BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN F	RE:					
GEN CAS ANN	ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION GENERAL RATE CASE AND PETITION TO ADOPT ANNUAL REVIEW MECHANISM AND ARM TARIFF) DOCKET NO. 14					
	PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF PATRICIA J. CHILDERS ON BEHALF OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION					
	I. INTRODUCTION					
Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.					
A.	My name is Patricia J. Childers. I am Vice President - Rates & Regulatory					
	Affairs for the Kentucky/Mid-States Division of Atmos Energy Corporation					
	("Atmos Energy" or "Company"). My business address is 810 Crescent Centre					
	Drive, Suite 600, Franklin, Tennessee 37067-6226.					
Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?					
A.	My testimony will outline the principal factors requiring Atmos Energy to request					
	an increase in rates and will also outline the Company's request (as part of this					
	filing) to implement an alternative regulatory method allowed by Tennessee Code					
	Annotated, Section 65-5-103(d)(6), which authorizes a utility to "file for an					
	annual review of its rates." I also will introduce the other witnesses, who will					
	provide support for the Company's request.					
Q.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY SCHEDULES TO YOUR TESTIMONY?					

1	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit PJC-1 which includes the Company's Proof of
2		Revenues and Calculation of Rates, as well as the Company's proposed tariffs.
3		This exhibit is also Schedule 11 in our Revenue Requirement Model.
4		
5		II. WITNESS BACKGROUND
6	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND
7		PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND, AND CURRENT
8		RESPONSIBILITIES.
9	A.	I have a Bachelor of Science degree from Middle Tennessee State University. I
10		have worked for Atmos Energy and its predecessor since 1979. In 2001, I
11		assumed my current position. I am responsible for the oversight of regulatory
12		affairs for the Kentucky/Mid-States Division in the States of Tennessee and
13		Virginia.
14	Q.	HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED BEFORE THE TENNESSEE
15		REGULATORY AUTHORITY ("TRA")?
16	A.	Yes. Throughout my years with the Company I have provided testimony before
17		the Tennessee Regulatory Authority in numerous dockets. I have also testified
18		before the Georgia Public Service Commission, the Illinois Commerce
19		Commission, the Missouri Public Service Commission and the Virginia State
20		Corporation Commission.
21		

1 Ш. TESTIMONY 2 CAN YOU PROVIDE THE TRA WITH A GENERAL DESCRIPTION Q. 3 BACKGROUND OF ATMOS ENERGY'S OPERATION 4 TENNESSEE? 5 A. Atmos Energy's Kentucky/Mid-States Division serves customers in Yes. 6 Tennessee, Kentucky and Virginia. This Division provides natural gas service to 7 approximately 330,000 customers across those three states. Atmos Energy serves 8 approximately 132,000 residential, commercial, and industrial customers in 9 Tennessee. The Company has a Tennessee-based work force of slightly less than 10 160 employees including 32 employees in the Kentucky/Mid-States Division 11 office in Cool Springs. Our utility plant in Tennessee includes approximately 67 12 miles of transmission pipe, 3,340 miles of distribution mains and 143,000 service 13 lines. 14 Q. WHEN WAS THE LAST GENERAL RATE CASE FOR ATMOS 15 **ENERGY?** 16 The Company's current rates were established by the TRA in Docket No. 12-A. 17 00064. That case was filed on June 22, 2012. The TRA ultimately approved a 18 settlement in the case, and new rates became effective for bills rendered on and 19 after December 1, 2012.

20 Q. WHAT DOES ATMOS ENERGY SEEK IN THIS APPLICATION?

A. First, through a general rate case Atmos Energy seeks approval of an increase in revenues of approximately \$5.89 million along with approval of the tariffs incorporating the new rates and charges. Second, Atmos Energy seeks to opt into

1	the	statutorily	authorized	annual	rate	review	mechanism	as	established	by
---	-----	-------------	------------	--------	------	--------	-----------	----	-------------	----

- 2 Tennessee Code Annotated § 65-5-103(d)(6) and seeks approval of its proposed
- 3 Annual Review Mechanism tariff.
- 4 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE ADDITIONAL DETAIL RELATED TO THE
- 5 GENERAL RATE CASE.
- 6 A. Although Atmos Energy operates very efficiently, it is not achieving a fair return
- on its investments with the rates currently in effect. Under the proposed rates the
- 8 increase to the bill of an average residential customer at current gas prices would
- 9 be approximately \$2.09 per month. Our calculated return on equity, as of June
- 10 30, 2014, was 9.02%. The allowed return on equity granted in Docket No. 12-
- 11 00064 was 10.1% with an overall return of 8.28%.
- 12 Q. WHY IS ATMOS ENERGY REQUESTING A RATE INCREASE AT THIS
- **TIME?**
- 14 A. We make every effort to provide services as efficiently as possible and are proud
- of our reputation as one of the lowest cost providers of natural gas in the United
- States. The requested increase is due to increased operating and maintenance
- expenses, projected capital expenditures to reinforce existing infrastructure to
- 18 comply with federal safety regulations and a requested increase in return.
- 19 Consequently, we are seeking timely and adequate rate relief in order to maintain
- 20 the current high-quality safe and reliable service our customers expect. These
- areas are discussed in detail by other Company witnesses.
- 22 Q. WHAT RATE RELIEF ARE YOU REQUESTING IN THIS
- 23 APPLICATION?

1	A.	We are asking the Authority to approve new rate schedules that would increase
2		our revenues to provide a projected rate of return of 8.58% on a projected total
3		rate base of \$254,734,497
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE RATE OF RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY
5		REQUESTED IN THIS APPLICATION?
6	A.	We have requested a rate of return on projected common equity of 10.7%.
7	Q.	HOW DO YOU PROPOSE THAT NEW RATES RECOVER THE
8		DISTRIBUTION REVENUE INCREASE?
9	A.	The Company is proposing to distribute the requested increase among the rate
10		classes proportionate to the current margin of each class and consistent with the
11		distribution methodology in Docket No. 12-00064. The distribution of the
12		increase is shown in both PJC-1 and Schedule 11 of the Revenue Requirement
13		Model.
14		Virtually all of a natural gas local distribution company's cost of service
15		(other than the cost of gas, which is not recovered in base distribution rates) is
16		fixed, as opposed to variable. By fixed, I mean that the costs do not increase or
17		decrease as the volume of natural gas delivered to our customers increases or
18		decreases. At the same time, under our exiting rate structure, the majority of
19		distribution rate revenues are recovered through volumetric rate components.

Consequently, the Company is proposing to increase the customer charges of the

various rate schedules as proposed in this case to improve the likelihood of

revenue recovery. The proposed monthly customer charges compare favorably

20

21

22

2		natural gas utilities.
3	Q.	BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE COMPONENTS THE COMPANY UTILIZED
4		TO ARRIVE AT ITS CALCULATION OF FORWARD LOOKING TEST
5		YEAR BILLING DETERMINANTS.
6	A.	The Company first began with Historic Base period sales and transportation
7		volumes of 23,895,057 Mcf, 1,615,993 bills and 16,126 billing demand units.
8		The Company then adjusted for normal weather, annualized customer usage and
9		customer growth to arrive at Forward Looking Test Year billing determinants of
10		24,942,622 Mcf, 1,663,888 bills and 16,126 billing demand units.
11	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE COMPANY CALCULATED ITS
12		WEATHER NORMALIZATION?
13	A.	Consistent with Docket No. 12-00064, the Company used weather data from the
14		Bristol, Nashville, Knoxville (TN) and Paducah (KY) NOAA weather stations to
15		
		normalize actual usage for all customers served under Rate Schedule (210), (211),
16		
16 17		normalize actual usage for all customers served under Rate Schedule (210), (211),
		normalize actual usage for all customers served under Rate Schedule (210), (211), (220), (221) and (225), as well as the commercial sales customers under Rate
17		normalize actual usage for all customers served under Rate Schedule (210), (211), (220), (221) and (225), as well as the commercial sales customers under Rate Schedule (230). From this data a 30-year daily normal ending June 30, 2014 was
17 18		normalize actual usage for all customers served under Rate Schedule (210), (211), (220), (221) and (225), as well as the commercial sales customers under Rate Schedule (230). From this data a 30-year daily normal ending June 30, 2014 was calculated. Monthly normal cycle degree days were calculated from the 16th of
17 18 19		normalize actual usage for all customers served under Rate Schedule (210), (211), (220), (221) and (225), as well as the commercial sales customers under Rate Schedule (230). From this data a 30-year daily normal ending June 30, 2014 was calculated. Monthly normal cycle degree days were calculated from the 16th of the previous month to the 15th of the current month to approximate cycle-billing.

with the charges approved by the TRA in recent filings by the other regulated

1

	O.	HOW DID	THE COMP	ANY ADJUST	THE FORWARD	LOOKING	TEST
L	v.			THE PROPERTY	THETOMMAN	LOUMING	*****

2	YEAR	BILLING	DETERMINANTS	FOR	EXISTING	CUSTOMER

- 3 USAGE?
- 4 A. Consistent with Docket No. 12-00064, the Company adjusted industrial customer
- 5 usage by individually analyzing the sales volumes of the Company's largest
- 6 customers. These adjustments, made by rate schedule, are based on whether the
- 7 customer reduced or increased consumption or left the system during the Historic
- 8 Base Period. Adjustments were also made based on information provided by
- 9 these customers concerning their projected usage during the Forward Looking
- 10 Test Year.

11 Q. HOW WERE SALES VOLUMES FOR ADDED CUSTOMERS

- 12 **COMPUTED?**
- 13 A. The customer growth adjustments reflect the projected net number of residential,
- 14 commercial and small industrial customers that will be added to the system during
- this Forward Looking Test Year. This adjustment is based on the two-year
- period, ending June 30, 2014. The customer change is then multiplied by the
- annualized normal usage per customer to arrive at the adjustment to volumes.

18 Q. HOW DID THE COMPANY COMPUTE OTHER REVENUES?

- 19 A. Other revenues primarily consist of forfeited discounts and miscellaneous service
- 20 charges. Consistent with Docket No. 12-00064, the Company took a historical
- 21 average of these amounts over the last two years. This produced \$1,136,588 in
- 22 Other Revenues as shown in Schedule 11 of the Revenue Requirement Model.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE SECOND PART OF THE COMPANY'S

1	DECLURET	NUTTITIES ADD	DY TO A TYON!
4	KEUUESII	N THIS AF	PLICATION.

A. Atmos Energy seeks to opt-into the annual review mechanism established by Tennessee Code Annotated § 65-5-103(d)(6). This statutory provision, adopted in 2013, allows a public utility to opt for annual review of its rates. To implement and establish procedures to govern the statutory review mechanism for Atmos Energy, the Company is proposing a new tariff, a copy of which is attached to the testimony of Company Witness Gregory Waller as Exhibit GKW-2. Atmos Energy is requesting approval to make its first annual review filing in September 2015, followed by an adjustment of rates pursuant to the annual review mechanism effective January 1, 2016, and each January 1, thereafter. As authorized under subsection (d)(6), Atmos Energy seeks to have its rates reviewed annually based upon the methodology adopted in the general rate case portion of this case, with the Company's rates to be adjusted annually so as to enable Atmos Energy to earn the authorized return on equity that is established in the general rate case portion of this case.

17 Q. PLEASE OUTLINE THE TESTIMONY OF THE OTHER ATMOS 18 ENERGY WITNESSES IN THIS CASE.

A. In addition to my testimony, Atmos Energy will present the direct testimony and exhibits of four other witnesses.

Gregory K. Waller, Manager of Rates & Regulatory Affairs for Atmos Energy Corporation is presenting testimony concerning the budgeting process used by Atmos Energy's utility divisions, the Shared Services Unit (SSU)

budgeting process, control and monitoring of variances, and calculation of the
Company's projected revenue deficiency for the attrition year that ends May 31,
2016. He also provides details concerning the mechanics of the Company's
proposed annual rate review mechanism in support of the proposed ARM tariff.
Jason L. Schneider, Director of Accounting Services for Atmos Energy
Corporation, is filing testimony regarding the historic books and records of the
Company and the integrity of the financial information in this case. He also
sponsors the Company's Cost Allocation Manual (CAM), which describes the

Dr. James Vander Weide testifies regarding Atmos Energy's cost of equity capital and recommends a rate of return on equity that is appropriate to be used in setting rates for Atmos Energy in this proceeding.

Joe T. Christian, Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs for Atmos Energy, is testifying about the Company's Lead/Lag study. He also supports data in Schedule 9 of the Company's Revenue Requirement model regarding the Company's capital structure and cost of debt for use in the proceeding (attached as part of Exhibit GKW-1).

Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY CLOSING REMARKS?

methodology for cost allocations.

Yes. It is my opinion that the rates requested in this filing are just, reasonable, and in the public interest. I respectfully request the Authority to provide prompt and adequate rate relief and also allow the Company to opt-into the annual rate review mechanism provided by Tennessee Code by approving the Company's Annual Review Mechanism tariff.

A.

- Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 2 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE: ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION GENERAL RATE CASE AND PETITION TO ADOPT ANNUAL REVIEW MECHANISM AND ARM TARIFF O Docket No. 14-XXXXX Docket No. 14-XXXXX Docket No. 14-XXXXX
VERIFICATION
STATE OF TENNESSEE)
COUNTY OF WILLIAMSON)
I, Patricia J. Childers, being first duly sworn, state that I am the Vice President – Rates &
Regulatory Affairs for Atmos Energy Corporation, that I am authorized to testify on behalf of
Atmos Energy Corporation in the above referenced docket, that the Testimony of Patricia J.
Childers in Support of Atmos Energy Corporation's Petition and the Exhibits thereto pre-filed in
this docket on the date of filing on this Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge,
information and belief.
Patricia J. Childers
Sworn and subscribed before me this 20th day of November, 2014
My Commission Expires: MAY 3, 2016