From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Friday, December 04, 2015 8:21 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message----

From: Emily Carmack [mailto:emilyjarvis19@yahoo.com]

Sent: Friday, December 04, 2015 4:22 AM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Emily Carmack

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Friday, December 04, 2015 8:20 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

----Original Message----

From: Mike Frisch [mailto:mikefri103@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 4:10 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Mike Frisch

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Friday, December 04, 2015 8:20 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

----Original Message----

From: Graham Kramer [mailto:themeowofdoom@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:37 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Graham Kramer

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Friday, December 04, 2015 8:20 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message----

From: Michele Markstrom-Frisch [mailto:markstromfrisch@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:22 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Michele Markstrom-Frisch

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Friday, December 04, 2015 8:20 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message----

From: larry church [mailto:crazyhorse1953@hotmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:16 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

larry church

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:26 PM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message----

From: Kayla Kramer [mailto:Ksmith874@gmail.com] Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:50 AM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Kayla Kramer

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:25 PM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message----

From: Terry Vickers [mailto:terrylynnvic@hotmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:31 AM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Terry Vickers

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:24 PM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

----Original Message----

From: randy jones [mailto:randyjones934@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:10 AM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

randy jones

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:24 PM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

----Original Message----

From: Victoria Monroe [mailto:v 1 monroe@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:04 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Victoria Monroe

From: Earl Taylor

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:38 AM

To: Sharla Dillon

Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message----

From: Wayne Martin [mailto:k.w.martin@comcast.net]

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:25 AM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Wayne Martin

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:38 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

----Original Message----

From: Tom Sahlin [mailto:slideblueslover@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:20 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Tom Sahlin

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:38 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

----Original Message----

From: Susan Nave [mailto:bobbysoxmom@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:11 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Susan Nave

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:38 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

----Original Message----

From: David Hrivnak [mailto:dhrivnak@chartertn.net]

Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:29 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

As a Kingsport resident, I am disappointed to hear Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the small growth of a rooftop solar market in Kingsport by reducing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market encourages local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Rooftop solar can help stabilize the grid by reducing transmission losses and distances. So please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

David Hrivnak

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:38 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message----

From: William Shannon [mailto:billshannon@btes.tv]

Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:25 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

William Shannon

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:38 AM

To: Sharla Dillon

Subject: FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message-----

From: colleen kelly [mailto:the2thfaerie@hotmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:06 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

colleen kelly

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:38 AM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

----Original Message----

From: Heather Miano [mailto:hmiano@icloud.com] Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:50 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: Kingsport Power's proposal does not support a growing solar market

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

I do not support Kingsport Power's proposal to impose solar specific charges on customers that choose to adopt rooftop solar. Doing so will discourage solar adoption by drastically reducing savings. We should be supporting policies that will build off of our state's current solar progress and foster a future thriving rooftop solar market.

Rooftop solar will grant all Tennesseans the opportunity to have a choice in how their electricity is produced while creating more local jobs. Please do not support this proposal. It is detrimental to our state's opportunity to grow a strong and competitive energy market.

Sincerely,

Heather Miano

From:

Earl Taylor

Sent:

Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:24 PM

To:

Sharla Dillon

Subject:

FW: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

----Original Message----

From: Victoria Monroe [mailto:v 1 monroe@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:04 PM

To: Earl Taylor

Subject: I do not support Kingsport Power's Solar Proposal

Dear Executive Director Earl Taylor,

Kingsport Power's proposal to implement solar specific charges threatens to eliminate the growth of a rooftop solar market in our community by slashing solar savings. A growing rooftop solar market would encourage local job creation and grant me and my fellow community members choice in how we generate our electricity.

Salt River Project in Arizona implemented a high solar specific charge and experienced a 95% reduction in solar installations as a result. I ask that we do everything that we can to avoid a similar situation in Tennessee.

Please do not support this proposal. Imposing solar specific fees will destroy our state's ability to support a growing solar market.

Sincerely,

Victoria Monroe