BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:		
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ANNUAL RECONCILIATION)	DOCKET NO. 17-00091
OF ANNUAL REVIEW MECHANISM)	

RESPONSE OF ATMOS ENERGY TO TPUC STAFF'S MARCH 1 DATA REQUEST

DATA REQUEST:

Please reconcile and explain the calculated \$2,923,963 revenue surplus provided on page 19 of the Settlement Testimony of William H. Novak to the revenue surplus of \$3,477,452 provided in the Atmos filing on March 1, 2018. Also, please provide your position regarding this difference.

RESPONSE:

The reconciliation on the attached spreadsheet traces the steps necessary to reconcile the Company's filed rebuttal position (represented in Exhibit GKW-R-1 and repeated in column "c" on the attached) with the amount filed in Mr. Novak's Supplemental Testimony filed January 4, 2018. The reconciliation can be described in 3 Steps as labeled in columns "d" through "f" on the attached.

Step 1: Begins with the Company's filed rebuttal position in GKW-R-1 and makes adjustments to replace synchronized income tax and interest expense with per books income tax and interest expense. Impacted line items are highlighted which result in the Company's 3/1/18 filing. The Company disagrees that these adjustment are appropriate. Flow through impacts on Cash Working Capital have also been included which have minor impacts on rate base and therefore Return. The merits of the Company's position that synchronized income tax expense must be used for a May 31 ending test period is documented throughout the record. While the issue of interest expense has not been discussed thoroughly in the record, it is the Company's position that synchronized income tax AND interest expense must be used for any test period that does not align with the Company's fiscal year. Should the test period dates be moved to align with the fiscal year (September 30), then it would be possible to use per books for both. The Company had intended to recommend per books income tax and interest expense in the filing that would have resulted from shifting the test period dates.

Step 2: As a first step in reconciling the 3/1/18 filing back to that of the CPAD, Step 2 reverts back to synchronized interest expense as per books interest expense was not contemplated in Mr. Novak's testimony.

Step 3. The final step necessary to complete the reconciliation is to ignore the flow through impacts to Cash Working Capital that were not contemplated by Mr. Novak. Doing so reverts CWC and therefore rate base and return back to the GKW-R-1 amounts, leaving the income tax issue isolated as the difference between the two positions. The Company's position on CWC is that it is appropriate to include flow through impacts caused by other changes to the cost of service.

Respectfully submitted,

NEAL & HARWELL, PLC

A. Scott Ross, #15634

1201 Demonbreun Street, Ste. 1000

Nashville, TN 37203

By:

(615) 244-1713 – Telephone

(615) 726-0573 – Facsimile

sross@nealharwell.com

Counsel for Atmos Energy Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing has been served, via the method(s) indicated below, on the following counsel of record, this the 2nd day of March, 2018.

() Hand	Wayne M. Irvin
() Mail	Assistant Attorney General
() Fax	Office of the Attorney General
() Fed. Ex.	Consumer Protection and Advocate Division
(X) E-Mail	P. O. Box 20207
,	Nashville, TN 37202-0207
	Wayne.irvin@ag.tn.gov

Jana

Tennessee Distribution System Cost of Service

Twelve Months Ended May 31, 2017 Response to TPUC Staff Request dated March 1, 2018

Line	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3

No.	Description	Reference		GKW-R-1	I	Filed 3/1/18	Synch	Revert to pronized Interest Expense	Capit	o Cash Working al Flow Through pacts - Ties to CPAD
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		(e)		(f)	
1 2	Cost of Gas	Schedule 3		\$49,958,064		\$49,958,064		\$49,958,064		\$49,958,064
3	Operation & Maintenance Expense	Schedule 4	21,722,726		21,722,726		21,722,726			21,722,726
4			. ,							
5	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	Schedule 5	7,743,266		7,743,266		7,743,266		7,743,266	
6	B	0.1.11.6		11.050.675		11.050.675		11.050.675		11.050.675
8	Depreciation & Amortization Expense	Schedule 6	11,858,675		11,858,675		11,858,675		11,858,675	
9	Return	Schedule 7		20,802,049		20,788,775		20,788,775		20,802,049
10		Belledale ,		20,002,019		20,700,770		20,700,770		20,002,019
11	Federal Income and State Excise Tax	Schedule 8		9,442,279		6,579,767		6,579,767		6,579,767
12										
13	AFUDC	Wp 1-2		(69,679)		(69,679)		(69,679)		(69,679)
14 15	Interest on Customer Deposits	Wp 1-1		132,163		132,163		132,163		132,163
16	interest on Customer Deposits	W p 1-1	-	132,103		132,103		132,103		132,103
17	Total Cost of Service		\$	121,589,543	\$	118,713,757	\$	118,713,757	\$	118,727,031
18										
19	Cost of Service w/o Gas Cost			71,631,479		68,755,693		68,755,693		68,768,967
20	No. Co. Description Assisting Very (Co.)			71 200 570		71 200 570		71 200 570		71 200 570
21 22	Non-Gas Revenues in Attrition Year (Gross M	argin)		71,300,579		71,300,579		71,300,579		71,300,579
23	Per Books Interest Expense Adjustment					(465,947)				
24	r					(7 7				
25	Additional Revenue Required to Earn Authoriz	ed Rate of Return		330,900		(3,010,833)		(2,544,886)		(2,531,612)
26										
27 28	Carrying Cost			51,283		(466,619)		(394,407)		(392,350)
28 29	Amount to be added to revenue sufficiency / do	eficiency	\$	382,182	\$	(3,477,452)	\$	(2,939,293)	\$	(2,923,962)
30	in February 1, 2018 ARM filing	juuny	Ψ	302,102	Ψ	(3,711,732)	Ψ	(2,737,273)	Ψ	(2,723,702)
50	11. 1 Cormary 1, 2010 min junis									