BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

October 13, 2014

IN RE:)	DOCKET NO.
SHOW CAUSE PROCEEDING AGAINST KING'S)	14-00007
CHAPEL CAPACITY, LLC, FOR ALLEGED)	
VIOLATIONS OF WASTEWATER UTILITY LAWS)	
& TRA RULES)	

ORDER REQUIRING KING'S CHAPEL CAPACITY, LLC TO APPEAR AND SHOW CAUSE WHY THE TRA SHOULD NOT TAKE ACTION AGAINST IT FOR VIOLATION OF STATE LAW AND TRA RULES

This matter is before the Hearing Officer upon the Order of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA" or "Authority") to determine whether an Order for Show Cause should be issued against Kings Chapel Capacity, LLC ("Kings Chapel"), and if so, to prepare the matter for hearing before the panel. The TRA Compliance Division ("Compliance") has presented a *Petition*, and exhibits consisting of the *Affidavit of Darlene Standley* (Exhibit 1) and copies of the Compliance Data Request dated November 15, 2013¹ and Response of King's Chapel dated December 2, 2013 (Exhibit 2). On the basis of its *Petition* and supporting exhibits, Compliance alleges that King's Chapel has violated various provisions of state law, including Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 65-3-106(b), 65-4-115, and 65-4-117(a)(1), and the TRA's Wastewater Rules, Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-04-13-.07(2), (5) and (7)(b).

Upon review and due consideration, the Hearing Officer makes the following findings:

¹ As noted in the *Petition*, the Compliance Data Request dated November 15, 2013, filed as part of Exhibit 2, reflects an incorrect date and should have been dated on or about November 22, 2015.

JURISDICTION

As Tennessee's public utilities regulatory body, the Tennessee General Assembly has delegated to the TRA broad powers to exercise its jurisdiction over matters involving public utilities.² To that end, the Authority is charged and authorized to ensure that the laws of this State as they relate to the Authority's jurisdiction "are enforced and obeyed, that violations thereof are promptly prosecuted, and all penalties due the State are collected." The Authority is empowered to hear this matter and render an Order pursuant to the powers delegated to it by the Tennessee General Assembly, which include but are not necessarily limited to those powers provided in Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 65-2-106, 65-3-105, 65-4-104, 65-4-105(a), 65-4-106, 65-4-116, 65-4-117(1), 65-4-120, and 65-4-202 through 204.

Specifically, Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-2-106 empowers the Authority to "issue orders on its own motion citing persons under its jurisdiction to appear before it and show cause why the [A]uthority should not take such action as the [A]uthority shall indicate in its show cause order appears justified by preliminary investigation made by the [A]uthority under the powers conferred upon it by law." In addition, Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-2-109 assigns the burden of proof in a show cause proceeding upon the party that is required to appear and show cause why the Authority should not proceed in a certain manner.

Finally, under TRA Rules 1220-04-13, et. seq., where a public wastewater utility demonstrates through its actions an unwillingness or incapacity to effectively operate or manage the wastewater system (i.e., provide service) in compliance with applicable statutes, rules, and orders of the Authority, the TRA shall take appropriate action based on good cause; such action

² Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 65-4-104, 105, and 106. ³ Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-1-113 (2004).

may include a suspension or revocation of the utility's CCN.⁴ Proceedings to revoke a wastewater utility's CCN shall be conducted in accordance with Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-2-106, which, except when exigent circumstances exist, includes notice and an opportunity to be heard.⁵ In addition, unless there is an imminent threat to public health or safety or the utility is unable to provide safe, adequate, and reliable service, the TRA will not seek to suspend or revoke a utility's CCN without first affording the utility an opportunity to correct the conditions that are alleged to constitute grounds for the revocation.⁶

RELEVANT FACTS

- 1. King's Chapel is a public utility certificated by and subject to the jurisdiction of the Authority that is providing wastewater services to the Ashby Communities Development in Williamson County, Tennessee. *See* TRA Docket No. 04-00335.
- 2. King's Chapel has failed to file proof of financial security in accordance with the requirements of Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-4-13-.07 for the following time periods:

July 1, 2009 to July 1, 2010;

July 1, 2010 to July 1, 2011;

July 1, 2011 to July 1, 2012;

July 1, 2012 to July 1, 2013; and,

July 1, 2013 to July 1, 2014.

See Exhibit 1, *Affidavit of Darlene Standley*, ¶ 6.

3. King's Chapel has failed to file a tariff true-up for the recovery of its security costs in accordance with the requirements of Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-4-13-.07 for

⁴ Tenn. R. & Regs. 1220-04-13-.09(1).

⁵ Tenn. R. & Regs. 1220-04-13-.09(4).

⁶ Tenn. R. & Regs. 1220-04-13(4).

December 2, 2008 to July 1, 2009 and the time periods listed above in paragraph 2. See Exhibit 1, Affidavit of Darlene Standley, ¶ 7.

- 4. On or about November 22, 2013, in TRA Docket No. 13-00141, Compliance sent King's Chapel data requests pursuant to its authority set forth in Tenn. Code Ann. §65-4-117(a)(1). In what it notes as its third initial response to Compliance's second follow-up request, King's Chapel asserts its continuing objections to the requests and declines to provide further response. To date, King's Chapel has failed to respond to the data requests propounded upon it by Compliance. *See* Exhibit 2, Compliance Data Request dated November 15, 2013⁷ and Response of King's Chapel dated December 2, 2013; *see also* TRA Docket No. 13-00141.
- 5. On January 13, 2014, King's Chapel's representative, John Powell, admitted under oath that King's Chapel did not have the bond amount required under the Authority's December 19, 2008, *Order Approving Tariff* in Docket No. 08-00069. King's Chapel continued to charge customers as if the bond amounts were unchanged. *See* Transcript of Authority Conference, January 13, 2014, pp. 16-37, esp. p. 17 lines 20-24 and p. 24 lines 8-11 (attached to this Order for ease of reference); *see also* TRA Docket No. 08-00069, *Order Approving Tariff* (December 19, 2008).

ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAW & TRA RULES

The following actions, alleged to have been performed by King's Chapel, constitute violations of state law and the TRA's Rules:

A. Each time that King's Chapel's failed to file proof of financial security on or before July 1 of a calendar year constitutes a violation of Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-4-13-.07(2).

⁷ See footnote 1.

COUNT 1:

King's Chapel has failed to file proof of financial security on or before July 1 of 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014 in violation of Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-4-13-.07(2)(a) which states in part:

- (2) Proof of financial security shall be furnished to the Authority for review and approval as follows:
 - (a) On or before July 1 of each year, public wastewater utilities holding a CCN and providing service shall file proof with the Authority of a security in the amount of one hundred percent (100%) of the gross annual revenue in the most recent Authority Form UD20 or \$20,000, whichever is greater. If no UD20 has been filed, the public utility shall file proof of security in the amount of one hundred percent (100%) of the estimated gross annual revenue forecasted in the CCN application submitted to the Authority or \$20,000, whichever is greater. Utilities holding a CCN and not providing a service shall provide a security in the amount of \$20,000.
- B. If King's Chapel intends to utilize a financial security other than those authorized by Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-4-13-.07(2), it is required to file a request to utilize an alternate financial security on or before May 1 of the year in which it seeks to utilize an alternative security. Each time that King's Chapel failed to file a request to utilize an alternative financial security on or before May 1 of a calendar year constitutes a violation of Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-4-13-.07(5).

COUNT 2:

King's Chapel has failed to file a request to utilize an alternate financial security on or before May 1 of 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014, in violation of Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-4-13-.07(5), which states in pertinent part:

If the public wastewater utility proposes to post financial security other than the type or amount permitted above, it must file with the Authority by May 1 of each year a petition requesting acceptance of the security. A hearing shall be held to determine the amount of the financial security and if the form of the proposed financial security serves the public interest. At this hearing, the burden of proof

shall be on the public wastewater utility to show that the proposed financial security and the proposed amount will be in the public interest. The public wastewater utility shall comply with Rule 1220-4-13-.07(2) until the alternative financial security is approved by the Authority.

C. King's Chapel has not filed a tariff true-up for the recovery of its security costs that reflects the amount it charges each of its customers for the cost of its financial security since December 2, 2008. Each time that King's Chapel charged a customer for financial security costs that have not been authorized by the Authority is a violation of Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-4-13-.07(7)(b).

COUNT 3:

King's Chapel has failed to file a tariff true-up based upon its then current financial security since the last true-up ordered by the Authority expired on December 2, 2008, and thereafter on or before July 1 of 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014, in violation of Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-4-13-.07(7)(b)(2) which states in part:

A proposed revised tariff to become effective August 1, specifying the amount of security in place, the updated monthly rate adjustment to be billed to customers and the percentage rate increase this represents.

D. As a result of King's Chapel's failure to true-up its tariff for recovery of security costs, customers are being charged an unauthorized, unjust and unreasonable rate for utility service. Each time that King's Chapel charged a customer an unauthorized, unjust and unreasonable amount for security costs it has violated Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-115.

COUNT 4:

King's Chapel has failed to file a tariff true-up reflecting its current financial security costs since December 2, 2008, which is the expiration date of the last true-up approved by the Authority, and has continued to charge its customers an unauthorized, unjust and unreasonable rate for its costs of financial security in violation of Tenn. Code Ann. §65-

4-115, which states:

No public utility shall adopt, maintain, or enforce any regulation, practice, or measurement which is unjust, unreasonable, unduly preferential or discriminatory, nor shall any public utility provide or maintain any service that is unsafe, improper, or inadequate, or withhold or refuse any service which can reasonably be demanded and furnished when ordered by the authority.

E. King's Chapel has failed to respond to Compliance's investigatory data requests made pursuant to its authority under Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-101 *et seq.*, including, but not limited, to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-117(a), and Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-3-106(a). Its failure to respond to these requests constitutes a violation of the Authority's regulatory powers, and a specific violation of its duty to respond to the Authority's requests for information concerning its affairs under Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-3-106(b).

COUNT 5:

On December 22, 2013, John Powell, on behalf of King's Chapel, for a third time refused to answer the Authority's requests for information concerning its business affairs and its compliance with the laws and regulations to which it is subject by virtue of its status as a public utility. This refusal and failure to respond, which continues to date, violates Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-3-106(b), which states:

Each company receiving from the [Authority] any such blanks shall cause the same to be properly filled out, so as to answer fully and correctly each question therein propounded, and in case such company is unable to answer any question, it shall give a satisfactory reason for its failure, and the answer, duly sworn to by the proper officer of the company, shall be returned to the [Authority] at its office in Nashville within thirty (30) days from receipt of same.

⁸ Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-3-106(a) states:

The department of transportation shall inform itself fully and thoroughly in regard to the affairs of every company doing business in this state, and under its jurisdiction. It shall cause to be prepared suitable blanks with questions calculated to elicit all information concerning same, and, as often as may be necessary, furnish the blanks to each such company.

See Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-105, which affirms that, in addition to the powers conferred in chapter 4 of Title 65, the Authority also possesses all other powers conferred in chapters 3 and 5 with reference to railroads regulated by the department of transportation or transportation companies regulated by the department of safety.

UPON THE FOREGOING INFORMATION AND ATTACHMENTS, and as demonstrated in the *Petition* and supporting exhibits presented by the TRA Compliance Division, the Hearing Officer acting pursuant to the scope of the TRA's authority granted under Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 65-1-113, 65-2-106, 65-3-105, and 65-4-116, hereby determines that King's Chapel should be required to appear and show cause why it should not be found in violation of state law and the TRA's Rules noted herein and, upon a finding of such violation, why the TRA should not immediately issue a cease and desist order, impose civil penalties and sanctions, and seek additional relief to the extent allowed by law.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

King's Chapel Capacity, LLC shall appear before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority during its regularly scheduled Authority Conference at 1:00 p.m. (CST) on December 1, 2014, in Hearing Room G.201 located on the ground floor of the Andrew Jackson State Office Building, 500 Deaderick Street, Nashville, Tennessee 37243, and show cause why the Authority should not proceed to take action against it for the unlawful acts and omissions alleged in this Order, including, but not limited to, the issuance of a cease and desist order, imposition of civil penalties and sanctions, and action for additional relief to the maximum extent allowed under law.

Kelly Cashman-Grams, Hearing Officer

In The Matter Of:

Tennessee Regulatory Authority
Authority Conference

Transcript of Proceedings January 13, 2014

nashvillecourtreporters

"Quality: Your work demands it . . . Our work reflects it."

P.O. Box 290903 Nashville, TN 37229-0903

Phone: 615-885-5798 800-552-DEPO (3376) Fax: 615-885-2621 info@ncrdepo.com

Online Scheduling: www.ncrdepo.com

Persona Not Validated - 13639993!

Signer

CN=Persons Not Validated - 1363999391725
O-Symantec Corporation
E-resignment the fear of the left

Original File 2014-01-13 TRA Conference.txt

Min-U-Script®

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY TRANSCRIPT OF AUTHORITY CONFERENCE Monday, January 13, 2014 **APPEARANCES:** For King's Chapel Capacity: Mr. John Powell For TRA Staff: Ms. Sharla Dillon Ms. Jean Stone Mr. Shiva Bozarth Reported By: Patricia A. Jennings, LCR, RPR

TRANSCRIPT OF AUTHORITY CONFERENCE, 1/13/14

		2
	TNDEX	
DOCKET		DAGE
DOCKET	DISPOSITION	PAGE
	CECTION 1 AUTHORITY BUCTNESS	
		_
Directors	meeting	5
13-00155	Approved 3-0	6
03-00423	Approved 3-0	7
	(Miscellaneous Business - None)	
!	SECTION 5 - HILL, HILLIARD, AND ALLISON	
13-00156	Approved 3-0	8
	(Miscellaneous Business - None)	
SI	ECTION 6 - HILLIARD, ALLISON, AND JONES	
13-00153	Approved 3-0	8
	(Miscellaneous Business - None)	
	SECTION 7 - HILL, ALLISON, AND JONES	
13-00017	Approved 2-1	13
	(Miscellaneous Business - None)	
	Directors SE0 13-00155 03-00423 13-00156	SECTION 5 - HILL, HILLIARD, AND ALLISON 13-00156 Approved 3-0 (Miscellaneous Business - None) SECTION 6 - HILLIARD, ALLISON, AND JONES 13-00153 Approved 3-0 (Miscellaneous Business - None) SECTION 7 - HILL, ALLISON, AND JONES 13-00017 Approved 2-1

TRANSCRIPT OF AUTHORITY CONFERENCE, 1/13/14

			3
1		INDEX	
2	DOCKET DISPOSI	TION	PAGE
3			
4	SECTION	8 - BENNETT, ALLISON, AND JONES	
5	13-00095 Approve	d 3-0	16
6	(Mis	cellaneous Business - None)	
7			
8	SECTION	9 - HILL, HILLIARD, AND JONES	
9	13-00141 Denied	3-0	34
10	(Mis	cellaneous Business - None)	
11			
12	SECTION 1	0 - BENNETT, HILLIARD, AND JONES	
13	13-00154 Approve	d 3-0	38
14	(Mis	cellaneous Business - None)	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			:
22			
23			
24			
25			

jurisdiction.

5

Based on Open Business Directory's failure to appear at this proceeding and the evidence presented that Open Business Directory transmitted unsolicited facsimile advertisements to Tennesseans or Tennessee entities 12 times, I move to issue a cease and desist order on Open Business Directory and to impose a civil penalty in the amount of \$24,000 for the 12 violations of the Tennessee Do-Not-Fax law.

DIRECTOR BENNETT: Second. Vote aye.

DIRECTOR JONES: This is David Jones.

And I vote aye.

MS. DILLON: Next we have Section 9, Directors, Hill, Hilliard, and Jones.

Docket No. 13-00141, King's Chapel Capacity, LLC; petition of King's Chapel Capacity for exemption from financial security required by the TRA's wastewater regulations, request to continue exemption. Consider petition.

VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: King's Chapel filed a request for alternate security on October the 31st, 2013, in which it asked the Authority to approve the use of letters of credit used by -- for the williamson County bonding requirements.

Mr. Powell, please come forward and

	17
1	have a seat at the table. Are you here?
2	MR. POWELL: Yes, I am.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: We have a few
4	questions for you about the petition.
5	MR. POWELL: Okay.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Please
7	identify yourself, for the record.
8	MR. POWELL: My name is John Powell.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Okay. Do you
10	have anyone with you or just yourself?
11	MR. POWELL: Just myself.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Okay. We will
13	need to swear you in.
14	(John Powell was duly sworn.)
15	MR. POWELL: I do.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Okay.
17	Mr. Powell, have you provided the Authority current
18	copies of the letters of credit that you wish to use to
19	be considered as alternate security?
20	MR. POWELL: At the time the request
21	the data request of a few weeks ago, I did. Since
22	then, the bonding has gone up and another \$67,500
23	let me get my bond sheet. Right now the bonds for
24	Williamson County stand at 144,500. Within the first
25	quarter of this year, they will exceed \$259,500. And

by the end of this year, they will exceed \$609,000. 1 2 VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Okay. Are you 3 saying that you do have a bond in place, not letters of credit? 4 5 MR. POWELL: The bond is in place with 6 Williamson County, and the letters of credit cash 7 secure or secure those bonds. 8 VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Okay. Now. what about the letters of credit with the TRA, are 9 those payable to -- on default to the TRA or to 10 11 Williamson County? MR. POWELL: The letters of credit back 12 up bonds. Those bonds are payable to Williamson 13 14 County. 15 VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Okav. there is no bonding that's in favor of the Tennessee 16 17 Regulatory Authority? MR. POWELL: That is not correct. I 18 think if the TRA would like to look at codified law 19 69-3-108, it says in part every person who is planning 20 to carry on any activities outlined in subsection --21 basically, it's where the TDEC issues an SOP. And then 22 it goes on to say that any person who's planning to 23 carry on any activities outlined in this subsection, 24 other than a person who discharges into a publicly 25

owned treatment works -- that means a homeowner discharging into a publicly owned work -- or who is regulated under a general permit as described in subsection (1) shall file an application for a permit with the commissioner or when necessary for modification of such a person's existing permit. It's unlawful for any person, other than a person who holds the SOP, to construct, install, modify, repair, or operate any treatment works without an SOP.

I'm prepared to tell you the history of these bonds going back to when we were first granted our CCN, and I think I should, so that the panel has an idea of what's going on here, but the bonds are worthless. If Williamson County called the bond, they couldn't put a shovel in the dirt without holding an SOP. No one -- it's unlawful for anyone to do it.

In the same regard, it's lawful for the TRA to do anything. I think what needs to be done in the future, and I want to go back to my history of this, is that the TRA needs to promote legislation where -- and both TDEC and TRA need to think this out -- if a wastewater utility should fail, that there's legislative rule or code that allows the SOP and the CCN to transfer to a receivership immediately.

Operating -- and I've read the Water

Quality Control Acts from the federal government, and 1 2 they parallel Tennessee's Water and Quality Control I know the laws of where these bonds were coming 3 4 from. I know that TDEC never did the bonds for all these plants. And they're supposed to be \$20,000 per 5 6 plant, not \$20,000 per utility. 7 At any rate, when we were first granted 8 our CCN back in -- let me get the right page here --9 back in 2006, we had a very unusual order, and our order granting our CCN was in two parts. I have copies 10 for the panel if they'd like, but the first part --11 12 VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: And copies 13 have been given to staff? 14 MR. POWELL: No. but I can read them 15 into the record. The party -- the order was granted, the CCN. That was the first part that granted us the 16 17 CCN. The second part of our order granting our CCN is very unusual because it says "The petition of King's 18 19 Chapel Capacity, LLC for an exemption from the provision of TR rules -- "I'm sorry, that's -- "The 20 21 petition of King's Chapel shall list the bonding requirement, Williamson County, Tennessee, as a 22 separate line item on the customer's bill." 23 24 So they granted us the CCN, but they knew at that time that the bonding requirements of 25

williamson County were way out of line, obtrusive, 1 2 abuse of power, and really, they couldn't do anything 3 with them. So they wanted us as a utility to put it on the homeowner's bills, that hey, homeowner, you're 4 getting charged this extra money for Williamson County 5 6 bonds. 7 To be quite frank, in our tariff, we only included \$151,000 on our tariff. At that time, 8 the bonds were over a million five. I expect that in 9 the next two years our bonds will grow with Williamson 10 11 County to 1.3 million. But that was in 2006. Later in 2006, the TRA adopted its 12 wastewater rules. And in the rules, it says that --13 let me get the rules out here. In the rules, it 14 mentions the \$20,000 bond that's required, unless the 15 utility -- and I'm sure they put this in for Williamson 16 17 County and us. Just one second. VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: As you're 18 looking for that, Mr. Powell, has staff not requested 19 these documents in advance? 20 21 MR. POWELL: No. MS. STONE: Mr. Powell, I think you're 22 looking for subsection (6) in the rule. 23 MR. POWELL: I thought it was (4), but 24

25

okay.

Okay.

You're right. Financial securities --

thank you, Jean. Financial securities required by any local government may be counted by the Authority in fulfilling this financial security obligation. The public wastewater utility shall file with the Authority by May 1st of each year evidence of any financial security required by any local government and written request that the Authority count that security toward fulfillment of the requirements of this chapter and rule.

So early in that year we were granted our CCN. Four months later, the rules became effective. Then we immediately filed for our financial security exemption in Docket 06-0061. In 06-001 [sic], the panel and TRA found that King's Chapel proffered proof of financial conditions security, which includes two letter of credits, which satisfy the performance and maintenance costs of the wastewater treatment system in Williamson County.

So it was ordered that the -- that
the -- that our tariff be approved. It was also
ordered that the petition of King's Chapel Capacity for
an exemption from provision of the TR Rules 1220-4-13,
which mandate the submission of financial security,
insofar as the petition requests a finding by the
Authority that the security submitted by the company to

Williamson County, Tennessee, fulfills the requirements of Tennessee TRA Rule 1220-4-13-.07 was granted. So right after we got our CCN, they -- the TRA recognized that the -- that the bonds in Williamson County sufficed.

Then in '07, in Docket 07-00151, we again asked the TRA for exemption of financial security for the rule that's still in existence, 1220-4-13-.07. They reaffirmed their decision of the previous year in '06 and -- they said it a little different. They said county -- that the described standard determined that the bonding requirements of Williamson County applicable to King's Chapel are in excess of that required by the rule and are required for purpose similar to the Authority that is for the performance and maintenance of the wastewater system.

The key word that people need to consider in wastewater rules in the future and in the past is maintenance operation -- and operation.

Therefore, they granted us that -- they found that we -- they found that we should continue our exemption from the previous -- of the Tennessee rule which mandate the submission of financial security.

Again, in Docket 08 -- in 8, Docket 0069, the TRA again uphold -- upheld our request to

continue exemptions from the provision of the Rule 1220-4-13-.07 and was granted. In that petition, they made us true-up our -- they wanted us to give a calculation or a formula on how the bond should be -- should be treated. At that point, we still used the \$151,600 figure and trued it up based on how many customers we had -- we had at that time.

Since that time, there's only been a few months where the bond has been below \$150,000. Presently, it's at 144, but like I said, it's going up this quarter again. So when the CCN was granted, the TRA recognized this, that in order -- the TRA recognized one thing, that bonds are a cost of the ratepayers.

So when you -- and I would submit to the TRA that our bonds are for maintenance. Williamson County says so in letters. In the maintenance and operation of a wastewater plant, we don't pollute. We never have polluted in 10 years. We're a strong utility. When you take a utility and you just put a -- pull a fee out of the hat of \$20,000 and ask a utility to comply at minimum 20,000, and that utility has a thousand customers, and yet you would require us to put up a \$20,000 bond for a hundred customers, our customers then will pay 10 times more that of other

customers.

I would -- I'm not sure what the \$20,000 bond is for at the TRA. I understand it's to promote billing or continue the operation of a utility. And you can't separate the operation of a utility to billing and/or maintaining the plant. They're one in the same. And under the Water Quality Control Acts, operation is described as any business administrative service necessary to keep the plant running. That would be billing people and paying the electric bill.

At any rate, I would strongly urge this panel to exempt us again, and I also strongly urge someone to create the proper connecting of the dots, if you will, as when bonds are called. I'm not sure that the TRA has authority, even if they called a bond. If a wastewater plant failed or the operator -- who knows what happens, I'm not sure that they have the authority.

So you really need to look to the state law and the intent of the laws. And like I say, the intent of those \$20,000 bonds was per plant. It wasn't per company. While the -- while the operation of a -- I think when a wastewater utility would fail, it's not going to fail because people put a stamp on a bill or

collecting money or paying an electric bill. It's going to fail because of a dilapidated system that doesn't work and pollutes.

So we have the bonds in -- we have the bonds in place to repair, maintain, or operate this system if it fails, and while you say that the bonds are due to Williamson County, I think the first thing that Williamson County would do -- and someone needs to ask them -- they would have to give the money or the bonds to some receiver. And that would have to be in coordination with TDEC on the SOP and TRA.

VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Any further comments?

MR. POWELL: No. I just urge you to be right to the ratepayers because it's not right to add another \$20,000 bond to them.

VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Any questions from any members of the panel?

DIRECTOR HILL: Yes, sir, if I may.

From your comments there, especially at the last, it seems that you have no idea what Williamson County would use the bonds for, or have they stated what the bonds that they hold are for?

MR. POWELL: They stated that the bonds that they -- they placed in a letter I have from

1 November 5th, 2010, "Installation of said system and maintenance term for the same." So while these bonds 2 3 are in place, it's to maintain the system. DIRECTOR HILL: So does Williamson 4 County then do this for all of their utilities and --5 MR. POWELL: No. And that's a shame, 6 7 Director Hill. First time I've seen all you people. 8 It's really a shame because you can -- you can get an NPDES permit in any county in the state to put in a 9 river and stream. That's called a traditional sewage 10 11 treatment plant. We're a non-traditional sewage 12 treatment plant. And recent laws say -- their law says 13 that non-traditional sewage treatment plants, they can 14 request bonds. Our non-traditional sewer plant, the 15 effluent water, after it's treated, is disbursed into 16 drip fields underground. You can spray it, but our 17 particular one goes underground, in the lines that emit 18 the effluent water. 19 So no. Williamson County kind of got 20 behind the -- or in front of the cart. Their laws only 21 require that of new wastewater plants, not of old 22 wastewater plants. 23 DIRECTOR HILL: Since you've been doing

this since 2006 -- is that correct? --

24

25

MR. POWELL: Uh-huh.

DIRECTOR HILL: -- and you've proven that it is no longer a new style plant, since it's been in the ground for that amount of time, is there -- and this has nothing to do with what we're going to decide. This is a question that I have for you -- is there a possibility that you and/or others could approach Williamson County government about changing their view of your so-called non-traditional plant?

MR. POWELL: The problem -- I can't speak for the county. I don't know why they do what they do. I do know that four or five years back the county asked the TRA to come to a meeting. And their concern was that what happens when a system fails or a plant fails or an operator goes to Mississippi or who knows where. They wanted to know what happens.

And it's a public meeting. And they were discussing the bonds. And the TRA -- Richard Collier was there with TRA. And they point-blank asked him "What happens if a system fails?" And he said, "I can't speak for the state or the TRA. It only speaks to its orders." And so everybody on that williamson County Wastewater Board just throw up their hands and say why -- you know, they're not getting any positive feedback from the state. So someone has got to --

```
1
    someone has got to communicate with them.
2
                    DIRECTOR HILL: What is the cost per
    customer for this bonding that you now have that you
3
4
    have in place?
5
                    MR. POWELL: I don't have our tariff
6
    here. I wish I would have brought one of our sewer
    bills because it's a line item on the sewer bill, but
7
    I -- I don't see that.
8
9
                    DIRECTOR HILL: Are we talking --
10
                    MR. POWELL: It's about six, eight
11
    bucks, something like that.
12
                    DIRECTOR HILL: For the bonding alone
13
    per month?
14
                    MR. POWELL: Just for the Williamson
15
    County, per household.
16
                    DIRECTOR HILL: And you have about a
17
    hundred customers?
18
                    MR. POWELL: Ninety some. It's less
19
    than a hundred.
20
                    DIRECTOR HILL: Thank you.
                    MR. POWELL: After the -- by the way.
21
22
    why our bonds went from a million five down, Williamson
23
    County changed some of their laws and the recession
    hit. And so we -- so as we haven't built anything new,
24
25
    these three and four-year terms that are on these bonds
```

```
are 80-percent build-outs, they have released the bonds
1
2
    as the -- as their rates call for.
3
                    Now that the recession is over, and
    we're building more lots, those bonds will go up.
4
                                                        Ι
5
    can tell you that presently our present bonds are
6
    $144,000 today for less than a hundred customers.
                                                        By
7
    the end of the year, for about 115 customers, I expect
    15 or 20 people to move into the subdivision, our bonds
8
    will be over $5,295 per person.
9
10
                    DIRECTOR HILL: Per year?
11
                    MR. POWELL: At the end of this year,
12
    yes.
13
                    DIRECTOR HILL: Thank you.
14
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Couple other
15
    questions. One, have you not provided copies of
    letters of credit to TRA in the past to satisfy your
16
17
    requirements of the TRA bond?
18
                    MR. POWELL: Yes. We didn't present
    them all. We just presented a few to show that we were
19
20
    over the requirements. In these other dockets, we
    presented two bonds that total about 1.5 million.
21
22
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: No, I'm not
                   I said letter of credit.
23
    saying bonds.
                    MR. POWELL: It's the same thing.
24
    letter of credits -- we presented the letter of credits
25
```

```
1
    that backed up bonds to the county for the same amount
2
    of money. If you got a $10,000 bond with the county,
3
    you have to provide as back-up security for that bond a
4
    $10,000 letter of credit through a bank within a
    50-mile radius of Williamson County.
5
6
                    That bank won't give the letter of
7
    credit to back up that bond, unless it's cash secured.
8
    I can do that in two different ways. I either put the
    cash in the bank and they charge me one percent in an
9
    interest rate, and they issue the letter of credit, or
10
11
    I can tender securities. If I tender securities,
12
    depending on the bank, if my stock is worth $100, they
    might say, well, I need $150 worth of stock to equal $1
13
    worth of -- or $100 worth of a letter of credit.
14
                    Do you see what I'm saying?
15
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: I understand
16
    what you're saying. I had a little experience with
17
18
    letters of credit.
19
                    MR. POWELL: Okay.
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: I spent 43
20
    years in the banking business.
21
                    MR. POWELL: Well, good. Then you
22
    understand. The bank is just not going to give a
23
    letter of credit --
24
                     VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD:
25
                                              No.
```

```
1
                    MR. POWELL: -- to back up a bond
2
    without security.
3
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD:
                                              But --
4
                    MR. POWELL: So you have to put up cash
5
    or securities.
6
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD:
                                              I understand,
7
    but I also know those are renewable annually?
                    MR. POWELL: Some of them are renewable
8
9
               Sometimes I pay a two-year fee.
    annually.
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: All right.
10
11
    When was the last time you provided us with a copy of
    the letters of credit that back up the bonds?
12
13
                                  Just a couple weeks ago.
                    MR. POWELL:
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: When talking
14
    to staff today, they did not have copies of it.
15
                    MR. POWELL: Well, it --
16
17
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Do you have a
18
    copy of it there?
                    MR. POWELL: In a data request, I -- I
19
    don't know where that would be. That would be in our
20
    third -- in our initial petition, we did supply a copy
21
    of the letter of credit. It was 151,642, in our
22
    initial petition, in part -- which is a copy here, and
23
24
    it's in your records.
                     In the third or second response to
25
```

1 their data request, we have an email from Williamson 2 County dated 11/20 of '13. It says: As discussed 3 early, here's a list of current letter of credits we are holding on King's Chapel. There is one additional 4 4B that was approved November 24th that we are working 5 on posting that bond in the amount of 67,000. That has 6 7 been approved. I didn't realize that that letter of credit was on here. 8 So we have a letter of credit from 9 williamson County. They've told the -- to me, and I 10 11 sent this as part of a data request to your staff, that there's three -- currently three letters of credit, 12 67,500 on one, 65,000 on another, and \$12,000 on 13 14 another. VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: All right. 15 who can draw on those letters of credit in case of a 16 default? 17 18 MR. POWELL: Williamson County. VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: What about the 19 20 TRA. can the TRA draw on those letters of credit? 21 MR. POWELL: No. VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Okay. 22 MR. POWELL: But as I say, when you --23 the TRA a few years ago understood that Williamson 24 County can't do a thing with those dollars. They have 25

to give it to the state. They have to give it who --1 2 whomever holds the SOP is the person that legally can 3 use that money to do anything, to operate the plant or repair it. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Okay. 5 Anv 6 further questions from any of my fellow directors? DIRECTOR HILL: I'd like about three 7 minutes to confer with counsel. 8 VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Okay. We'll 9 take a five-minute recess. 10 (Recess taken from 1:43 P.M. to 11 1:48 P.M.) 12 VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: We're back in 13 14 session. I find that King's Chapel Capacity has not met the burden of proof in this matter since no 15 evidence has been presented that the letters of credit 16 that meet williamson County's bonding requirement for 17 the purpose of the continued operation of the public 18 wastewater utility as required by TRA Rule 1220-13-.7. 19 Therefore, I move that the petition be denied. 20 You still there, David? 21 DIRECTOR JONES: I am. 22 DIRECTOR HILL: I'm going to go ahead 23 and make the second on this one and just make a 24 statement. According to what I've received from the 25

1 record that in 2010 Williamson County had sent 2 information to the TRA, which was of record in 2010, 3 that the letters of credit and bond, et cetera, that 4 Williamson County had would not be used for the 5 operation of the system. 6 Now, that's the conclusion that the TRA 7 has still on record here with us. Therefore, I do 8 second this and vote aye. And I would encourage you to find out if that is the case. And if it is, then at 9 that point you need to go ahead and take the 10 11 appropriate action. 12 MR. POWELL: I understand that 13 Mr. Hilliard said that we did not provide the letter of 14 credits to the staff here from -- is that your --15 VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Riaht. checked with staff this morning. They did not have the 16 17 current letters of credit. 18 MR. POWELL: But you had the email from williamson County that listed the letters of credit. 19 Ι 20 don't -- do you -- if you've been in banking, you know. 21 I don't have copies of the letter of credits. They're held by the county. I cannot -- I don't have copies of 22 that. I would have -- the county would have to give 23 24 vou the letter of credits.

VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: Well --

25

```
1
                    MR. POWELL: All I can do is have the
2
    list of the letter of credits, sir. So your opinion
3
    that I haven't provided them is questionable.
4
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: It's not my
5
    opinion.
              Based upon your request for the CCN, you were
6
    supposed to provide a bond or either some other type of
7
    security to back up that CCN. There's nothing you've
8
    said today that's given me an indication that you've
9
    done that. That letter of credit or bond would have to
10
    be in favor of the TRA for the continued operation.
11
                    MR. POWELL: And so -- excuse me if I'm
12
    out of order.
                   However, the TRA found --
13
                                Mr. Powell --
                    MS. STONE:
14
                    MR. POWELL: -- in multiple years --
15
                    MS. STONE: Mr. Powell, you are out of
16
    order.
17
                    MR. POWELL: -- in multiple years that
18
    the CCN --
                    MS. STONE: We're in deliberations and
19
20
    please let the deliberations continue. Thank you.
21
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD:
                                              I would
22
    suggest that you get with staff after this meeting and
23
    find out what you have to do to get in compliance and
24
    so that can come back to the panel for us to look at it
25
    again. To continue to debate it at this point is not
```

```
going to change anything. You've given us a lot of
1
2
    information, but you have not answered the question
3
    about what you've done to back up your CCN from the
    security point of view. That's the bottom line.
4
5
    there's no --
6
                    MR. POWELL: I can tell you it remains
7
    the same. The bonds remain the same. The issues are
8
    the same.
                    DIRECTOR HILL: We need to hear it
9
10
    from --
11
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: It's up to you
12
    whether you want to get with staff and to work out this
13
    issue so it can come back to us, but at this point, the
    motion has been made. It has been seconded. We have
14
15
    one aye.
16
                    David, where are you? Where's your
17
    vote?
                    DIRECTOR JONES: I vote aye, as well.
18
19
                    VICE CHAIRMAN HILLIARD: All right.
    Motion is carried.
20
21
                    MS. DILLON:
                                 Next we have Section 10,
22
    Directors Bennett, Hilliard, and Jones.
23
                    Docket No. 13-00154, Concord Telephone
    Exchange, Inc.: TDS Telecom-Concord Telephone Exchange,
24
    Inc.'s application to enter price regulation. Consider
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Patricia A. Jennings, Licensed Court
Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary
Public for the State of Tennessee, hereby certify that
I reported the foregoing proceedings at the time and
place set forth in the caption thereof; that the
proceedings were stenographically reported by me; and
that the foregoing proceedings constitute a true and
correct transcript of said proceedings to the best of
my ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not related to any of the parties named herein, nor their counsel, and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in the outcome or events of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature and seal of office this 17th day of January, 2014.

PATRICIA A. JENNINGS LCR, RPR NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF TENNESSEE

LCR No. 200, Expires 6/30/2014

Notary Commission Expires 5/20/2014

1	Minutes of the Authority Conference of
2	Monday, January 13, 2014, stand approved
3	am b Chi
4	CHAIRMAN JAMES ALLISON
5	
6	M 21
7	Africa 2
8	VICE CHAIRMAN HERBERT HILLIARD
9	
10	
11	muel Co
12	DIRECTOR KENNETH C. HILL
13	
14	Kebin Bernett
15	DIRECTOR ROBIN BENNETT
16	
17	AA
18	
19	DIRECTOR DAVID F. JONES
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	