

TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION



502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor
Nashville, Tennessee 37243

VIA FAX AND US MAIL

February 7, 2018

William C. Bovender
Hunter, Smith, & Davis, LLP
1212 North Eastman Road
P.O. Box 3740
Kingsport, TN 37664

RE: Docket No. 17-00143 – Petition of Kingsport Power Company d/b/a AEP
Appalachian Power for Approval of Storm Damage Rider Tariff

Dear Mr. Bovender:

In order to assist the TRA in its investigation in the above captioned docket, it is requested that you furnish the following information.

Please provide the information requested on the attached Staff Data Request No. 1. Please pay particular attention to the directions provided in the first section labeled "Administrative." If you have questions regarding any item number in the attached request, please contact Daniel Ray at (615) 770-6885 for clarification before responding.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Please provide all responses by February 20, 2018 and reference Docket No 17-00143 on the response.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "D. Foster".

David Foster
Director, Utilities Division

Enclosure

Cc: Vance L. Broemel, Senior Counsel Consumer Advocate and Protection Division

TPUC DATA REQUEST NO. 1

ADMINISTRATIVE

⇒The Tennessee Public Utility Commission (“TPUC”) requires an original and thirteen (13) copies of the filing or an original and four (4) copies accompanied by an electronic filing of the items requested below:

⇒All schedules requested as an electronic file are to be provided in Microsoft Excel (with working formulas) or Microsoft Word readable format.

1. Provide an electronic copy of Mr. Simmon’s Exhibit No. 1 in Excel format with working formulas.
2. Provide support for the 2013 demand allocation factors used in the Company’s calculations, the 2012 loss factor, the metered kWh, the number of lamps and the 2013 billing demand kWh.
3. Provide all invoices and other documentation to support the storm cost expense of \$1,415,021 by Cost Category related to the January 2013 winter storm (as detailed in the Company’s Petition, page 5).
4. Please attest that the Company has not included any expense items that should have been capitalized.
5. Please attest that the Company is not seeking recovery of any legal fees as part of this rider.
6. Did Kingsport allocate a portion of labor expense associated with the storm damage to capital accounts? If so, please provide the amounts and supporting calculations.
7. Provide the source and amount of any offsets that the Company received to reduce storm costs expenses (i.e. insurance, loans, etc.).
8. Discuss whether Kingsport’s implementation of its Vegetation Management Plan offset or otherwise alleviated any storm damage costs included in this filing.
9. Were the deferred storm damage costs deferred for regulatory purposes only or did the company include the deferred expenses as a deduction from income for determining its federal income tax liability? If included in determining federal tax liability, please discuss the impact, if any, of the recently enacted 2018 federal income tax plan on these deferred expense amounts.