From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:34 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

----Original Message----

From: Judith Rothe [mailto:jwoodchuck@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:55 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Judith Rothe

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:34 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message-----

From: Carolyn Hackworth [mailto:Adellhackworth@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2015 6:37 AM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Hackworth

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 10, 2015 9:06 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message----

From: Robert Burns [mailto:rolebu1@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2015 8:55 AM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Robert Burns

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 10, 2015 3:11 PM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message----

From: Lauri Peterson [mailto:laurip423@yahoo.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2015 2:22 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Lauri Peterson