IN THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY AT NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:)	
)	
JOINT PETITION OF INTEGRATED)	
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT, INC.)	DOCKET NO. 15-00130
AND TRA STAFF (AS A PARTY) TO)	
INCREASE RATES AND CHARGES)	
	,	

PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JOE SHIRLEY

- 1 Q. Please state your name, position and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Joe Shirley. I am the Deputy Chief of Utilities for the Tennessee
- Regulatory Authority. My business address is 502 Deaderick Street, Fourth Floor,
- 4 Nashville, Tennessee 37243.
- 5 Q. Please provide a summary of your educational background and professional experience.
- I have a B.S. in Accounting from Western Kentucky University, an M.B.A. from 7 A. Middle Tennessee State University and a J.D. from the Nashville School of Law. I am 8 a licensed attorney and C.P.A. in Tennessee. I have over thirty years of professional 9 experience as an attorney, utility consultant, financial analyst and auditor, with nearly 10 sixteen of those years in public utility ratemaking and regulation in the telephone, 11 natural gas, water and wastewater industries. I have litigated various utility rate cases 12 as the lead attorney and I have testified in various utility rate hearings as an expert 13 witness before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority and its predecessor agency, the 14 Tennessee Public Service Commission. I have also advised the leadership of the 15 Authority and Commission on a host of regulatory issues. 16

17 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

- 18 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the forecast for Integrated Resource
 19 Management, Inc.'s ("IRM") (1) rate base; (2) rate case expense; (3) amortization and
 20 depreciation; and (4) taxes and fees. The related TRA Staff Exhibits and Workpapers
 21 are attached to the pre-filed direct testimony of Tiffany Underwood.
- 22 Q. Please explain your forecast for rate base.

A. Rate base constitutes the value of property on which a public utility is permitted to earn an authorized rate of return established by a regulatory agency. In general, the rate base consists of the value of property the utility uses to provide service.

In this case, IRM's rate base components include: <u>additions</u> for net utility plant in service ("UPIS"), deferred rate case expense and working capital funds advanced by the utility; and <u>deductions</u> for net contributions in aide of construction ("CIAC") and escrow and customer deposits held by the utility.

As reflected on TRA Staff Exhibit Schedule 2, IRM's rate base for the 12 Months Attrition Period Ending December 31, 2016 is forecasted to be \$113,893. The rate base amount is relatively small primarily because most of IRM's plant is financed by donations of plant or contributions for construction of plant by other persons. These donations or contributions are known as "contributions in aide of construction" ("CIAC") and are deducted from rate base since the utility's own funds are not invested in the plant. Further, depreciation on contributed plant is not used as an expense in establishing rates for the utility.

UPIS and CIAC. As shown on TRA Staff Workpapers 2.01 and 2.02, the balances for net UPIS and net CIAC were traced to the general ledger for the Test Period, and then these amounts were computed for the Attrition Period by assuming no additions or retirements and by applying a composite depreciation rate of 3.957% for UPIS and a composite amortization rate of 3.755% for CIAC. The average of the forecasted beginning and ending UPIS and CIAC balances for the Attrition Period were then included in rate base, as reflected on TRA Staff Exhibit Schedule 2.

Page | 2

Deferred Rate Case Expense. An allowance for rate case expense of \$2,500 was made, with recovery of this amount over three years. This results in an average deferred rate case expense of \$2,084 for the Attrition Period, which was included in rate base as reflected on TRA Staff Exhibit Schedule 2. Given the nature of this proceeding,

this rate case expense allowance is reasonable.

Cash Working Capital. Consistent with long-standing ratemaking practice, an allowance for cash working capital was made by taking one-eighth of cash operating expenses (total operating expenses less non-cash expenses for depreciation and amortization). This method essentially assigns a 45-day time lag for cash working capital purposes and, as reflected in TRA Staff Exhibit Schedule 2, results in an estimated balance of \$24,112 for the Attrition Period.

Escrow and Customer Deposits. As shown on TRA Staff Exhibit Schedule 2, the average Test Period balances for escrow and customer deposits were used as estimates for these rate base deductions for the Attrition Period.

Due to the small size of IRM's rate base, and consistent with the rate case proceedings for similar wastewater utilities, TRA Party Staff determined that utilizing the Operating Margin Method to establish rates was preferable in this case. Further, IRM has agreed to this methodology. Additional testimony concerning use of the Operating Margin Method to set rates in this case is presented by Tiffany Underwood.

Q. Please explain your forecast for rate case expense.

A. As discussed previously, an allowance of \$2,500 for the costs of this case has been made, with recovery over a three year period. This results in annual rate case expense of \$834, as shown on TRA Staff Exhibit Schedule 3, Line 12. Given the nature of this

- case and assistance received by Party Staff, this amount of rate case expense is reasonable.
- 3 Q. Please explain your forecast for depreciation and amortization.
- A. As shown on TRA Staff Workpaper 2.02, the net annual depreciation expense for the
 Attrition Period is forecasted to be zero. As discussed previously, no depreciation
 expense is recognized for ratemaking purposes in this case because IRM's utility plant
 in service is contributed plant. And as reflected on TRA Staff Workpaper 2.02, annual
 amortization of organization expense of \$1,886 was traced to the Test Period, and this
 same amount was allowed for the Attrition Period, as shown on TRA Staff Exhibit
 Schedule 3, Line 13.
- 11 Q. Please explain your forecast for inspection fees.
- A. As reflected on TRA Staff Exhibit Schedule 3, Line 14, TRA inspection fees of \$627 was forecasted for the Attrition Period. As shown on TRA Staff Workpaper 5.03, the statutory formula for determining the TRA inspection fee was applied to the Attrition Period revenue forecast to calculate the projected fee.
- 16 Q. Please explain your forecast for property taxes.
- A. As reflected on TRA Staff Exhibit Schedule 3, Line 15, \$2,778 was forecasted for property tax expense for the Attrition Period. Since the Attrition Period forecast assumes no growth in property, plant and equipment, which is one of the main drivers of property tax liability, the forecasted property tax was taken directly from IRM's 2015 property tax notices, as shown on TRA Staff Workpaper 5.02.
- 22 Q. Please explain your forecast for franchise taxes.

Page | 4 Shirley | Direct

- A. As reflected on TRA Staff Exhibit Schedule 3, Line 16, \$236 was forecasted for franchise tax expense for the Attrition Period. This amount was estimated by estimated taxable net worth by deducting unamortized CIAC forecasted for the Attrition Period from net UPIS for the Attrition Period and applying the statutory tax rate, as shown on TRA Staff Workpaper 5.01.
- 6 Q. Please explain your forecast for state excise and federal income taxes.
- 7 Α. As shown on TRA Staff Exhibit Schedule 3, Lines 17 and 18, no provision for state 8 excise tax or federal income tax has been made in this case. Review of state and federal 9 income tax returns since 2012 indicates that the Company has reported net operating 10 losses. Further, IRM has reported net operating losses in its Annual Reports to the 11 TRA. Under both state and federal tax codes, IRM will be able to carry forward these 12 net operating losses ("NOLs") to reduce its income tax liability in future years. Should 13 the requested rate increase be approved and the forecasted operating margin be 14 achieved, it is estimated that sufficient NOL carryforwards will be available to reduce 15 any resulting state excise and federal income tax liabilities to zero in the Attrition 16 Period. Thus, no provision for excise or income taxes has been included in rates.
- 17 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 18 A. Yes it does.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF TENNESSEE)
COUNTY OF DAVIDSON)
I, Joe Shirley, being duly sworn, state that I am authorized to make this verification on behalf of TRA Staff (As a Party); that I have read the foregoing Pre-filed Direct Testimony, Exhibits and Workpapers of Joe Shirley and know the content thereof; and that the same are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. Joe Shirley
Sworn to and subscribed before me the day of January, 2016.
Notary Public
My Commission Expires: March 8, 2016

* Commission Expires MAR. 8, 2015