filed electronically in docket office on 09/25/18

HUNTER · SMITH · DAVIS

SINCE 1916

Kingsport Office 1212 North Eastman Road P.O. Box 3740 Kingsport, TN 37664

Phone (423) 378-8800 Fax (423) 378-8801

Johnson City Office 100 Med Tech Parkway

Suite 110 Johnson City, TN 37604 Phone (423) 283-6300 Fax (423) 283-6301 Leslie Tentler Ridings
Christopher D. Owens
Chad W. Whitfield
Jason A. Creech
Meredith Bates Humbert
Joseph B. Harvey
Rachel Ralston Mancl
Caroline Ross Williams
Marcy E. Walker
Matthew F. Bettis
Teresa Mahan Lesnak *
Michael A. Eastridge *
Jeannette Smith Tysinger*

*Of Counsel

William C. Bovender 423-378-8858 bovender@hsdlaw.com

S. Morris Hadden

William C. Bovender

William C. Argabrite

Mark S. Dessauer

Gregory K. Haden

Michael L. Forrester

Stephen M. Darden

Edward J. Webb, Jr.

Suzanne Sweet Cook

Michael S. Lattier

Scott T. Powers

Kingsport Office

Respond to:

James N. L. Humphreys

Jimmie Carpenter Miller

KPOW.95206

September 25, 2018

VIA EMAIL (Sharla.Dillon@tn.gov) & FEDEX

Mr. David Jones, Chairman c/o Sharla Dillon, Dockets & Records Manager Tennessee Public Utility Commission 502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor Nashville, TN 37243

RE: FILING OF KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY d/b/a AEP APPALACHIAN POWER ("KgPCo")

IN RESPONSE TO TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION INVESTIGATION OF IMPACT OF FEDERAL TAX REFORM ON THE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS OF KgPCo

DOCKET NO.: 18-00038

Dear Chairman Jones:

On behalf of Kingsport Power Company, we transmit herewith the following:

Direct Testimony of William K. Castle

The original and four (4) copies are being sent via Federal Express.

Very sincerely yours,

HUNTER, SMITH & DAVIS, LLP

Joseph B. Harvey

Enclosure: As stated

cc:

Daniel P. Whitaker, III, Asst. Attorney General (w/enc.)

Michael J. Quinan, Esq. (w/enc.)

Via U.S. Mail and Email: Daniel. Whitaker@ag.tn.gov

Karen H. Stachowski, Esq. (w/enc.) Via U.S. Mail and Email: Karen.Stachowski@ag.tn.gov

Kelly Grams, General Counsel (w/enc.) Via U.S. Mail and Email: Kelly.Grams@tn.gov

Monica L. Smith-Ashford, Esq. (w/enc.) Via U.S. Mail and Email: monica.smith-ashford@tn.gov

David Foster (w/enc.) Via U.S. Mail and Email: david.foster@tn.gov

Via U.S. Mail and Email: mquinan@cblaw.com

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM K. CASTLE ON BEHALF OF KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY D/B/A AEP APPALACHIAN POWER BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 18-00038

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND POSITION.
2	A.	My name is William K. Castle. My business address is 1051 E. Cary St, Suite 1100,
3		Richmond, VA. I am the Director of Regulatory Services VA/TN for Kingsport Power
4		Company d/b/a AEP Appalachian Power (Kingsport, KgPCo or the Company).
5	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
6		BUSINESS EXPERIENCE.
7	A.	I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from Tulane University
8		in 1988, and a Masters of Business Administration degree from the University of Texas -
9		Austin in 1998. I hold the Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) designation. I have
10		worked in the utility industry since 1998, beginning with the Columbia Energy Group,
11		Herndon, Virginia, where I held positions in financial planning and corporate finance.
12		Subsequent to the acquisition of Columbia Energy Group by Merrillville, Indiana based
13		NiSource in 2000, I performed financial planning and analysis functions. Since 2004,
14		and prior to my current position, I was employed by American Electric Power Service
15		Corporation (AEPSC) in the Corporate Planning and Budgeting department.
16		Assignments included resource planning and demand-side management analysis, which
17		encompasses Energy Efficiency and Demand Response. I have been in my current
18		position since July, 2014.

KgPCo Exhibit No.
Witness: WKC
Page 2 of 3

1	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY AS A WITNESS
2		BEFORE ANY REGULATORY COMMISSION?
3	A.	Yes. I presented testimony on behalf of Kingsport Power in Docket Nos. 16-0001 and
4		17-00032 and on behalf of APCo before the Virginia State Corporation Commission,
5		most recently in Case Nos. PUR-2018-00051, and PUR-2018-00153. I have also
6		presented testimony in the states of Ohio, Oklahoma, Indiana, West Virginia, and
7		Arkansas.
8	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
9	A.	I support the Company's proposal to credit customers with the tax savings that have
10		arisen from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017.
11	Q.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS?
12	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits:
13		• KgPCo Exhibit No. 1 (WKC): Company's Response to the Commission's Order
14		in Docket No. 18-00001.
15		• KgPCo Exhibit No. 2 (WKC): Proposed Federal Tax Rate Adjustment Rider
16	Q.	BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE THE COMPANY'S PROPOSAL.
17	A _.	As described in Exhibit 1, the Company proposes to credit customers with tax savings by
18		reducing or eliminating uncollected regulatory asset balances and via a credit rider that
19		effectively reduces the base rates established in its last base rate case (Docket No. 16-
20		0001). The Company includes in this filing (Exhibit 2), its proposed Federal Tax Rate
21		Adjustment Rider which has been provided to the parties in discovery (ETEC 1-3).
22	Q.	ARE THERE ANY CHANGES OR CORRECTIONS TO THE COMPANY'S
23		RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION IN DOCKET NO. 18-00001?

KgPCo Exhibit No.
Witness: WKC
Page 3 of 3

1	A.	Yes. The Company used a "Revenue Basis Factor" of 1.266 in its response. In
2		subsequent discovery, the Company acknowledged the revenue basis factor of 1.3528,
3		which reflects state tax treatment, is appropriate in this case. This has the effect of
4		increasing the Company's proposed annual credit amount from \$1,348,650 to
5		\$1,441,344.
6	Q.	IN THE COMPANY'S PROPOSAL, THE COMPANY SEEKS TO ELIMINATE
7		OR REDUCE REGULATORY ASSET BALANCES WITH UNPROTECTED
8		EXCESS ADFIT. IF THE COMMISSION DOES NOT ADOPT THIS
9		TREATMENT FOR SOME OR ALL OF THE EXCESS UNPROTECTED ADFIT,
10		WHAT AMORTIZATION PERIOD DOES THE COMPANY PROPOSE FOR
11		THE OUTSTANDING BALANCE?
12	A.	The Company proposes to credit customers with any remaining unprotected excess
13		unprotected ADFIT balance over a period of 10 years.
14	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
15	A.	Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILTY COMMISSION NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:	
FILING OF KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY d/b/a	DOCKET NO.: 18
AEP APPALACHIAN POWER ("KgPCo") IN	
RESPONSE TO TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY)	
COMMISSION INVESTIGATION OF IMPACT OF)	•
FEDERAL TAX REFORM ON THE REVENUE)	
REQUIREMENTS OF KgPCo	

FILING OF KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY D/B/A AEP APPALACHIAN POWER ("KgPCo") IN RESPONSE TO TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION INVESTIGATION OF IMPACT OF FEDERAL TAX REFORM ON THE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS OF KgPCo

Comes Kingsport Power Company, d/b/a AEP Appalachian Power (herein "KgPCo" or "Company"), and respectfully submits its response to the Tennessee Public Utility Commission's February 6, 2018 Order Opening an Investigation and Requiring Deferred Accounting Treatment as set forth in Docket No. 18-00001. This filing, opening a separate Docket, is made pursuant to Page 6, Paragraph 3 of the Commission's Order in said Docket No. 18-00001. In that Order, the Commission required certain public utilities, including the Company, to immediately apply deferred accounting treatment described therein with respect to the impact of the lowering of the federal corporate income tax rate; to provide the amounts deferred and submit certain calculations to the Commission by no later than March 31, 2018; and to submit ratemaking proposals to account for the tax benefits resulting from the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("Tax Act") by that same date. KgPCo would show the Commission as follows:

1. Upon receipt of the Commission's Order, KgPCo began to track and accumulate monthly in a deferred account the portion of its revenue representing the difference between the

cost of service approved by the Commission in its most recent rate case (Docket No. 16-00001) and the cost of service that would have resulted had the provision for federal income taxes ("FIT") been based on 21% rather than 35%. The amount deferred monthly is designed to equate to \$904,383 annually. The derivation of this amount is shown on the accompanying Schedule, Section A. As of March 31, 2018, KgPCo's books reflect a deferred liability in the amount of \$232,699 associated with this excess FIT expense. The journal entries associated with accounting for the difference in corporate FIT rates, on an annual basis, is shown in the accompanying Schedule, Section B.

- 2. KgPCo also calculated the excess accumulated deferred income tax ("ADIT") reserve caused by the reduction in the corporate FIT rate and recognized as a deferred liability, as of December 31, 2017, the estimated reduction of the utility's revenue requirement. Kingsport estimated these amounts, which are subject to change until such time that the Company files its tax return, to be \$13,591,820, on a revenue basis. This amount is comprised of \$9,329,605 in "protected" ADIT, and \$4,262,215 of "unprotected" ADIT, both on a revenue basis. The journal entries associated with this accounting are shown in the accompanying Schedule, Section C.
- 3. As reported to the Commission, Kingsport earned its authorized rate of return on common equity in 2017. It also expects to prospectively earn its allowed return over the near term. As a result, the Company is able to propose to return the tax savings associated with the Tax Act to its customers.
- 4. Unprotected ADIT balances can be used to offset regulatory asset balances that have not yet been collected from customers, and are not part of base rates. The Company currently has before the Commission in Docket No. 17-00143, a request to recover \$1,505,354 in

deferred costs (primarily associated with the 2013 winter storm) over two years or \$752,677 annually. The Company proposes to offset this regulatory asset with a corresponding portion of the unprotected excess ADIT liability, eliminating the need to recover the winter storm costs through the rider proposed in that Docket. If approved, the unprotected excess ADIT balance would be reduced to an estimated \$2,756,861.

- 5. The Company further proposes to use the remainder of its unprotected excess ADIT balance to offset a portion of the uncollected fuel and purchased power balances, which as of February 28, 2018, total \$7,418,359. The cold winter of 2017-2018 has contributed to that balance, which exceeds the remaining excess unprotected ADIT.
- 6. Offsetting deferred winter storm costs and a portion of the uncollected fuel and purchased power balances with the excess unprotected ADIT balance is an efficient way to return this balance to customers, as it offsets expenses that the Company would otherwise recover from customers over a relatively short time horizon.
- 7. As indicated in numbered paragraph 1, the difference between the cost of service approved by the Commission in KgPCo's most recent case (Docket No. 16-0001), and the cost of service that would have resulted had the provision for FIT been based upon 21% rather than 35%, equates to an annual revenue reduction of \$904,383. As indicated in numbered paragraph 2, KgPCo currently has deferred on its books \$9,329,605 of protected excess ADIT. Protected excess ADIT balances must be amortized over periods prescribed in the tax code to avoid a "normalization" violation. The Company has estimated the effective amortization period of its

protected balances to be 21 years¹. Returning the deferred excess balance to its customers over this period would result in an additional annual revenue reduction of \$444,267.

- 8. Because the change in the corporate tax rate is ongoing, and protected deferred taxes must be amortized over a period specified by the IRS, estimated to be 21 years, the Company proposes to return to customers the current excess FIT expense, and the excess protected ADIT balance, in the form of a credit rider to their respective rate schedules. Given current information, the ongoing annual amount is estimated to be \$1,348,650 (\$904,383 plus \$444,267), as shown in Section A, line 5 of the accompanying Schedule. The allocation of the credits will follow the allocation of base rates approved by the Commission in the Company's most recent base rate case (Docket No. 16-00001). The Company proposes to implement the rider October 1, 2018, with annual true-up filings and adjustments as necessary until the Company's next base rate case. Truing up the actual credits to the excess protected ADIT schedule is necessary to avoid a normalization violation.
- 9. In addition, the Company proposes to return the excess FIT expense deferred in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Response, for the period of January 1, 2018- September 30, 2018 (expected to be approximately \$678,287), over the period October 1, 2018-September 30, 2019.
- 10. Under the Company's proposal, the customer credit rider for the period October 1, 2018 September 30, 2019 will reflect the on-going annual credit amount of \$1,348,650, and the

¹ The Average Rate Assumption Method ("ARAM") will be utilized to amortize the excess deferred income tax ("EXDFIT") balance over the remaining useful life of the associated assets as prescribed by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). The Company's tax software will, by the closing of the quarterly financial statements in mid-April, determine the amortization over the remaining life of the assets in accordance with the ARAM. The amortization period of 21 years used in this filing is therefore an indicative estimate.

deferred FIT from the period January 1, 2018 - September 30, 2018 of \$678,287, for a total of \$2,026,937.

11. The Company proposes to change the customer credit rider October 1, 2019, and each year thereafter until the Commission sets new base rates, to reflect only the on-going annual credit of \$1,348,650, plus or minus any under-or over-returned balances (the true-up balances). The Company proposes to amortize the true-up balances over each subsequent 12 month period.

WHEREFORE, the Company respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order:

- 1. Finding that KgPCo has complied with the Commission's February 6, 2018 Order in Docket No. 18-0001; and
- 2. Approving KgPCo's ratemaking proposals to account for the tax benefits of the Tax Act.

Respectfully submitted this the 29th day of March, 2018.

KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY d/b/a

AEP APPALACHIAN POWER

Bv:

William C. Bovender, Esq.

Joseph B. Harvey, Esq.

HUNTER, SMITH & DAVIS, LLP

PO Box 3740

Kingsport, TN 37665

Ph: (423) 378-8858

James R. Bacha, Esq.

American Electric Power Service

Corporation

1 Riverside Plaza

Columbus, OH 43215

Ph: (615) 716-1615; Fax: (614) 716-2950

Email: jrbacha@aep.com

Noelle J. Coates, Senior Counsel American Electric Power Service Corporation Three James Center Suite 1100 1051 E. Cary Street Richmond, VA 23219-4029

Ph: (804) 698-5541

Email: njcoates@aep.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing RESPONSE OF RESPONDENT KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY d/b/a AEP APPALACHIAN POWER has been served by mailing a copy of same by United States mail, postage prepaid, and Email, to below on this the 29th day of March, 2018, as follows:

Kelly Grams, General Counsel Tennessee Public Utility Commission 502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor Nashville, TN 37243 Email: kelly.grams@tn.gov

David Foster, Chief-Utilities Division Tennessee Public Utility Commission 502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor Nashville, TN 37243 Email: David.Foster@tn.gov

Monica L. Smith-Ashford Tennessee Public Utility Commission 502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor Nashville, TN 37243 Email: monica.smith-ashford@tn.gov

Daniel P Whitaker, III, Assistant Attorney General Karen H. Stachowski
Office of the Tennessee Attorney General Public Protection Section
Consumer Protection and Advocate Division
P.O. Box 20207
Nashville, Tennessee 37202-0207
Daniel.Whitaker@ag.tn.gov
Karen.Stachowski@ag.tn.gov

J.W. Luna, Esq.
Luna Law Group, PLLC
Attorney of Record for Chattanooga Gas Company
333 Union Street, Suite 300
Nashville, TN 37201
iwluna@lunalawnashvile.co

A. Scott Ross, Esq.
Neal & Harwell, PLC
Attorney of Record for Atmos Energy Corporation
1201 Demonbreun Street, Suite 1000
Nashville, TN 37203
sross@nealharwell.com

Melvin J. Malone
Butler Snow LLP
Attorney of Record for Tennessee-American Water Company
The Pinnacle at Symphony Place
150 3rd Avenue South, Suite 1600
Nashville, TN 37201
melvin.malone@butlersnow.com

HUNTER, SMITH & DAYIS, LLP

William C. Boyender

Kingsport Tax Reform Impacts Schedule

	Section A									
#			TN Retail	Revenue Basis Factor	R	levenue Basis	Amortization Peri	=	Annual Revenue Reduction	
#	Federal Income Tax Expense		[A]	[B]	[C] = [A] x [B]		[D]		[E] = [C] x [D]	
	(Docket No. 160001, Attachment A, Schedule 9 of	tho	נריו	[2]	•		(0)		[-] [0] x [0]	
	Stipulation and Settlement Agreement)		(1,786,157)							
	Stipulation and Settlement Agreement)	\$	(1,760,157)							
	Tax Savings (1-[21%/35%]) x FIT	\$	(714,463)	1.266	\$	(904,383)	1	\$	(904,38	
	Distribution Excess ADIT Protected (12/31/2017)	\$	(7,370,388)	1.266	\$	(9,329,605)	21	\$	(444,26	
	Distribution Excess ADIT Unprotected (12/31//201	17) \$	(3,367,150)	1.266	\$	(4,262,215)	N/A			
	Estimated Annual Ongoing Credit Rider							\$	(1,348,65	
	*Revenue Gross-up Factor			1/[1-21%] = 1.266						
	Section B Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess t	tax until curre		e (i.e., proposed credit :	rider st	tarts):				
	Section B Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to	tax until curre	ent rates chang		rider st					
	Section B	tax until curre	ent rates chang	e (i.e., proposed credit :	rider st		······································			
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess t		ent rates chang Reduction	e (i.e., proposed credit :	-					
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to Debit Account 449		ent rates chang Reduction 904,385	e (i.e., proposed credit in FIT Expense \$ 904,385	-					
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to Debit Account 449 Credit Account 229 Section C	\$	ent rates chang Reduction 904,385	e (i.e., proposed credit on in FIT Expense \$ 904,385		tarts):				
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to Debit Account 449 Credit Account 229 Section C	\$	ent rates chang Reduction 904,385	e (i.e., proposed credit on in FIT Expense \$ 904,385		tarts):				
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to Debit Account 449 Credit Account 229 Section C Journal Entries for the excess tax on distribution	\$ property as o	Reduction 904,385 f December 31,	e (i.e., proposed credit on in FIT Expense \$ 904,385		tarts):				
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to Debit Account 449 Credit Account 229 Section C Journal Entries for the excess tax on distribution Debit Account 282	property as o	Reduction 904,385 f December 31, Protected 7,370,388	e (i.e., proposed credit on in FIT Expense \$ 904,385		tarts):				
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to Debit Account 449 Credit Account 229 Section C Journal Entries for the excess tax on distribution of Debit Account 282 Debit Account 190	\$ property as o	Reduction 904,385 f December 31,	e (i.e., proposed credit in FIT Expense \$ 904,385		tarts):				
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to Debit Account 449 Credit Account 229 Section C Journal Entries for the excess tax on distribution Debit Account 282	property as o	Reduction 904,385 f December 31, Protected 7,370,388	e (i.e., proposed credit on in FIT Expense \$ 904,385		tarts):				
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to Debit Account 449 Credit Account 229 Section C Journal Entries for the excess tax on distribution of Debit Account 282 Debit Account 190	property as o	Reduction 904,385 f December 31, Protected 7,370,388	e (i.e., proposed credit in FIT Expense \$ 904,385		tarts):				
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to Debit Account 449 Credit Account 229 Section C Journal Entries for the excess tax on distribution of Debit Account 282 Debit Account 190	property as o	Reduction 904,385 f December 31, Protected 7,370,388 1,959,217	e (i.e., proposed credit in FIT Expense \$ 904,385		tarts):				
	Journal Entry (on an annual basis) for the excess to Debit Account 449 Credit Account 229 Section C Journal Entries for the excess tax on distribution of Debit Account 282 Debit Account 190 Credit Account 254	property as o	Reduction 904,385 f December 31, Protected 7,370,388 1,959,217	e (i.e., proposed credit in FIT Expense \$ 904,385		tarts):				

FEDERAL TAX RATE ADJUSTMENT RIDER

Kingsport Power is authorized under the terms of this rider to apply a credit or charge to all customer bills rendered by the Company to reflect the impacts of changes to the Federal Corporate Income Tax Rate not included in base rate or other tariff schedules.

1. Applicability

Credits or charges resulting from changes in the Federal Income Tax Rate not otherwise incorporated in rate schedules in this tariff shall be applied to all Distribution function base rates in the form of a percentage credit or charge to those rates. The credit or charge will apply to the Service, Energy, Demand, and Lamp charge components of the distribution portion of the schedules. The credit or charge is not applicable to Rider schedules in this tariff.

2. <u>Determination of Credit or Charge Percentage</u>

The aggregate credit or charge amounts shall be determined by the Tennessee Public Utility Commission. The percentage credit or charge will remain in place until modified or discontinued by the Tennessee Public Utility Commission.

3. Notification of Change in Charge by the Company

The Company will provide no less than a 30-day notice of the proposed effective date in any change in the federal tax rate adjustment to its customers. The Company will also provide the calculations and other information supporting the proposed purchased power charges to the Staff of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority 30 days prior to the effective date of such charge.

4. Credit or Charge

Pursuant to the provisions of this Rider, a Federal Tax Rate Adjustment Rider credit or charge will be applied to each kilowatt-hour, kilowatt or lamp rate as billed under the Company's filed tariff non-rider schedules.

The Federal Tax Rate Adjustment Rider percentage credit or charge applicable to each schedule for the period Month 01, 201X to Month XX, 201X, is a credit or reduction to rates of 5.045%:

Issued: Month xx, 2018 Effective: Month xx, 2018

By: Christian T. Beam