

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY



RECEIVED

13 DEC 20 PM 1:56

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

500 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor
Nashville, Tennessee 37242-0001

VIA E-MAIL and U.S. MAIL
December 20, 2013

Melvin Malone
Butler, Snow, O'Mara, Stevens & Cannada, PLLC
The Pinnacle at Symphony Place
150 3rd Avenue South, Suite 1600
Nashville, TN 37201

RE: Docket No. 13-00130 – *Petition of Tennessee-American Water Company for Approval of a Qualified Infrastructure Investment Program, an Economic Development Investment Rider, a Safety and Environmental Compliance Rider, and Pass-Throughs for Purchased Power, Chemicals, Purchased Power, Wheeling Water Costs, Waste Disposal, and TRA Inspection Fee.*

Dear Mr. Malone:

As a follow-up to your response to the TRA First Data Request and to assist the Authority in its evaluation of the *Petition* filed on October 4, 2013, please provide your responses to the questions on the attached TRA Second Data Request.

In order for the Authority to evaluate the *Petition* in a timely manner, it is necessary to receive this information as soon as possible. It is therefore requested that all responses be provided no later than 2:00 p.m. December 30, 2013. In accordance with TRA rules submit either (1) original and thirteen written copies of your response or (1) original and four written copies and an electronic version. Should you have any questions or need clarification regarding any requested item, please contact me at (615) 770-6884. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

David Foster, Chief
Utilities Division

C: Junaid Odubeko
Junaid.odubeko@butlersnow.com
Gary M. VerDouw
Gary.VerDouw@amwater.com

Questions:

1. Reference Response to Question No. 1. Please explain why Project No. 8 costs more than Project No. 7.
2. Reference Response to Question No. 2. Please provide a more detailed response to the original question.
3. Reference Response to Questions No. 8 and No. 34. Provide a copy of all RFP's related to existing contracts where TAWC is proposing to recover the cost from the QIIP, EDI, SEC and PCOP Riders. Additionally, provide all bids received corresponding to these RFPs, including the contractor that was awarded the bid. Please explain how a contractor/company can pre-qualify to bid on a project.
4. Reference Response to Question No. 10. Has the 2013 budget been approved by the Board of Directors?
5. Reference Response to Question No. 13. Provide the methodology to be utilized in calculating the inspection fee adjustment in the PCOP calculation. Is TAWC going to amend its filing and work papers in this docket to reflect the response to this question?
6. Reference Response to Question No. 21. Please explain why February 2013 reflects a negative twelve months-to-date expenditure for meter replacements.
7. Reference Response to Question No. 42. What portion, if any, of the current EDI projects will be funded by stockholders?
8. Please explain why forfeited discounts should be excluded when calculating the gross-up factor. Would TAWC be amenable to revising its calculations to include the forfeited discount when calculating the gross-up factor?