

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:29 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: jane Lawrence [<mailto:wjlawrence71@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:12 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

jane Lawrence

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:28 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Kristin Plenger [<mailto:zkspam@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:05 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Kristin Plenger

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:28 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Freddie Porter [<mailto:fgporter@charter.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:54 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Freddie Porter

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:28 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: Rendall Crowder [<mailto:boocrowder@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:46 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Rendall Crowder

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:28 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: Pam Duncan [<mailto:lecrow@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:44 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Pam Duncan

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:28 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: Brenda Crowder [<mailto:BrenCrow@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:37 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Brenda Crowder

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:28 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Christopher Miller [<mailto:middlextop@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:44 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Christopher Miller

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:28 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: Israel Gillette [<mailto:israelgillette@msn.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:30 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Israel Gillette

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:27 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Norma Morrison [<mailto:Njmorrison@milligan.edu>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:56 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Norma Morrison

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:27 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Lhyndah Bertram [<mailto:lhyndah@aol.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:28 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Lhyndah Bertram

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:27 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Donald Keyser [<mailto:keyserdonald@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:13 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Donald Keyser

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:27 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: linda tate [<mailto:lsuetate@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:10 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

linda tate

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:27 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: vicky darnell [<mailto:vickydar@charter.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:55 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

vicky darnell

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:27 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Helen Burleson [<mailto:hannahllrose@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:02 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Helen Burleson

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:27 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Deborah Burger [<mailto:cerdeb@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:29 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Deborah Burger

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:27 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Sally Jackson [<mailto:sallystorylady@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:10 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Sally Jackson

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:26 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Hillary Haley [<mailto:haleytwins@comcast.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:25 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Hillary Haley

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:26 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Ruth McGalliard [mailto:r_mcg@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:34 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Ruth McGalliard

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:26 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: Stephen Risk [<mailto:stephen729corv@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:28 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Stephen Risk

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:26 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: Mary Harder [<mailto:meharder66@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:40 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Mary Harder

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:26 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: George Stanton [<mailto:gcstanton1933@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:05 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

George Stanton

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:26 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Wolff [<mailto:Bbwolff1937@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:58 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Edward Wolff

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:26 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Sheridan Nice [<mailto:shdockery@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:43 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Sheridan Nice

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:26 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Elizabeth Cooperstein [<mailto:eccooperstein@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:23 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Cooperstein

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:26 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Darlene Cunningham [mailto:cunningham_dar@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:20 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Darlene Cunningham

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:25 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: neita shaw [<mailto:neitashaw@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 6:31 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

neita shaw

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:25 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

-----Original Message-----

From: Jackie Jenkins [<mailto:Rgjnk@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 5:28 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Jackie Jenkins

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:25 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: They shouldn't even be able to do this. Should be against the law

-----Original Message-----

From: Janice Mays [<mailto:maysjanicec@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 5:19 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: They shouldn't even be able to do this. Should be against the law

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Janice Mays

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:25 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: Pamela Costagliola [<mailto:pamelacosta@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 5:13 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Pamela Costagliola

Sharla Dillon

From: Earl Taylor
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:25 PM
To: Sharla Dillon
Subject: FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: Bob Warner [<mailto:bob@aviationlifestyle.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:55 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Bob Warner