## BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

November 9, 2017

IN RE:

PETITION OF RECEIVERSHIP

MANAGEMENT, INC., SOLELY IN ITS

CAPACITY AS RECEIVER OF THE LAUREL

HILLS WATER SYSTEM IN RECEIVERSHIP

FOR A PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF

PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

## ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

This matter is before the Hearing Officer of the Tennessee Public Utility Commission ("Commission" or "TPUC") to establish a procedural schedule for the prompt and orderly conduct of these proceedings. The goal and design of any procedural schedule is to efficiently move the proceedings forward to a hearing and final conclusion on the merits. Nevertheless, a procedural schedule's effectiveness directly depends on cooperation by the parties in meeting the individual benchmark dates. Based on the preferences expressed by the parties, the Hearing Officer hereby establishes the Procedural Schedule set forth in **Exhibit A** attached to this Order.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED.

Monica Smith-Ashford, Hearing Officer

## DOCKET No. 17-00098 PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

November 9, 2017

Due Dete	Pllag
September 12, 2017	Pre-filed Direct Testimony of Petitioner (filed with Petition)
November 13, 2017	1 <sup>st</sup> Round Discovery Requests by all Parties
November 16, 2017	Responses and Objections to 1 <sup>st</sup> Round Discovery Requests
November 21, 2017	2 <sup>nd</sup> Round Discovery Requests by all Parties
November 29, 2017	Responses and Objections to 2 <sup>nd</sup> Round Discovery Requests
December 11, 2017	Intervenors' Statements of Position and/or Pre-filed Direct Testimony
December 14, 2017	Petitioner's Response to Statements of Position and/or Rebuttal Testimony*
December 19, 2017	Pre-Hearing Motions
December 27, 2017	Responses to Pre-Hearing Motions
January 5, 2018	Motion Hearing (if needed) and Pre- Hearing Conference
January 16, 2018	Target Date for Hearing on the Merits
January 23, 2018	Post Hearing Briefs (if needed)

<sup>\*</sup> Petitioner's Rebuttal Testimony or Response to Statements of Positions should be limited to issues raised in the Intervenors' Direct Testimony or Statements of Position. Petitioner's Rebuttal Testimony should include the page and line number of the Intervenors' testimony or Statements of Position that is being rebutted.