission should have a set policy with regard to either the 13-month average or the test year-end balance or at the very least choose one or the other consistently throughout a case. Since in all other instances in this case the Commission has used the 13-month average the Company requests they do the same with regard to B-2 Customer Deposits and restore \$3,720 to the rate base. Likewise, rather than looking twelve-months past the test year-end for B-5 the Company again requests consistency in using the 13-month average and change the adjustment amount from \$100,000 to \$81,251.

Mr. Hartline testified that the elimination of the WinStar system is simply a result of the timing of the ramp-up of the flow off-take in relation to the test case year. The revenue over the last twelve-months is 60% greater than the revenue during the test case year; however, this is eleven-months passed the test case year. The Company is confident that going forward this significant investment in what effectively is one of the larger cities in Oklahoma will prove a prudent choice and a wise step in offsetting the loss of customers due to declining rural populations. However, due to the six-month limitation post test case year, the staff was unable to examine the long-term effects of the system. Obviously it is not the intent of the Commission to discourage investment in Oklahoma. The Company does want to be sure that when the system is brought into the rate base it will start at its full-undepreciated-amount, such that the Company will be able to fully recover on its investment and requests that such an agreement be acknowledged.

Mr. Hartline testified in H-1 System the revenues from the WinStar system are \$143,954. The Company requests the adjustment listed at \$132,000 be revised to this actual amount during the test case year.

Mr. Hartline testified that the nature of the issue in adjustment H-5 was that there are two components in H-5 to address, consultant Bill Phelps and consultant Tri-Star Energy. With regard to Bill Phelps while he is not a lobbyist for the Company, a portion of his billing is for work with the legislature. During the months of January through May Mr. Phelps is compensated an additional \$1500 for this work. Throughout the year Mr. Phelps is compensated \$1000 per month for operational expertise consulting. For example, Mr. Phelps represented the Company at the excavation rules work headed by Commissioner Murphy. The Company was not aware his legislative work was not allowed for recovery; however, Mr. Phelps' operational expertise is well known by the Commission and the Company request \$12,000 be restored.

Mr. Hartline further testified with regard to Tri-Star the Company provided information as to the substantial and ongoing services provided under the five-year contract, which began in 2013. The Company believes this is mainly a calculation issue in that the analyst averaged the outside services amount for the prior three-years. However, since Tri-Star began in year-three and is ongoing their \$48,000 annual compliance expense was only recognized at \$16,000. The Company requests that \$32,000 be restored. Finally, the Company believes the adjustment was not reduced to the 83.63% allocated to the rate payers of Oklahoma. Such that, assuming an

acceptance of the aforementioned the H-5 adjustment should be reduced from \$87,533 to \$36,407.

Mr. Hartline testified the Company operates in multiple jurisdictions with certain expenses being allocated on the basis of customer count. Thus only \$0.8363 of each allocated dollar is charged to Oklahoma rate payers. Certain Commission staff took this into account when calculating their adjustment, for example Kiran Patel in H-2 through H-4, whereas, other adjustments, the aforementioned H-5 as well as H-6 and H-7, were not adjusted for allocation.

Mr. Hartline then summarized by stating that even if agreement cannot be reached on the merits of the arguments of the Company the allocation adjustment only is as follows:

H-5 from \$87,533 to \$73,204

H-6 from \$229,584 to 192,001

H-7 from \$11,387 to 9,523

Mr. Hartline further testified the Company strongly disagrees on the issues contained in adjustment H-6 and H-7; 1) is Mr. Varner an employee, 2) at what amount should Mr. Hartline be compensated.

Mr. Hartline further testified that with regard to the status of Mr. Varner as an employee, the Company points out the following facts. When Navitas Assets acquired Fort Cobb Fuel Authority, a 1500 customer system, from Gateway in 2007 the Commission had recognized the two executives of Gateway, Bob Panico and Chris Rasmussen, in the 2006 rate case. When Navitas Assets acquired the assets of LeAnn Gas Company, a 2000 customer system, from Utility Management Company in 2009 the Commission recognized the two executives of Utility Management, Jim Anderson and Dave Anderson, in the 2008 rate case. In the 2010 cause the Commission recognized and the contested revenue requirement order before the ALJ included the salaries of Mr. Varner and Mr. Hartline. In the 2012 case the Tennessee Regulatory Authority recognized the salaries of Mr. Varner and Mr. Hartline. Thus there is substantial precedent for both Fort Cobb Fuel Authority to have two executives and for Mr. Varner and Mr. Hartline to be accepted as employees.

Mr. Hartline further testified that in addition to historical norms, a multi-jurisdiction, multi-subsystem, thirty-plus employee utility must have two-executives as how could it otherwise responsibly operate when one of the executives is traveling to another jurisdiction, on vacation, or otherwise unavailable.

Moreover given our size and breadth the Company splits the executive and management duties between the two executives such that Mr. Varner is either directly responsible for or participates in the functions of risk management, safety, legal (non-regulatory), financing (i.e.-cash flow) & bank relations, human resources & business policy, gas purchasing, strategy & customer growth. If the Company understands the analysis of staff then executive and management oversight of legal, cash flow, human resources, gas purchasing, and customer growth do not benefit the rate payer. First and foremost without gas purchasing the rate payer

receives nothing. Mr. Hartline further testified that the other listed executive duties of Mr. Varner are legal and practical requirements of operating a business (i.e. – it is either legally or practically required of the Company to operate safely). Mr. Hartline testified that the Company believes that if as a business it is legally and/or practically required to have a functional area then it also must have an executive responsible for that functional area.

Mr. Hartline testified summarizing the Fair Labor Standards Act (29 CFR Part 541) in defining an exempt executive employee a specific delineation is made for employees who own at least 20% interest in the enterprise and are actively engaged in management. Continuing, all executives primarily tasked with management of the enterprise; regularly directing the work or two or more employees; has the authority to hire, fire, or advance other employees. As an owner of 87.5% of the overall enterprise, with significant functional area responsibility, and with the direct reports during the test case year of Joe Irwin, Chris Dodge, and Gaylord Flood; two of who Mr. Varner directly hired (and the other indirectly through an acquisition), Mr. Varner passes all the Federal standards as an exempt executive employee.

Mr. Hartline further testified given his management duties to the Company, location at the Company headquarters, travel on behalf of the Company during the test case year, and no other visible means of employment (e.g. – no other W-2 wages) it is likely that in the absence of a wage from the Company the IRS would impute a wage during an audit. This imputed wage (for the purpose of assessing payroll tax) would likely be set at the maximum FICA wage, which during the test case year was \$113,700 (set to be \$118,500 in 2015).

Mr. Hartline testified there is a very troubling aspect to the position of staff with regard to Mr. Varner and he hesitated to even contemplate it as he is certain that a) it is not intentional and b) it is likely that staff does not understand its implication. Mr. Hartline further testified that that in the past staff has questioned the (advanced) age of Company employees and if those employees are still effective. Age is a huge driver of our healthcare costs and Mr. Varner, having entered his seventh decade, incurs substantially greater healthcare charges. Obviously as a Company we cannot even think about such issues without being open to age discrimination violations.

Mr. Hartline further testified that Mr. Varner is an employee, he is tasked with the responsibilities of an executive, as an executive he is a signatory and is often called on as such, he has personnel reporting to him and management areas to which he is responsible, and he receives a W-2. It is the opinion of the Company, that in accordance with the law, the duties tasked to Mr. Varner as an exempt employee can reasonably be expected to be completed in a forty-hour workweek. Since staff only took issue with the status of Mr. Varner as an employee and not his level of compensation then we are to assume that if he is found to be an employee the entire amount of his compensation, benefits, and taxes are to be restored without adjustment.

Mr. Hartline testified that with regard to what level he should be compensated, consider one of the historic norms above, his level of compensation in the 2010 case. For the prior test year Mr. Hartline ended 2009 at \$197,165. For the 2013 test case year Mr. Hartline ended the year at \$198,163. However, due to the allocation of a portion to the other jurisdictions (which was not applicable in PUD200900010) compensation by the Oklahoma rate payer declined to approximately \$165,724 for the 2013 test case year.

Mr. Hartline testified that Staff compared him to other gas managers in the State of Oklahoma to calculate the \$80,000 reduction to his compensation. Mr. Hartline testified that this examination is incorrect as Mr. Hartline is an executive not a manager with levels of duties and responsibilities beyond that of a manager. An administrative manager (again summarizing FLSA 29 CFR Part 541) performs office work related to general business operations and exercises discretion and independent judgment with respect to the management of the business or its customers. He testified that while he does display these aspects he also performs in the manner of an executive as described above with hiring, firing, and wage responsibility as well as numerous direct reports of department heads throughout the Company.

Mr. Hartline testified that staff has suggested that he should reasonably be expected to live in Oklahoma or at least one of the jurisdictions in which Navitas has operations. He stated that this is an interesting notion for a number of reasons. First, wages and salaries in Tennessee are substantially greater than Oklahoma and wages and salaries in Kentucky are substantially greater again than in Tennessee. A salary survey provided to the Company by PayChex of chief executives in Lexington Kentucky places the median at \$172,120. Similarly, top Lexington Kentucky financial managers receive \$174,680 annually. Mr. Hartline testified that staff acknowledged it would not be unreasonable to allow an amount substantially beyond what they have suggested, which would be applicable to another jurisdiction. Second, and this issue seems to come up time and time again, not a single soul in Oklahoma sought to invest in any of the twenty-two sub-systems the Company has in Oklahoma. Thus all empirical evidence suggests the exact contrary position to the Oklahoma residency requirement by staff.

Mr. Hartline testified that staff made a specious argument in testimony that Mr. Hartline should be compensated at \$65,000. Mr. Hartline stated that this argument is most telling as to the lack of seriousness and quality with regard to the analysis of this issue. As such the Company requested PUD adjustments H-2, H-6, and H-7 be rejected.

Mr. Hartline testified at issue is what combined federal and state income tax rate is applicable. The calculation is as follows: Federal rate + (State rate x (1-Federal rate)).

As submitted the Company used the top marginal corporate rates as follows:

$$35\% + (6\% \times (1-35\%)) = 38.9\%$$

Mr. Hartline testified that Staff has taken issue with three aspects of the calculation, 1) are corporate rates applicable, 2) if individual rates are applicable then what state should be used, 3) which income gets taxed as the first dollar.

Mr. Hartline further testified that it is the opinion of the Company that the Commission should have a set and consistent policy of applying corporate tax rates to all jurisdictional entities. To do otherwise subverts other State public policy establishing LLC and S-corp tax treatment, creates an incongruity of knowingly trading one incorrect assumption for another (namely applying Oklahoma State income tax rates to individuals residing in another State), diminishes the pay of the individuals and their spouses by pushing wage earnings into higher tax brackets and potentially takes legally favored tax treatment from other investments (i.e. – tax free

municipal bond income). Finally, it is a complicated exercise of guesswork creating uncertainty and discouraging investment in Oklahoma.

Mr. Hartline further testified that Corporate rates are applicable because: a) historically in Oklahoma and other jurisdictions that has been the treatment applied; b) C-corp tax treatment is available to the Company with a nearly instantaneous selection; c) it creates certainty and fairness.

Mr. Hartline testified that if the Commission elects to apply individual rates then it should do so at the State rates applicable to the investors, which would be the California rate in excess of 10%.

Mr. Hartline further testified that if the Commission elects to apply individual rates then it should not try to divine which income is the first dollar but rather apply all at the top marginal rate of 39.6%

Mr. Hartline testified the Company would like to make a change to the submitted rate. Since all corporate income between \$335,000 and \$10,000,000 is taxed at an effective rate of 34% the calculation is as follows: $34\% + (6\% \times (1-34\%)) = 37.96\%$

Mr. Hartline testified that he had reviewed the testimony filed by Michael K. Knapp on behalf of the PUD.

Mr. Hartline testified that he did agree with Dr. Knapp's testimony where he recites the U.S. Supreme Courts holding regarding guidelines to determine a fair rate of return. On page 6 of his testimony he recites the Supreme Court as holding that, "From the investor or company point of view it is important that there be enough revenue not only for operating expenses but also for the capital costs of the business. These include service on the debt and dividends on the stock..." Mr. Hartline further testified that he did not agree with his conclusion that a return on equity of 10.5% and corresponding return on investment of 8.52% accomplishes these objectives.

Mr. Hartline testified that although he did not have a degree in economics as does Dr. Knapp he does have a significant amount of business experience and Mr. Varner, a shareholder and employee of Navitas has extensive experience in the financial markets. Our experience has proven to that to properly operate a regulated public utility the company needs sufficient revenues to pay the operating expenses, service on the debt and dividends on the stock regardless what the formulas may show. Mr. Hartline further testified that he wanted to be clear regarding the basis for the requested 14% return on equity (ROE). As stated in response to Data Request MKK-1 from the PUD, our support for our requested 14% ROE is premised on the testimony of Chris Klein, PhD filed on behalf of the Tennessee Consumer Advocate in a recent rate case for our operations in Tennessee. Mr. Hartline stated that Dr. Klein determined a cost of equity for Fort Cobb's Tennessee operations of 15.4% based on a times interest earned ratio (TIER) of 2.0. Mr. Hartline testified that he provided a copy of Dr. Klein's testimony with his response to MKK-1. In making this determination Dr. Klein relied on the same cost of capital concepts as

did Dr. Knapp¹ in his analysis. That is both relied on the economic principles for determining the allowed rate of return set out by the U.S Supreme Court in Bluefield Water Works v. P.S.C (262 U.S. 679, 1923) and P.P.C. v. Hope Natural Gas Co. (320 U.S. 591, 1944). Both Dr. Knapp and Dr. Klein selected a group of comparable companies upon which they conducted their respective evaluations.² The results of Dr. Knapp's analysis being his recommendation of a 10.5% ROE, while Dr. Klein concluded that a comparable firms analysis of the cost of equity using stock market data is not possible for Fort Cobb but stated an equity return consistent with a comparable interest coverage ratio can be done³. Mr. Hartline testified that Dr. Klein then recommended a cost of equity be set to yield an after-tax interest coverage ratio of 2.0. Mr. Hartline stated that Dr. Klein's recommendation of a times interest earned ratio (TIER) resulted in a rate of return on equity for Fort Cobb of 15.4%. The Oklahoma Commission recognizes and has used the TIER method in other rate cases. The most recent Mr. Hartline could find is PUD 201400100. In that case the Dr. Knapp recommended a 1.5 TIER rather than using the comparable companies' methodology.

Mr. Hartline stated that Dr. Knapp testified that for all appearances the water utility should be treated as a coop. It appears that Dr. Knapp based his decision on the small size of the utility as well as the methods it uses to obtain capital. Mr. Hartline testified that as he read Dr. Knapp's testimony to state that since the utility does not go to the public market for equity funding and its source of debt funding is not obtained in the traditional manner, that the TIER method should be used in place of the comparable company analysis. Mr. Hartline testified that he believed Fort Cobb is similar to the utility in PUD 201400100 in that the Company does not go to the public market to get equity capital and since our owners must personally guarantee our debt we certainly do not obtain debt funding in the traditional way. Mr. Hartline testified that it makes sense to use the TIER method or make adjustments to the comparable company method to recognize the many differences between Fort Cobb and the selected group of comparable companies used by Dr. Knapp in this analysis. It is also important to note the Commission adopted the 1.5 TIER coverage requirements in Order Number 632955 as agreed to by the parties in that Cause. Mr. Hartline testified he prepared a schedule that show the additional revenues Ft Cobb would need based on accepting the PUD adjustments H-1, H-3, H-4 and H-8 as set forth above, adjusting out the WinStar revenue to be consistent with PUD's removal the WinStar pipeline and using our actual annual interest expense adjusted to remove the interest expense associated with the WinStar pipeline of \$101,226. That schedule was attached to Mr. Hartline's testimony as Attachment A. Mr. Hartline further testified that it is easily seen that the 2.0 TIER recommended by Dr. Klein and adopted by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority results in additional annual revenues of \$1.023 million. This shows that under either the rate of return calculation or TIER the Company needs in excess of \$1,000,000 of additional annual revenue. Mr. Hartline testified that he believed this supported FCFA's position for the request of additional annual revenue of \$1.2 million.

Mr. Hartline testified while in preparing his rebuttal testimony he reviewed the rate of return testimony filed in PUD 201100087 and Order Number 632955 issued in that Cause. In

¹ See Responsive Testimony of Michael K. Knapp filed December 16, 2014 in this Cause, beginning at page 5

² See Responsive Testimony of Michael K. Knapp filed December 16, 2014 in this Cause, beginning at page 7. ³ Pre-Filed Direct Testimony of Christopher C. Klein, PH.D. on Behalf of the Tennessee Attorney General Consumer Advocate and Protection Division, November 15, 2012 filed in Docket No. 12-00068 Before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, Nashville, Tennessee at page 11.

that Cause PUD witness Nicholas Fiegel did, at least as it appeared to him, a similar comparable company analysis using the same principals as did Dr. Knapp in this cause. In PUD 201100087 PUD's witness stated that "a size premium is added to compensate for OG&E's size (a small utility company) relative to the proxy group (large companies)." Beginning on page 7 of Dr. Knapp's filed testimony he describes the methodology he used to arrive at his recommendation for Fort Cobb's cost of capital and on page 13 of his testimony he identified his chosen comparable companies. He identified his choice of "comparable natural gas distribution companies" upon which he conducted his analysis as AGL Resources, Atmos Energy, Laclede Group, New Jersey Resources, Northwest Natural Gas, Piedmont Natural Gas, South Jersey Industries, Southwest Gas and WGL Holdings. Mr. Hartline testified that he did quick survey of publically available data on each of the chosen "comparable natural gas companies" and found there are substantial differences between Fort Cobb and its operations and the each of the chosen comparable natural gas companies. First each of the "comparable natural gas companies" is publically traded where Fort Cobb is not. Secondly, each of the "comparable natural gas companies" has substantially more customers, some have combination electric and gas utility operations while others have operations in addition to their utility operations. Fort Cobb only operates small natural gas utility systems and is not truly comparable to the chosen comparable natural gas companies used by Dr. Knapp in his analysis. Mr. Hartline testified that the summary of his findings of the comparable companies are reflected on Attachment B. Clearly, if OG&E is small compared to the comparable companies used by PUD in their analysis in PUD 201100087, then FCFA is very small as compared to the companies chosen and used by Dr. Knapp in his analysis in this Cause and thus the ROE arrived at by Dr. Knapp should be adjusted upward. Additionally, it is important to note that in the final order issued in PUD 201100087 the Commission adopted a ROE of 10.2% which was higher than the 9.81% recommended by PUD in filed testimony.

Mr. Hartline testified that Dr. Knapp stated in his testimony that he believes that a rate of return is "fair" if it provides earnings to investors similar to returns on alternative investments in companies of equivalent or comparable risk and provide investors adequate compensation for the risk they assume and to give Fort Cobb the ability to attract capital. Mr. Hartline further testified as he stated in his testimony filed in this Cause in the request for interim rate relief, in 2013 the Company implemented a line of credit for operations guaranteed by the principals in the amount of approximately \$550,000. However, that line of credit is effectively overdrawn by \$75,000 with \$625,000 of operating capital drawn to support the operations of the Company. This line of credit must be paid down to \$550,000 immediately and revolve within a twelve month period which expired mid-spring. Without additional capital now the bank will cancel this line making it virtually impossible to cash flow the business through the slow sales months until next December. Additionally, the principals of the Company have contributed significant equity capital over the past two-years with at least one of the principals borrowing against his 401K and fully drawing on a credit card to fund additional capital requirements. Another principal has loaned the Company over \$300,000 in 2014 for working capital needs. With no more funds available to contribute or loan to the venture the principals believe the utility needs to operate under its own cash flow and move the Company where it has sufficient earnings to repay its debt and be able to pay a reasonable dividend to our shareholders. Mr. Hartline testified that

⁴ Pre-Filed Responsive Testimony of Nicholas Fiegel, MBA, filed on November 9, 2011 IN PUD 201100087 beginning on line 15, page 3.

the Company must have net income after tax annually sufficient to pay the interest expense of \$354,290 and have the opportunity to pay the shareholders a dividend. He stated that this is in line with Dr. Knapp's testimony to provide investors adequate compensation for the risk they assume and to give Fort Cobb the ability to attract capital. Therefore, he still supports the 14% rate of return on equity requested or in the alternative a 2.0 TIER. Using either method FCFA should receive an additional \$1 million plus in annual revenue.

Rate Design Rebuttal Testimony of Thomas Hartline filed January 15, 2015

Mr. Hartline identified issues with regard to revenue requirement that remain at issue based on PUD's filed testimony. He stated that the Company and Staff have had limited discussion regarding these issues to date but there are several particular aspects of the rate design testimony that need to be addressed. Regarding the design of the rates in particular he addressed the need for summer cash flow as well as competitive alternatives to natural gas. He testified that the principle area at issue is utilizing the proper amount of billing determinants. This issue is critically important in determining if FCFA can earn the revenue requirement. He testified that a severe overestimation of billing determinants in the last rate case has dramatically affected the ability of the Company to achieve the revenue requirement laid out in case PUD 2010 00026. Mr. Hartline further explained the issues with regard to the revenue requirement addressed in the testimony of Jeremy Schwartz on behalf of the PUD. Mr. Hartline stated that in his previous rebuttal testimony he discussed the issue of allocation in that for many charges Oklahoma is only allocated 83.63% of each expense dollar. He testified that he identified a number of areas where this occurred; however, Mr. Schwartz only added back one of the areas leaving the remaining outstanding. Additionally, the Company demonstrated that only a portion of the revenues from the WinStar system were removed from staff exhibits and this was not corrected. Also, Schwartz's calculations used the actual terms and conditions revenue versus using the adjusted terms and conditions revenue recognized in other PUD staff testimony. And the rate design figures also included the WinStar terms and conditions revenue, which should be removed to be consistent with other PUD witness testimony. Mr. Hartline also discussed a number of factors that have been consistently put forth by the Company to staff over the years. He testified of the need for additional cash flow in the summer as he addressed in PUD201300071; the competitive environment with the unregulated electric co-ops; the goal of moving Fort Cobb and LeAnn rates together; our competitive environment with propane; and intermittent use of the agricultural users. He testified that it appears that proper weight was not given to most if not all of these issues in PUD's proposed rate design. He testified that there is a small group of very vocal, organized customers, generally living proximate to each other in a tony enclave, led by a verbally abusive former customer that appears to inundates staff with complaints and it seems staff is trying to placate these complaints by their residential rate design choice. He testified that this approach is a wrong course of action and is at the expense of the seasonal needs of many of my residential and agricultural customers and the cash flow needs of the Company. He testified that the Company needs tiered rate structures. He stated that tiered rates are the appropriate bridge between flow based rates and fixed recovery based rates. He testified that FCFA's rate design needs to accomplish four goals: 1) customer retention, 2) sufficient cash flow, 3) competitive pricing, 4) dynamic non-cross-class-subsidization. Mr. Hartline then testified that the company's customers have more viable energy choices than their urban counterparts. First, the vast majority of Oklahoma natural gas customer, residing in either Tulsa or Oklahoma City do not have the option of siting a propane tank on their property or taping into the energy

switching subsidies offered by the unregulated electric co-ops. Second, because the cost of serving extremely low density rural customer is so much great than serving their urban counter parts propane, diesel, or electric choices become financially viable. He further testified that a big part of our customer retention is a low customer charge and he also noted that it is his observation that the customer activists referenced above never mention that FCFA's customer charge is substantially lower than ONG and extremely small when compared to their electric company customer charge. He testified that for months on end the company has agricultural customers that use no gas, literally over a hundred customers some months of the year. He further testified that the company has scores of second home customers not using gas in a particular month. He testified that the company has many customers who only have HVAC heating usage or that coupled with just a range. He testified that the company does not want the agricultural user considering switching to diesel since it doesn't cost the farmer to have it sit unused. He further testified that the company does not want the second home user to think, 'I could pay for a pellet stove with all the high customer charges I'm paying'. Nor does the company want the low or no summer usage customer to succumb to the co-op temptations of going all electric. He further testified that the company pursues these goals through a limited customer charge coupled with a high first units charge finished off by a second tier flow charge to generate the appropriate revenue per class. He testified that the rates proposed by PUD does not achieve these goals and he perceives that staff is seeking to eliminate the tiers ostensibly to make it easier for the folks mentioned above to calculate their bills. Mr. Hartline further testified that many other utilities in Oklahoma have tiered rates including CenterPoint Energy, West Texas Gas, Oklahoma Gas & Electric, Empire District Electric, and PSO to name a few. Mr. Hartline testified that the rates proposed by staff do not generate sufficient cash flow in the summer. He stated that the additional revenue generated by PUD's proposed rates is insufficient to alleviate the company's summer cash flow issues. He cited PUD201400025 as an example of the company's cash flow issues. He testified that currently non-commodity revenue generated through the first tier (1 to 9 units) of the company's residential and commercial class generates \$24 per month for the Fort Cobb service area and \$19.50 for the LeAnn service area. He stated that the PUD proposed rates would generate \$24.23 and \$19.69 for Fort Cobb and LeAnn respectively. This amounts to a 23 cents increase and a 19 cents increase per customer per month during the summer and low usage months. He further testified that the company's proposed residential and commercial rates would produce between \$31.24 and \$31.96 per month per customer for the first nine units. He further noted that the second tier of the company proposed rates starts after five units. He further testified that this amount is not dissimilar to what other utilities rates produce and he gave the example of a company facility on the CenterPoint system and it pays \$27.66 in non-commodity revenue on the first nine units. Mr. Hartline then discussed the pressure of competitive pricing on the company. He stated that as discussed above the relatively higher cost of serving rural customers makes other energy alternatives economically viable. He testified that the company must be cognizant of the competitive alternatives available to its customers and not price the company's services out of the market. He gave the example that currently delivered propane costs between \$1.69 per gallon and \$2.19 per gallon depending on the delivery location. To compare propane to natural gas one multiples the price per gallon by 100,000 BTUs/91,000 BTUs. Thus the company's maximum delivered price needs to be less than \$1.86, subtracting our current PGA of \$0.61 yields a maximum tariff of \$1.25. He further testified that propane tank rental is similar to typical customer charges. He testified that similar calculations can be made for diesel motors or electric heating. Mr. Hartline then discussed dynamic non-cross-class-subsidization. He stated

that class subsidization is when one group of customers enjoys utility rates less than the cost of service at the expense of another group of customers paying more than their cost of service. He testified that the dynamic aspect of it is tied to the competitive pricing previously discussed. He stated that effectively the competitive price places a ceiling on rates even if those rates are insufficient to recover the cost of service. In a static environment this is ceiling is ignored and there is no consideration for energy switching effects. In a dynamic environment energy switching effects are recognized. It is understood that energy switching will cause the loss of the no-longer subsidized class customers. In turn causing the rates on the disproportionate charges class to increase even beyond those charged when subsidizing the other group. Mr. Hartline then discussed how the Company arrived at its billing determinants. He testified that the calculation of billing determinants begins with the monthly "Billing Edit List - Revenue Class Totals" sheet produced by the Company billing software, Continental. He stated that generally this data can be used directly; however, care must be taken to look for any post-closing re-reads. A re-read is a meter that is read again due to a meter reading exception. While the system checks for usage anomalies pre-closing occasionally a change, usually initiated by the customer, occurs postclosing. As an audit security standard once a billing is closed that data cannot be changed. The "Revenue Class Totals" and any post-closing "Transaction List" reports are transcribed to the Billing Determinants Workbook spreadsheet. The workbook comprising the components and spreadsheet was posted to the electronic data room, which members of the PUD and Attorney General's staff had unlimited access. The workbook data was placed in the supplemental package spreadsheet work-paper tab WP M-4-1 TCY Flow. It initially included the WinStar system; however, this was subsequently removed based on PUD's filed position to remove the investment, expenses and revenue associated with WinStar. The test case year (TCY) flow data was placed in the weather normalization worksheets along with other data and the runs are calculated. He further testified that in the filing the weather normalization runs are done by active tariff classes, Residential & Commercial and Industrial for LeAnn and Residential & Commercial, Industrial, Agricultural Heat, and Agricultural Power for Fort Cobb. Mr. Hartline further testified that subsequent to staff rate design testimony, that members of the PUD indicated a preference for running them by sub-class for each accounting unit. The weather normalized data in the initial filing (including WinStar) totaled 3,365,414 CCF; however, the correlation to the Agricultural Power data was insufficient and TCY data was used. The weather normalized flow is transcribed to WP M-4-1 WN Flow. Mr. Hartline testified that since in the filing the weather normalization was by tariff class only tariff class data was transcribed consistent with the method employed in PUD201000026. The runs with the WinStar data removed were posted by sub-class. He testified that the annualized customer loss worksheet used in Mr. Schwartz' testimony is also posted in the electronic data room. Mr. Hartline further testified that from the customer loss data the calculation of 92.35% was applied to the weather normalized flows. The final billing determinants totals relied on by the company in its proposed rate design are 3,192,072 CCF. Based upon PUD's removal of WinStar the billing determinants totals decline to 3,078,383 CCF, as indicated in WP M-4-1 W&CL Flow. Mr. Hartline testified that similar to the weather normalization worksheet only the class total were calculated in the filing but the submission with the WinStar data removed was posted by sub-class. Mr. Hartline then proceeded to describe how normalized revenues are calculated using the weather normalized and customer loss billing determinants. He stated that these billing determinants along with the proposed rates are used to calculate the required revenue as performed on WP M-4 Proposed Revenue. Mr. Hartline testified that at the request of PUD staff on Tuesday January 14, 2015 tariff revenue was calculated by sub-class. He stated that for example, even though the

Company proposed final Commercial tariff is the same for Fort Cobb and LeAnn they are calculated separately rather sub-totaling their data together. Mr. Hartline then described the effect of running the calculation at the subclass level had. He stated that the flow characteristics of Residential and Commercial are substantially dissimilar. Recognizing this on a sub-class level coupled with the revenue goals laid out in the COSS cause the Company to pursue different rates for these two sub-classes. Mr. Hartline then testified that the effect of removing the WinStar system from the calculation of revenue requirements results in a new annual normalized revenue requirement of \$4,003,884 as reflected on supplemental B-1. Mr. Hartline then testified that with the removal of the WinStar system and the change in revenue requirement and other modifications throughout the rate case process, the Company's proposed tariff rates changed to those shown in WP M-3-2. Mr. Hartline then explained the structural changes between the initially filed rates and those reflecting the removal of the WinStar system and the change in revenue requirement and other modifications that occurred throughout the rate case process. He stated that the Company sought to strike a balance between the notion of a surcharge on the first units and the desire of PUD staff to have only a single flow tariff. As such the Company eliminated the surcharge and went simply to a five unit first tier and balance of flow second tier Moreover, with the exception of the Industrial class all the classes are conceptually similar with a customer charge, limited unit first tier and balance of flow second tier. Mr. Hartline then testified to the stated goal by both the Company and PUD to bring the tariffs together. He stated that through the Company proposed rates this goal is nearly accomplished. The uniform Industrial tariff and customer charge was in place under the current rates, though staff was seeking to move even the sub-class customer charges apart within the same class. Under the proposed final rates the first tiers are uniform throughout, the Fort Cobb and LeAnn Commercial rates are unified and only a ten-cent difference exists between the Residential rates. Mr. Hartline then testified that for a number of reasons it is clear the bill determinants used by the staff are incorrect. First, the total billing determinants in their testimony exceed the weather normalization output. Second, the sub-class data staff used to build their analysis was incorrect as only class data had been adjusted. Third, their data did not have the weather normalization re-running subsequent to the removal of the WinStar system. Mr. Hartline then stated that he does not believe that staff maliciously used an incorrect data set, because their goal is to obtain the correct revenue requirement for all involved. He stated that it seemed to him to be just a simple inadvertency. Mr. Hartline testified that in fact he knew Mr. Schwartz had the right figures in mind as they spoke on the phone on or about January 7, 2015, at which time they briefly compared bill determinants notes whereby Mr. Hartline stated he had just over three-million and Mr. Schwartz indicated he had very similar numbers which stands to reason given Mr. Schwartz had reviewed and accepted the weather normalization and customer loss data as indicated by his testimony. Mr. Hartline then testified that it's not even clear to him that Mr. Schwartz was cognizant of the disconnect until they discussed it subsequent to Mr. Schwartz filing his testimony on January 9, 2015. Mr. Hartline then stated that essentially, with no time left it was up to the Company to clear up the issue through rebuttal testimony. Mr. Hartline testified that the weather normalization needed to be rerun because with the removal of the WinStar system the amount and pattern of the input data changed significantly necessitating a re-running of the weather normalization. Mr. Hartline then discussed the COSS undertaken by staff. He testified that the Company found the concepts interesting and the level of detail and work involved was substantial and the study was educational. He then stated that it is worth noting that it is a bit of a moving target as contested or subsequently adjusted figure have the effect of changing the results as would flow pattern changes from weather or other effects. Mr.

Hartline then summarized the company request that the Commission adopt the revenue requirement of \$4,003,883 shown on supplemental B-1 included with the schedules attached to his testimony filed on January 15, 2015, and that the Commission adopt the Company proposed tariff structure and tariff rates included in column Final on supplemental WP M-3-2 included with the schedules attached to his Rebuttal testimony filed on January 15, 2015.

<u>Testimony of Thomas Hartline in Support of the Stipulation presented at the Hearing on the Merits on February 11, 2015</u>

Mr. Hartline testified in support of the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement filed on February 2, 2015. Mr. Hartline testified on behalf of the Company and stated that the stipulating parties requested the Commission to approve the parties' compromise of the issues presented in this Cause as set forth in the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement. Mr. Hartline further testified that the stipulating parties represent to the Commission that the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement represents a fair, just and reasonable settlement of the issues, and that the terms and conditions are in the public interest. Mr. Hartline urged the Commission to issue an order in this Cause adopting and approving the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement in its entirety. Mr. Hartline summarized the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement by stating the parties agreed to the total revenue requirement of \$3,745,000, excluding the cost of gas; that the parties agree to tariff rates and structures reflected on the tariff sheets marked as Exhibit "1," attached to the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement; and that the new tariff rates and structures reflect the parties' next step to continue the process begun in Cause No. PUD 201000026 to unify the customer class rates and structures of the Fort Cobb and former LeAnn Gas Company class rates and structures. He stated that the parties believe it is in the best interest of the customers and company to continue the move toward the unification of the class rates and structures in future causes; and that the stipulating parties further agree that every residential and commercial customer bill, every month, shall include language making it clear that the first five Ccfs are charged on a "per Ccf" basis. Mr. Hartline concluded by stating that Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement resolves the Company's request for interim and permanent rate relief requested by the Company in this Cause.

Testimony of the Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma

Rate Design Rebuttal Testimony of Edwin Farrar filed January 14, 2015

Edwin Farrar testified as to his educational and professional background as a Certified Public Accountant. He had testified previously before the Commission and his qualifications as an expert had been accepted. Mr. Farrar recommended certain rate design elements proposed by PUD.

Mr. Farrar noted that Fort Cobb requested that it be allowed to use the expertise of PUD to design rates. Mr. Farrar stated that Fort Cobb had proposed to merge the rates of the Fort Cobb and LeAnn divisions and the Company had relied on high first block rates in its rate design. Mr. Farrar testified that PUD instead proposed to keep separate rates for Fort Cobb and LeAnn. Mr. Farrar stated that the most significant difference in PUD's and Fort Cobb's rate design was in the customer charge for industrial and agricultural customers, where the Company

proposed low or no customer charges and high first block consumption block charges, and PUD proposed large customer charges with lower energy charges.

Mr. Farrar explained that most non-commodity costs of a utility are fixed in nature which supports the use of a larger customer charge and that the cost imposed on the system by sporadic consumption is nearly the same as continuous users of natural gas. The use of a higher customer charge generally allows the use of a lower volumetric charge, which in turn helps the industrial or agricultural consumer to increase their production at a lower cost. Mr. Farrar testified that a low customer charge with a higher volumetric charge to benefit seasonal customers should be supported by evidence that such rates do not abuse those customers or other customers on the system. Mr. Farrar recommended that PUD's rate design be adopted by the Commission.

Testimony of the Public Utility Division

Responsive Testimony of Jeremy Schwartz filed December 16, 2014

Jeremy Schwartz is employed by the Public Utility Division as a Public Utility Regulatory Analyst. Mr. Schwartz testified to detailing the areas that PUD reviewed in the application filed by Fort Cobb. Mr. Schwartz also discussed PUD's review process and provided an overview of PUD findings.

It is impractical for PUD to look at every account and every entry made during the test year. However, PUD reviewed areas that appeared to have a major impact on the rates and charges passed through to ratepayers. PUD had eleven analysts review the application filed by Fort Cobb; however, seven made adjustments and/or filed testimony. The following analysts filed testimony: Bob Thompson covered the PUD accounting exhibit and overall accounting adjustments; Michael Knapp, PhD, covered ROR, ROE, and capital structure; Kiran Patel covered employee benefits, pensions, and medical; David Garrett covered outside services, payroll taxes and expenses, and employee insurance costs and expenses; Javad Seyedoff covered rate case expenses, regulatory assets/expenses/liabilities, revenues, and expenses; Paul Newmark covered customer deposits, bad debt expense, and the WinStar pipeline; Tracy Izell covered 1099s, tax returns, and organizational documents.

PUD reviewed all information and testimony provided by the Company as a part the Supplemental Application. PUD further reviewed Commission orders, prior testimony, and work papers relating to FCFA.

PUD recommended that the Commission approve all adjustments proposed by PUD analysts as laid out in responsive testimonies. PUD stated that its testimonies, calculations, and corresponding adjustments are fair, just, reasonable, and in the public interest.

Responsive Testimony of Robert Thompson filed December 16, 2014

Robert Thompson, CPA, is employed by the PUD of the Oklahoma Corporation Commission. Mr. Thompson's testimony focused on the following issues:

- Accumulated Depreciation: Mr. Thompson proposed no adjustment to the accumulated depreciation included in rate base.
- Cash Working Capital: Mr. Thompson proposed an adjustment to the cash working capital (CWC), which includes all of PUD's proposed changes to those accounts included within the CWC calculation. Mr. Thompson agreed with the Company's cash working capital methodology. This adjustment would decrease cash working capital included in rate base by (\$75,794).
- Accumulated Deferred Income Tax: Mr. Thompson proposed an adjustment to update accumulated deferred income tax. The adjustment would increase accumulated deferred income taxes included in rate base by (\$112,994).
- Depreciation and Amortization Expense: Mr. Thompson proposed to adjust the depreciation and amortization expense related to the plant in service. The adjustment would increase the revenue requirement by \$6,739.
- Interest Synchronization: Mr. Thomspson proposed an adjustment to the interest expense within the income tax calculation to reflect changes to the rate of return and rate base. Interest synchronization is a method that provides an interest expense deduction for regulatory income tax purposes equal to the ratepayer's contribution to FCFA for interest expense coverage. The adjustment for interest synchronization would decrease the net income before income tax by (\$120,275).
- Current Tax Expense: Mr. Thompson proposed an adjustment to current income taxes to reflect PUD's adjustments to the operating income statement, including the revenue deficiency, resulting in a net decrease to FCFA's operating income of (\$156,547).
- Conclusion: Mr. Thompson requested that the Commission accept PUD's recommendation regarding the Company's total revenue requirement based on the individual rate base and income statement adjustments described in this testimony. Mr. Thompson stated the adjustments recommended are fair, just, reasonable and in the public interest. Mr. Thompson stated the recommendations struck a balance between the Company and Oklahoma ratepayers.

Responsive Testimony of David Garrett filed December 16, 2014

Mr. Garrett filed direct testimony on behalf of PUD on December 16, 2014 with regard to the issues of outside services expense, payroll expense, and payroll taxes. First, Mr. Garrett recommended an adjustment of (\$87,533) to decrease outside services expense. This recommendation is based on removing amounts paid during the test year for legislative advocacy, and normalizing the expense level to the four-year average of the outside services accounts.

Mr. Garrett also proposed two adjustments to payroll expense, the first being an adjustment of (\$151,319) to remove the salary of Richard Varner. Mr. Garrett testified that Fort Cobb had not met its burden of proof to substantiate the specific duties performed by Mr. Varner, and had not demonstrated that Mr. Varner should be compensated as an employee of the

Company in addition to his equity earnings as an investor. Mr. Garrett also proposed an adjustment of (\$78,264) to decrease the salary of Thomas Hartline. This adjustment was based on statistical surveys from the Bureau of Labor Statistics for gas utility managers in Oklahoma. Fort Cobb did not perform a salary survey. Thus, Mr. Garrett proposed a total payroll adjustment of (\$229,584) to decrease payroll expenses.

Finally, Mr. Garrett recommended an adjustment of (\$11,387) to decrease payroll tax expense in accordance with his payroll expense adjustments.

Responsive Testimony of Javad Seyedoff filed December 16, 2014

Mr. Javad Seyedoff, MBA, filed pre-filed responsive testimony on December 16, 2014. Mr. Seyedoff reviewed the following areas: prepayments, customer advances and interest on customer advances, current and prior rate case expenses, utility assessment and others, miscellaneous general expenses, regulatory assets, regulatory liabilities, revenues and expenses, and affiliate/subsidiary transactions service corporation allocation.

For the areas listed above, Mr. Seyedoff recommended one adjustment for a total increase to Schedule H expenses in the amount of \$14,000.

In the area of prepayments, Mr. Seyedoff recommended a reduction from the thirteen month average to the test year-end level. Mr. Seyedoff studied both six-month post test year and thirteen month data provided by the Company. Mr. Seyedoff considered an adjustment of (\$658) to reduce prepayments to the test year level. However, Mr. Seyedoff proposed no adjustment to prepayment because of the immateriality of the adjustment in this Cause.

For current rate case expenses, based on information provided by the Company, Mr. Seyedoff recommended an estimated total amount of \$28,000, amortized over two years. Mr. Seyedoff's adjustment H-1 increased the current rate case expense for the test year by \$14,000.

The total amount of this adjustment represented an increase of \$14,000 in PUD schedule H. After a thorough review and audit of each area, PUD did not propose any additional adjustments in Mr. Seyedoff's assigned areas.

Responsive Testimony of Kiran Patel filed December 16, 2014

Ms. Kiran Patel is employed by PUD and filed Responsive Testimony on December 16, 2014.

The purpose of Ms. Patel's testimony was to present PUD's analysis and recommendation as to Fort Cobb Fuel Authority, L.L.C.'s Supplemental Application, filed on October 9, 2014.

Ms. Patel reviewed the Company's adjustments to "Employee Benefits," "Other Employee Benefits," "Pensions and Medical - 401K," "Non-Recurring Expense Adjustment," and "Employee Expense Reimbursement - Executive Expense." After a thorough review and audit of each area, Ms. Patel proposed an adjustment in the amount of \$25,019 to decrease

"Employee Benefits" for Mr. Varner, stating he did not appear to be directly involved with the oversight of people or functions of Fort Cobb, therefore he did not appear to provide benefits to Oklahoma ratepayers.

Ms. Patel proposed an adjustment of \$49,910 to decrease "Employee Expense Reimbursement - Executive expenses." Ms. Patel did not recommend reimbursement payments of \$2,500 for each executive, as these payments were not supported by receipts or other documentary evidence. Ms. Patel recommended that the Company implement a travel policy that follows commonly used guidelines for travel reimbursement and record keeping.

Ms. Patel proposed an adjustment of \$13,000 to increase Mr. Thomas Hartline's travel expense. PUD developed a reasonable travel budget for Mr. Hartline based on records of his actual travel during the test year. The \$13,000 increase should allow for travel to Oklahoma from California and back to attend to the affairs of Oklahoma utilities.

Responsive Testimony of Dr. Michael Knapp filed December 16, 2014

Dr. Michael K. Knapp of the Public Utility Division filed Responsive Testimony on December 16, 2014. The purpose of Dr. Knapp's testimony was to present evidence on four items in the October 9, 2014 application of Fort Cobb. Fort Cobb requested a rate of return ("ROR") of 9.99 percent, based on a capital structure of 33.27 percent common equity and 66.73 percent long term debt. The Company proposed an allowed return on equity ("ROE") of 14.00 percent and an embedded cost of debt of 8.00 percent. Dr. Knapp's testimony addressed:

- allowed return on equity
- the Company's proposed capital structure
- embedded cost of long-term debt
- allowed rate of return

Dr. Knapp's testimony and its accompanying analysis developed PUD's recommendation of a fair rate of return for the Company.

Throughout his testimony, Dr. Knapp used a standard for a recommended return that is consistent with the concept of a "fair rate of return" for a public utility's invested capital. The Supreme Court determined the guidelines for a fair rate of return in Bluefield Water Works and Improvement Company vs. Public Service Commission, 262 U.S. 679 (1923) ("Bluefield"), as further modified in Federal Power Commission vs. Hope Natural Gas Company, 320 U.S. 591 (1944) ("Hope").

First, Dr. Knapp examined current economic conditions and debt yields to determine the condition of capital markets. Second, in deference to the standards of both *Bluefield* and *Hope*, he selected a group of comparable natural gas distribution companies upon which he conducted his evaluation. Third, Dr. Knapp examined relevant financial statistics of the proxy utilities as benchmarks for FCFA. Fourth, Dr. Knapp developed Discounted Cash Flow analyses and Capital Asset Pricing Models to estimate the ROE for each of the proxy groups. Fifth, Dr. Knapp compared the proposed capital structure to the comparison group of gas distribution companies to determine if the Company's cost of capital is reasonable.

Dr. Knapp conducted his financial analysis. The DCF model produced a range of ROE estimates with a low of 8.45 percent to a high of 10.52 percent. The CAPM analysis ranged from 9.11 percent to 9.45 percent. He observed that the current Federal Reserve policy of maintaining low interest rates has influenced the financial analysis. While its impact on the DCF model is indirect, the influence is more substantial on the CAPM analysis. Based on the results of his DCF and CAPM analysis as well as the comparison of the earned ROEs of comparable gas utilities, Dr. Knapp recommended that the Commission approve a ROE in the range of 10.0 to 10.5 percent.

Dr. Knapp noted that FCFA requested a rate of return that consisted of 33.27 percent common equity and 66.73 percent. Consistent with *Bluefield* and *Hope*, Dr. Knapp imputed a capital structure consistent with other gas utilities. He determined the appropriate capital structure is 56.10 percent common equity and 43.90 percent long-term debt. While Dr. Knapp analysis found that the 6.00 percent embedded cost of debt appropriate, he excluded the Company's requested 200 basis point adder as more properly recovered in ROE. Dr. Knapp testified that this produces an allowed rate of return of 8.52 percent.

After reviewing the Direct Testimony of Company Witness Thomas Hartline, prior Commission orders in other gas company causes, conducting analysis of current economic conditions, capital markets, Federal Reserve policy regarding interest rates, examining comparable financial statistics, and conducting DCF and CAPM analyses of the cost of equity capital, Dr. Knapp recommended that the Commission allow FCFA:

- To use a capital structure consisting of 43.90 percent long-term debt and 56.10 percent common equity
- To receive an embedded cost of long-term debt of 6.00 percent reflecting the cost of debt
- A return on common equity of 10.50 percent
- A rate of return of 8.52 percent

Responsive Testimony of Paul Newmark filed December 16, 2014

Mr. Paul Newmark, Senior CPA/Auditor, filed testimony in this cause on December 16, 2014. Mr. Newmark is employed by the Public Utility Division ("PUD") of the Oklahoma Corporation Commission. Mr. Newmark reviewed Fort Cobb's filed application documents, prior PUD causes and testimonies, and the National Association of Regulatory Commissioners Audit Manual. In addition, Mr. Newmark reviewed FCFA records on-site at the Eakly, Oklahoma office.

Mr. Newmark was assigned areas of review which included the following accounts: Customer Deposits, Interest on Customer Deposits, Plant in Service and Bad Debt Expense. Mr. Newmark proposed adjustments to the Customer Deposit account and the Plant in Service account. The Customer Deposit account adjustment of \$3,720 was recommended to reconcile the amount to the year-end balance. This recommendation follows the principle of recording the

greater of the 13 month average or the year-end balance. This adjustment increased the total amount deducted from the rate base calculation by \$3,720.

The Plant in Service account included an entry for "Mains" in the amount of \$2,170,033. This amount was identified as being the cost associated with 16 miles of WinStar pipeline built in Love County. The proposed adjustment was to deduct the total amount of \$2,170,033 from the rate base as the project was not considered useful to the Fort Cobb ratepayers.

Mr. Newmark's review of the remaining accounts, Interest on Customer Deposits and Bad Debt Expense, did not produce any recommended adjustments. The differences calculated were minor and determined to be insignificant in the Cause.

Responsive Testimony of Tracy Izell filed December 16, 2014

Ms. Tracy Izell is employed by the Public Utility Division and filed Responsive Testimony on December 16, 2014. The purpose of Ms. Izell's testimony was to present PUD's analysis and recommendation for the Application filed by Fort Cobb.

PUD's review process consisted of reviewing the supplemental application, direct testimony and supporting schedules for the relief requested by Fort Cobb. PUD conducted several on-site visits with the Company to review supporting documentation. Two of these visits were in Eakly, Oklahoma, and one was in Costa Mesa, California. PUD reviewed prior causes for Fort Cobb. In addition, PUD issued several data requests to the Company's representatives for supporting documentation and clarification on specific items.

Ms. Izell's specific areas of review were the following: Tax Returns, 1099s, and organizational documents. Navitas Utility Company's set up is tedious to follow and the review included learning the interplay and relationships between entities, affiliates, and owners. Ms. Izell encouraged Fort Cobb to use 'class' items in QuickBooks to make the entities more separate, distinct, and easier to follow transactions. Currently, in order to input items into QuickBooks, Mr. Irwin moves back and forth from a spreadsheet to QuickBooks, sometimes going back and forth multiple times. In addition, because Mr. Irwin is charged with keeping the books of several companies all having transactions between each company, it can be time consuming and inefficient to work from program to spreadsheet multiple times. Ms. Izell also recommended that Mr. Irwin attend an Intuit QuickBooks training. This will enable Mr. Irwin to learn about class categorization, as well as other features in QuickBooks that would help Fort Cobb have clearer, properly-separated records.

Responsive Testimony of Jeremy Schwartz filed January 9, 2015

Jeremy Schwartz is employed by the Public Utility Division as a Public Utility Regulatory Analyst and filed Responsive testimony on January 9, 2015. The purpose of his Responsive testimony was to discuss Fort Cobb's cost of service and rate design proposal; present PUD's proposed rate design; and discuss the impact to the cost of service analysis and

rate design in general for all PUD adjustments, which resulted in a base rate revenue increase of \$406,479,5 for a total operating revenue requirement of \$3,595,118.

PUD determined that the revenue figures proposed by the Company did not include the necessary adjustments for weather normalization and customer loss. This created an additional adjustment to current revenues, resulting in an increase to the revenue deficiency in the amount of approximately \$54,189. Also, PUD is proposed an adjustment to the original salary adjustment to account for the Company's 83.63 percent Oklahoma jurisdictional amount. This additional adjustment was in the amount of approximately \$37,583.

In Mr. Schwartz testimony, PUD disagreed with the way the Company relied upon the output of their cost of service study ("COSS"). Fort Cobb did not use fully the allocation factors to determine the actual revenue requirement by class. Therefore, the rates proposed by the Company were not based on the cost to serve each class of customers. Also, PUD considered the results of a fully allocated COSS essential in designing rates and the best basis for determining changes to the current rate design. Without developing a fully allocated COSS, PUD could not determine if cross subsidization among classes exist. In other words, some classes may end up paying more than their costs on the system and some would not pay enough. This would create a discrepancy in class parity as well. The ideal rate design would have a parity of 1 for each class, meaning that each class pays only costs incurred for that class.

While the Company's method to allocate costs based on jurisdictional customer count is not necessarily incorrect; PUD does not view the Company's procedure as the most accurate and effective method for estimating costs on the system by class. Costs should be allocated using factors that more closely match the causes of those costs. Because of the important role cost allocation factors play in determining cost responsibility, the revenue requirement, and rate design, PUD recommends a more robust COSS and allocation process, similar to the analysis developed by PUD in this cause.

Mr. Schwartz presented Figure 4 below as an excerpt of PUD's proposed cost of service analysis that summarizes the total company revenue requirement and its distribution to the retail customer classes with the proposed adjustments.

⁵ Responsive Testimony of Robert C. Thompson, page 5, lines 19-21 and in lines 7 and 11, Section A, of PUD's Accounting Exhibit.

Responsive Testimony of David Garrett, page 10, line 8.

FIGURE 4 PUD'S COST OF SERVICE STUDY RESULTS AT PROPOSED

PUD - Proposed Rates						Proposed		·····				
Description		Total	R	tesidential	C	ommercial	1	ndustrial	A	g Power		Ag Heat
Rate Base											-	
Plant in Service	\$	11,089,111	\$	7,799,919	\$	1,053,295	\$	1,191,286	\$	607,824	\$	436,787
Accumulated Depreciation	\$	6,531,175	\$	4,597,034	\$	619,718	\$	700,799	\$	356,618	\$	257,006
Other Rate Base items	\$	8,309	\$	(3,224)	\$	(449)	\$	12,276	\$	1,306	\$	(1,601)
Total Rate Base	\$	4,566,245	\$	3,199,661	\$	433,129	\$	502,763	\$	252,512	\$	178,179
Revenues At proposed Rates												
Delivery Revenue	5	3,549,216	\$	2,339,387	\$	499,730	\$	235,857	\$	234,200	\$	240,044
Miscellaneous Revenues	\$	83,483	\$	54,054	\$	10,938	\$	5,927	\$	6,078	\$	6,485
Total Revenues	\$	3,632,699	\$	2,393,441	\$	510,668	\$	241,784	\$	240,278	\$	246,528
Expenses at Current Rates												
O&M Expenses	\$	2,559,418	\$	2,070,366	\$	193,524	\$	145,723	\$	91,706	\$	58,098
Deprectiation Expense	\$	462,273	\$	325,376	\$	43,863	\$	49,602	\$	25,241	\$	18,191
Taxes other than Income Taxes	\$	65,191	\$	45,885	\$	6,186	\$	6,995	\$	3,560	\$	2,565
Investment Tax Credit	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$		S	•
Total Expense Current	\$	3,086,882	\$	2,441,627	\$	243,573	\$	202,321	\$	120,507	\$	78,854
Income Taxes	\$	156,547	\$	109,696	\$	14,849	\$	17,236	\$	8,657	5	6,109
Current Operating Income	\$	389,271	\$	(157,881)	\$	252,246	\$	22,227	\$	111,114	\$	161,566
Return at Proposed Rates		0.085250		(0.05)		0.58		0.04		0.44		0.91
·		1.00		(0.58)		6.83		0.52		5.16		10.64

Mr. Schwartz presented in Figure 5 a summary from PUD's cost of service analysis that shows the revenue distribution at current rates, equalized rates, and at PUD's proposed rates.

FIGURE 5 PUD'S REVENUE DISTRIBUTION RESULTS

	R	levenue @	RROR @	ı	Revenue @	RROR @	1	Revenue @	RROR @	%
		Current	Current		Equalized	Equalized		Proposed	Proposed	Change
Residential	\$:	1,975,466.48	(6.83)	\$	2,770,039.13	1.00	\$	2,339,386.52	(0.58)	18%
Commercial	\$	399,753.32	14.73	\$	284,408.14	1.00	\$	499,729.68	6.83	25%
Industrial	\$	216,623.40	0.25	\$	256,490.32	1.00	\$	235,856.62	0.52	9%
Ag Power	\$	222,130.59	16.43	\$	144,612.57	1.00	\$	234,200.04	5.16	5%
Ag Heat	\$	236,993.60	37.28	\$	93,667.73	1.00	\$	240,043.60	10.64	1%
Total	\$3	3,050,967.39		\$	3,549,217.88		\$	3,549,216.46		

Additionally, Mr. Schwartz showed the revenue increases by class according to PUD's revenue distribution in Figure 6:

FIGURE 6 REVENUE INCREASE/DECREASE BY CLASS

	R	evenue @	R	evenue @		Revenue
		Current		Proposed	Incr	ease/Decrease
Residential	\$ 1	1,975,466.48	\$2	2,339,386.52	\$	363,920.04
Commercial	\$	399,753.32	\$	499,729.68	\$	99,976.37
Industrial	\$	216,623.40	\$	235,856.62	\$	19,233.22
Ag Power	\$	222,130.59	\$	234,200.04	\$	12,069.45
Ag Heat	\$	236,993.60	\$	240,043.60	\$	3,050.00
Total	\$:	3,050,967.39	\$:	3,549,216.46	\$	498,249.07

PUD's proposed rate design was as follows:

Residential - FCFA

\$13.34 Customer Charge \$1.21 per Ccf for all flow

Commercial - FCFA

\$13.34 Customer Charge \$1.21 per Ccf for all flow

Residential – LeAnn

\$11.50 Customer Charge \$0.91 per Ccf for all flow

Commercial – LeAnn

\$11.50 Customer Charge \$0.91 per Ccf for all flow

Ag Heat

\$50.00 Customer Charge \$0.90 per Ccf for all flow

Ag Power

\$20.00 Customer Charge \$0.82 per Ccf for all flow

Industrial

\$126.00 Customer Charge \$1.08 per Ccf for all Ccf between 4 – 3,003 Ccf's \$0.011 per Ccf for all Ccf between 3,004 – 10,000 Ccf's \$0.01 per Ccf for all Ccf over 10,000 Ccf's

PUD proposed removing the first volumetric block "surcharge" for the residential, commercial, Ag heat, and Ag power classes. PUD's proposal for those classes used a customer charge and one flow rate for each class. PUD believed that this would increase customer awareness of the impact of their monthly usage on their total bill by simplifying the calculation necessary to estimate a total bill. Also, this would simplify the data recording and future reporting for FCFA. PUD's rate structure also maintained separate rates for the FCFA and LeAnn residential and commercial classes.

Mr. Schwartz recommended that the Commission approve the following:

- FCFA should submit a more complete cost of service study with their next rate case, similar to the analysis developed by PUD in this cause
- Approval of the weather normalized and customer loss adjusted billing determinants;

- Approval of the increase of \$37,583 to PUD's previous adjustment to executive salaries due to the Oklahoma allocation percentage;
- Approval of PUD's adjusted revenue requirement of \$3,632,699 of which \$83,483 are miscellaneous revenues and the remaining \$3,549,216 are delivery revenues;
- Approval of PUD's cost of service analysis and proposed class distribution of the delivery revenues as shown in Figure 4 of this testimony;
- Approval of PUD's recommended rate design and all rate structure changes

As a result of PUD's recommendation, Mr. Schwartz presented in Figure 7 the monthly impacts on Fort Cobb and LeAnn customers:

FIGURE 7 PUD CUSTOMER IMPACTS

Customer Imp	act at PUD	Pro	posed	Rate	es
Class	Annual CCf		innual crease		onthly
Ft Cobb Residential	585	-	50	\$	4.21
LeAnn Residential	585	\$	157	\$	13.11
Ft Cobb Commercial	2,917	\$	262	\$	21.80
LeAnn Commercial	2,917	\$	915	\$	76.27
Industrial	137,253	\$	4,125	\$	343.78
Ag. Power	4,442	\$	167	\$	13.90
Ag. Heat	17,704	\$	212	\$	17.64

Testimony in Support of the Stipulation filed February 9, 2015

Jeremy Schwartz, PUD analyst, filed Testimony in Support of the Stipulation on February 9, 2015. Pursuant to the Stipulation, the parties agreed to a revenue requirement and rate design.

With respect to the revenue requirement, PUD, the AG, and the Company agreed to a revenue requirement amount of \$3,745,000. Fort Cobb requested a revenue requirement amount of \$4,829,780 in its application filed on June 30, 2014. In its supplemental application filed October 9, 2014, Fort Cobb requested a revenue requirement amount of \$4,564,810. The revenue requirement agreed upon by the parties is \$1,084,780 lower than Fort Cobb's original request and \$112,301 higher than the amount proposed in PUD's Responsive Testimony filed on January 9, 2015.

With respect to the agree-upon rate design, PUD, the AG, and the Company agreed, for the purpose of the Stipulation, to a rate design as summarized below:

Residential - FCFA

- \$18.00 Customer Charge
- \$ 3.00 per Ccf for 1-5 Ccfs
- \$ 1.23 per Ccf for all remaining flow

Commercial - FCFA

- \$16.00 Customer Charge
- \$ 3.00 per Ccf for 1-5 Ccfs
- \$ 1.23 per Ccf for all remaining flow

Residential - LeAnn

- \$16.00 Customer Charge
- \$ 2.96 per Ccf for 1-5 Ccfs
- \$ 0.80 per Ccf for all remianing flow

Commercial – LeAnn

- \$14.00 Customer Charge
- \$ 3.05 per Ccf for 1-5 Ccfs
- \$ 0.75 per Ccf for all remaining flow

Ag Heat

- \$20.00 Customer Charge
- \$30.00 for the first Ccf
- \$ 0.90 per Ccf for all remaining flow

Ag Power

- \$20.00 Customer Charge
- \$10.75 for the first Ccf
- \$ 0.83 per Ccf for all remaining flow

Industrial

- \$134.00 Customer Charge 0-5 Ccf
- \$ 1.20 per Ccf for all Ccf between 6 3,005 Ccfs
- \$ 0.10 per Ccf for all Ccf between 3,006 10,000 Ccfs
- \$ 0.01 per Ccf for all Ccf over 10,000 Ccfs

Regarding the Company's rate structure, PUD, the AG, and the Company agreed to the following changes:

- Moving the first block Ccf charge from 1-9 Ccfs to 1-5 Ccfs, per Ccf, for the residential and commercial classes
- Separating the rates of Residential and Commercial customers
- Using the gradualism approach in bringing the LeAnn residential and commercial rates to the same level as their respective Fort Cobb classes.

Figure 1 below shows a comparison of the impacts on customer classes based on FCFA's proposed rates from their supplemental application and the rates agreed to in this settlement.

FIGURE 1 CUSTOMER IMPACTS

Customer impa	ect at FCFA	Pro	posed	Rat	es	Customer in	pact at Stip	ula	ted Rat	es	
Class	Annual CCf		innual crease		onthly or	Class	Annual CCf		nnual crease		lonthly
Ft Cobb Residential	585	\$	172	\$	14.33	Ft Cobb Residential	585	\$	224	\$	18.69
LeAnn Residential	585	\$	521	\$	43.42	LeAnn Residential	585	\$	277	\$	23.05
Ft Cobb Commercial	2,917	\$	476	\$	39.67	Ft Cobb Commercial	2,917	\$	480	Ś	40.01
LeAnn Commercial	2,917	\$	2,050	\$	170.83	LeAnn Commercial	2,917	\$	754	\$	62.83
Industrial	137,253	\$	29,486	\$2	2,457.17	Industrial	137,253	\$	7,608	Ś	634.00
Ag. Power	4,442	\$	6,882	\$	573.50	Ag. Power	4,442	\$	330	Ś	27.52
Ag. Heat	17,704	\$	2,176	\$	181.33	Ag. Heat	17,704	\$	201	\$	16.74

Mr. Schwartz stated that PUD, the AG, and the Company agreed for the purpose of this Stipulation to recommend that the Commission accept and adopt all proposals as described in the Stipulation and as summarized below:

- The agreed upon revenue requirement amount of \$3,745,000
- The agreed upon rate design and all rate structure changes

PUD believes that all parties to this Cause made a good faith effort to settle the issues in this Cause in a manner that was beneficial to all. The resulting Settlement Agreement is one that is fair, just, and reasonable, and based on a revenue requirement that will allow the Company to provide safe and reliable service to its ratepayers.

Testimony of Jeremy Schwartz in Support of the Stipulation presented at the Hearing on the Merits on February 11, 2015

At the hearing on the merits, Mr. Schwartz testified in support of the parties' Stipulation. Mr. Schwartz summarized PUD's role in a rate case such as this one, which includes balancing the interests of the Company and its customers. He also summarized PUD's extensive review process, which included an extensive review by over eleven assigned employees, and several onsite visits to the Company's counsel's office in Oklahoma City, OK; two on-site visits to the Company's Oklahoma headquarters in Eakly, Oklahoma; and a visit to the Company's corporate headquarters in Costa Mesa, CA.

Pursuant to the Stipulation, the parties agreed to a revenue requirement and rate design.

Mr. Schwartz acknowledged that PUD, the Attorney General, and the Company agreed to a revenue requirement amount of \$3,745,000, a figure which was significantly lower than that requested by the Company in its original and supplemental applications.

Mr. Schwartz then detailed the parties' agree-upon rate design and resulting customer impacts, as well as changes to the Company's rate structure, all as detailed in PUD's Testimony in Support of the Stipulation.

Mr. Schwartz testified that PUD believes that all parties to this Cause made a good faith effort to settle the issues in this Cause in a manner that was beneficial to all, and that the resulting Settlement Agreement is one that is fair, just, and reasonable, and based on a revenue requirement that will allow the Company to provide safe and reliable service to its ratepayers.

Testimony of Robert Thompson in Support of the Stipulation presented at the Hearing on the Merits on February 11, 2015

In response to questioning from the ALJ, Mr. Robert Thompson testified at the hearing that he was involved as an accountant in the Cause, that the Stipulation represented a resolution of all issues, and that there was evidence to support the agreed-upon revenue requirement.

Attachment "B"

BEFORE THE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF OKLAHOMET CLERK'S OFFICE - OKC CORPORATION COMMISSION TTER OF THE APPLICATION) OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
FOR A CHANGE OR MODIFICATION IN
THE RATES, CHARGES AND TARIFFS OF
FORT COBB FUEL AUTHORITY, L.L.C.

CAUSE NO. PUD 201400140

Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement

COMES NOW the undersigned parties to this proceeding and present this Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement ("Stipulation") for Commission approval as the parties' compromise of the issues presented in this Cause. The Stipulating Parties represent to the Commission that this Stipulation represents a fair, just and reasonable settlement of the issues, and that the terms and conditions are in the public interest. The Stipulating Parties urge this Commission to issue an order in this Cause adopting and approving this Stipulation in its entirety. It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and among the Stipulating Parties as follows:

- (1) Neither this Stipulation nor any of the provisions hereof shall become effective unless and until the Commission shall have entered an order approving, without modification, the terms and provisions herein, without supplemental or additional terms, conditions and provisions. The provisions of this Stipulation are intended to relate only to the specific matters referred to herein, and by agreeing to this settlement, no party waives any claim or right to which it might otherwise have with respect to any matters not expressly provided herein. Furthermore, no party hereto admits to the correctness or appropriateness of any of the contentions of another. The Stipulating Parties specifically state and recognize that the Stipulation represents a negotiated settlement with respect to the issues presented herein and is a balance and compromise of the positions of each party hereto in consideration of the agreements and commitments made by the other parties in connection herewith. Accordingly, the Commission shall explicitly recognize that the execution of this Stipulation by each party hereto shall not be constructed as agreement or acquiescence by any one or all parties to any particular calculation, adjustment, theory or issue, except as specifically stated herein.
 - (2) The undersigned parties stipulate and agree to the following:
 - A total revenue requirement of \$3,745,000, excluding the cost of gas.
 - b) The parties agree to tariff rates and structures reflected on the attached tariff sheets marked as Exhibit "1," attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
 - c) The new tariff rates and structures reflect the parties' next step to continue the process begun in Cause No. PUD 201000026 to unify the customer class rates and structures of the Fort Cobb and former LeAnn Gas Company class rates and structures. The parties believe it is in the best interest of the customers and company to continue the move toward the unification of the class rates and structures in future causes.

Joint Stipulation & Settlement Agreement PUD 201400140 Page 2 of 2

- d) The parties further agree that every residential and commercial customer bill, every month, shall include language making it clear that the first five Ccfs are charged on a "per Ccf" basis.
- e) Nothing contained herein shall constitute an admission by any party that any allegation or contention in these proceedings as to any of the foregoing matters is true or valid and shall not in any respect constitute a determination by the Commission as to the merits of any allegations or contentions made in this proceeding.
- f) The Stipulating Parties agree that the provisions of this Stipulation are the result of negotiations, and the terms and conditions of this Stipulation are interdependent. The Stipulating Parties agree that this Stipulation is in the public interest and, for that reason; they have entered into this Stipulation to settle among themselves the issues in this Stipulation. This Stipulation shall not constitute nor be cited as a precedent nor deemed an admission by any Stipulating Party in any other proceeding except as necessary to enforce its terms before the Commission or any state court of competent jurisdiction. The Commission's decision, if it enters an order consistent with this Stipulation, will be binding as to the matters decided regarding the issues described in this Stipulation, but the decision will not be binding with respect to similar issues that might arise in other proceedings. A Stipulating Party's support of this Stipulation may differ from its position or testimony in other causes. To the extent there is a difference, the Stipulating Parties are not waiving their positions in other causes. Because this is a stipulated agreement, the Stipulating Parties are under no obligation to take the same position as set out in this Stipulation in other dockets.

WHEREFORE the undersigned Stipulating Parties submit this Stipulation as their negotiated settlement of the issues in the proceedings identified herein, and respectfully request the Commission to approve this Stipulation without change.

C. Eric Davis, Assistant General Counsel

Public Utilities Division, Oklahoma Corporation Commission

Ron Comingdeer, Attorney Kendall Parrish, Attorney

Fort Cobb Fuel Authority, LLC

Jerry Sanger, Assistant Attorney General Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma Fort Cobb Oklahoma Irrigation Fuel Authority, L.L.C.

Original Page 11" Revised Page 1

P.O. Box 183
Eakly, Oklahoma 2303

Replacing Original Page 1

Eakly, Oklahoma 73033 (405) 797-3303

Applies to the Entire Fort Cobb Service Area including the LeAnn Division

STANDARD RATE SCHEDULE

Section I-General Service & Industrial Rate Schedule:

I-A STANDARD SERVICE RATES (1)

Availability: Natural gas service under this rate schedule is available to any individually metered customer. Natural gas service is not available under this rate schedule for resale to others. Natural gas service is subject to all of the policies, rules and regulations as filed by Fort Cobb Oklahoma Irrigation Fuel Authority, L.L.C. ("Fort Cobb" or "Company") with the Oklahoma Corporation Commission ("Commission") and the orders and rules promulgated by the Commission.

Residential and Commercial Rate:

Rates for Fort Cobb's LeAnn Division austomore:

LeAnn Division customers:	Residential	Commercial
Customer Service Charge	\$6.0016.00	\$14.00
First 95 Ccfs per month	\$1.5002.96 per Ccf	\$3.05 per Ccf
Over 95 Ccfs per month	\$0.5850.80 per Ccf	\$0.75 per Ccf
Domestic Tap	\$0.455 per Ccf	N/A

Rates for all other Fort Cobb customers: The charge for natural gas supplied at one point of delivery, unless otherwise noted, in any monthly period will be:

Residential	Commercial
11.1101,23 per Cef	\$16.00 \$3.00 per Ccf \$1.23 per Ccf N/A
	56.0018.00 52.0003.00 per Cef

Residential — Only those customers to which the service is supplied to a residence such as a home, cottage or mobile home. The customer shall be responsible to pay the monthly Customer Service Charge for each meter connected, even if no natural gas is consumed by the customer.

Commercial — Those customers such as churches, schools, restaurants, nursing homes, municipal buildings, commercial stores or other outlets such as service stations, and

Rates Authorized by	the Oklahoma	
Corporation Commi	ssion	
Effective:	Interim Order No.:	Cause/Docket No.:
		PUD 201400140
March 18, 2013	608323	PUD 201000026
January 11, 2011	581864	PUD 201000217
December 31, 2010	581539	PUD 201000022

Exhibit "1"

Fort Cobb Oklahoma Irrigation Fuel Authority, L.L.C. P.O. Box 183

Original Page 11st Revised Page 2
Replacing Original Page 1

Eakly, Oklahoma 73033

(405) 797-3303

like customers. Usage by such users will be reported as "Commercial volumes and revenues". The customer shall be responsible to pay the monthly Customer Service Charge for each meter connected, even if no natural gas is consumed by the customer.

Domestic Tap customers are those single meter customers receiving service where their service drop is directly connected to and they are receiving natural gas directly from a transmission line and not directly from the Company's distribution system.

Applicable state agency taxes, federal agency taxes, surcharges and fees shall apply in addition
to the rates and charges herein and shall be remitted to the appropriate agency.

Rates Authorized by the Oklahoma

Corporation Commission

Effective: Interim Order No.: Cause/Docket No.: PUD 201400140

March 18, 2013 608323 PUD 201000026

January 11, 2011 581864 PUD 2010000217

December 31, 2010 581539 PUD 201000022

Fort Cobb Oklahoma Imigation Fuel Authority, L.L.C. P.O. Box 183 Eakly, Oklahoma 73033 (405) 797-3303

Original Page 31st Revised Page 3
Replacing Original Page 2

Applies to the Entire Fort Cobb Service Area including the LeAnn Division

STANDARD RATE SCHEDULE

Agriculture Power Rate:

Customer Facility Charge First Ccf All Ccf over first Ccf

\$6.0020.00 per month \$12.0010.75 \$-0.790.83 per Ccf

The Agriculture Rate Schedule shall be applied to those customers of the Company who are engaged in the use of natural gas as fuel for engines as a power source for the lifting or distribution of water for agricultural irrigation purposes or other rural energy generation needs. The Company will evaluate other similar prospective uses on a case-by-case basis and if any dispute exists between a prospective customer and the Company as to the applicability of this rate, the matter will be forwarded to the Commission for resolution under the existing rules. The rate for all usage over the first Ccf will be further reduced by \$0.01 for each Ccf if the customer has more than one irrigation meter through which natural gas was measured that month. There will be no consolidation of meters except for the calculation of the rate over the first Ccf. For example, if the customer has five (5) irrigation meters that flowed natural gas during a given month, then the customer will be billed \$6.00 per meter and \$12.00 for the first Ccf through each meter and the rate for each Ccf above the first Ccf through each meter will be \$0.74 (\$0.79 - .05) for that month. A disconnection charge of \$50.00 will apply for each meter that is disconnected from the system.

Agricultural Heat Rate: Customer Facility Charge

First Ccf All Ccf over first Ccf \$6.00<u>20.00</u> \$4<u>2.0030.00</u> \$-0.89<u>0.90</u> per Cef

The Agricultural Heat rates shall apply per meter. This rate schedule shall be applied to those customers of the Company who utilize natural gas for fuel in the process of drying agricultural products. It shall also be applied to those customers who utilize natural gas for fuel in supplying heat, hot water, or steam for the operation of livestock operations. Other similar prospective uses will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis by the Company and if any dispute exists between a prospective customer and the Company as to the applicability of this rate, the matter will be forwarded to the Commission for resolution under the existing rules.

Rates Authorized by	the Oklahoma	
Corporation Commis	sion:	
Effective:	Order No.	Cause/Docket No. PUD 201400140
July 24, 2014	628223	PUD 201400019
March 18, 2013	608323	PUD 201000026
December 31, 2010	581540	PUD 201000026
December 31, 2010	581539	PUD 201000022

Fort Cobb Oklahoma Irrigation Fuel Authority, L.L.C. P.O. Box 183

Original Page 41st Revised Page 4

Replacing Original Page 3

Eakly, Oklahoma 73033 (405) 797-3303

Applies to the Entire Fort Cobb Service Area including the LeAnn Division

STANDARD RATE SCHEDULE

Industrial Rate:

First 1-35 Ccf per month	\$100.00 <u>134.00</u>
46-30035 Ccf per month	\$ 1.001.20 per Ccf
30046-10,000 Cef per month	\$ 0.10 per Ccf
10,001 and over per month	\$ 0.01 per Ccf

The Industrial rates shall apply per customer, not per meter, where multiple meters are placed at the convenience of the Company to serve an individual customer and/or the meters are located within a contiguous land area where use rights are vested with the customer. The Industrial Rate Schedule shall be applied to those customers of the Company whose usage is such that it is more economical for the customer to be on the industrial tariff versus the commercial tariff.

Compressed Natural Gas Rate - Retail:

AT

Customer Facility Charge Tier 1 - 1 to 3,000 Ccf (& all Ccf during establishment period) Tier 2 - 3,001 to 6,000 Ccf	\$600.00 \$ 0.11 per Ccf
Tier 3 – 6,001 to 10,000 Ccf Tier 4 – 10,001 to 25,000 Ccf	\$ 0.22 per Cef \$ 0.33 per Cef \$ 0.09 per Cef
Tier 5 - All Ccf over 25,000 Ccf	\$ 0.01 per Ccf

Natural gas service under this rate schedule is available for providing gas service to a compressed natural gas facility, at any point on Company's system where adequate capacity presently exists, or can be provided in accordance with the rules of the Oklahoma Corporation Commission, or the system of another pipeline with respect to which the Company has an agreement with such pipeline or is taking gas pursuant to a tariff for such service but only to the extent that: (1) the meter for such customer exists as of the effective date of this tariff; (2) service is required by law; and (3) service is agreed to by such pipeline. The customer will provide, install and maintain all necessary compression facilities including the equipment to be installed on the Customer's vehicles. The Customer is required to provide electrical service and power to the compression facilities.

AT

Rates Authorized by Corporation Commi		na
Effective:	Order No.	Cause/Docket No.
		PUD 201400140
July 24, 2014	628223	PUD 201400019
March 18, 2013	608323	PUD 201000026
December 31, 2010	581540	PUD 201000026
December 31, 2010	581539	PUD 201000022

IN THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ATNASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:)	
PETITION OF NAVITAS TN NG, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF AN ADJUSTMENT)))	Docket No. 19-00057
IN THE RATES, CHARGES, AND TARIFFS)	
RESPONSE OF NAVITAS TO CONSUM		

ATTACHMENT

Q1-42

exceed 100,	,000 for eacl	equired by 49 (n violation for ea \$1,000,000 as	ach day that su	ich violation p	ersists except					0: 2137-0629 TION DATE: 10/31/20)21
2							nitial Date ubmitted:		03/13/2	019	
		ent of Transp Hazardous M		etv Administ	ration	F	orm Type:		INITIAL		
•				.,		5	Date ubmitted:			- A M	**************************************
information s Number for ti time for revie mandatory.	subject to the this informat wing instruct Send comm	e requirements ion collection is ctions, gathering ents regarding	of the Paperwo 2137-0629, P the data need this burden est	erson is not rook Reduction ublic reporting led, and complete, and complete limate or any	CALEND AS DISTR equired to resp Act unless that g for this collec- pleting and rev- other aspect of	REPOR' AR YEAR IBUTION Fond to, nor st at collection of clion of information of information of information of information of the collection of this collection.	FOR R 2018			UI TSK	
examples.	If you do r	ead the separa not have a cop gov/pipeline/	by of the insti	ructions, you	leting this for u can obtain	m before yo one from the	u begin. They PHMSA Pipe	20	(B	TIM	ED
		R INFORMAT				(007	use only)	_ ~	\		1
	of Operato						NAVITAS U		4TF	ORT	#
		FFICE (WHE MAY BE OBT		NAL			ij			_	
	2a. Street	Address		**************************************			3186-D Airw	20	19	0699	-
	2b. City ar	nd County					Costa Mesa		A VEHICLE OF THE STREET, STREE		
	2c. State						CA		OC	141	
	2d. Zip Co	de					92626				
3. OPERA	ATOR'S 5	DIGIT IDENTI	FICATION N	UMBER			32647		VI		
4. HEADO	DUARTER	S NAME & AD	DORESS								
	4a. Street	Address	111				121 EAKLY C	AMPUS RO	DAD		
	4b. City ar	nd County					EAKLY				
	4c. State				·		ОК	······			
<u> </u>	4d. Zip Co						73033				
5. STATE	IN WHICH	SYSTEM OF	PERATES				TN				
							ct Commodity (modity Group i			redominant gas car I	ried and
Natural Ga	as										
		RTAINS TO				ATOR (Selec	t Type of Ope	rator based	on the str	ucture of the compa	iny
Privately C	Owned	**************************************			Va						
PART 8 - 5'	YSTEM DI	SCRIPTION									
1.GENERAL											
	UNPR	ST OTECTED	CATHOD PROTE		PLASTIC	CAST/ WROUGH	DUCTILE	COPPER	OTHER	RECONDITION ED CAST IRON	SYSTEM TOTAL
MILEGOT	BARE	COATED	BARE	COATED	<u> </u>					CASIRUM	
MAIN NO. OF	_				24.69	0	0	0	0	O	24.69
SERVICES	1				654	0	0	0	0	0	654

MATERIAL	UNKNOWN	2" OR LESS	OVER 2" THRU 4"	OVER 4" THRU 8"	OVER 8" THRU 12"	OVER 12"	SYSTEM TOTALS
STEEL	0	0	0	0	o	o	0
DUCTILE IRON	0	0	o	0	0	0	0
COPPER	0	0	o	0	0	0	0
CAST/WROUGHT IRON	0	0	0	0 0		0	0
PLASTIC PVC 0		0	0	0	0	0	0
PLASTIC PE 0		9.53	10.16	5	0	0	24.69
PLASTIC ABS	0	0	0	0	o	0	0
PLASTIC OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	o
OTHER	0	0	0	0	o	o	0
RECONDITIONED 0		0	0	0	0	0	o
TOTAL			9.53 10.16		5 0		24.69
Describe Other M	laterial:						1
	VICES IN SYSTEM A		OVER 1"	OVER 2"	ERAGE SERVICE L OVER 4"		SYSTEM
MATERIAL.	UNKNOWN	1" OR LESS	The contract of the party.			ENGTH: 100 OVER 8"	1
	<u> </u>		OVER 1"	OVER 2"	OVER 4"		SYSTEM
MATERIAL	UNKNOWN	1" OR LESS	OVER 1" THRU 2"	OVER 2" THRU 4"	OVER 4" THRU 8"	OVER 8*	SYSTEM TOTALS
MATERIAL STEEL	UNKNOWN 0	1" OR LESS	OVER 1" THRU 2"	OVER 2" THRU 4"	OVER 4" THRU 8"	OVER 8*	SYSTEM TOTALS 0
MATERIAL STEEL DUCTILE IRON COPPER	UNKNOWN 0 0	1" OR LESS 0	OVER 1" THRU 2" 0	OVER 2" THRU 4" 0	OVER 4" THRU 8" 0	0 0	SYSTEM TOTALS 0
MATERIAL STEEL DUCTILE IRON COPPER ASTAWROUGHT	0 0 0	1" OR LESS 0 0 0	OVER 1" THRU 2" 0 0	OVER 2" THRU 4" 0 0	OVER 4" THRU 8" 0 0	0 0 0 0	SYSTEM TOTALS 0 0
MATERIAL STEEL DUCTILE IRON COPPER CAST/WROUGHT IRON	0 0 0 0	1" OR LESS 0 0 0 0	OVER 1" THRU 2" 0 0 0	OVER 2" THRU 4" 0 0 0 0	OVER 4" THRU 8" 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	SYSTEM TOTALS O D O
MATERIAL STEEL DUCTILE IRON COPPER CAST/WROUGHT IRON PLASTIC PVC	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 1" THRU 2" 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 2" THRU 4" 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 4" THRU 8" 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SYSTEM TOTALS O O O O
MATERIAL STEEL DUCTILE IRON COPPER CAST/WROUGHT IRON PLASTIC PVC PLASTIC PE PLASTIC ABS	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1" OR LESS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 633	OVER 1" THRU 2" 0 0 0 0 21	OVER 2" THRU 4" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 4" THRU 8" 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SYSTEM TOTALS O O O O O O O O O O O O O
MATERIAL STEEL DUCTILE IRON COPPER CAST/WROUGHT IRON PLASTIC PVC PLASTIC PE PLASTIC ABS	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1" OR LESS 0 0 0 0 0 0 633	OVER 1" THRU 2" 0 0 0 0 21	OVER 2" THRU 4" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 4" THRU 8" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SYSTEM TOTALS O D O O O O O O O O O O O
MATERIAL STEEL DUCTILE IRON COPPER CAST/WROUGHT IRON PLASTIC PVC PLASTIC PE PLASTIC ABS PLASTIC OTHER OTHER	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1" OR LESS 0 0 0 0 0 0 633 0 0	OVER 1" THRU 2" 0 0 0 0 21 0	OVER 2" THRU 4" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 4" THRU 8" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 8** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SYSTEM TOTALS O D O O O O O O O O O O O
MATERIAL STEEL DUCTILE IRON COPPER CAST/WROUGHT IRON PLASTIC PVC PLASTIC PE PLASTIC ABS PLASTIC OTHER OTHER ECONDITIONED	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1" OR LESS 0 0 0 0 0 0 633 0 0 0	OVER 1" THRU 2" 0 0 0 0 21 0 0	OVER 2" THRU 4" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 4" THRU 8" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 8* 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SYSTEM TOTALS O O O O O O O O O O O O O
MATERIAL STEEL DUCTILE IRON COPPER CAST/WROUGHT IRON PLASTIC PVC PLASTIC PE PLASTIC ABS PLASTIC OTHER OTHER ECONDITIONED CAST IRON TOTAL	UNKNOWN 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1" OR LESS 0 0 0 0 0 0 633 0 0 0 633	OVER 1" THRU 2" 0 0 0 0 0 21 0 0 21 21	OVER 2" THRU 4" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 4" THRU 8" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 8** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SYSTEM TOTALS 0 0 0 0 0 0 654 0 0 0 654
MATERIAL STEEL DUCTILE IRON COPPER CAST/WROUGHT IRON PLASTIC PVC PLASTIC PE PLASTIC ABS PLASTIC OTHER OTHER ECONDITIONED CAST IRON TOTAL	UNKNOWN 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1" OR LESS 0 0 0 0 0 0 633 0 0 0 633	OVER 1" THRU 2" 0 0 0 0 0 21 0 0	OVER 2" THRU 4" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 4" THRU 8" 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OVER 8** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 654

MILES OF MAIN	0	0	0	o	0	0	14.5	10.19	0		74.50
NUMBER OF	0	0	0	0	0	0	542				24.69
SERVICES PART C - TO	TALLEAKS	AND HAZ	ardous Le	AKS ELIMIN		AIRED DURII		91 R	0	21	654
CAI	JSE OF LEA	ıĸ			MAINS				SERVICE	S	
			TO	DTAL	1	IAZARDOUS		TOTAL		HAZARDO	US
	OSION FAIL			D		0		0		0	
	FORCE DA			0		0		0		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ATION DAM			0		0		1		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	DUTSIDE FO DAMAGE	DRCE		0		0		0		0	
PIPE, WELD	OR JOINT	FAILURE		0		0		0		0	
EQUIP	MENT FAILL	JRE		0		0		0			
INCORRE	CT OPERA	TIONS		1	1	0		0		0	····
ОТІ	IER CAUSE			0		0		0		0	
NUMBER OF R	NOWN SYST	EM LEAKS	AT END OF YE	AR SCHEDUL	ED FOR RE	PAIR · n		V	<u>L</u>	0	
ART D - EXC	TO SERVICE STREET, SERVICE AND ADDRESS.	State bridge burge bear a condension			Marian San San San San	PARTE - EXC	ESS FLOW	VALUE (EFV	AND SER	ICF VALVE	DATA
TOTAL NUM	BER OF EX	CAVATION	DAMAGES	BY APPARE	1	Total Number					
One-Call No			Sufficient: 0		 	Estimated Nur 48	mber Of Serv	ices with EFV	In the Syste	m At End Of	Year:
Locating Pra	ctices Not S	ufficient:	0								
Excavation P	ractices Not	Sufficient:	1		;	' Total Numbe Year: <u>0</u>	r of Manual (Service Line S	hut-off Valve	s Installed Di	uring
Other: 0						Estimated Nu nstalled in the	Imber of Ser System at E	vices with Mar	nual Service	Line Shut-off	Valves

2. NUMBER OF EXCAVATION TICKETS : 231

TOTAL NUMBER OF LEAKS ON FEDERAL LAND REPAIRED OR

PART F - LEAKS ON FEDERAL LAND

PART H - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

SCHEDULED TO REPAIR: 0

*These questions only pertain to reporting years 2017 & beyond.

UNACCOUNTED FOR GAS AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONSUMPTION FOR THE 12 MONTHS ENDING JUNE 30 OF THE REPORTING YEAR.

[(PURCHASED GAS + PRODUCED GAS) MINUS (CUSTOMER USE + COMPANY USE + APPROPRIATE ADJUSTMENTS)] DIVIDED BY (CUSTOMER USE + COMPANY USE + APPROPRIATE ADJUSTMENTS)

PART G-PERCENT OF UNACCOUNTED FOR GAS

TIMES 100 EQUALS PERCENT UNACCOUNTED FOR.

FOR YEAR ENDING 6/30: __0%

PART I. PREPARER	
Vanessa Novak,Attorney	
(Preparer's Name and Title)	(714)242-4064
, ip = 210 minor	(Area Code and Telephone Number)
vnovak@navitasutility.com	(714)850-0876
(Preparer's email address)	(Area Code and Facsimile Number)

IN THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ATNASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:)	
DETITION OF NAVITAC THAC I I C)	
PETITION OF NAVITAS TN NG, LLC	,	D14 N - 10 00055
FOR APPROVAL OF AN ADJUSTMENT)	Docket No. 19-00057
IN THE RATES, CHARGES, AND)	
TARIFFS)	
RESPONSE OF NAVITAS TO CONSUM	ER AD	OVOCATE'S FIRST DISCOVERY
DECLIECT TO NAV	TITE A C 1	TNINC IIC

ATTACHMENT

Q1-55

10/07/2019 01:52:59 PM

Billing Edit List--Revenue Class Totals Navitas TN NG, LLC

From: 10/07/2019 Through: 10/07/2019 REGULAR AND FINAL BILLS Sorted by: Name

REVIOURE Class RESIDENTIAL GAS RESIDENTIAL CUST CHARGE RESIDENTIAL CUST CHARGE RESIDENTIAL COUNTY TAX RESIDENTIAL CITY TAX RESIDENTIAL GRS RCPTS TX RESIDENTIAL FRANCHISE TX COMMERCIAL GAS COMMERCIAL GAS COMMERCIAL COST CHARGE	Number of Bills Actual Usage 199 883. 132 883. 424 16 16 12 12 12 12 193 197 3113.	Actual Usage 883. 883. 3113. 3113.	Billed Usage 883. 883. 883.	Acpt/Rcf 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	Prev. Balance -28931.26 -783.60 1168.73 -113.62 -32.24 -32.24 -32.23 74.93 35.39 35.30	Chartest Charges 1284.33 519.82 3816.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.22,9 32.91 2717.14 1789.47	Hares 9.00 9.00 9.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Current Discount 9,600 8,600 8,600 9,600 9,600 9,600 9,500 9	Net Amt27646-93 -1294-42 -384-13 -313-62 -32-24 -32-24 -32-24 -32-24 -32-24 -32-24 -32-24 -32-24 -32-24 -32-24 -32-24 -32-34	Pending Late Fees 132.7% 61.04 211.70 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.5 54 4.20 161.48 109.73	Gross Annount -27514-15 -1354-6 -13154-6 -13154-6 -13124 -3224 -3224 -3224 -3224 -3224 -3224 -3224 -3224 -3224 -3224 -3224 -3224 -3224
COMMERCIAL FOA	ಕ ಕ	3113. 3113.	1115	0.00	.492.33 7422.63	2717.14	0.00	\$.0 0 0	2224.81 9212.10	161.48	
COMMERCIAL CUST CHARGE	SS.			9.00	315.66	855,00	0.00	9.90	1170.66	86.15	
COMMERCIAL STATE TAX COMMERCIAL CITY TAX	1.3 SE			0.00	-29.88	262.52 0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	-29.88	0.00	
COMMERCIAL GRS KCPTS TX	20			0.00	20.42	131.05	0.00	0.00	41.47 47.21	8.01	
POLC, INDSTRE, & INSTITUTE GAS	10.	3708	3708	0.00	0.00	2984.87	0.00	J).00	2984.87	149.27	
PBLC, INDSTILL, & INSTITUL PGA	10	3708.	3708	0.00	0.00	1907.99	0.96	0.00	1907.99	95.39	
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL CUST CHARGE	. 16			0.00	0.00	224.00	0.00	0.00	224.00	11.20	
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL STATE TAX PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GRS ROPTS TX	4 4-			0.00	0.00	133.99	0.00	0.00	133.99	6.69	
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTTINL FRANCHISE TX	£			0.00	0.00	111.24	0.00	0.00	111.24	5.56	
Grand Total	1302	15408	15408	0.00	-17778.23	64-92221	0,00	0.00	-551-74	1052.63	

From: 09/07/2019 Through: 09/07/2019 REGULAR AND FINAL BILLS Sorted by: Name

	Named of Bills Actual Osage	CREAT CRASE	Billed Usage	Applicat	Balance	Clarge	Taxes	Discount	Net Amt.	Later Fees	Amount
RESIDENTIAL GAS	201	891.	891.	0.00	-25702.96	1295.91	8	999	-24407 OK	141 02	34766 17
RESIDENTIAL PGA	132	891.	891.	0,00	934,06	508.41	0.00	0.00	1442 47	68.41	1510 88
RESIDENTIAL CUST CHARGE	426			0.00	1238.80	3825.00	0.00	0.00	5063.80	215.84	5279.64
RESIDENTIAL STATE TAX	16			0.00	-113.62	0.00	0.9	0.00	-113.62	900	-113 62
RESIDENTIAL COUNTY TAX	12			0.00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00	37 74
RESIDENTIAL CITY TAX	12			0.00	-32.24	0.00	000	000	-32.74	000	17.74
RESIDENTIAL GRS RCPTS TX	131			0.00	90.45	53.16	000	0.00	1416)	A 80	150.41
RESIDENTIAL FRANCHISE TX	107			0.00	67.61	33,70	0.00	0.00	101 31 101 31	484	10613
COMMERCIAL GAS	43	3349.	3349.	-227.12	-918.14	2940.37	90.0	0.00	1795.11	140.67	1935.78
COMMERCIAL PGA	29	3349.	3349.	-171.63	7113.24	1866.51	0.00	0.00	8808.12	91.11	8899.23
COMMERCIAL CUST CHARGE	95			-39.81	242.32	855.00	0.00	0.00	1057.51	46.79	1104.30
COMMERCIAL STATE TAX	20			-34.55	1145.78	294.73	0,00	0.00	1405.96	14.49	1420.45
COMMERCIAL COUNTY TAX	_			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
COMMERCIAL CITY TAX	2			-2.21	-14.53	2.21	0.00	0.00	-14.53	0.00	-14.53
COMMERCIAL GRS RCPTS TX	22			-14.80	523.17	139.39	0,00	0.00	647.76	8.45	656.21
COMMERCIAL FRANCHISE TX	22			-9.88	352.08	110.50	0.00	0.00	452.70	6.68	459.38
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GAS	Ξ	4405.	4405.	0.00	2436.15	3513.23	0.00	0.00	5949.38	297.46	6246.84
FBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUTE PGA	=	4405.	4405.	0.00	2524.15	2269.12	0.00	0.00	4793.27	239.68	5032.95
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL CUST CHARGE	16			0.00	34.36	224.00	0.00	0.00	258.36	12.92	271.28
PBLC, INDSTRU, & INSTITUL STATE TAX	J.			0.00	347.57	378.97	0.00	0.00	726.54	36.33	762.87
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GRS RCPTS TX	Us.			0.00	148.96	164.35	90.0	0.00	313.31	15,66	328.97
PBLC, INDSTRI., & INSTITUL FRANCHISE TX	5.			0.00	124.13	136.28	0.00	0.00	260,41	13.03	273.44
Grand Total	1324	17290	17290	-500.00	-9490.90	18610.84	0.00	0.00	8619.94	1361.08	9981.02

From: 08/07/2019 Through: 08/07/2019 REGULAR AND FINAL BILLS Sorted by: Name

Revenue Class	Number of Bills Actual Usage	Actual Usage	Billed Usage	Met. Dep App/Ref	Prey. Balance	Current Charge	Taxes	Current Discount	Net Amt.	Pending Late Fees	Gross Amount
RESIDENTIAL GAS	202	977.	977.	0.00	-22957.77	1390.34	0.00	0.00	-21567.43	156,41	-21411.02
RESIDENTIAL PGA	137	977	977.	0.00	1130.34	554.64	0.00	0.00	1684.98	79.88	1764.86
RESIDENTIAL CUST CHARGE	429			0.00	1104.42	3861,00	0.60	0.00	4965,42	212.55	5177,97
RESIDENTIAL STATE TAX	17			0.00	-113.62	0.00	0.00	0,00	-113.62	0.00	-113,62
RESIDENTIAL COUNTY TAX	13			0.00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00	-32.24
RESIDENTIAL CITY TAX	13			0.00	32.24	00.0	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00	.32.24
RESIDENTIAL GRS RCPTS TX	135			0.00	103.26	56.97	0.00	0.00	160.23	7.67	167.90
RESIDENTIAL FRANCHISE TX	נונ			0.00	79.79	36.62	0.00	0,00	116.41	5.65	122.06
COMMERCIAL GAS	££	3213.	3213.	0.00	43.64	2810.87	0.00	0,00	2854.51	192.22	3046.73
COMMERCIAL PGA	30	3213.	3213.	0.00	7880.19	1733.39	0.00	0,00	9613.58	131.71	9745.29
COMMERCIAL CUST CHARGE	97			0.00	333.09	873.00	0.00	0.00	1206.09	53.78	1259.87
COMMERCIAL STATE TAX	21			0.00	1188.22	304.58	0.00	0,00	1492.80	18.83	1511.63
COMMERCIAL CHYTAX	12			0.00	-29.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	-29.88	0.00	29.88
COMMERCIAL GRS RCPTS TX	23			0.00	641.09	131.90	0.00	0.00	772,99	14.74	787,73
COMMERCIAL FRANCHISE TX	;;			0.00	#1.13	106.04	0.00	0.00	547.17	11,40	558.57
PBLC. INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GAS	10	3977.	3977.	0.00	16742.03	3185,84	0.00	0.00	19927.87	996,39	20924.26
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL PGA	10	3977.	3977.	0.00	9882.04	2036.95	0.00	0.00	11918.99	595.96	12514.95
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITING CUST CHARGE	16			0.00	99,04	224.00	0.00	0.00	323.04	16.16	339.20
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL STATE TAX	ž.			0.00	0.00	335.82	0.00	0.00	335.82	16.79	352.61
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GRS RCPTS TX	44			0.00	0.00	146.07	0.00	0.00	146.07	7.30	153.37
PBLC, INDSTRI, & INSTITUL FRANCHISE TX	4			0.00	0.00	121.02	0.00	0.00	121.02	6.05	127.07
Grand Total	1345	16334	16334	0.00	16502.53	17909.05	0.00	0.00	34411.58	2523,49	36935,07

From: 07/07/2019 Through: 07/07/2019
REGULAR AND FINAL BILLS
Sorted by: Name

Revenue Class	Number of Bills Actual Usage	schal Usage	Billed Usage	Met. Dep App/Ref	Prev. Balance	Current Charge	Taxes	Current Discount	Net Amt.	Pending Late Fees	Ciross Amount
RESIDENTIAL GAS	230	1191.	1191.	0.00	-20452.09	1685.01	0.00	0.00	-18767.08	181.41	-18585.67
RESIDENTIAL PGA	881	1191.	1191.	0.00	1255.64	670.17	90,0	0.00	1925.81	89.43	2015.24
RESIDENTIAL CUST CHARGE	430			000	1056.84	3870.00	0.00	0.00	4926.84	207.32	5134.16
RESIDENTIAL STATE TAX	16			0,00	-113.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	-113.62	0.00	-113.62
RESIDENTIAL COUNTY TAX	12			000	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00	-32.24
RESIDENTIAL CITY TAX	12			0.00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00	-32.24
RESIDENTIAL GRS RCPTS TX	186			0.00	119.90	68,68	0.00	0.00	188.58	8.60	197.18
RESIDENTIAL FRANCHISE TX	160			0.00	95.53	45.72	0.00	0.00	141.25	6.53	147.78
COMMERCIAL GAS	99	4096.	4096.	0.00	-424.86	3545.64	0.00	0.00	3120.78	183.04	3303.82
COMMERCIAL PGA	37	4096.	4096.	0.00	6989.00	2374.14	0.00	0.00	9363.14	119.11	9482.25
COMMERCIAL CUST CHARGE	97			0.00	272.09	873.00	0.00	0.00	1145.09	51.77	1196.86
COMMERCIAL STATE TAX	25			000	1147.34	311.20	0.00	0.00	1458,54	17.06	1475.60
COMMERCIAL CITY TAX	2			0.00	-29.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	-29.88	0.00	-29.88
COMMERCIAL GRS RCPTS TX	27			00.0	605.54	172.61	0.00	0.00	778.15	14.96	793.11
COMMERCIAL FRANCHISE TX	26			0.00	404.95	133.45	0.00	0.00	538.40	10.94	549.34
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GAS	12	4318.	4318.	0.00	12152.42	3466.73	0.00	0.00	15619.15	780.94	16400.09
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL FGA	12	4318.	4318.	0.00	8075.01	2208.51	0.00	0.00	10283.52	514.17	10797.69
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTTINL CUST CHARGE	16			000	61.82	224.00	0.00	0.00	285.82	14,30	300.12
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL STATE TAX	w			0.00	0.00	4.62	0.00	0.00	4.62	0.23	4.85
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GRS RCPTS TX	w			000	0.00	4.17	0.00	0.00	4.17	0.21	4.38
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL FRANCHISE TX	.3			0.00	0.00	2.78	0.00	0.00	2.78	0.14	2.92
Grand Total	1536	19210	19210	0.00	11151.15	19660.43	0.00	0.00	30811.58	2200.16	33011.74

From: 06/07/2019 Through: 06/07/2019 REGULAR AND FINAL BILLS Sorted by: Name

Revenue Class	Number of Hills Actual Usage	Actual Usage	Billed Usage	Met. Dep App/Ref	Prov. Balance	Current Charge	Taxes	Current Discount	Net Amt.	Pending Late Fees	Gross Amount
RESIDENTIAL GAS	329	2121.	2121.	0.00	-18359.69	2985.03	0.00	0.00	-15374.66	265.41	-15109.25
RESIDENTIAL PGA	305	2121.	2121.	0.00	1639.23	1155,46	0.00	0.00	2794.69	131.23	2925.92
RESIDENTIAL CUST CHARGE	432			0.00	684.10	3888.00	0.00	00.0	4572.10	201.18	4773.28
RESIDENTIAL STATE TAX	16			0.00	-113.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	-113.62	0.00	-113.62
RESIDENTIAL COUNTY TAX	12			0.00	-32.24	0.00	0,08	0.00	-32.24	0.00	-32.24
RESIDENTIAL CITY TAX	12			0.00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0,00	-32.24	0.00	-32.24
RESIDENTIAL GRS RCPTS TX	303			0.00	150.99	122.79	0.00	0.00	273.78	12.96	286.74
RESIDENTIAL FRANCHISE TX	268			0.00	113.42	85.31	0.00	0.00	198.73	9.45	208.18
COMMERCIAL GAS	52	3434.	3434.	0.00	412.35	3116.27	0.00	0.00	3528.62	203.97	3132.59
COMMERCIAL PGA	53	3434.	3434.	0.00	7526.92	1878,47	0.00	0.00	9405.39	126.77	9532.16
COMMERCIAL CUST CHARGE	97			0.00	219.44	873.00	0.00	0.00	1092.44	51.78	1144.22
COMMERCIAL STATE TAX	31			0.00	1224.84	324.54	0.00	0.00	1549.38	21.44	1570.82
COMMERCIAL CITY TAX	2			0.00	-29.88	00.0	0.00	0.00	-29.88	0.00	-29.88
COMMERCIAL GRS RCPTS TX	35			0.00	638.32	141.77	0.00	0.00	780.09	9.40	789.49
COMMERCIAL FRANCHISE TX	34			0.00	427.39	112.36	0.00	0.00	539.75	7.24	346.99
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GAS	15	S462.	5462.	0.00	13601.50	4370.91	0.00	0.00	17972.41	898.62	18471.03
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL PGA	15	5462.	\$46Z.	000	9046,07	2794.72	0.00	0.00	11840.79	592.03	12432.82
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL CUST CHARGE	16			0.00	60.28	224.00	0.00	0.00	284.28	14.22	298.50
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL STATE TAX	4			0.00	0.00	5,00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.25	5.25
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITTUL GRS RCPTS TX	4			0.00	0.00	4.45	0.00	0.00	4.45	0.22	4.67
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL FRANCHISE TX	4			0.00	0.00	2.97	0.00	0,00	2.97	0.15	3.12
Grand Foul	2039	22034	22034	0,00	17177.18	22085.05	0.00	0.00	39262.23	2546.32	41808.55

From: 05/07/2019 Through: 05/07/2019 REGULAR AND FINAL BILLS Sorted by: Name

6809] 45	3726.01	64365.44	0.00	0.00	53887.98	10677,46	200.00	63816	63816	2596	Grand Total
32.68	1.56	31.12	0.00	0.00	31.12	0.00	0.00			44	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL FRANCHISE TX
49.00	2,33	46.67	0.00	0.00	46.67	0.00	0.00			4	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTTTNL GRS RCPTS TX
49.10	2,34	46.76	0.00	0.00	46.76	0.00	0.00			4.	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL STATE TAX
266,08	12.68	253.40	0.00	0.00	224.00	29,40	0.00			16	PBLC, INDSTRL. & INSTITUL CUST CHARGE
10854.21	516,87	10337.34	0,00	0.00	6430.50	3906.84	0.00	12087	12087.	15	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL PGA
15901.70	757.22	15144.48	0.00	0.00	9413.28	5731.20	0.00	12087	12087	15	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GAS
742.43	20.14	722.29	0.00	0.00	262.99	459.30	0.00			54	COMMERCIAL FRANCHISE TX
1079.35	28.57	1050.78	0.00	0.00	354.73	696.05	0.00			57	COMMERCIAL GRS RCPTS TX
-29,88	0.00	-29.88	0.00	0,00	0.00	-29.88	0.00			2	COMMERCIAL CITY TAX
1791.18	32.12	1759.06	0.00	0.00	532.51	1226.55	0.00			52	COMMERCIAL STATE TAX
1115.81	52.26	1063.55	0.00	0.00	873.00	190.55	0.00			97	COMMERCIAL CUST CHARGE
15484.15	404.54	15079.61	0.00	0.00	5593.24	9486.37	0.00	9324	9324	87	COMMERCIAL PGA
11416.93	564.97	10851.96	0.00	0.00	8387.45	2464.51	0.00	9324,	9324.	87	COMMERCIAL GAS
558.59	24.31	534.28	0.00	0.00	368.15	166.13	0.00			374	RESIDENTIAL FRANCHISE TX
766.74	33,15	733.59	0.00	0.00	503.45	230.14	0.00			414	RESIDENTIAL GRS RCPTS TX
-32.24	0,00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0,00	-32.24	0.00			12	RESIDENTIAL CITY TAX
-32.24	0.00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00			12	RESIDENTIAL COUNTY TAX
-113.62	0.00	-113.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	-113.62	0.00			16	RESIDENTIAL STATE TAX
4741,41	207.58	4533.83	9.00	0.00	3915.00	818.83	-200.00			435	RESIDENTIAL CUST CHARGE
8554.37	373.19	8181.18	0.00	0.00	\$565.34	2615.84	0,00	10497.	10497.	416	RESIDENTIAL PGA
-5104,30	692.18	-5796,48	0.00	0.00	11339.79	-17136.27	0.00	10497.	10497.	423	RESIDENTIAL GAS
Gross Amount	Pending Late Fees	Net Amt.	Current Discount	Taxes	Charge	Prev. Balance	Met. Dep App/Ref	Billed Usage	Actual Usage	Number of Bills Actual Usage	Revenue Class

From: 04/05/2019 Through: 04/07/2019 REGULAR AND FINAL BILLS Sorted by: Name

Revenue Class	Number of Bills Actual Usage	Actual Usage	Billed Usage	Met. Dep App/Ref	Prev. Balance	Charge	Taxes	Current Discount	Net Amt.	Pending Late Fees	Circuss Amount
RESIDENTIAL GAS	430	27166.	27166.	0.00	-19233.29	24885.73	0.00	0.00	5652.44	1286.31	6938.75
RESIDENTIAL PGA	427	27166.	27166.	0.00	2580.47	14675.72	0.00	0.00	17256.19	780.01	18036,20
RESIDENTIAL CUST CHARGE	441			0.00	815.09	3969.00	0.00	0.00	4784.09	219.15	5003.24
RESIDENTIAL STATE TAX	17			0.00	-113,62	0,00	0.00	0.00	-113.62	0.00	-1 13.62
RESIDENTIAL COUNTY TAX	13			0.00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00	-32,24
RESIDENTIAL CITY TAX	5			0.00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00	-32.24
RESIDENTIAL GRS RCPTS TX	425			0.00	249.33	1179.04	0.00	0.00	1428.37	65,04	1493.41
RESIDENTIAL FRANCHISE TX	384			0.00	176.66	844.78	0.00	0.00	1021.44	47.01	1068.45
COMMERCIAL GAS	92	15105.	15105.	0.00	1596.57	13171.49	0.00	0.00	14768.06	764.12	15532.18
COMMERCIAL PGA	93	15105.	15105.	0.00	13390.10	8957.75	0.00	0,00	22347.85	766.53	211 14.38
COMMERCIAL CUST CHARGE	97			0.00	103.09	873.00	0.00	0.00	976.09	47.45	1023.54
COMMERCIAL STATE TAX	58			0.00	1215.91	822.06	0.00	0.00	2037.97	45.91	2083.88
COMMERCIAL CITY TAX	2			0.00	-29.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	-29.88	0.00	-29.88
COMMERCIAL GRS RCPTS TX	63			0.00	895.05	475.39	0.00	0.00	1370.44	44.35	1414.79
COMMERCIAL FRANCHISE TX	60			0.00	602.56	343,48	0.00	0.00	946.04	31.18	977.22
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTTINL GAS	15	18596.	18396.	0.00	5480.13	14327.57	0.00	0.00	19807.70	990.37	20798.07
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITINL PGA	15	18596.	18596.	0.00	3640.01	11227.30	0.00	0,00	14867.31	743.36	156 10.67
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL CUST CHARGE	16			0.00	29.40	724.00	0.00	0.00	253.40	12.68	266,08
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL STATE TAX	44			0.00	0.00	369.40	0.00	0.00	369.40	18.47	387.87
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTTINL GRS RCPTS TX	4			0.00	0.00	295.17	0.00	0.00	295.17	14.76	309,93
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTTTNL FRANCHISE TX	4			0.00	0.00	196_77	0.00	0.00	196,77	9.84	206.61
Grand Total	2673	121734	121734	0.00	01.66611	96837.65	0.00	0.00	108170.75	5886.54	114057.29

From: 03/06/2019 Through: 03/07/2019 REGULAR AND FINAL BILLS Sorted by: Name

Revenue Class	Number of Bills Actual Usage	kchial Usage	Billed Usage	Met. Dep App/Ref	Prev. Balance	Current Charge	Taxes	Current Discount	Net Amt.	Pending Late Fees	Ciross Amount
RESIDENTIAL GAS	433	32374.	32374.	-156.28	-24325.00	29052.30	0.00	0.00	4571.02	1404.97	5975.99
RESIDENTIAL PGA	432	32374.	32374.	-37.21	2336.86	17304.23	0.00	0.00	19603.88	873.20	20477.01
RESIDENTIAL CUST CHARGE	443			-100.63	716.02	3987.00	0.00	0.00	4602.39	208.41	4810.80
RESIDENTIAL STATE TAX	16			0.00	-113.62	0.00	0.00	9.00	-113.62	0.00	-113.62
RESIDENTIAL COUNTY TAX	13			0.00	-32.24	0,00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00	-32.24
RESIDENTIAL CITY TAX	12			0.00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00	-32.24
RESIDENTIAL GRS ROPTS TX	430			-3.21	219,56	1382.43	0.00	0.00	1598.78	71.25	1670.03
RESIDENTIAL FRANCHISE TX	389			-2.67	164,83	1002.34	0.00	0.00	1164.50	\$2.60	1217.10
COMMERCIAL GAS	92	18402	18402.	0.00	6272.77	15809.73	0.00	0.00	22082.50	1123.12	2320
COMMERCIAL PGA	93	18402	18402.	0.00	13588.58	10470.16	0.00	0,00	24058.74	845.66	24904,40
COMMERCIAL CUST CHARGE	97			0.00	131.86	873.00	0.00	0.00	1004.86	48.87	1053.73
COMMERCIAL STATE TAX	58			0.00	1329.41	1064.41	0.00	0.00	2393.82	63.06	2456.88
COMMERCIAL CITY TAX	2			0.00	-29.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	-29.88	0,00	-29.88
COMMERCIAL GRS RCPTS TX	63			0.00	909.35	\$46.20	0.00	0.00	1455.55	48.53	1504,08
COMMERCIAL FRANCHISE TX	8			0.00	616.57	407.08	0.00	0.00	1023.65	34.96	1058.61
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTTINL GAS	15	21327.	21327.	0.00	0.00	16389.47	0.00	0.00	16389.47	819.48	17208.95
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITING PGA	15	21327	21327.	0.00	0.00	12986.70	0.00	0.00	12986.70	649.34	13636.04
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL CUST CHARGE	16			0.00	0.00	224.00	0.00	0,00	224.00	11.20	235.20
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITING STATE TAX	44			0.00	0.00	439.79	0.00	0.00	439.79	21.99	461.78
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GRS RCPTS TX	4			0.00	0.00	365.68	0.00	0.00	365.68	18.28	383.96
PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL FRANCHISE TX	1-			0.00	0.00	243.79	0.00	0.00	243.79	12.19	255.98
Grand Total	2690	144206	144206	-300,00	1752.83	112548.31	0.00	0.00	114001.14	6307.11	120308.25

From: 02/07/2019 Through: 02/07/2019 REGULAR AND FINAL BILLS Sorted by: Name

129116.3	6904.21	122212.09	0.00	0,00	135944.83	-7932.74	-5800.00	175412	175412	2697	Grand Total
277 37	13.20	264.12	0.00	0.00	264.12	0,00	0.00			4	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL FRANCHISE TX
416.00	19.81	396.19	0.00	0.00	396.19	0.00	0.00			4	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GRS RCPTS TX
476.3	22.68	453.65	0.00	0.00	453.65	0.00	0.00			44	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL STATE TAX
LJ	H.20	224.00	0,00	0.00	224.00	0.00	0.00			3	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL CUST CHARGE
15431.91	734.86	14697.05	0.00	0.00	14697.05	0.00	0.00	24001.	24001	15	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL PGA
193	920.42	18408.32	0,00	0.00	18408.32	0.00	0.00	24001.	24001	15	PBLC, INDSTRL, & INSTITUL GAS
1101.00	37.26	1063,74	0.00	0.00	624.57	455.49	-16.32			\$9	COMMERCIAL FRANCHISE TX
15:	52.21	1522.68	0.00	0.00	865.45	676.83	-19.60			62	COMMERCIAL GRS RCPTS TX
	1.01	20.09	0.00	0.00	20.09	0.00	0.00			2	COMMERCIAL CITY TAX
25	69.35	2503.03	0.00	0.00	1257.06	1291.68	45.71			57	COMMERCIAL STATE TAX
10.	17.93	977,40	0.00	0.00	\$73.00	127.63	-23,23			97	COMMERCIAL CUST CHARGE
279	903.55	26918.67	0.00	0.00	16287.13	10959.87	-328.33	26870.	26870	23	COMMERCIAL PGA
260	1270.47	24763.56	0.00	0.00	22518.96	3511.41	-1266.81	26870.	26870	92	COMMERCIAL GAS
13	56 12	1261.74	0.00	0.00	1137.80	149.31	-25.37			390)	RESIDENTIAL FRANCHISE TX
17	74 92	1709.73	0.00	0.00	1563,68	180.09	-34.04			431	RESIDENTIAL GRS KCPTS TX
	0,00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0.00			13	RESIDENTIAL CITY TAX
	0.00	-32.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.24	0,00			G	RESIDENTIAL COUNTY TAX
-113.62	0.00	-113.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	-113.62	0.00			17	RESIDENTIAL STATE TAX
5062.01	220.91	1841.10	0,00	0.00	4005.00	1168.62	-332.52			5115	RESIDENTIAL CUST CHARGE
21835.6	908,09	20927.59	0.00	0.00	19766.52	1910.62	-749.55	36835.	36835	433	RESIDENTIAL PGA
2887.7	1450.22	1437.53	0.00	0.00	32582.24	-28186.19	-2958.52	36835.	36835	436	RESIDENTIAL GAS
Gross Autount	Pending Late Fees	Net Amt.	Current Discount	Taxes	Current	Prev. Balance	Met. Dep App/Ref	Hilled Usage	Actual Usage	Number of Bills Actual Usage	Revenue Class