BUTLER SNOW

April 2, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Hon. David Jones, Chairman c/o Sharla Dillon Tennessee Public Utilities Commission 502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor Nashville, TN 37243

RE: Tennessee-American Water Company's Response to Commission's Investigation of Impacts of Federal Tax Reform on the Public Utility Revenue Requirements, TPUC Docket No. 18-00039

Dear Chairman Jones:

Pursuant to the Commission's February 6, 2018, Order in Docket No. 18-00001, attached please find the Response of Tennessee-American Water Company to Commission's Investigation of Impacts of Federal Tax Reform on the Public Utility Revenue Requirements.

As required, an original of this filing along with four (4) hard copies will follow. A check in the amount of \$25.00 for the required filing fee will also be delivered. Should you have any questions concerning this filing, or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

BUTLER SNOW LLP

Melvin J. Malone

clw

Attachments

cc: Daniel Whitaker, Assistant Attorney General, Consumer Protection and Advocate Division (via email)
Karen H. Stachowski, Assistant Attorney General, Consumer Protection and Advocate Division (via email)

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC.

DOCKET NO. 18-XXXXX

TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN R. WILDE

ON

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY'S CALCULATION OF IMPACTS OF THE FEDERAL TAX CUTS AND JOBS ACT OF 2017 ON ITS COST OF SERVICE AND REVENUE REQUIREMENT

1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

2 A. My name is John R. Wilde.

3 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

- 4 A. I am employed by American Water Works Service Company, Inc. ("Service Company")
- as Senior Director Tax. The Service Company is a subsidiary of American Water Works
- 6 Company, Inc. ("American Water") that provides services to American Water's
- subsidiaries, including Tennessee-American Water Company ("Tennessee-American,"
- 8 "TAWC" or the "Company").

9 Q. PLEASE OUTLINE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND BUSINESS

10 **EXPERIENCE.**

- 11 A. I graduated from Saint Norbert College, De Pere, Wisconsin in 1984 with a Bachelor of
- Business Administration Degree in Accounting. I have a graduate certificate in state and
- local taxation, as well as a Master of Science Degree in Taxation from the University of
- Wisconsin-Milwaukee. I have over 30 years of experience as a tax and accounting
- professional serving utilities with regulated operations in multiple states. Before coming
- to American Water, I spent fifteen years as the head of tax for a corporate group (WEC
- Energy Group, Inc., formerly Integrys Energy Group, Inc.) that had six utilities with
- operations in four states.

20

19 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION OR ANY

OTHER REGULATORY AGENCIES?

- 21 A. Yes. I have testified before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Public Service
- 22 Commission of Wisconsin, the Michigan Public Service Commission, the Virginia State
- 23 Corporation Commission, the Illinois Commerce Commission, the Kentucky Public

Service Commission, the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, Virginia State 1 Corporation Commission, Missouri Public Service Commission, and the Pennsylvania 2 Public Utility Commission. 3 WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY? 4 Q. On February 6, 2018, the Tennessee Public Utility Commission (the "PUC" or the 5 A. "Commission") the Commission entered an Order Opening an Investigation and Requiring 6 Deferred Accounting Treatment (the "Order") in Docket No. 18-00001, In re: Tennessee 7 Public Utility Commission Investigation of Impacts of Federal Tax Reform on the Public 8 9 Utility Revenue Requirements. The Order noted the passage of the federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (the "TCJA" or the "Act") and directed the five largest utilities under the 10 Commission's jurisdiction, including Tennessee-American, to do the following: 11 a. Track and accumulate monthly in a deferred account the 12 portion of its revenue representing the difference 13 between the cost of service approved by the Commission 14 in its most recent rate case and the cost of service that 15 would have resulted had the provision for federal income 16 taxes been based on 21 % rather than 35%; and 17 18 b. Calculate the excess deferred tax reserve caused by the 19 reduction in the corporate federal income tax rate and 20 recognize as a deferred liability the estimated reduction 21 of the utilities' revenue requirement resulting from the 22 2017 Tax Act: and 23 24 c. Calculate and defer any other tax effects resulting from 25 the 2017 Tax Act on revenue requirement that are not 26 included in the preceding calculations. 27 28

benefits resulting from the TCJA.

The Order further directed TAWC and other utilities to submit these calculations together

with proposals to reduce rates or make other ratemaking adjustments to account for the tax

29

30

31

The purpose of my testimony is to submit and explain Tennessee-American's calculations of the tax effects of the Act. Company witness Linda Bridwell will present Tennessee-American's proposal for ratemaking adjustments to account for the Act's tax benefits.

Q. PLEASE GENERALLY DESCRIBE THE EFFECT OF THE TCJA ON TAWC'S FINANCIAL OPERATIONS.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. The TCJA contains many provisions that substantially modify the Internal Revenue Code, and full quantification of its impacts will take some time. The most obvious impact of the TCJA is the reduction to TAWC's annual federal tax expense effective beginning in 2018 resulting from the corporate tax rate cut. Another major impact is on TAWC's Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes ("ADIT"). For over the last thirty years, TAWC's ADIT has been calculated based on the 35 percent federal tax rate. As explained below, with the TCJA's reduction to that rate to 21%, TAWC's estimated ADIT balance as of the enactment date of the legislation of ADIT is in a net excess position, meaning that the Company's balance overstates the taxes that will be payable in the future related to prior operations of the Company. I discuss the impact of the TCJA on TAWC's ADIT balances below. Other significant impacts of the Act relate to bonus depreciation, contributions in aid of construction ("CIAC") and customer advances for construction ("CAC"), and employee fringe benefits. Utilities will not be able to claim bonus depreciation on property placed in service after September 27, 2017 unless construction had begun before that date, or the property was acquired pursuant to a contract in place prior to that date; however, if

construction began prior to September 27, 2017 or the property was acquired subject to a

binding written contract entered into prior to that date, TAWC might be eligible for 50%

bonus depreciation on those property additions. More clarity is needed regarding the transitional rules in the bonus provisions of the Act. CIAC and CAC are now 100% taxable to the Company for contributions to construct utility plant received from outside parties. Most recently, water utilities like TAWC were exempt from taxation for revenues received for main extensions. Finally, the Act's changes will likely result in the loss of deduction for some employee fringe benefits incurred in the context of TAWC regulated operations, such as some meals and some parking provided to employee's that was previously not taxed.

Q. WHAT IMPACT WILL THE TCJA TAX CHANGES HAVE ON THE COMPANY'S FEDERAL TAX EXPENSE?

TAWC has calculated the reduction to its annual federal tax expense with respect to the Company's base rates and its Capital Recovery Riders. The reduction of the corporate federal income tax rate from 35% to 21% has reduced TAWC's annualized federal income tax expense in base rates by an estimated \$2,035,031 million. See **Petitioner's Exhibit**— Tax Expense Impact — LCB attached to Ms. Bridwell's testimony in this Docket. The change in tax rate has also reduced the Company's Capital Recovery Riders revenue requirement by approximately \$630,000. That has been discussed extensively by Ms. Bridwell in Docket No. 17-00124 and is not included in this Docket.

19 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROCESS FOR DETERMINING THE TCJA'S 20 IMPACT ON TAWC'S ADIT BALANCES.

21 A. While the calculation of the impact that the reduction of the federal tax rate from 35% to 21% on income tax expense is relatively straightforward, the calculation of the estimated 23 impact the reduction of the federal tax rate on ADIT balances that need to be normalized

into future rates and executing a method to normalize the resulting excess is a complex and involved process. It is also a process that takes significant time, requires great care, and will likely go through several subsequent refinements before being considered an estimate that could be reasonably relied on.

TAWC's ADIT balances are being remeasured based on a federal rate of 21%, and will result in excess ADIT balances. These excess deferred taxes fall into two categories – those that are subject to the normalization provisions of the Act (generally relating to plant-related assets sometimes referred to as "protected" ADIT), and those that are not (generally related to non-plant-related assets and sometimes referred to as "unprotected" ADIT). Pursuant to tax normalization rules and practices, the protected excess deferred taxes can be returned to customers, but no more rapidly than over what is allowed under one of two approved normalization methods of accounting: the Average Rate Assumption Method ("ARAM"); or the Reverse South Georgia Method ("RSGM). Both ARAM and RSGM are what was allowed by the tax normalization rules enacted in the Tax Reform Act of 1986, and in general for a regulated utility to normalize or amortize the excess deferred taxes into cost of service tax expense and ADIT over the remaining book depreciation lives of the underlying assets. In contrast, the unrestricted excess deferred taxes can be normalized into regulated tax expense and ADIT balance over any reasonable period.

Q. WHY DO YOU SAY THAT THE PROCESS OF REMEASUREMENT AND
NORMALIZATION OF EXCESS ADIT BALANCES REQUIRES GREAT CARE?

The remeasurement and normalization of excess ADIT balances requires great care because errors could result in violations of the IRS normalization rules, which would harm customers. The normalization rules require that the tax benefits of claiming accelerated

tax depreciation be provided to customers in rates over a period no less than the book depreciation life of the underlying property.

The normalization rules also provide that for a utility to use a normalization method of accounting for public utility property, the utility must use consistent methods of estimating or projecting tax expense, depreciation expense or reserve from deferred taxes. Code Section 168(i)(9)(B)(i), (ii). The rules specify:

Use of inconsistent estimates and projections. The procedures and adjustments which are to be treated as inconsistent for purposes of clause (i) shall include any procedure or adjustment for ratemaking purposes which uses an estimate or projection of the taxpayer's tax expense, depreciation expense, or reserve for deferred taxes under subparagraph (A)(ii) unless such estimate or projection is also used, for ratemaking purposes, with respect to the other 2 such items and with respect to the rate base. Code Section 168(i)(9)(B)(ii).

A.

In order to ensure the required consistency between the calculation of deferred tax and rate base, the difference in the amortization of deferred tax excess and deficiencies cannot be given to customers without making a corresponding adjustment to rate base.

Q. WHAT ARE THE CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE IRS NORMALIZATION RULES?

The penalties for failure to precisely comply with the IRS normalization rules are serious. A violation could result in: (i) the potential loss of the utility's ability to continue to take accelerated tax depreciation; and (ii) an increase in tax equal to the amount by which it reduces its excess tax reserve more rapidly than permitted. This means, among other things, that there would be no ADIT balance to reduce the utility's rate base, which would be a detriment to customers.

Q. HAVE YOU ESTIMATED TAWC'S EXCESS ADIT BALANCES?

A. Yes. With the TCJA's reduction to the corporate federal income tax rate, TAWC's ADIT balance as of the enactment date of the legislation is in a net excess position, meaning the Company's net ADIT balance overstates the taxes that will be payable in the future related to prior operations of the Company. TAWC's ADIT balance includes both deferred tax assets (DTAs), and deferred tax liabilities (DTLs), each of which requires remeasurement on the TCJA enactment date. For TAWC, the net of those DTAs & DTLs is an ADIT liability balance and the remeasurement results in identification of a net excess deferred tax liability. TAWC's initial estimate of the net excess ADIT balances is \$17,487,688 total (protected/plant-related and unprotected/non-plant-related). Assuming a 50-year normalization period which is the estimated average remaining service life, this balance would be normalized and returned to customers in the amount of \$349,754 per year for 50 years. I must stress however that these estimates are not suitable for ratemaking purposes and will be revised as more information becomes available.

15 Q. WHY ARE THE COMPANY'S ESTIMATED EXCESS ADIT BALANCE AND 16 NORMALIZATION PERIOD NOT SUITABLE FOR RATEMAKING 17 PURPOSES?

A. TAWC's current estimate of its ADIT balance and normalization period are not suitable for ratemaking because we have not yet determined the exact amounts of plant-related ADIT (which is subject to IRS normalization requirements) and non-plant-related ADIT (which is not), nor have we yet determined the applicable amortization periods. It is important to get the balance estimates and amortization periods right in order to avoid

- violations of the normalization rules and resulting harm to customers' interests discussed above.
- 3 Q. WHEN DOES TAWC EXPECT TO PRODUCE AN ADEQUATE ESTIMATE OF
- 4 ITS REMEASURED ADIT BALANCE AND APPLICABLE AMORTIZATION
- 5 **PERIODS?**
- 6 A. While the process is unlikely to be completed by the end of 2018, the Company expects it to be completed by the end of the first or second quarter of 2019.
- 8 Q. HAVE YOU CALCULATED THE EFFECT OF OTHER PROVISIONS ON THE
- 9 **COMPANY'S INCOME TAX EXPENSE?**
- 10 A. Not as of this date. The loss of bonus depreciation deductions will not have an impact until
 11 TAWC net operating losses are fully utilized. TAWC is still working through the impact
 12 of changes to bonus depreciation deductions. TAWC expects the impact of the loss of
 13 certain employee related deductions to be insignificant. As Company witness Ms. Bridwell
 14 explains, CIACs and CACs will be "grossed up" to cover the Company's tax obligation
 15 associated with the CIAC/CAC.
- Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S PROPOSAL WITH RESPECT TO THE
 REDUCTION IN ITS CAPITAL RECOVERY RIDERS' REVENUE
 REQUIREMENT RESULTING FROM THE TCJA?
- As explained by Company witness Linda Bridwell, Tennessee-American has adjusted its
 20 2018 Capital Recovery Riders to reflect the TCJA's reduced federal income tax rate, and
 future Riders will also reflect the new rate.

1	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S PROPOSAL WITH RESPECT TO THE REDUCED
2		INCOME TAX EXPENSE IN BASE RATES AND EXCESS ADIT BALANCE

3 **RESULTING FROM THE TCJA?**

- A. As discussed by Company witness Linda Bridwell, Tennessee-American proposes to defer both the reduction in the Company's federal income tax expense in base rates and the ADIT normalization amounts as regulatory liabilities and use them to offset the Company's Capital Recovery Riders and Capital Recovery Riders reconciliations, thus reducing our
- 9 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

customers' Capital Recovery Rider charges.

10 A. Yes.

8

11