BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:				
PETITION OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF ADJUSTMENT OF ITS RATES AND REVISED TARIFF DOCKET NO				
	PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF PATRICIA J. CHILDERS ON BEHALF OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION			
	I. INTRODUCTION			
Q.	Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS			
A.	My name is Patricia J. Childers. I am Vice President - Rates & Regulatory Af-			
	fairs for the Kentucky/Mid-States Division of Atmos Energy Corporation ("At-			
	mos Energy" or "Company"). My business address is 810 Crescent Centre Drive			
	Suite 600, and Franklin, Tennessee 37067-6226.			
Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?			
A.	My testimony will outline the principal factors requiring Atmos Energy to request			
	an increase in rates. I also will introduce the other witnesses, who will provide			
	support for the requested rate increase.			
	II. WITNESS BACKGROUND			
Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND				
	SIONAL BACKGROUND, AND CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES.			

1	A.	I have a Bachelor of Science degree from Middle Tennessee State University. I
2		have worked for Atmos Energy and its predecessor since 1979. In 2001, I as-
3		sumed my current position. I am responsible for the oversight of regulatory af-
4		fairs for the Kentucky/Mid-States Division in the States of Tennessee, Virginia
5		and Georgia.
6	Q.	HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULA-
7		TORY AUTHORITY (TRA)?
8	A.	Yes. Throughout my years with the Company I have provided testimony before
9		the Tennessee Regulatory Authority in numerous dockets. I have also testified
10		before the Georgia Public Service Commission, the Illinois Commerce Commis-
11		sion, the Missouri Public Service Commission and the Virginia State Corporation
12		Commission.
13		
14		III. TESTIMONY
15	Q.	CAN YOU PROVIDE THE TRA WITH A GENERAL DESCRIPTION
16		AND BACKGROUND OF ATMOS ENERGY'S OPERATIONS IN TEN-
17		NESSEE?
18	A.	Yes. Atmos Energy's Kentucky/Mid-States Division serves customers in Tennes-
19		see, Kentucky, Missouri, Virginia, Georgia, Illinois, and Iowa. This Division
20		provides natural gas service to approximately 461,000 customers across those
21		seven states. Atmos Energy serves approximately 130,400 residential, commer-
22		cial, and industrial customers in Tennessee. The Company has a Tennessee-based
23		work force of slightly less than 200 employees including approximately 30 em-

- ployees in the Kentucky/Mid-States Division office in Cool Springs. Our utility
 plant in Tennessee includes over 3,300 miles of transmission and distribution
 lines. I have included a map of Atmos Energy's Tennessee service territory as
- 4 Schedule PJC-1.

5 Q. WHEN DID THE COMPANY'S CURRENT RATES BECOME EFFEC-

6 TIVE?

11

A. The Company's current rates were established by the TRA in Docket No. 08-00197. That case was filed on October 15, 2008. The TRA ultimately approved a settlement in the case, and new rates became effective April 1, 2009. In accordance with the settlement agreement and the TRA's Order, the 2009 rates were de-

signed to produce a revenue increase in the amount of \$2,512,575.

- 12 Q. WHAT DOES ATMOS ENERGY SEEK IN THIS RATE CASE?
- A. Atmos Energy seeks approval of an increase in revenues of approximately \$10.8 million. The increase to the bill of an average residential customer at current gas prices would be approximately \$4.00 per month. Although Atmos Energy operates very efficiently, it is not achieving a fair return on its investments with the rates currently in effect. Our calculated return on equity, as of March 31, 2012 was 7.53%. The allowed return on equity granted in Docket No. 08-00197 was 10.3% with an overall return of 8.24%.
- 20 Q. WHY IS ATMOS ENERGY REQUESTING A RATE INCREASE AT THIS
- 21 **TIME?**
- A. We make every effort to provide services as efficiently as possible and are proud of our reputation as one of the lowest cost providers of natural gas in the United

1		States. The requested increase is due to increased operating and maintenance ex-
2		penses, projected capital expenditures to re-enforce existing infrastructure to
3		comply with federal safety regulations and a requested increase in return. Conse-
4		quently, we are seeking timely and adequate rate relief in order to maintain the
5		current high-quality safe and reliable service our customers expect. These areas
6		are discussed in detail by other Company witnesses.
7	Q.	WHAT RATE RELIEF ARE YOU REQUESTING IN THIS APPLICA-
8		TION?
9	A.	We are asking the Authority to approve new rate schedules that would increase
10		our revenues to provide a projected rate of return of 8.75% on a projected total
11		rate base of \$208,661,037.
12	Q.	WHAT IS THE RATE OF RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY REQUEST-
13		ED IN THIS APPLICATION?
14	A.	We have requested a rate of return on projected common equity of 11.0 %.
15	Q.	HOW DO YOU PROPOSE THAT NEW RATES RECOVER THE DIS-
16		TRIBUTION REVENUE INCREASE?
17	A.	Although rate design issues are addressed in greater detail in the testimony of
18		Josh C. Densman, the Company's proposal retains the current proportionate mar-
19		gin distribution among the various classes. It is important that the TRA adopt the
20		Company's proposal to increase the customer charges of certain rate schedules.
21		Virtually all of a natural gas local distribution company's costs of service (other
22		than the costs of gas, which are not recovered in base distribution rates) are fixed,
23		as opposed to variable. By fixed, I mean that the costs do not increase or decrease

as the volume of natural gas delivered to our customers increases or decreases. As
the same time, under our exiting rate structure, the majority of distribution rate
revenues are recovered through volumetric rate components. Consequently, I be-
lieve that the TRA should authorize the Company to increase the customer charg-
es of the various rate schedules as proposed in this case to improve the likelihood
of revenue recovery. The proposed monthly customer charges compare favorably
with the charges approved by the TRA in recent filings by the other regulated nat-
ural gas utilities.

Q. IS THE COMPANY FILING A DEPRECIATION STUDY IN THIS CASE?

10 A. The Company is not filing a comprehensive depreciation study. The Company is 11 proposing to update the depreciation rates for the Shared Services Unit. This will 12 be discussed in the testimony of Gregory Waller.

Q. PLEASE OUTLINE THE TESTIMONY OF THE OTHER ATMOS EN-ERGY WITNESSES IN THIS CASE.

In addition to my testimony, Atmos Energy will present the direct testimony and exhibits of six witnesses.

Gregory K. Waller, Vice President of Finance for the Kentucky/Mid-States Division, is presenting testimony concerning the Operating and Maintenance (O&M) expense budgeting process used by Atmos Energy's utility divisions, the Shared Services Unit (SSU) budgeting process, control and monitoring of O&M variances, and the test period costs and forecasted attrition year budget for O&M, depreciation expense, and taxes other than income taxes incurred directly by the Tennessee operations and allocated from the Kentucky/Mid-States

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

General	Office and	d Shared	Services	Unit
Cienerai	Описе апо	i Shared	oet vices	UHHL.

Josh C. Densman, Sr. Financial Analyst for Atmos Energy Corporation's Kentucky/Mid-States Division, is filing testimony in support of the Company's attrition period revenues and billing determinants, and also to support the rate design and proposed rates in this filing.

Thomas H. Petersen, Rates Director – for Atmos Energy Corporation, is presenting testimony supporting rate base items, and the calculation of the revenue deficiency.

Earnest B. Napier, Vice President Technical Services of the Kentucky/Mid-States Division provides testimony regarding the Company's capital expenses.

Jason Schneider, Director of Accounting Services for Atmos Energy Corporation, is filing testimony regarding the historic books and records of the Company and the integrity of the financial information in this case. He also sponsors the Company's Cost Allocation Manual (CAM), which describes the methodology for shared services cost allocations.

Dr. James Vander Weide testifies regarding Atmos Energy's cost of capital and recommends a rate of return that is appropriate to be used in setting rates for Atmos Energy in this proceeding. Dr. Vander Weide also supports the Company's capital structure and cost of debt for use in the proceeding.

Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY CLOSING REMARKS?

- 1 A. Yes. It is my opinion that the rates requested in this filing are just, reasonable,
- and in the public interest and I respectfully request the Authority to provide
- 3 prompt and adequate rate relief.
- 4 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 5 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE: PETITION OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF ADJUSTMENT OF ITS RATES AND REVISED TARIFF)))))) DOCKET NO.			
VERIFICATION				
STATE OF TENNESSEE)				
COUNTY OF WILLIAMSON)				
Regulatory Affairs for Atmos Energy Corporate Atmos Energy Corporation in the above reference	worn, state that I am the Vice President - Rates & tion, that I am authorized to testify on behalf of enced docket, that the Testimony of Patricia J. on's Petition and the Exhibits thereto pre-filed in			
this docket on the date of filing of this Petition	are true and correct to the best of my knowledge,			
information and belief.	Palsicia & Childres Patricia J. Childers			
Sworn and subscribed before me this 18	day of <u>June</u> , 2012. Notary Public			

My Commission Expires: May 3, 2016

Schedule PJC-1

Atmos Energy Tennessee Service Territory

