T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

August 25, 2015

#### VIA US MAIL DELIVERY

Mr. David Foster, Chief **Utilities Division** Tennessee Regulatory Authority 502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor Nashville, Tennessee 37219

> Docket No. 14-00158 / Expert Testimony Re:

Dear Mr. Foster:

Enclosed is the expert testimony of William H. Novak on behalf of King's Chapel Capacity.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Jeremy R. Durham Counsel for King's Chapel Capacity 245 Noah Dr. Franklin, TN 37064

615.891.0803

### BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

TARIFF FILING OF KING'S CHAPEL CAPACITY TO TRUE-UP BONDING COSTS (TARIFF NO. 20140176)	) ) ) )	Docket No. 14-00158
COSTS (TARIFF NO. 20140176)	)	

OF WILLIAM H. NOVAK

ON BEHALF OF KING'S CHAPEL CAPACITY, LLC

August 25, 2015

1	Q1.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
2		OCCUPATION FOR THE RECORD.
3	<i>A1</i> .	My name is William H. Novak. My business address is 19 Morning Arbor Place,
4		The Woodlands, TX, 77381. I am the President of WHN Consulting, a utility
5		consulting and expert witness services company.
6		
7	Q2.	PLEASE PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF YOUR BACKGROUND AND
8		PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
9	A2.	A detailed description of my educational and professional background is provided
10		in Attachment WHN-1 to my testimony. Briefly, I have both a Bachelor's degree
11		in Business Administration with a major in Accounting, and a Master's degree in
12		Business Administration from Middle Tennessee State University. I am a
13		Certified Management Accountant, and am also licensed to practice as a Certified
14		Public Accountant.
15		
16		My work experience has centered on regulated utilities for over 30 years. Before
17		establishing WHN Consulting, I was Chief of the Energy & Water Division of the
18		Tennessee Regulatory Authority where I had either presented testimony or
19		advised the Authority on a host of regulatory issues for over 19 years. In
20		addition, I was previously the Director of Rates & Regulatory Analysis for two
21		years with Atlanta Gas Light Company, a natural gas distribution utility with
22		operations in Georgia and Tennessee. I also served for two years as the Vice

<sup>1</sup> State of Tennessee, Registered Accounting Firm ID 3682.

King's Chapel Capacity 1

Novak, Direct

1		President of Regulatory Compliance for Sequent Energy Management, a natural
2		gas trading and optimization entity in Texas, where I was responsible for ensuring
3		the firm's compliance with state and federal regulatory requirements.
4		
5		In 2004, I established WHN Consulting as a utility consulting and expert witness
6		services company. Since 2004 WHN Consulting has provided testimony or
7		consulting services to state public utility commissions and state consumer
8		advocates in at least ten state jurisdictions as shown in Attachment WHN-1.
9		
10	Q3.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?
11	A3.	I am testifying on behalf of King's Chapel Capacity, LLC ("KCC" or "the
12		Company").
13		
14	Q4.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
15		PROCEEDING?
16	A4.	The purpose of my testimony is to present to the TRA the underlying
17		methodology used by KCC to true-up its bonding surcharge as shown on
18		Attachment WHN-2.2
19		
20	Q5.	WHEN DID KCC FIRST IMPLEMENT THE BONDING SURCHARGE
21		AND OVER WHAT PERIOD OF TIME IS IT NOW BEING TRUED-UP?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Attachment WHN-2 contains only the summary schedules that were included with the Company's true-up filing in this docket. Please refer to the Company's filing for the detailed supporting schedules.

I	A3.	On December 19, 2008, the TRA issued an Order in Docket 08-00069 approving
2		the tariff of KCC to implement a pass-through charge effective February 2009 for
3		bonding costs. However, the TRA Order in Docket 08-00069 never provided any
4		specific direction on truing-up the actual bonding cost charges to the bond
5		surcharge recoveries. On December 12, 2014, KCC made the current tariff filing
6		in response to the TRA Party Staff's Data Request in Docket 14-00007 to true-up
7		this bonding cost from its implementation in February 2009 through September
8		2014. Subsequently, KCC's tariff filing was moved to this docket 14-00158 for
9		consideration.
10		
11	Q6.	PRIOR TO RECEIVING THE DATA REQUEST FROM THE PARTY
12		STAFF IN DOCKET 14-00007, HAD THE TRA EVER REQUESTED
13		THAT THE COMPANY TRUE-UP THE BONDING SURCHARGE?
14	A6.	No, not to KCC's knowledge.
15		
16	Q7	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROPOSED METHODOLOGY FOR
17		TRUING-UP THE BONDING COST FOR KCC.
18	A7.	As shown in columns 2 – 9 on Attachment WHN-2, Schedule 2, KCC had 8
19		different bonds in effect at various times from February 2009 through September
20		2014. The total amount of bonds in force for each month is shown in column 10.
21		As shown in column 10, the total amount of bond varied during the true-up period
22		from a low of \$77,000 to a high of \$276,355.
23		

1	In Docket 08-00069, the TRA approved an interest rate of 7.95%. Therefore, the
2	total bond cost in column 10 is multiplied by 7.95% to get the annual interest cost
3	in column 11 and the monthly interest cost in column 12.
4	
5	Next, the monthly interest cost in column 12 is divided by the actual monthly
6	number of customers served in column 13 to get the actual monthly bonding cost
7	per customer as shown in column 14. During the true-up period, KCC's customer
8	base grew from 54 customers in February 2009 to 103 customers in September
9	2014 as shown in column 13.
10	
11	Next the actual monthly bonding cost per customer in column 14 is subtracted
12	from the approved bonding surcharge of \$18.60 in column 15 to get the net
13	charge per customer that was either over- or under-recovered in column 16.
14	During the true-up period, KCC's net bond surcharge per customer ranged from a
15	monthly under-recovery of \$-7.56 to a monthly over-recovery of \$12.74 as shown
16	in column 16.
17	
18	Finally, the over- or under-recovered monthly customer charge in column 16 is
19	then multiplied by the total number of customers in column 13 to get the total
20	monthly over- or under-recovered bond surcharge in column 17. These monthly
21	amounts are then accumulated in column 18. As shown at the bottom of column
22	18, KCC had over-recovered its actual bonding costs by \$9,667 during the true-up
23	period from February 2009 through September 2014.

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

#### Q8. MR. NOVAK, ARE THERE ANY OTHER PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

#### THAT NEED TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE TRUE-UP FILING?

4 A8. Yes. As shown on Attachment WHN-2, Schedule 1, and presented below in

Table 1, in addition to the \$116,500 total bond requirement already in place at

September 2014, there is a new \$82,500 bond that took effect in December 2014

as well as a second \$157,720 bond that took effect in the first quarter of 2015.

Therefore, the total pro forma bond requirement for 2015 is \$356,720 as shown

on Line 4. Applying the 7.95% interest rate to this total pro forma bond

requirement balance produces the current bond pass-through cost of \$28,359 as

TABLE 1 – KCC PRO FORMA BOND TRUE-UP CALCULATION				
Line	Item	Amount		
1	Existing Bond Requirement at September 30, 2014	\$116,500		
2	New Bond Tendered in December 2014 (LOC 9054480)	82,500		
3	New Bond Required in 1st Quarter 2015	157,720		
4	Total Pro Forma Bond Requirement	\$356,720		
5	Interest Rate	7.95%		
6	Current Pro Forma Bond Pass-Through Costs	\$28,359		
7	Previous Period Bond True-Up (Feb. 2009 – Sep 2014)	-9,667		
8	Net Pro Forma Bond Pass-Through Costs	\$18,692		
9	Projected Bills (110 Customers * 12 Months)	1,320		
10	Pro Forma Monthly Bond Surcharge	\$14.16		
11	Current Monthly Bond Surcharge	18.60		
12	Monthly Bond Surcharge Reduction	\$4.44		

Deducting the over-recovered bond costs of \$9,667 described above from the current pro forma bond pass-through cost of \$28,359 leaves a net pro forma bond surcharge of \$18, 692 as shown on Line 8. KCC expects to render 1,320 bills during 2015 (110 customers \* 12 months) which produces a pro forma bond

shown on Line 6.

1		surcharge of \$14.16 as shown on Line 10. The \$14.16 pro forma bond surcharge
2		represents a \$4.44 reduction from the current bond surcharge of \$18.60.
3		
4		The remainder of KCC's tariff filing in Attachment WHN-2 includes the new
5		tariff sheets that are designed to implement the pro forma bond surcharge of
6		\$14.16 calculated above.
7		
8	Q9.	MR. NOVAK, DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER THOUGHTS ON THE
9		TRUE-UP CALCULATION THAT YOU JUST PRESENTED?
10	A9.	Yes. As I mentioned before, the TRA Order in Docket 08-00069 did not provide
11		any guidance as to how often a true-up calculation should be undertaken. Going
12		forward, I would recommend that the TRA adopt a 3 year interval for the true-up
13		calculation. Therefore, the next true-up calculation would cover the period from
14		October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2017 and would need to be filed with the
15		TRA by December 31, 2017. Adopting a three year cycle for the bond surcharge
16		true-up will allow KCC to avoid the regulatory costs of an annual filing for
17		relatively immaterial true-up reconciliations.
18		
19	Q10.	DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER REQUESTS FOR THE TRA TO
20		CONSIDER IN THIS FILING?
21	A10.	Yes. As mentioned earlier, this true-up reconciliation originated from a show
22		cause filing by the TRA Party Staff in Docket 14-00007. Therefore, in addition to

1	approving the bond surcharge tariff filing and true-up methodology in this docket,
2	KCC would also request that the TRA now dismiss Docket 14-00007.

3

4

#### Q11. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 5 A11. Yes it does. However I reserve the right to incorporate any new information that
- 6 may subsequently become available.

# ATTACHMENT WHN-1 William H. Novak Vitae

#### William H. Novak

19 Morning Arbor Place The Woodlands, TX 77381

Phone: 713-298-1760

Email: halnovak@whnconsulting.com

#### Areas of Specialization

Over twenty-five years of experience in regulatory affairs and forecasting of financial information in the rate setting process for electric, gas, water and wastewater utilities. Presented testimony and analysis for state commissions on regulatory issues in four states and has presented testimony before the FERC on electric issues.

#### Relevant Experience

#### WHN Consulting – September 2004 to Present

In 2004, established WHN Consulting to provide utility consulting and expert testimony for energy and water utilities. Complete needs consultant to provide the regulatory and financial expertise that enabled a number of small gas and water utilities to obtain their Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CCN) that included forecasting the utility investment and income. Also provided the complete analysis and testimony for utility rate cases including revenues, operating expenses, taxes, rate base, rate of return and rate design for utilities in Tennessee. Assisted American Water Works Company in preparing rate cases in Ohio and Iowa. Provided commercial and industrial tariff analysis and testimony for an industrial intervenor group in a large gas utility rate case. Industry spokesman for water utilities dealing with utility commission rulemaking. Consultant for the North Carolina and Illinois Public Utility Commissions in carrying out their oversight functions of Duke Energy and Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company through focused management audits. Also provide continual utility accounting services and preparation of utility commission annual reports for water and gas utilities.

#### Sequent Energy Management – February 2001 to July 2003

Vice-President of Regulatory Compliance for approximately two years with Sequent Energy Management, a gas trading and optimization affiliate of AGL Resources. In that capacity, directed the duties of the regulatory compliance department, and reviewed and analyzed all regulatory filings and controls to ensure compliance with federal and state regulatory guidelines. Engaged and oversaw the work of a number of regulatory consultants and attorneys in various states where Sequent has operations. Identified asset management opportunities and regulatory issues for Sequent in various states. Presented regulatory proposals and testimony to eliminate wholesale gas rate fluctuations through hedging of all wholesale gas purchases for utilities. Also prepared testimony to allow gas marketers to compete with utilities for the transportation of wholesale gas to industrial users.

#### Atlanta Gas Light Company - April 1999 to February 2001

Director of Rates and Regulatory Analysis for approximately two years with AGL Resources, a public utility holding company serving approximately 1.9 million customers in Georgia, Tennessee, and Virginia. In that capacity, was instrumental in leading Atlanta Gas Light Company through the most complete and comprehensive gas deregulation process in the country that involved terminating the utility's traditional gas recovery mechanism and instead allowing all 1.5 million AGL Resources customers in Georgia to choose their own gas marketer. Also responsible for all gas deregulation filings, as well as preparing and defending gas cost recovery and rate filings. Initiated a weather normalization adjustment in Virginia to track adjustments to company's revenues based on departures from normal weather. Analyzed the regulatory impacts of potential acquisition targets.

#### Tennessee Regulatory Authority - Aug. 1982 to Apr 1999; Jul 2003 to Sep 2004

Employed by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (formerly the Tennessee Public Service Commission) for approximately 19 years, culminating as Chief of the Energy and Water Division. Responsible for directing the division's compliance and rate setting process for all gas, electric, and water utilities. Either presented analysis and testimony or advised the Commissioners/Directors on policy setting issues, including utility rate cases, electric and gas deregulation, gas cost recovery, weather normalization recovery, and various accounting related issues. Responsible for leading and supervising the purchased gas adjustment (PGA) and gas cost recovery calculation for all gas utilities. Responsible for overseeing the work of all energy and water consultants hired by the TRA for management audits of gas, electric and water utilities. Implemented a weather normalization process for water utilities that was adopted by the Commission and adopted by American Water Works Company in regulatory proceedings outside of Tennessee.

#### Education

B.A, Accounting, Middle Tennessee State University, 1981 MBA, Middle Tennessee State University, 1997

#### **Professional**

Certified Public Accountant (CPA), Tennessee Certificate # 7388
Certified Management Accountant (CMA), Certificate # 7880
Former Vice-Chairman of National Association of Regulatory Utility Commission's Subcommittee on Natural Gas

#### WHN Constitute

## Witness & Advisory History for William H. Novak, CPA Selected Cases

State	Company/Sponsor	Year	Assignment	Docket
Louisiana	CenterPoint Energy/Louisiana PSC	2011	Audit of PGA Filings from 2002 - 2008 of CenterPoint Arkla	S-32534
	CenterPoint Energy/Louisiana PSC	2011	Audit of PGA Filings from 2002 - 2008 of CenterPoint Entex	S-32537
	Louisiana Electric Utilities/Louisiana PSC	2012	Technical Consultant for Impact of Net Meter Subsidy on other Electric Customers	<u>R-31417</u>
Tennessee	Aqua Utilities	2006	Rate Case Audit - Revenue, Expenses, Rate Base and Rate Design	06-00187
	Atmos Energy Corporation/Atmos Intervention Group	2006	Rate design for Industrial Intervenor Group	05-00258
	Atmos Energy Corporation/Atmos Intervention Group	2007	Rate design for Industrial Intervenor Group	<u>07-00105</u>
	Bristol TN Essential Services	2009	Audit of Cost Allocation Manual	05-00251
	Chattanooga Manufacturers Association	2009	Spokesperson for Industrial Natural Gas Users before the Tennessee State Legislature	<u>HB-1349</u>
	Tennessee-American Water Company/Tennessee AG	2011	Rate Case Audit - Weather Normalization Adjustments	10-00189
	Piedmont Natural Gas Company/Tennessee AG	2011	Rate Case Audit - Revenue, Class Cost of Service Study & Rate Design	<u>11-00144</u>
	Lynwood Wastewater Utility/Tennessee AG	2012	Rate Case Audit - Revenue, Class Cost of Service Study & Rate Design	11-00198
	Tennessee-American Water Company/Tennessee AG	2012	Rate Case Audit - Revenues, Rate Base, Class Cost of Service Study and Rate Design	12-00049
	Atmos Energy Corporation/Tennessee AG	2012	Rate Case Audit - Revenues, Rate Base and Rate Design	12-00064
Alabama	Jefferson County (Birmingham) Wastewater/Alabama AG	In Process	Bankruptcy Filing - Allowable Costs and Rate Design	2009-2318
Illinois	Peoples & North Shore Gas Cos./Illinois Commerce Comm.	2007	Management Audit of Gas Purchasing Practices	06-0556
New Mexico	Southwestern Public Service Co./New Mexico PRC	2010	Financial Audit of Fuel Costs for 2009 and 2010	09-00351-UT
New York	National Grid/New York PSC	2011	Audit of Affiliate Relationships and Transactions	10-M-0451
Ohio	Ohio-American Water Company/Ohio Consumers' Counsel	2010	Rate Case Audit - Class Cost of Service and Rate Design	09-0391-WS-AIR
	Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio/Ohio Consumers' Counsel	2008	Rate Case Audit - Class Cost of Service and Rate Design	<u>07-10</u> 80-GA-AIR
	Duke Energy-Ohio/Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	2009	Focused Management Audit of Fuel & Purchased Power (FPP Riders)	07-0723-EL-UNC
Texas	Center Point Energy/Texas AG	2009	Rate Case Audit - Class Cost of Service and Rate Design	GUD 9902
Virginia	Aqua Utilities/PSS Legal Fund	2011	Rate Case Audit - Class Cost of Service and Rate Design	W-218, Sub-319
Washington DC	Washington Gas Light Co./Public Service Comm of DC	2011	Audit of Tariff Rider for Infrastructure Replacement Costs	<u>1027</u>

NOTE: Click on Docket Number to view testimony/report for each case where available.

# **ATTACHMENT WHN-2**

King's Chapel Bond True-Up Calculation Summary Schedules and Tariff Sheets

#### Schedule 1

# WHN Consulting Kings Chapel Capacity True-Up of Bonding Cost - February 2009 Through September 2014

ltem	Amount
Williamson County Bond Requirement at September 2014	\$116,500
New Bond Required by Williamson County in December 2014	82,500
New Bond Required by Williamson County in January 2015	157,720
Total Pro Forma Williamson County Bond Requirement	\$356,720
Interest Rate adopted by TRA for KCC Bonding	7.95%
Current Bond Pass-Through Costs	\$28,359
Over-Recovered Bond Costs (February 2009 - September 2014)	9,667
Net Pro Forma Bond Surcharge	\$18,692
Projected Bills (110 Customers * 12)	1,320
Pro Forma Monthly Bonding Surcharge Rate	\$14.16
Current KCC Monthly Bonding Surcharge Rate	\$18.60
Monthly Bonding Surcharge Reduction	\$4.44

Attachment WHN-2 Schedule 2

(18)

Kings Chapel Capacity

True-Up of Bonding Cost - February 2009 Through September 2014

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

(9)

(10)

(11)

(12)

(13)

(14)

(15)

(16)

(17)

(1) (2) 7.95% Bond Bond Bond Bond Bond Bond Bond Bond Monthly Cost Per Base Rate (Under)/Over Cumulative Total S177 888 Month #4 #6 #7 nterest Rate Cost Billed Rate Differenc -\$174.11 -\$174.11 February 2009 \$151 642 \$11,500 \$6 533 S8 213 21 62 \$18.60 -\$3.22 March 151 842 11 500 6 533 8.213 177 888 14 142 1 179 21 82 18 60 -3 22 -174 11 -348 22 177 888 -522 33 11 500 6 533 8 213 1 179 18 60 -3 22 -174 11 April 151 642 14 142 21 82 8,213 177,888 14,142 1,179 55 18,60 151 642 11.500 6.533 21.43 -2.83 -155.51 -677.84 May 8.213 177,888 1,179 18.60 -833.35 151,642 11,500 6,533 14,142 21.43 -2.83 -155,51 June July 151,642 11,500 6.533 8,213 177,888 14,142 1,179 55 21,43 18.60 -2.83 -155.51 -988,86 August 151.642 11,500 6.533 8,213 177 888 14 142 1,179 56 21.04 18.60 -2.44 -136.91 -1.125.77 177 BBB 1.179 56 57 21.04 September 151.642 11 500 6 533 8 213 14.142 18 60 -2 44 -136.91 -1 262 68 177,888 1,179 October 151 642 11.500 6 533 B 213 14.142 20.68 18 60 -2 08 -118.31 -1 380 99 6,533 177,868 14,142 1,179 20.32 18.60 -1.72 -1,480.70 11.500 6.213 -99.71 November 151 642 151,642 11,500 6,533 6,213 177,888 14,142 1,179 18.60 -1.72 -99.71 -1,580.41 December 151,642 11,500 6,533 8,213 177,888 14,142 1,179 19.97 18.60 -1.37 -81.11 -1,661.52 January 2010 151,642 11,500 6,533 8,213 177.888 14 142 1.179 60 19.64 18.60 -1.04 -62.51 -1,724.03 February March 151,642 11.500 6 533 8 213 177 ARR 14 142 1 179 61 19.32 18 60 -n 72 -43.91 -1 767 04 177 888 14 142 61 11 500 6 533 8 213 1 179 19.32 18.60 -0.72 -43 91 -1 811 85 April 151 642 61 11.500 6.533 8,213 177,888 14,142 1,179 19.32 18,60 -0.72 -43.91 -1.855.76 May 151.842 11,500 8,213 177,888 1,179 62 19.01 18.60 -25.31 -1.881.07 151.642 6.533 June 151,642 11,500 6,533 8,213 177,888 14,142 1,179 18.41 18.60 0.19 11.89 -1,869,18 July 65 151,642 11,500 6.533 8.213 177 888 14 142 1,179 18.13 18.60 0.47 30.49 -1.838.69 August September 151 642 11 500 6.533 8 213 177 888 14.142 1.179 66 17 88 18 60 0.74 49 09 -1 789 60 66 171,355 1,135 11.500 8.213 13.623 17.20 18.60 92.37 -1 697 23 October 151 642 1.40 151 642 11,500 8,213 171,355 13,623 1,135 67 16.94 18.60 1.66 110.97 -1,586.26 November 151,642 11,500 8,213 171,355 13.623 1,135 16.69 18.60 1.91 129.57 -1,456.69 Decembe 151.642 11,500 8,213 171,355 13,623 1,135 69 16.45 18.60 2.15 148.17 -1,308.52 January 2011 65 17.47 151,642 11,500 8.213 171.355 13.623 1,135 18.60 1.13 73.77 -1,234.75 February March 151 642 11 500 8 213 171 355 13 623 1 135 66 67 17 20 18 60 1.40 92.37 -1.142.38 171,355 1,135 1.66 110.97 11 500 13.623 -1 031 41 April 151 842 8 213 16 94 18 60 1,135 66 17.20 151.642 11.500 8,213 171,355 13,623 18.60 1.40 92.37 -939.04 May 11,500 8,213 171,355 13,623 1,135 70 18.60 2.38 -772.27 June 151,642 151,642 11,500 8,213 171,355 13,623 1,135 68 16.69 18.60 1.91 129.57 -642.70 July August 151.642 11.500 8,213 171.355 13.623 1.135 67 16.94 18 60 1.66 110 97 -531.73 171.355 1.135 13.623 69 16.45 18 60 2.15 Septembe 151 642 11 500 8.213 148.17 -383 56 69 151 642 11.500 8.213 171.355 13.623 1.135 16.45 18.60 2.15 148.17 -235.39 October 71 2.61 11,500 8,213 171,355 13,623 1,135 15.99 18.60 185.37 -50.02 151.642 November 151,642 11,500 8,213 171,355 13,623 1,135 15.99 18.60 2.61 185.37 135.35 December 151,642 11,500 \$65,000 8,213 236,355 18,790 1,566 72 21.75 18.60 -3.15 -226.65 -91.30 January 2012 1.566 151,642 11 500 65.000 8,213 236.355 18 790 71 22.05 18.60 -3.45 -245.25 -336.55 February 1.566 70 March 151 642 11 500 65 000 8.213 236 355 18.790 22 37 18.60 -3.77 -263.85 -600 40 236,355 1,566 70 -3,77 18.790 22.37 18 60 April 151 642 11 500 65 000 8,213 -263 85 -864 25 8,213 18,790 1,566 70 18.60 -3.77 151,642 11,500 65,000 236,355 -263.85 -1,128,10 May 65,000 8,213 238,355 18,790 1,566 70 22.37 18,60 -3.77 -263.85 -1,391.95 151,642 11,500 June 151,642 11,500 65.000 8,213 \$40,000 276,355 21,970 1,831 72 25.43 18.60 -6.83 -491.65 -1,883,60 July 1,831 1,831 151,642 11,500 65 000 8,213 40 000 276 355 21.970 71 25.79 18 80 -7.19 -510.25 -2.393.85 August -7.19 September 151.642 11.500 65 000 8.213 40.000 276.355 21.970 71 25.79 18.60 -510.25 -2.904.10 1.831 8.213 18.60 11.500 65,000 40.000 276.355 21.970 70 26.16 -7.56 -528.85 -3.432.95 October 151 842 11,500 65,000 40,000 268,142 21,317 1,776 71 25.02 18.60 -6.42 -455.84 -3,888.79 151 642 November 151,842 11.500 65,000 40,000 268,142 21,317 1,776 22.77 18.60 -4.17 -325.64 4.214.43 December January 2013 151,642 11,500 65,000 40,000 268,142 21,317 1,776 81 21.93 18.60 -3.33 -269.84 -4.484.27 February 151,642 11,500 65 000 40 000 268,142 21.317 1 776 82 21 66 18.60 -3.06 -251.24 -4.735.51 1 776 83 151.842 11.500 65 000 40 000 268 142 21.317 21 40 18 60 -2.80 -232 64 .4 968 15 1,700 85 April 151 642 65 000 40.000 256 642 20.403 20.00 18 60 -1 40 -119 25 -5 087 40 1,700 83 151 642 65,000 40,000 256,642 20,403 20.48 18.60 -1.68 -156.45 -5,243.85 May 65,000 40,000 105,000 8,348 696 8.28 18.60 10.32 866.78 -4.377.07 June 65,000 40,000 105,000 8,348 696 8.09 18.60 10.51 903.98 -3,473.09 July 65 000 40.000 105,000 8,348 696 86 8.09 18.60 10.51 903.98 -2,569.11 August 510 87 5.86 12.74 65 000 12 000 77 000 6.122 18.60 1.108.08 -1.461.03 5.86 18.60 65 000 12.000 77.000 6.122 510 87 12.74 1.108.08 October -352.95 7.84 \$67,500 144,500 957 89 10.76 18.60 345.14 November 65.000 12,000 11,488 698.09 65,000 12,000 67,500 144,500 11,488 957 10.76 18.60 7.84 698.09 1,043.23 December January 2014 65,000 12,000 67,500 144,500 11,488 957 89 10.76 18.60 7.84 698.09 1,741.32 65 000 12.000 67 500 144 500 11 488 957 90 10 R4 18.60 7.96 716 69 2.458.01 February 65 000 12,000 67.500 144 500 11 488 957 90 10 64 18 60 7.96 716 69 3 174 70 March \$17,500 772 12.000 67.500 118.500 9.262 18 60 10.21 April 19.500 92 8.39 939.39 4 114 09 19,500 17,500 12,000 67,500 118,500 9,262 772 7.80 18.60 10.80 1,069,59 5,183.68 May 17,500 12,000 67,500 116,500 9.262 772 7.80 18.60 10.80 1,069,59 6,253.27 19.500 June 19,500 17,500 12,000 67,500 116,500 9.262 772 102 7.57 18.60 11.03 1.125.39 7,378.66 July 19,500 17,500 12.000 67.500 116 500 9.262 772 103 7.49 18 60 11.11 1.143.99 8,522.65 August 17 500 67 500 772 7 49 18 60 11 11 September 19 500 12 000 116.500 9 262 103 1.143.99 9.666.64

King's Chapel Capacity TRA #3 Wastewater Tariff Second Revised Sheet #1 Replacing First Sheet #1 Effective Date: February 1, 2015

#### King's Chapel Capacity Wastewater Service Billing Summary

System	Monthly Charge
Ashby Communities – Sheet 2	\$49.27

King's Chapel Capacity TRA #3 Wastewater Tariff

Second Revised Sheet #2 Replacing First Sheet #2 Effective Date: February 1, 2015

# **King's Chapel Capacity Ashby Communities Billing Rates**

	Monthly	Escrowed
Collection System Operation and Maintenance (Tariff Items 1 – 4)	Charge \$8.95	<b>Amount</b> \$6.35
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Treatment System Cost (Tariff Items 5 – 7)	6.23	2.90
Utility Cost (Tariff Items 11 and 13)	1.30	0.00
Disposal System Cost (Tariff Items 15 – 17)	1.53	0.88
Sampling and Testing Cost (Tariff Item 18)	7.00	0.00
Billing and Collection Cost (Tariff Item 20)	1.50	0.00
Miscellaneous Cost (Tariff Items 21 – 30 excluding Bonding)	8.60	0.00
Bonding Cost (Pass Through) **	14.16	0.00
Total	\$49.27	\$10.13

#### **Incidental Rates:**

Late Payment 5% of Bill.

Disconnection \$10.00

Reconnection \$15.00

Returned Check \$20.00

Access \$84.00

#### \*\* Bonding Cost incurred is passed through to the customer with no markup by the Company.

#### **Total Bonding Cost**

Amount of Bond	\$356,720	
Interest Rate	<u>7.95%</u>	(1% Letter of Credit + 6.95% Debt Funding)
<b>Bond Pass-Thru Cost</b>	\$28,359	
Less Prior Recovered Cost	<u>9,667</u>	
Total Surcharge/(Refund)	\$18,692	
Projected Bills	1,320	(110 Customers * 12 Months)
Total	\$14.16	