

filed electronically in docket office on 11/15/12

**BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE**

Petition of Navitas TN NG, LLC for a)	
General Rate Increase)	DOCKET NO. 12-00068
)	

PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

CHRISTOPHER C. KLEIN, PH.D.

**ON BEHALF OF THE TENNESSEE ATTORNEY GENERAL
CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION**

November 15, 2012

**BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE**

November 15, 2012

DOCKET NO. 12-00068

**PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF
DR. CHRISTOPHER C. KLEIN**

1 **Q. Please state your name and your current position.**

2 **A.** My name is Christopher C. Klein and I am an Associate Professor in the Economics and
3 Finance Department at Middle Tennessee State University (MTSU) in Murfreesboro,
4 Tennessee.

5 **Q. What is your educational background?**

6 **A.** I received a B. A. in Economics from the University of Alabama in 1976 and I received a
7 Ph. D. in Economics from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in 1980.

8 **Q. What is your professional experience involving regulated industries?**

9 **A.** I was employed as an Economist in the Antitrust Division of the Bureau of Economics at
10 the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) in Washington, D.C., for six years starting in 1980.
11 In 1986, I was hired as the first Economist for the Tennessee Public Service Commission
12 (TPSC). Although my title changed over the years, I functioned as the Chief Economist
13 for the TPSC and, after 1996, the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (TRA), until August of
14 2002, when I assumed my current position with MTSU.

15 **Q. What were your duties at the FTC?**

16 **A.** I performed the economic analysis in antitrust investigations involving more than 20
17 industries and contributed to staff reports on mergers in the petroleum industry,
18 competition in grocery retailing, and the economics of predatory or sham litigation.

1 **Q. What was your primary responsibility at the TPSC?**

2 **A.** I was an expert witness for the staff of the TPSC in rate cases and other similar
3 proceedings involving telecommunications, natural gas, electric and water utilities, as
4 well as motor carriers. I testified in 36 dockets before the TPSC on the issues of cost of
5 capital, rate design, and competitive effects. I also filed testimony before the Federal
6 Communications Commission (FCC).

7 **Q. How did your responsibilities change when the TRA supplanted the TPSC?**

8 **A.** I oversaw the Utility Rate Division and then the Economic Analysis Division. The TRA
9 staff no longer testified in proceedings before the agency, but provided analysis and
10 advice to the TRA Directors. I was responsible for all such advice and analysis provided
11 to the Directors by these Divisions, either individually or in concert with other TRA staff,
12 in all proceedings that came before the agency for resolution. These proceedings
13 included rate cases and tariff filings by public utilities, including those associated with
14 the implementation of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

15 **Q. Were you a member of any regulatory committees or boards while you worked for**
16 **the TPSC and the TRA?**

17 **A.** Yes. I was a member of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners
18 (NARUC) Staff Subcommittee on Gas. I was a member of, and Chaired, the Research
19 Advisory Committee to the Board of Directors of the National Regulatory Research
20 Institute (NRRI). I also served on the State Staff of the FCC's Federal-State Joint Board
21 in CC Docket No.80-286 (the "Separations" Joint Board) and as a Group Leader on the
22 NARUC Staff Subcommittee on Accounts Multi-state Audit Team that produced the
23 1988 Report on Bell Communications Research.

1 **Q. What is your primary responsibility at MTSU?**

2 **A.** I teach classes in the general area of applied microeconomics, including Principles of
3 Microeconomics, Intermediate Microeconomic Theory, Managerial Economics,
4 Economics of Antitrust and Regulation, and Econometrics, as well as undertaking
5 scholarly research, participating in various university committees, and serving on
6 dissertation committees.

7 **Q. Have you taught at any other universities?**

8 **A.** I taught classes in the Economics of Regulation and in Antitrust Economics in the
9 Economics Department at Vanderbilt University for several years while I was employed
10 at the TRA.

11 **Q. Are you a member of any professional organizations?**

12 **A.** I am a member of the American Economic Association, the Southern Economic
13 Association, the Industrial Organization Society, and Alpha Pi Mu: the National
14 Industrial Engineering Honor Society, as well as Beta Gamma Sigma: the International
15 Honor Society for Collegiate Schools of Business.

16 **Q. Have you published articles in professional or academic journals and presented**
17 **papers at professional meetings?**

18 **A.** More than 30 of my articles have appeared in professional or academic journals such as
19 *Energy Economics, Utilities Policy, The Electricity Journal, The Journal of Applied*
20 *Regulation* and many others. I have made more than 50 presentations at professional
21 meetings.

22 **Q. Have you testified before any other governmental bodies in Tennessee?**

A. Yes. I have testified before various committees of the Tennessee General Assembly on regulatory issues, especially telecommunications issues and competition in the telecommunications industry, as well as before the Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations and the Tennessee Regulatory Authority. A complete list is provided in my Vita, beginning on page 9 of my Exhibit.

PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. I will address the Cost of Capital for Navitas TN NG, LLC (Navitas TN) and recommend an allowed rate of return to be adopted for ratemaking purposes. This includes issues regarding capital structure, cost of debt and cost of equity.

Q. Can you summarize your testimony?

A. Yes. I recommend the consolidated capital structure of the Navitas companies as of December 31, 2011, and the associated weighted average costs of short term and long term debt. I recommend a cost of equity of 15.04% in this capital structure in order to yield an interest coverage ratio of 2, comparable to other Tennessee utilities. The resulting overall rate of return is 8.70% to be applied to the rate base of Navitas TN. These recommendations are summarized on page 2 of my Exhibit. I also recommend that Navitas TN's payments on the debt incurred by Fort Cobb Fuel Authority for the acquisition of the Tennessee natural gas utility operation not be included in the calculation of the revenue requirement in order to avoid a double recovery of capital costs.

1 **Q. How is your testimony organized?**

2 A. I will address the concept of cost of capital first, then capital structure and cost of debt.
3 This is followed by cost of equity. I conclude with my recommended overall weighted
4 cost of capital.

5

6

COST OF CAPITAL

7

8 **Q. What do you mean by cost of capital?**

9 A. I mean the rate of return necessary to induce investors to hold the debt and stock of a
10 company. This rate of return should be equal to that available to investors on alternative
11 investments of similar risk.

12 **Q. How is the cost of capital related to the legal principles of determining the allowed**
13 **rate of return for regulated utilities?**

14 A. The cost of capital concept embodies the economic principles for determining the
15 allowed rate of return set out by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Bluefield Water Works v.*
16 *P.S.C.* (262 U.S. 679, 1923) and *F. P. C. v. Hope Natural Gas Co.* (320 U. S. 591, 1944).
17 For instance, the Court stated in *Hope* that, "...the return to the equity owner should be
18 commensurate with returns on investments in other enterprises having corresponding
19 risks. That return, moreover, should be sufficient to assure confidence in the financial
20 integrity of the enterprise, so as to maintain its credit and to attract capital." (320 U.S.
21 603) In my opinion, the allowed rate of return on the capital employed by a utility
22 should be set equal to its cost of capital.

1 **Q. What are the consequences of not setting the allowed rate of return equal to the cost**
2 **of capital?**

3 A. If the allowed rate of return is set below the cost of capital, then the company's credit
4 rating will fall and its cost of debt will rise. The price of its stock will decline to reflect
5 the lower expected return. Eventually, the company may face difficulties in financing
6 investments in new plant and equipment, causing the quality of its products and services
7 to decline.

8 If the allowed rate of return is set above the cost of capital, then the firm's
9 stockholders realize a capital gain as the price of the firm's stock rises to reflect the
10 higher return. Moreover, the capital gain is paid for by the firm's customers in the form
11 of excessively high prices.

12 Clearly, failure to set the allowed rate of return equal to the firm's cost of capital
13 is detrimental to the firm's customers as well as its stockholders.

14
15 **CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST OF DEBT**
16

17 **Q. What was your first step in estimating the cost of capital for Navitas TN?**

18 A. My first step was to determine the appropriate capital structure and cost of debt for
19 Navitas TN. I started with the capital structure related items in Navitas TN's responses to
20 the TRA's Minimum Filing Requirements as well as the testimony of Navitas TN witness
21 Mr. Hartline. Mr. Hartline states that the Navitas companies share certain assets across
22 jurisdictions and subsidiaries. This is apparently true for financing as well. For example,
23 Fort Cobb Fuel Authority, another subsidiary of Navitas Assets, LLC, the parent of

1 Navitas TN, obtained the debt that was used to acquire the former Gasco properties in
2 Tennessee now operated as Navitas TN. Navitas Assets owns several subsidiaries that
3 own the assets of the various Navitas operations, including natural gas operations in
4 Kentucky, Oklahoma, and Tennessee. The natural gas operations are actually operated
5 by another related company, Navitas Utility Corporation, whose equity owners are also
6 majority equity owners of Navitas Assets. See Navitas TN's responses to MFR item 3.
7 Further, the Navitas companies' loan covenants require a debt to equity ratio of 4 to one
8 or less on a consolidated basis. The capital structures for 2010 and 2011 provided in
9 response to MFR item 66 consolidate the Navitas Assets companies and Navitas Utility
10 Corporation. For these reasons, I find it appropriate to consider the Navitas companies as
11 a single entity with the year-end 2011 consolidated capital structure shown on page 2 of
12 my Exhibit.

13 **Q. How did you arrive at the cost of debt shown on page 2 of your Exhibit?**

14 A. This is the weighted average costs of long term debt and short term debt for the
15 consolidated Navitas companies calculated from the response to MFR item 68. The
16 Navitas cost rates for debt are comparable to the cost rates for debt reported by Atmos
17 Energy and Tennessee American Water Company in their recent rate cases (TRA
18 Dockets 12-00049 and 12-00064). Consequently, I find these debt costs to be reasonable.

19
20 **COST OF EQUITY**
21

22 **Q. How do you approach the cost of equity of Navitas TN?**

1 A. Ordinarily, one examines stock market data on comparable firms to determine a utility's
2 cost of equity. The stock of the Navitas companies, however, is not traded and the
3 consolidated company is much smaller in size than those companies whose stock is
4 traded. A list of natural gas and water utilities whose stock is traded, along with
5 Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) and Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) cost of equity
6 estimates for them, is provided on pages 3 and 4 of my Exhibit. These pages are
7 reproduced from my Exhibit in the recent Atmos Energy rate case (12-00064).

8 **Q. Can you explain the Discounted Cash Flow method?**

9 A. Yes. The DCF method views investors as valuing a company's stock based on the
10 present value of the cash flows a stockholder expects to receive from owning the stock
11 over an infinite time horizon. These cash flows from stock ownership are just the
12 dividends paid by the company. Consequently, some simple mathematics show that the
13 rate of return an investor expects on stock ownership in a company is the dividend yield
14 for the current period plus the expected growth rate in that dividend. The dividend yield
15 is just the expected dividend divided by the current price of the stock. The DCF
16 estimates of the cost of equity for natural gas and water utilities shown on page 3 of my
17 Exhibit have a mid-point of 8.15%.

18 **Q. Can you explain the CAPM?**

19 A. Yes. In the CAPM, an investor's required return on an investment is based on the
20 relative riskiness of the investment. That is, an investor must be compensated with a
21 higher expected return for investing in a riskier investment. The CAPM begins by
22 estimating the risk premium required on a broad portfolio of common stocks relative to a
23 risk-free asset. This risk premium is then adjusted for a particular stock's riskiness

relative to the market – that is, the broad portfolio of stocks. This is done by using the stock’s beta, which measures the riskiness of the stock relative to the market. The resulting CAPM cost of equity consists of the risk-free return plus beta times the market risk premium. The CAPM cost of equity estimates shown on page 4 of my Exhibit suggest a cost of equity for natural gas and water utilities of 8.20% or less.

Q. How are these cost of equity estimates relevant for Navitas TN?

A. An equity return of 8% or so produces an after-tax interest coverage ratio of 1.5 to 2.5 for these utilities that are approximately 50% debt financed. The Navitas consolidated capital structure, in contrast, is comprised of over 70% debt. If Navitas is to earn a rate of return on rate base sufficient to yield an after-tax interest coverage ratio of 2, say, then this requires an equity return of 15.04% as shown on page 2 of my exhibit.

Q. What is the interest coverage ratio?

A. The interest coverage ratio is generally calculated as Earnings Before Income Tax and Interest Charges divided by Interest Charges. Here I have calculated it as the overall rate of return divided by the weighted cost rate for long term and short term debt. Since the overall return will be “grossed up” for income taxes before it is applied to the rate base to get its contribution to the revenue requirement, my calculation understates the before-tax interest coverage.

Q. Why is interest coverage important?

A. The interest coverage ratio indicates the ability of a company to pay its debts. According to Investopedia (www.investopedia.com/articles/basics/04/040804.asp): “The ‘coverage’ aspect of the ratio indicates how many times the interest could be paid from available earnings, thereby providing a sense of the safety margin a company has for paying its

1 interest for any period. A company that sustains earnings well above its interest
2 requirements is in an excellent position to weather possible financial storms.” The
3 appropriate interest coverage for a firm in any particular industry depends upon the risks
4 it faces. A before-tax interest coverage ratio of 1.5 is often considered a minimum, while
5 a ratio of 2 is considered acceptable for a regulated utility. For firms in more volatile
6 industries, higher values would be required.

7 **Q. What do you conclude on the cost of equity for Navitas TN?**

8 A. Although a comparable firms analysis of the cost of equity using stock market data is not
9 possible for Navitas, calculating an equity return consistent with a comparable interest
10 coverage ratio can be done. This suggests a cost of equity of 15.04% for Navitas TN.

11
12 **OTHER ISSUES AFFECTING THE COST OF CAPITAL**

13
14 **Q. What other issues regarding the cost of capital are raised by Navitas?**

15 A. There are two such issues that deserve comment. These issues arise from (1) payments
16 by Navitas TN to Fort Cobb Fuel Authority (FCFA) on the debt incurred to acquire the
17 Tennessee utility operation; (2) claims by the majority equity owners of the Navitas
18 companies, Mr. Hartline and Mr. Varner, that their personal guarantees of the payments
19 on the FCFA debt imply that this debt should be treated as equity in Navitas TN.

20 **Q. What issue is raised by the payments on the FCFA debt?**

21 A. Since Navitas TN is being charged for payments on the debt used to acquire the
22 Tennessee gas utility, also allowing a return on the full value of the rate base would result
23 in a double recovery of capital costs. I recommend allowing Navitas TN a return on rate

base that is built into rates for its consumers, but not including the payments to FCFA in the calculation of rates and charges (i.e., the revenue requirement). Other ways of preventing the double recovery are likely possible, but this seems the easiest route to follow.

Q. What issues arise with respect to the proposal to treat the debt investment in Navitas TN as equity?

A. There are at least two issues. The first is the same as that just discussed: double recovery of capital costs. This occurs if the debt payments from Navitas TN to FCFA are included in calculating a revenue requirement and a return on rate base is also allowed.

Assuming the first issue is resolved as I recommend above, the proposal also seems to suggest that Navitas TN should have an all-equity capital structure, even though the consolidated Navitas companies are financed with a combination of debt and equity. I recommend against adopting an all-equity capital structure for Navitas

Q. Does the guarantee of the debt payments by the owners of the Navitas companies mean that the debt is similar to equity?

A. No. In fact, the acceptance by the owners of the responsibility for the debt in the event that the Navitas companies cannot make the required payments gives up the limited liability granted to equity owners of corporations. The resulting business organization becomes more similar to a partnership, in which the partners are liable for the debt of the business, rather than a stock corporation. The debt, however, retains characteristics of debt in the sense that debt payments must be made before any profits are paid out to the equity owners. In the event of bankruptcy the claims of creditors still take precedence over those of the equity owners. The guarantee just extends the assets available to the

creditors for payment of the companies' debts to include the personal assets of the owners. Certainly, this increases the owners' risks compared to limited liability, but it does not turn the debt into equity.

Q. How would the TRA's past practice treat a subsidiary that was all-equity financed?

A. The TRA's practice is to apply double leverage to the subsidiary of a parent holding company in order to calculate a capital structure. If the subsidiary is all equity financed, then double leverage will simply substitute the capital structure of the parent for that of the subsidiary.

Q. How is double leverage defined?

A. Double leverage "usually refers to a situation where a holding company raises debt and downstreams it as equity capital, or subordinated debt, to a subsidiary, i.e., it is the use of debt by both the parent company and the subsidiary, in combination with the company's equity capital, to finance the assets of the subsidiary."

(www.ventureline.com/accounting-glossary/D/double-leverage-definition/, accessed

August 13, 2012.) In the regulatory context, "double leverage...as commonly propounded instructs that the weighted average cost of capital of the parent company of a subsidiary be used as a measure of the cost of equity of a subsidiary." (Michael S. Rozeff, "Modified Double Leverage – A New Approach," *Public Utilities Fortnightly*, March 31, 1983.) Or more simply, double leverage states that the equity of a subsidiary is "part equity and part the debt of the parent." (Kolbe, A. Lawrence, James A. Read, Jr. and George R. Hall, "The Cost of Capital," Cambridge:MIT Press, 1984, p. 146.)

Q. What is the purpose of the double leverage approach to capital structure?

1 A. The purpose of the double leverage approach is to recognize the parent-subsidary
2 relationship by sharing some of the benefits of that relationship with rate payers. Double
3 leverage also discourages strategic financing behavior aimed only at raising a regulated
4 subsidiary's regulated rate of return by manipulating the subsidiary's capital structure,
5 while recognizing the role of the parent company in providing funds to the subsidiary.

6 **Q. Do you recommend the use of double leverage to derive a capital structure for**
7 **Navitas TN?**

8 A. No. Despite the parent-subsidary structure of the Navitas companies, it is clear that the
9 companies are financed as a group with lenders imposing debt/equity ratio requirements
10 on the consolidated entity. Under these circumstances, debt acquired by any subsidiary
11 will affect the ability of any of the related companies to obtain additional debt by
12 changing the consolidated debt/equity ratio. Hence, I have recommended the
13 consolidated capital structure for use in setting the allowed rate of return for Navitas TN.
14 Whether the investment in Navitas TN is treated as debt or equity is irrelevant in this
15 context. Double recovery of capital costs, however, must be avoided.

16
17
18 **CONCLUSION**
19

20 **Q. Can you summarize your recommendations for cost of capital for Navitas TN?**

21 A. Yes. I recommend using the consolidated capital structure and weighted average cost of
22 debt for the Navitas companies. I also recommend that the cost of equity be set to yield
23 an after-tax interest coverage ratio of 2, comparable to other Tennessee natural gas and

1 water utilities. In this capital structure, the implied equity return is 15.04% which results
2 in an overall return on rate base of 8.70% as shown on page 2 of my Exhibit. Whether
3 the investment in Navitas TN is treated as debt or equity is irrelevant, but the double
4 recovery of capital cost that results from Navitas TN's payments on the debt of FCFA in
5 addition to a return on rate base must be avoided.

6 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony at this time?**

7 A. Yes.

**BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE**

Petition of Navitas TN NG, LLC
For a General Rate Increase

)
)

DOCKET NO. 12-00068

**PRE-FILED DIRECT EXHIBIT OF
CHRISTOPHER C. KLEIN, PH.D.**

**ON BEHALF OF THE TENNESSEE ATTORNEY GENERAL
CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION**

November 15, 2012

Navitas Consolidated
Capital Structure and Cost of Capital
2011

<u>Component</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Cost Rate</u>	<u>Wtd. Cost</u>
Short Term Debt	\$481,889	4.26%	6.89%	0.29%
Long Term Debt	\$7,631,609	67.5%	6.017%	4.06%
Common Equity	\$3,187, 038	<u>28.24%</u>	15.04%	<u>4.35%</u>
Total	\$11,300,536	100%		8.70%

**Discounted Cash Flow Analysis
Water and Gas Distribution Utilities**

<u>Company</u>	<u>Beta</u>	<u>Total Capital</u>	<u>Div. Yield</u>	<u>Projected Growth Rates</u>		<u>DCF Range</u>
				<u>Earnings</u>	<u>Dividends</u>	
Atmos En. Midpoint	0.70	\$7.0b	3.81-4.3%	4.0%	1.5%	4.31-8.3% 6.305%
<u>Natural Gas Utilities</u>						
AGL Res.	0.75	\$7.260b	4.54-4.9%	5.5%	2.0%	6.54-10.40%
NiSource	0.85	\$11.655b	3.8%	8.0%	Nil	3.8-11.8%
NJR	0.65	\$1.48b	3.33-3.6%	5.5%	4.0%	7.33-9.1%
NW Nat. Gas	0.60	\$1.44b	3.66-3.8%	4.0%	3.0%	6.66-7.8%
Piedmont	0.70	\$1.99b	3.78-4.0%	2.5%	3.5%	6.18-7.5%
SJI	0.65	\$1.60b	3.06-3.5%	9.0%	9.0%	12.06-12.5%
SW Gas	0.75	\$3.60b	2.65-2.9%	9.0%	8.0%	10.65-11.9%
WGL	0.65	\$2.115b	3.0-3.95%	2.5%	3.0%	5.5-6.95%
Overall Range (without Atmos)						3.8-12.5%
Midpoint (Gas)						8.15%
<u>Water Utilities</u>						
AWWC	0.65	\$11.550b	2.64-2.9%	8.0%	6.5%	9.14-10.9%
Am. States	0.70	\$0.805b	2.8-3.26%	5.5%	4.0%	6.8-8.76%
Aqua Am.	0.65	\$2.885b	2.55-2.6%	7.0%	5.0%	7.55-9.6%
Artesian*	0.55	\$0.245b	3.51-3.7%	na	na	
Cal. Water	0.65	\$1.200b	3.38-3.4%	6.0%	4.0%	7.38-9.4%
Conn. Water*	0.75	\$0.355b	3.05-3.2%	na	na	
Middlesex*	0.70	\$0.320b	3.9%	5.5%	1.5%	5.4-9.4%
SJW	0.85	\$0.825b	3.0%	7.0%	4.0%	7.0-10.0%
York*	0.65	\$0.181b	2.93-3.0%	na	na	
Overall Range (water)						5.4-10.9%
Midpoint (water)						8.15%

Sources: 1) Beta, Total Capital, Dividend Yield, and Growth Rates from Value Line, *Ratings and Reports*, July 20, 2012(Water Companies) and June 8, 2012 (Gas Companies).

2) Dividend Yield, *Wall Street Journal* (WSJ.com), August 8, 2012.

*Listed on NASDAQ only.

**Capital Asset Pricing Model
Water and Natural Gas Distribution Companies**

<u>Company</u>	<u>Beta</u>	<u>Risk Premium</u>	<u>Weighted RP</u>	<u>5-year T-bond Current Yield</u>	<u>CAPM</u>
Atmos En.	0.70	7.2%	5.04%	1.00%	6.04%
AGL Res.	0.75	7.2%	5.40%	1.00%	6.40%
NiSource	0.85	7.2%	6.12%	1.00%	7.12%
NJR	0.65	7.2%	4.68%	1.00%	5.68%
NW Nat. Gas	0.60	7.2%	4.32%	1.00%	5.32%
Piedmont	0.70	7.2%	5.04%	1.00%	6.04%
SJI	0.65	7.2%	4.68%	1.00%	5.68%
SW Gas	0.75	7.2%	5.40%	1.00%	6.40%
WGL	0.65	7.2%	4.68%	1.00%	5.68%
AWWC	0.65	7.2%	4.68%	1.00%	5.68%
Aqua Am.	0.65	7.2%	4.68%	1.00%	5.68%
Am. States	0.70	7.2%	5.04%	1.00%	6.04%
Cal. Water	0.65	7.2%	4.68%	1.00%	5.68%
SJW	0.85	7.2%	6.12%	1.00%	7.12%
Market	1.0	7.2%	7.20%	1.00%	8.20%

Sources: Beta: Value Line, *Ratings and Reports*, October 22 and December 10, 2010.

Risk Premium: calculated from 2012 Ibbotson® S&P® *Stocks, Bonds, Bills and Inflation® Valuation Yearbook*, submitted in response to the First Discover Request of the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division, Question 7.

Current Yields: 3-month T-bill 0.109%; 1-year T-Note 0.170%; 5-year T-Note 0.721%; 10-year T-note 1.869%; 30-year T-Bond 3.092% : *Wall Street Journal* (WSJ.com), September 14, 2012.

VITA

CHRISTOPHER C. KLEIN

EDUCATION:

Ph. D. (Economics), University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill (1980)
B. A. (Economics), University of Alabama - Tuscaloosa (1976)

EXPERIENCE:

2002-Present	Middle Tennessee State University Associate Professor of Economics
2002-Present	Consultant Clients included: AGL Resources, Inc.; Reseller Coalition; Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations; Tennessee American Water Company, Inc.; Tennessee Attorney General, Consumer Advocate and Protection Division; Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation; US LEC of Tennessee, Inc.; Verizon Wireless; West Virginia American Water Company, Inc.; Z-Tel Communications, Inc.
1996-2002	Tennessee Regulatory Authority Chief, Economic Analysis Division, 1997-2002 Chief, Utility Rate Division, 1996-97
1998-2001	Vanderbilt University Adjunct Associate Professor of Economics
1986-1996	Tennessee Public Service Commission Director, Utility Rate Division, 1994-96 Economist & Research Director, 1993-94 Commission Economist, 1986-1993
1990-1994	Middle Tennessee State University Adjunct Faculty, Department of Economics and Finance
1980-1986	Federal Trade Commission Economist, Bureau of Economics - Antitrust Division

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:

Editor, *Journal for Economic Educators*, 2007 to present.
Member 1994-96, State Staff, Federal-State Joint Board, Federal Communications Commission
CC Docket No.80-286 ("Separations" Joint Board).
Chair 1993-95, member 1990-95, Research Advisory Committee to the Board of Directors of the
National Regulatory Research Institute at Ohio State University.

Member 1990-95, Staff Subcommittee on Gas, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners.

Group Leader: Economics, Contracts, and Non-affiliate Revenue; NARUC* Staff Subcommittee on Accounts Multi-state Audit Team, 1988 Report on Bell Communications Research.

Referee: *Applied Economics*, *Contemporary Economic Policy*, *Eastern Economic Journal*, *Land Economics*, *Management and Decision Economics*, *Review of Industrial Organization*, *Social Science Quarterly*, *Southern Economic Journal*.

Memberships: American Economic Association (AEA, since 1981), Southern Economic Association (1982), Industrial Organization Society (1986), Western Economic Association (2003).

HONORS:

Beta Gamma Sigma, International Honor Society for Collegiate Schools of Business, 2008

Top 30 Score, 2003-2004 Student Evaluation of Faculty Performance, Jones College of Business, Middle Tennessee State University.

Resolution of Recognition, National Regulatory Research Institute, 1995

Listed in various Who's Who publications, 1990-

Certificate of Commendation, Federal Trade Commission, 1985

First in my class to complete the Ph. D., 1980

Alpha Pi Mu, National Industrial Engineering Honorary, 1973

GRANTS RECEIVED:

MTSU Jones College Summer Research Grant: 2004, 2005, 2007, 2012.

MTSU Faculty Research and Creative Activity Academic Year Grant: 2004-2005 (with Reuben Kyle)

MTSU Faculty Research and Creative Projects Committee Summer Salary Grant: 2006, 2009.

TEACHING

At MTSU

ECON 2420, Principles of Economics – Microeconomics

ECON 3520, Intermediate Microeconomic Theory

ECON 4400, Economics of Antitrust and Regulation

ECON 4570, Managerial Economics

ECON 4620/5620, Econometrics and Forecasting

ECON 7121, Seminar in Applied Microeconomic Theory (Ph.D. Program)

ECON 7250, Methods of Outcome Assessment (Ph.D. Program)

Student Internships (ECON/FIN 4890, ECON/FIN 5890, ECON/FIN 6440)

At Vanderbilt University

ECON 252, Antitrust Economics

ECON 283, Economics of Regulation

MTSU Dissertation Committees

Shea W. Slonaker, Chair, *Three Essays on the Recorded Music Industry*, Ph. D. 2009.

Hua Liu, *U.S. Trade Deficit, Productivity Growth and Offshore Outsourcing*, Ph. D.

2006.

Jennifer Wilgus, *A Life-Cycle Approach to Human Capital Investment and Skill-Biased Technological Change*, Ph. D. 2005.

Anealia Sasser, *A Theoretical Examination of Title IV Financial Aid for Higher Education*, D.A. 2004.

Vanderbilt University Dissertation Committees:

Aster Adams, *The Impact of Deregulation and Competition on Efficiency, Financial Performance, and Shareholder Wealth of Electric Utilities in the United States*, Ph. D. 2009.

David B. Sapper, *Trial Selection and the Effects of Sentencing Reform in Criminal Antitrust Cases: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis*, Ph. D. 2006.

T. Randolph Beard, *Bankruptcy, Safety Expenditure, and Safety Regulation in the Motor Carrier Industry*, Ph. D. 1988

PUBLICATIONS AND WORKING PAPERS

“Econometrics as a Capstone Course in Economics,” submitted to *Journal of Economic Education*, 2012.

“Do State Funded Merit Scholarships Reduce High School Dropout Rates?” with Elizabeth A. Perry-Sizemore, submitted to the *Southern Economic Journal*, 2012.

“The Price of Quality: Hedonic Estimation of Implicit Market Models for Higher Education,” with Reuben Kyle, submitted to *Journal of Economics and Finance*, 2012.

“Identifying the Best Buys in U.S. Higher Education,” with E. Anthon Eff and Reuben Kyle, forthcoming, *Research in Higher Education*, 2012.

“Chart Turnover and Sales in the Recorded Music Industry: 1990-2005,” with Shea W. Slonaker, *Review of Industrial Organization*, 36:351-372, 2010.

“What Can We Learn from Education Production Studies?” with E. Anthon Eff, *Eastern Economic Journal*, 36:450-479, 2010.

“Public Transportation Ridership Levels,” with Christopher R. Swimmer, *Journal for Economic Educators*, 10(1): 40-46, Summer 2010.

“Analysis of U.S. Foreign Aid Determinants for 2003,” with Joshua M. Hill, *Journal for Economic Educators*, 9(1): 48-52, Summer 2009.

“Intra-district Public School Funding Equity and Performance in Nashville, Tennessee,” *Journal of Education Finance*, Summer 2008.

“A Tale of Three Inputs: Cost and Production Duality with Time Utilization of Capital,” *Applied Economics Research Bulletin*, 1(1) 2008.

“Telephone Penetration in Tennessee: Are Intrastate Universal Service Policies Effective?” with Aster R. Adams and David B. Sapper, *Journal of Applied Regulation*, 2, November 2004, pp., 87-108.

“A Switching Regime Approach to Measuring the Effects of Technological Change in Ocean Shipping,” with J. David Bass and Reuben Kyle, *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 22:1-2, July-September,

2004, pp. 29-49..

"The Financial Implications of Unbundling on Bell Company Profits: A Review of the Evidence," with T. Randolph Beard and George S. Ford, *CommLaw Conspectus: The Journal of Communications Law and Policy*, v. 12 n.1, Fall/Winter 2003.

"Bell Companies as Profitable Wholesale Firms: The Financial Implications of UNE-P," with T. Randolph Beard, *Phoenix Center Policy Paper No. 17*, November 2002, www.phoenix-center.org.

"Connecting Tennessee: Bridging the Digital Divide," with Rose M. Gregory, *NRRI Quarterly Bulletin*, vol. 21 no. 3, Spring 2001.

"Regulation vs. Deregulation: It's All in the Externalities," *Tennessee's Business*, Middle Tennessee State University, v. 11, n. 3 (November), 2001.

"The Role of Public Power in a Restructured Electric Power Industry," with David Sapper, *The Electricity Journal*, August/September 2001.

"Regulator Preferences and Utility Prices: Evidence from Natural Gas Distribution Utilities," with George Sweeney, *Energy Economics*, vol. 21, n. 1, 1999.

"Competition in Telecommunications: A Progress Report for Tennessee," *Tennessee's Business*, Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN; vol. 9, n. 1, 1999.

"Technological Change and the Production of Ocean Shipping Services," with Reuben Kyle, *Review of Industrial Organization*, December 1997.

"The Haunting of Universal Service: Open Markets, Efficient Funding and the Ghost of the Fair Rate of Return," *Proceedings of Tenth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, National Regulatory Research Institute, Columbus, OH, 1996.

"Productivity Growth in Telecommunications: The Case of Tennessee," *Proceedings of Tenth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, National Regulatory Research Institute, Columbus, OH, 1996.

"Capture vs. Compromise: Entry Regulation of Intrastate Trucking," with Reuben Kyle and Jennifer Wilgus, *Logistics and Transportation Review*, v. 32 n. 3, September 1996.

"Price Discrimination: What is 'Undue' for a U.S. Utility?" *Utilities Policy*, vol. 4 no. 4, October 1994.

"Single Service Price Variations and 'Subsidies' in the Pricing of Telecommunications Services," *Proceedings of Ninth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, National Regulatory Research Institute, Columbus, OH, 1994.

"What Is Undue Price Discrimination by a Regulated Utility?" *NRRI Quarterly Bulletin*, March 1994.

"A Comparison of Cost-Based Pricing Rules for Natural Gas Distribution Utilities," *Energy Economics*, July 1993.

"Negotiating a Transportation Rate Under Threat of Bypass: A Case Study," *Proceedings of the Eighth Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, National Regulatory Research Institute, Columbus, OH, 1992.

"A Multinomial Logit Model of Intrastate Trucking Regulation in Tennessee," with Jennifer Jose and Reuben Kyle, *Papers and Proceedings of the Nineteenth Annual Meeting of the Midsouth Academy of Economics and Finance*, v. 16, 1992.

"Ramsey Prices for Natural Gas Distribution Utilities," *Proceedings of the Seventh NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, National Regulatory Research Institute, Columbus, OH, 1990.

"Intervention as Entry Deterrence: Evidence from Sham Litigation Cases," *Proceedings of the Seventh NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, National Regulatory Research Institute, Columbus, OH, 1990.

Book Review, *Changing the Rules: Technological Change, International Competition, and Regulation in Communications*, Edited by Robert W. Crandall and Kenneth Flamm, Brookings 1989; *Review of Industrial Organization*, Fall 1990.

"Double Leverage and Strategic Financing Decisions," *NRRI Quarterly Bulletin*, v. 11, n. 3, September 1990.

"Predation in the Courts: Legal Versus Economic Analysis in Sham Litigation Cases," *International Review of Law & Economics*, June 1990.

"Rate Design for Natural Gas Utilities: A Comparison of Ramsey and Cost of Service Pricing," *NRRI Quarterly Bulletin*, December 1989.

"Dissecting Divestiture: A Telecommunications Book Review Article," *Review of Industrial Organization*, October 1989.

The Economics of Sham Litigation: Theory, Cases, and Policy, Bureau of Economics Staff Report, Federal Trade Commission, April 1989.

"New Agreements, Non-affiliate Revenues, and Economic Issues," with Mike Amato and Francis Fok, in *Report on Bell Communications Research*, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, 1988.

"Merger Incentives and Cost of Capital Regulation of Subsidiaries," *Midsouth Journal of Economics and Finance*, March 1988.

"Strategic Sham Litigation: Economic Incentives in the Context of the Case Law," *International Review of Law & Economics*, December 1986.

"Is There a Principle for Defining Industries? Comment," *Southern Economic Journal*, October 1985.

"A Note on Defining Geographic Markets," with Ed Rifkin and Noel Uri, *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, February 1985.

"Process Analysis, Capital Utilization, and the Existence of Dual Cost and Production Functions," FTC Bureau of Economics Working Paper No. 116, May 1984.

"A General Theory of Hedonic Pricing of Capital as a Factor of Production," FTC Bureau of Economics Working Paper No. 105, December 1983.

"The International Market for Crude Oil," with Fred Lipson and Harvey Blumenthal, in *Mergers in the Petroleum Industry*, Federal Trade Commission, 1982.

PRESENTATIONS

“Supply Innovation and Sales of Recorded Music: 1990-2010,” Southern Economic Association Annual Conference, New Orleans, LA, November 2012, forthcoming.

“Econometrics as a Capstone Course in Economics,” American Economic Association National Conference on Teaching Economics, Boston, MA, May 2012.

“Music Supply, Chart Turnover, and the Random Copying Hypothesis in the Digital Age,” with Shea Slonaker, International Industrial Organization Conference, Arlington, VA, March 2012.

“Econometrics as a Capstone Course in Economics,” Southern Economic Association Annual Conference, Washington, DC, November 2011.

“Do State Funded Merit Scholarships for Higher Education Reduce High School Dropout Rates for All Students?” with Elizabeth A. Perry-Sizemore, Southern Economic Association Annual Conference, Washington, DC, November 2011.

“Do State Funded Merit Scholarships for Higher Education Improve Pre-College Academic Performance?” with Elizabeth A. Perry-Sizemore, Southern Economic Association Annual Conference, Atlanta, GA, November 2010.

“The Effect of State Funded Merit Scholarships for Higher Education on Pre-College Academic Performance,” with Elizabeth A. Perry-Sizemore, Southern Economic Association Annual Conference, San Antonio, TX, November 2009.

“The Effect of State Funded Merit Scholarships for Higher Education on High School Graduation Rates,” with Elizabeth A. Perry-Sizemore, Southern Economic Association Annual Conference, Washington, DC, November 2008.

“Identifying the Best Buys in U.S. Higher Education,” with E. Anthon Eff and Reuben Kyle, Southern Economic Association Annual Conference, Washington, DC, November 2008.

“Product Variety and Sales in the Recorded Music Industry: 1990-2005,” with Shea Slonaker, International Industrial Organization Conference, Arlington, VA, May 2008.

“Identifying the Best Buys in U.S. Higher Education,” with E. Anthon Eff and Reuben Kyle, Academy of Economics and Finance Annual Meeting, Nashville, TN, February 2008.

“Product Variety and Sales in the Recorded Music Industry: 1990-2005,” with Shea Slonaker, Academy of Economics and Finance Annual Meeting, Nashville, TN, February 2008.

“Do State Funded Merit Scholarships Induce Students to Learn more in High school?” with Elizabeth A. Perry-Sizemore, Southern Economic Association Annual Conference, New Orleans, LA, November 2007.

“The Price of Quality: Hedonic Estimation of Implicit Market Models for Higher Education,” with Reuben Kyle, Southern Economic Association Annual Conference, New Orleans, LA, November 2007.

“The Shifting Appeal of Sham Litigation: Evidence from Appellate Decisions 1971-2006,” International Industrial Organization Conference, Savannah, GA, April 2007.

“The Shifting Appeal of Sham Litigation: Evidence from Appellate Decisions 1980-2006,” Scholar’s Week Poster Fair, MTSU, April 2007

- "Causality Tests for Public School Funding and Performance," Southern Economic Association Meeting, Charleston, SC, November 2006.
- "The Price of Quality: Hedonic Estimation of Implicit Market Models for Higher Education," with Reuben Kyle, Southern Economic Association Meeting, Washington, November 2005.
- "The Price of Quality: Hedonic Estimation of Implicit Market Models for Higher Education," with Reuben Kyle, International Industrial Organization Conference, Atlanta, April 2005.
- "Anticompetitive Litigation and the "Baselessness" Standard for Antitrust Liability," Southern Economic Association Meeting, New Orleans, November 2004.
- "The Price of Quality: Hedonic Estimation of Implicit Market Models for Higher Education," with Reuben Kyle, Southern Economic Association Meeting, New Orleans, November 2004.
- "VoIP: Let's Ask the Right Questions," Tennessee Regulatory Authority Forum on VoIP, Nashville Public Library, April 30, 2004.
- "Telephone Penetration in Tennessee: Are Intrastate Universal Service Policies Effective?" with Aster Rutibablira and David B. Sapper, Southern Economic Association Meeting, San Antonio, TX, November 2003.
- "Telephone Penetration in Tennessee: Are Intrastate Universal Service Policies Effective?" with Aster Rutibablira and David B. Sapper, International Industrial Organization Conference, Boston MA, April 4-5, 2003.
- "A Critique of Educational Production Functions," Southern Economic Association meeting, New Orleans, LA, November 2002.
- "Connecting Tennessee: Bridging the Digital Divide," with Rose M. Gregory, American Economic Association meeting, joint session with the Transportation and Public Utilities Group, Atlanta, GA, January 2002.
- "Long Term Contracts as Anticompetitive Devices in Telecommunications," Southern Economic Association Annual Meeting, Tampa, FL, November 2001.
- "The Role of Public Power in a Restructured Electric Power Industry," American Economic Association meeting, joint session with the Transportation and Public Utilities Group, Boston, MA, January 2000.
- "Universal Telephone Service in Tennessee: A Pre-Competition Assessment," with David Sapper, Southern Economic Association meeting, New Orleans, LA, November 1999.
- "Trucks, Planes, Trains, and Wires? Short-haul vs. Long-haul Long Distance Rates in Telecommunications," with Reuben Kyle, Southern Economic Association meeting, Baltimore, MD, November 1998.
- "The Economics of Time as a Resource," Southern Economic Association meeting, Atlanta, GA, November 1997.
- "Cost and Production Duality with Capital Utilization," Department of Economics Seminar Series, Vanderbilt University, February 1997.
- "Maximum Impropriety: The 'Baselessness' Standard for Improper Litigation," Southern Economic Association meeting, Washington, November 1996.
- "Cost and Production Duality with Capital Utilization," Southern Economic Association meeting, Washington, November 1996.

"The Haunting of Universal Service: Open Markets, Efficient Pricing, and the Ghost of the Fair Rate of Return," Tenth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, Columbus, OH, September 1996.

"Productivity Growth in Telecommunications: The Case of Tennessee," Tenth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, Columbus, OH, September 1996.

"Productivity Growth in Telecommunications: The Case of Tennessee," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Public Utility Economics, 15th Annual Conference, Lake George, NY, May 1996.

"A Switching Regime Approach to Measuring the Effects of Technological Change in Ocean Shipping," with Reuben Kyle, Southern Economic Association meeting, New Orleans, November 1995.

"Productivity Growth in Telecommunications: The Case of Tennessee," Southern Economic Association meeting, New Orleans, November 1995.

"Local Service Price Variations and 'Subsidies' in Telecommunications," Southern Economic Association meeting, Orlando, November 1994.

"Dynamic Effects of Regulatory Policy on Intrastate Long Distance Telephone Rates," Southern Economic Association meeting, Orlando, November 1994.

"Single Service Price Variations and 'Subsidies' in the Pricing of Telecommunications Services," Ninth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, Columbus, OH, September 1994.

"Suit, Countersuit, and Settlement in Sham Litigation," Annual Meeting of the Midsouth Academy of Economics and Finance, Nashville, February 1994.

"New Evidence on the Effect of Regulation on Intrastate Long Distance Telephone Rates," Annual Meeting of the Midsouth Academy of Economics and Finance, Nashville, February 1994.

"What is Undue Price Discrimination for a Public Utility?" Southern Economic Association meeting, New Orleans, November 1993.

"Regulated Utility Prices and the Preferences of Regulators," with George Sweeney, Southern Economic Association meeting, New Orleans, November 1993.

"A Test for Strategic Behavior Under Rate of Return Regulation," Southern Economic Association meeting, Washington, November 1992.

"New Evidence on the Effect of Regulatory Policy on Intrastate Long Distance Telephone Rates," Southern Economic Association meeting, Washington, November 1992.

"Technological Change and the Production of Ocean Shipping Services," with Reuben Kyle, Atlantic Economic Association meeting, Plymouth, MA, October 1992.

"Negotiating a Transportation Rate Under Threat of Bypass: A Case Study," Eighth Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, Columbus, OH, September 1992.

"A Multinomial Logit Model of Intrastate Trucking Regulation in Tennessee," with Jennifer W. Jose and Reuben Kyle, Midsouth Academy of Economics and Finance annual meeting, Mobile, February 1992.

"Technological Change and the Production of Ocean Shipping Services," with Reuben Kyle, Southern Economic Association meeting, Nashville, November 1991.

- "Suit, Countersuit, and Settlement in Sham Litigation Cases," Southern Economic Association meeting, Nashville, November 1991.
- "Implementing Third Best Pricing Rules for Natural Gas Distribution Utilities," Southern Economic Association meeting, Nashville, November 1991.
- "Trucking Regulation in Tennessee," with Jennifer Jose and Reuben Kyle, Southern Economic Association meeting, Nashville, November 1991.
- "Research and Development in Regulated Markets: The Case of Bell Communications Research," Southern Economic Association meeting, New Orleans, November 1990.
- "Incentives for Trial and Settlement in Sham Litigation," Southern Economic Association meeting, New Orleans, November 1990.
- "Ramsey Prices for Natural Gas Distribution Utilities," Seventh NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, Columbus, OH, September 1990.
- "Intervention as Entry Deterrence: Evidence from Sham Litigation Cases," Seventh NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, Columbus, OH, September 1990.
- "Funding Research and Development in Regulated Industries: The Case of Bell Communications Research," Ninth Annual Conference of the Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Public Utility Economics, New Paltz, NY, May 30 - June 1, 1990.
- "Incentives for Trial and Settlement in Sham Litigation," Bureau of Economics Seminar, Federal Trade Commission, February 1990.
- "Estimating Ramsey Prices for Natural Gas Utilities," Southern Economic Association meeting, Orlando, November 1989.
- "Incentives for Trial and Settlement in Sham Litigation," Department of Economics Seminar Series, Auburn University, November 1989.
- "Natural Gas Rate-Making: Now and In the Future," Associated Valley Industries Natural Gas Seminar, Nashville, October 1989.
- "Estimating Ramsey Prices for Natural Gas Utilities," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Public Utility Economics, Eighth Annual Conference, Newport, RI, May 29-31, 1989.
- "The Role of Bell Communications Research in the Telecommunications Markets," Midsouth Academy of Economics and Finance Annual Conference, Nashville, February 1989.
- "The Organizational Structures of Public Utilities Under Different Regulatory Regimes," Southern Economic Association meeting, San Antonio, November 1988.
- "New Agreements, Non-affiliate Revenues, and Economic Issues," Report on Bell Communications Research, NARUC Multi-state Audit Team, presented to NARUC Staff Sub-committee on Accounts, Kalispell, Montana, September 1988.
- "Predation in the Courts: Empirical Analysis of Sham Litigation Cases," Joint Session of the Industrial Organization Society and the American Economic Association, Chicago, December 1987.

"Rate of Return on Equity," National Conference on Unit Valuation Standards, Nashville, December 1987.

"Merger Incentives and Organizational Structures Under Cost of Capital Regulation," Southern Economic Association meeting, Washington, November 1987.

"Merger Incentives and Cost of Capital Regulation of Subsidiaries," Midsouth Academy of Economics and Finance Annual Conference, Mobile, February 1987.

"The Incidence of Predatory Sham Litigation," Southern Economic Association meeting, New Orleans, November 1986.

"A Welfare Analysis of the Department of Justice Merger Guidelines," Southern Economic Association meeting, Dallas, November 1985.

"A Duality Approach to Labor Costs and Shiftwork," Southern Economic Association meeting, Atlanta, November 1984.

"Strategic Sham Litigation: Economic Incentives in the Context of the Case Law," Southern Economic Association meeting, Atlanta, November 1984.

"A General Theory of Hedonic Pricing of Capital as a Factor of Production," Southern Economic Association meeting, Washington, November 1983.

ECONOMIC TESTIMONY

Testimony before the Public Service Commissions of Alabama, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina on behalf of the Reseller Coalition, various docket numbers, August 2010-May 2011.

In the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee: Owner-Operator Independent Drivers Association Inc. v. Keith Bissell, No. 3-90-0251, March 1992, (Affidavit).

Before the Federal Communications Commission: Represcribing the Authorized Rate of Return for Interstate Services of Local Exchange Companies, CC Docket No. 89-624, March 1990.

Before the Tennessee General Assembly: various Committees, 1994 - present.

Before the Tennessee Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental relations:
"Report on Pole Attachment Rate Study," with Reuben Kyle, January 18, 2007.

Before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (docket numbers in parentheses):

Petition of Laurel Hills Condominiums Property Owners Association for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (12-00030), October 2012.

Petition of Atmos Energy Corporation for an Adjustment to Rates (12-00064), September 2012.

Petition of Tennessee American Water Company to Change and Increase Certain Charges (12-00049), August 2012.

Petition of Berry's Chapel Utility, Inc. to Change and Increase Rates and Charges (11-00198), April 2012.

Petition of Piedmont Natural Gas, Inc. for an Adjustment to Its Rates, Approval of Changes to Its Rate Design, Amortization of Certain Deferred Assets, Approval of New Depreciation Rates, Approval of Revised Tariffs and Service Regulations, and Approval of a New Energy Efficiency Program and GTI Funding, (11-00144), December 2011.

Petition of Tennessee American Water Company to Change and Increase Certain Rates and Charges so as To Permit It to Earn a Fair and Adequate Rate of Return on Its Property Used and Useful in Furnishing Water Service to Its Customers, (11-00189), April 2011.

Petition of Chattanooga Gas Company for General Rate Increase, Implementation of the EnergySmart Conservation Programs, and Implementation of a Revenue Decoupling Mechanism, (09-00183), April 2010.

Petition of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. to Implement a Margin Decoupling Tracker (MDT) and Related Energy Efficiency and Conservation Programs, (09-00104), December 2009.

Tennessee Rural Coalition Petition for Suspension and Modification Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 1251(f)(2), (06-00228), May 2007.

Complaint of US LEC of Tennessee, Inc. against Electric Power Board of Chattanooga (02-00562), Feb. 2004.

Before the Tennessee Public Service Commission* (docket numbers in parentheses):

BellSouth D/B/A South Central Bell (95-02614) October 1995.**

United Telephone - Southeast (95-02615) September 1995.

United Telephone - Southeast (93-04818) January 1994.**

Chattanooga Gas Company (93-06946) December 1993.

South Central Bell Tariff 93-039 (93-03038) May 1993.**

South Central Bell (92-13527, et al) April 1993.**

Kingsport Power Co. (92-04425) October 1992.

United Cities Gas Co.(92-02987) Sept. 1992.

L & L Trucking, Inc. (91-06786) February 1992.**

Chattanooga Gas Company (91-03765) October 1991.

GTE South (91-05738) August 1991.**

Nashville Gas Company (91-02636) August 1991.

Intra-LATA "Competition" (89-11065, et al) Feb. 1991.

United Intermountain Tel. Co.(90-07832) Dec. 1990.**

Kingsport Power Company (90-05736) Nov. 1990.**

AT&T - South Central States (90-07460) Oct. 1990.**

L & L Trucking (90-03514; 90-04786) August 1990.**

South Central Bell Tel. Co. (90-05953) August 1990.**

GTE South (90-01273) June 1990.

Radio Common Carriers (89-11234) Nov. 1989.**

Nashville Gas Co. (89-10491) Oct. 1989.

United Cities Gas Co. (89-10017) Sept. 1989.

Crockett Telephone Co. (89-02325) May 1989.

ALLTEL Tennessee (89-02324) May 1989.

West Tennessee Telephone Co. (89-02323) May 1989.

* Written (prefiled) testimony on cost of capital, rate design, competitive effects, and/or other issues.

** Oral testimony as well as written.

Peoples Telephone Co. (89-02322) May 1989.
Ooltewah-Collegedale Telephone Co. (89-02321) May 1989.
Kingsport Power Co. (89-02126) March 1989.**
Chattanooga Gas Co. (88-01363) February 1989.**
Tennessee-American Water Co. (U-87-7534) March 1988.
Tellico Telephone Co. (U-87-7532) February 1988.
Claiborne Telephone Co. (U-87-7508) November 1987.**
Nashville Gas Co. (U-87-7499) October 1987.**
Kingsport Power Co. (U-86-7472) May 1987.**
United Cities Gas Co. (U-86-7442) February 1987.**
General Telephone of the South (U-86-7437) Nov. 1986.**