

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Adam Jones [frojones@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:31 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Adam Jones

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Glenda Miles [glendamil6@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 8:37 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Glenda Miles

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Amy Boyles [Amyboyles0027@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 9:07 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market. It's time to listen to your customers! Solar makes good sense!

Sincerely,

Amy Boyles

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Jorja Cummings [jorjagreen@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 9:44 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Jorja Cummings

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Gina Burnett [burnett2005@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:29 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Gina Burnett

Ashlee Hatfield

From: mark allison [iamxanadu@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 11:34 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

mark allison

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Kevin Hawkins [f150bft@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 2:46 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Kevin Hawkins

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Jeny Walker [jwalkerh@DCEMAIL.COM]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 4:25 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

We should be encouraging energy sources that provide an alternative to fossil fuel!

Sincerely,

Jeny Walker

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Gary Slogar [Gary9@charter.net]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 7:04 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Gary Slogar

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Curt Rose [Mrcurtisrose@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 10:01 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Curt Rose

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Mary Harder [meharder66@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 10:42 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Mary Harder

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Paul Quintanilla [pquint2@cox.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 10:49 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Paul Quintanilla

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Barbara Warren [bwitchd_angel@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 12:55 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Barbara Warren

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Eric perry [Infantserif15032003@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 1:37 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Eric perry

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Mary Johnson [Sunshine64.MJ@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 2:40 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Mary Johnson

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Connie Toohey [conniesuzanne2@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 3:14 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Connie Toohey

Ashlee Hatfield

From: m ray [offers4me99@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 3:19 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

m ray

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Jodi Jones [madre81@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2015 8:34 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Jodi Jones

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Teresa Bowers [Issacjen54@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 4:16 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Teresa Bowers

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Stephanie Blevins [slblevins33@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 4:31 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Blevins

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Ed Falin [Edfalin@gmx.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 7:28 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Ed Falin

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Jimmy Pierson [jrpierson46@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 9:37 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Jimmy Pierson

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Margaret Denney m [Margaret_denney@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 12:46 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Margaret Denney m

Ashlee Hatfield

From: rob chittum [Robchittum@Hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 12:58 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

rob chittum

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Clifford Salyer II [csalyerii@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 1:47 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Clifford Salyer II

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Gail Nickowitz [gailnicko@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 3:34 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Gail Nickowitz

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Melinda Horn [Mendi.earthling@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 7:52 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Melinda Horn

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Amanda Austwick [aaustwick@mac.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 10:22 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Amanda Austwick

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Krista Rosolina [rosolina@earthlink.net]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 10:28 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Krista Rosolina

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Randall Wood [radmat6@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 12:16 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Randall Wood

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Mary Miller [bilmar74@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 12:31 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Mary Miller

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Alexander walker [Alecwalkeronline@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 4:04 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Alexander walker

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Nichole Matthews [nrmatthews@charter.net]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 6:10 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal is a Bully Tactic

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity. Free enterprise and a customer driven market is what America's economy is based on.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Nichole Matthews

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Robert Smith [s.robert30@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 8:37 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Robert Smith

Ashlee Hatfield

From: robin hodge [robin1@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 9:07 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

robin hodge

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Terry Kindle [ttkindle@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 3:08 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Terry Kindle

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Melvin Hobbs [maddyh61@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 14, 2015 3:55 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Melvin Hobbs

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Joseph Rzeczycki [cubbycat51@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 10:19 AM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Joseph Rzeczycki

Ashlee Hatfield

From: Randall Wood [radmat6@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 12:16 PM
To: Earl Taylor
Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Randall Wood