

- 2) The Agreement is in the public interest as it provides consumers within Crockett's service area with alternative sources of telecommunications services.

3) The Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications service providers that are not parties thereto.

4) 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A) provides that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only upon finding that it “discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement” or if the implementation of the agreement “is not consistent with the public interest, convenience or necessity.” Unlike arbitrated agreements, a state commission may not reject a negotiated agreement on the grounds that the agreement fails to meet the requirements of 47 U.S.C. § 251 or § 252(d).¹ Thus, although the Authority finds that neither ground for rejection of a negotiated agreement exists, this Order should not be construed as finding that the Agreement is consistent with § 251, § 252(d) or, for that matter, previous Authority decisions.

5) No person or entity has sought to intervene in this docket.

6) The Agreement is reviewable by the Authority pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252 and Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 65-4-104 (2004) and 65-4-124(a) and (b) (2004) or, in the alternative, pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-109(m) (2009).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Agreement for the Transport and Termination of Extended Area Service (EAS) Traffic negotiated between Crockett Telephone Company, Inc. and Level 3 Communications, LLC is approved and is subject to review by the Authority as provided herein.

Chairman Kenneth C. Hill, Director Herbert H. Hilliard and Director James M. Allison concur.

ATTEST:



Earl R. Taylor, Executive Director

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(B).