TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION



502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor Nashville, Tennessee 37243

VIA E-MAIL AND US MAIL

September 13, 2017

R. Dale Grimes
Bass, Berry & Sims PLC
150 Third Avenue South, Suite 2800
Nashville, TN 37201

RE: Docket No. 13-00118 – Petition of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. for Approval of an Integrity Management Rider to Its Approved Rate Schedules and Service Regulations.

Dear Mr. Grimes:

To assist the Commission in its evaluation of the monthly filing for May 31, 2017 in this Docket, please provide additional documentation to your responses provided August 30, 2017 as listed on the attached data request.

It is requested that all responses be provided no later than 2:00 p.m. Friday, September 29, 2017, and that the above docket number be referenced on the response. In accordance with Commission rules submit either (1) original and thirteen written copies of your response or (2) original and four written copies and an electronic version. Should you have any questions or need clarification regarding any requested item, please contact me at (615) 770-6884.

Sincerely,

1) 11 Tock

David Foster, Director Utilities Division

C: James H. Jeffries IV
Moore & Van Allen PLLC
100 North Tryon Street, Suite 4700
Charlotte, NC 28202-4003
jimjeffries@myalaw.com

Jane Lewis-Raymond Vice President & General Counsel Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. Post Office Box 33068 Charlotte, NC 28233 Jane.Lewis-Raymond@piedmontng.com

TPUC Data Request

Please reference your response to Item Number 1 and provide:

- 1. Workpapers detailing the amounts listed and how they were calculated for Taxes-General Payroll, Regular Payroll, Overtime Payroll, Administrative Overhead (1) and Administrative Overhead (2) and how it was determined they were attributable to VineRidge Rd. DIMP;
- 2. Explanation detailing how the \$542,628.92 and \$87,715.99 on Attachment No. 3 were determined and explanation as to why they are attributable to VineRidge Rd. DIMP;
- 3. Explanation on how the AFUDC Rate May 2017 of 0.00641850 was determined;
- 4. Explain why invoices for Canebrook Dr. and Kendall Dr. (Nos. 1, 2, 5, 6) were allocated to DIMP for VineRidge Rd.;
- 5. Explain why the total amount for invoices listed for VineRidge Rd. and Price Circle Rd. (Nos. 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 21, 22, 23, 25) were allocated to DIMP for VineRidge Rd.;
- 6. Explain why an invoice for River Rd (No. 12) was allocated to DIMP for VineRidge Rd.;
- 7. Explain why the total amount for an invoice listed for VineRidge Rd. and Brookwood Terrace (No. 16) was allocated to DIMP for VineRidge Rd.;
- 8. Explain why invoices for NearTop Dr. and Brookwood Terrace (Nos. 17, 19, 24) were allocated to DIMP for VineRidge Rd.;
- 9. Explain why the total amount for invoices listed for VineRidge Rd. and White Bridge Rd. (Nos. 20, 26, 27) were allocated to DIMP for VineRidge Rd.;
- 10. Explain why an invoice for NearTop Rd. (No. 29) was allocated to DIMP for VineRidge Rd.;
- 11. Explain why an invoice for Kendall Dr. (No. 30) was allocated to DIMP for VineRidge Rd.;

Please reference your response to Item Number 2 and provide:

12. Workpapers detailing the amounts listed and how they were calculated for Taxes-General Payroll, Regular Payroll, Overtime Payroll, Administrative Overhead (1) and Administrative Overhead (2) and how it was determined they were attributable to White Bridge at Post Rd.