BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PAUL R. HERBERT

ON BEHALF OF TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. -_____

CONCERNING

COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION

AND

CUSTOMER RATE DESIGN

JUNE 2012

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

RE: TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE I	NO.	
--------	-----	--

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PAUL R. HERBERT

No.		
1	Q.	Please state your name and address.
2	A.	My name is Paul R. Herbert. My business address is 207 Senate Avenue,
3		Camp Hill, Pennsylvania.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed?
5	A.	I am employed by Gannett Fleming, Inc.
6	Q.	Please describe your position with Gannett Fleming, Inc. and briefly
7		state your general duties and responsibilities.
8	A.	I am President of the Valuation and Rate Division. My duties and
9		responsibilities include the preparation of accounting and financial data for
10		revenue requirement and cash working capital claims, the allocation of cost of
11		service to customer classifications, and the design of customer rates in
12		support of public utility rate filings.
13	Q.	Have you presented testimony in rate proceedings before a regulatory
14		agency?
15	A.	Yes. I have testified before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the
16		New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio,
17		the Public Service Commission of West Virginia, the Kentucky Public Service
18		Commission, the Iowa State Utilities Board, the Virginia State Corporation
19		Commission, the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, the California Public

Utilities Commission, New Mexico Public Regulation Commission, the Illinois
Commerce Commission, the Delaware Public Service Commission, the
Arizona Corporation Commission, the Connecticut Department of Public
Utility Control, the Idaho Public Utilities Commission and the Missouri Public
Service Commission concerning revenue requirements, cost of service
allocation, rate design and cash working capital claims. A list of the cases in
which I have testified is provided at the end of my direct testimony.

8 Q. What is your educational background?

11

17

A. I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in Finance from the Pennsylvania State
 University, University Park, Pennsylvania.

Q. Would you please describe your professional affiliations?

A. I am a member of the American Water Works Association and served as a
member of the Management Committee for the Pennsylvania Section. I am
also a member of the Pennsylvania Municipal Authorities Association. In
1998, I became a member of the National Association of Water Companies
as well as a member of its Rates and Revenue Committee.

Q. Briefly describe your work experience.

A. I joined the Valuation Division of Gannett Fleming Corddry and Carpenter,
Inc., predecessor to Gannett Fleming, Inc., in September 1977, as a Junior
Rate Analyst. Since then, I advanced through several positions and was
assigned the position of Manager of Rate Studies on July 1, 1990. On June
1, 1994, I was promoted to Vice President of the Valuation and Rate Division
and on July 1, 2007, I was promoted to my current position as President.

While attending Penn State, I was employed during the summers of 1972, 1973 and 1974 by the United Telephone System - Eastern Group in its accounting department. Upon graduation from college in 1975, I was employed by Herbert Associates, Inc., Consulting Engineers (now Herbert Rowland and Grubic, Inc.), as a field office manager until September 1977.

6 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A.

A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain Tennessee-American Water Company's cost of service allocation study and proposed rate design set forth in Exhibit No. PRH-1.

COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION

Q. Briefly describe the purpose of your cost allocation study.

The purpose of the study was to allocate the total cost of service, which is the total revenue requirement, to the several customer classifications. In the study, the total costs were allocated to the residential, commercial, industrial, public authorities, other water utilities, private fire protection and public fire protection classifications in accordance with generally accepted principles and procedures. The cost of service allocation results in indications of the relative cost responsibilities of each class of customers. The allocated cost of service is one of several criteria appropriate for consideration in designing customer rates to produce the required revenues. The results of my allocation of the pro forma cost of service as of November 30, 2013, and proposed customer rates to produce the pro forma revenue requirement as of that date are presented in the study.

Q. Please describe the method of cost allocation that was used in your study.

A.

A. The base-extra capacity method, as described in 2000 and prior Water Rates Manuals published by the American Water Works Association (AWWA), was used to allocate the pro forma costs. Base-extra capacity is a recognized method for allocating the cost of providing water service to customer classifications in proportion to the classifications' use of the commodity, facilities, and services. It is generally accepted as a sound method for allocating the cost of water service and was used by the Company in the Company's previous studies.

Q. Please describe the procedure followed in the cost allocation study.

Each identified classification of cost in the pro forma cost of service was allocated to the customer classifications through the use of appropriate factors. These allocations are presented in Schedule B on pages 8 through 14. The items of cost, which include operation and maintenance expenses, depreciation expense, taxes and income available for return, are identified in columns 1 and 2 of Schedule B. The cost of each item, shown in column 4, is allocated to the several customer classifications based on allocation factors referenced in column 3. The development of the allocation factors is presented in Schedule C. I will use some of the larger cost items to illustrate the principles and considerations used in the cost allocation methodology. Purchased water, purchased electric power, treatment chemicals and waste disposal are examples of costs that tend to vary with the amount of water consumed and are thus considered base costs. They are allocated to the

several customer classifications in direct proportion to the average daily consumption of those classifications through the use of Factor 1. The development of Factor 1 is shown in Schedule C on page 15.

Other source of supply, water treatment and transmission costs are associated with meeting usage requirements in excess of the average, generally to meet maximum day requirements. Costs of this nature were allocated to customer classifications partially as base costs, proportional to average daily consumption, partially as maximum day extra capacity costs, in proportion to maximum day extra capacity, and, in the case of certain pumping stations and transmission mains, partially as fire protection costs, through the use of Factors 2 and 3. The development of the allocation factors, referenced as Factors 2 and 3, is shown in Schedule C, on pages 16 through 19.

Costs associated with storage facilities and the capital costs of distribution mains were allocated partly on the basis of average consumption and partly on the basis of maximum hour extra demand, including the demand for fire protection service, because these facilities are designed to meet maximum hour and fire demand requirements. The development of the factors, referenced as Factors 4 and 5, used for these allocations is shown in Schedule C, on pages 20 through 24.

Factor 4, used to allocate distribution mains, is based on the same volumes used in Factors 1 through 3 except that the consumption for the larger industrial customers and other water utilities classifications are excluded. This is to recognize that larger industrial and sales for resale

customers are served primarily from larger mains. Factor 5, Allocation of Storage Facilities, uses the same basic methodology as Factor 4, although Factor 1 volumes are used and the fire demand weighting is based on the storage capacity for fire service as compared to the total storage capacity.

Fire demand costs were allocated to public and private fire protection service in proportion to the relative potential demands on the system by public fire hydrants and private service lines as presented in Schedule C on page 19.

Costs associated with pumping facilities and the operation and maintenance of mains were allocated on combined bases of maximum day and maximum hour extra capacity because these facilities serve both functions. For pumping facilities, the relative weightings of Factor 2 (maximum day), Factor 3 (maximum day and fire) and Factor 4 (maximum hour) were based on horsepower of pumps serving maximum day, maximum day and fire and maximum hour functions. The development of this weighted factor, referenced as Factor 6, is presented on page 25.

For operation and maintenance of mains, the relative weightings of Factor 3 (maximum day and fire) and Factor 5 (maximum hour) were based on the footage of transmission and distribution mains. For cost allocation purposes, mains larger than 10-inch were classified as serving a transmission function and mains 10-inch and smaller were classified as serving a distribution function. The development of this weighted factor, referenced as Factor 7, is presented on page 26.

Costs associated with meters were allocated to customer classifications in proportion to the capacity requirements of the sizes and quantities of meters serving each classification. The development of the factor for meters, referenced as Factor 10, is presented on page 26. Factor 11, Allocation of Services, was developed in a similar manner as Factor 10, except that the relative unit cost per foot by service size was used in order to weight the number of services by classification. Costs associated with public fire hydrants were assigned directly to the public fire protection class (Factor 21).

Costs for customer accounting, billing and collecting were allocated on the basis of the number of customers for each classification, and costs for meter reading were allocated on the basis of metered customers. The development of these factors, referenced as Factor 12 and Factor 13, is presented on page 32.

Administrative and general costs were allocated on the basis of allocated direct costs, excluding those costs such as purchased water, power, chemicals and waste disposal which require little administrative and general expense. The development of factors for this allocation, referenced as Factor 14, is presented on page 33.

Annual depreciation accruals were allocated on the basis of the function of the facilities represented by the depreciation expense for each depreciable plant account. The original cost less depreciation of utility plant in service was similarly allocated for the purpose of developing factors,

referenced as Factor 17, for allocating items such as income taxes and return. The development of Factor 17 is presented on pages 34 through 37.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

Factors 14 and 17, as well as Factors 8, 9, 15, 16 and 18, are composite allocation factors. These factors are based on the result of allocating other costs and are computed internally in the cost allocation program. Refer to Schedule C for a description of the bases for each composite allocation factor.

- 8 Q. What was the source of the total cost of service data set forth in 9 column 3 of Schedule B?
- 10 A. The pro forma costs of service were furnished by the Company, and are set forth in various Company exhibits.
- 12 Q. Refer to Schedule B, pages 17 and 21, and explain the source of the 13 system maximum day and maximum hour ratios used in the 14 development of factors referenced as Factors 2, 3 and 4.
 - A. The ratios were based on a review of historic Company data. The maximum day ratio of 1.45 times the average day approximates the ratio of maximum daily send-out experienced by the Company in the last five years. The maximum hour ratio of 1.9 times the average hour was estimated based on the relationship of system maximum hour ratios compared to system maximum day ratios for other similar systems.
- Q. What factors were considered in estimating the maximum day extra capacity and maximum hour extra capacity demands used for the customer classifications in the development of Factors 2, 3 and 4?

A. The estimated demands were based on judgment which considered field studies of actual customer class demands conducted for other American Companies, field observations of the service areas of the Company, field studies of similar service areas, and generally-accepted customer class maximum day and maximum hour demand ratios.

Q. Have you summarized the results of your cost allocation study?

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Yes. The results are summarized in columns 1, 2 and 3 of Schedule A on page 6. Column 2 sets forth the total allocated pro forma cost of service as of November 30, 2013, for each customer classification identified in column 1. Column 3 presents each customer classification's cost responsibility as a percent of the total cost.
- 12 Q. Have you compared these cost responsibilities with the proportionate 13 revenue under existing rates for each customer classification?
 - A. Yes. A comparison of the allocated cost responsibilities and the percentage revenue under existing rates can be made by comparing columns 3 and 5 of Schedule A. A similar comparison of the percentage cost responsibilities (relative cost of service) and the percentage of pro forma revenues (relative revenues) under proposed rates can be made by comparing columns 3 and 7 of Schedule A.

CUSTOMER RATE DESIGN

- Q. What are the appropriate factors to be considered in the design of the rate structure?
- A. In preparing a rate structure, one should consider the allocated costs of service, the impact of radical changes from the present rate structure, the

understandability and ease of application of the rate structure, community and social influences, and the value of service. General guidelines should be developed with management to determine the extent to which each of these criteria is to be incorporated in the rate structure to be designed, inasmuch as the pricing of a commodity or service is a function of management.

Q. Did management discuss rate design guidelines with you?

Α.

A. Yes, they did. The guidelines were to increase service charges and volumetric rates so that the revenue from each class moves toward cost of service. In addition, the Company proposes to merge the Lookout Mountain and Lakeview Tariffs into one Mountain Tariff and begin the process of merging Lone Oak and Suck Creek to the Mountain Tariff.

Q. Does the proposed rate design follow these guidelines?

Yes, it does. The revenues under proposed rates reflects increases by class ranging from 21.9% to 29.9% which move each class closer to the cost of service, with the exception of Other Water Utilities. Revenue from the Other Water Utilities class was increased by 5.4%, due to the competitive sources of water available for this class. Also, merging the mountain service areas into one tariff reflects the similar service characteristics of these areas. The tariffs for Lone Oak and Suck Creek will begin to merge to the Mountain Tariff by adopting the basic blocking structure and consumption rates of the Mountain Tariff. This results in revenues slightly less than revenues under present rates for these two areas. However; the average residential bill for

- Lone Oak and Suck Creek will remain higher than the average bill for Mountain tariff customers.
- Q. Do you propose an alternative blocking structure for the Other WaterUtilities' class?
- A. Yes, I propose a two block structure for this customer class. The first block consists of the first 45,000 CCF of consumption per month and is priced at \$1.15 per CCF for base-load usage. The second block for consumption over 45,000 CCF is priced at \$2.00 per CCF. This blocking structure is to recognize the higher costs required to meet peak demands and to discourage peaking of certain customers in this class during the summer months.
- 12 Q. Have you prepared comparisons of present and proposed rates for 13 each classification and each rate zone?
- A. Yes. Schedule D on pages 43 through 45 of the cost allocation study presents comparisons of the present and proposed rates.
- 16 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.

PAUL R. HERBERT – LIST OF CASES TESTIFIED

	<u>Year</u>	<u>Jurisdiction</u>	Docket No.	Client/Utility	Subject
1. 2. 3.	1983 1989 1991	Pa. PUC Pa. PUC PSC of W. Va.	R-832399 R-891208 91-106-W-MA	T. W. Phillips Gas and Oil Co. Pennsylvania-American Water Company Clarksburg Water Board	Pro Forma Revenues Bill Analysis and Rate Application Revenue Requirements (Rule 42)
4.	1992	Pa. PUC	R-922276	North Penn Gas Company	Cash Working Capital
5.	1992	NJ BPU	WR92050532J	The Atlantic City Sewerage Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
6. 7.	1994 1994	Pa. PUC Pa. PUC	R-943053 R-943124	The York Water Company City of Bethlehem	Cost Allocation and Rate Design Revenue Requirements, Cost Allocation, Rate Design and Cash Working Capital
8.	1994	Pa. PUC	R-943177	Roaring Creek Water Company	Cash Working Capital
9.	1994	Pa. PUC	R-943245	North Penn Gas Company	Cash Working Capital
10. 11.	1994 1995	NJ BPU Pa. PUC	WR94070325 R-953300	The Atlantic City Sewerage Company Citizens Utilities Water Company of Pennsylvania	Cost Allocation and Rate Design Cost Allocation and Rate Design
12.	1995	Pa. PUC	R-953378	Apollo Gas Company	Revenue Requirements and Rate Design
13.	1995	Pa. PUC	R-953379	Carnegie Natural Gas Company	Revenue Requirements and Rate Design
14.	1996	Pa. PUC	R-963619	The York Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
15.	1997	Pa. PUC	R-973972	Consumers Pennsylvania Water Company - Shenango Valley Division	Cash Working Capital
16.	1998	Ohio PUC	98-178-WS-AIR	Citizens Utilities Company of Ohio	Water and Wastewater Cost Allocation and Rate Design
17.	1998	Pa. PUC	R-984375	City of Bethlehem - Bureau of Water	Revenue Requirement, Cost Allocation and Rate Design
18.	1999	Pa. PUC	R-994605	The York Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
19.	1999	Pa. PUC	R-994868	Philadelphia Suburban Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
20.	1999	PSC of W.Va.	99-1570-W-MA	Clarksburg Water Board	Revenue Requirements (Rule 42), Cost Allocation and Rate Design
21.	2000	Ky. PSC	2000-120	Kentucky-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
22.	2000	Pa. PUC	R-00005277	PPL Gas Utilities	Cash Working Capital
23.	2000	NJ BPU	WR00080575	Atlantic City Sewerage Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
24.	2001	la. St Util Bd	RPU-01-4	Iowa-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
25.	2001	Va. St. Corp	PUE010312	Virginia-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
26.	2001	WV PSC	01-0326-W-42T	West-Virginia American Water Company	Cost Allocation And Rate Design
27.	2001	Pa. PUC	R-016114	City of Lancaster	Tapping Fee Study
28.	2001	Pa. PUC	R-016236	The York Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
29.	2001	Pa. PUC	R-016339	Pennsylvania-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
30.	2001	Pa. PUC	R-016750	Philadelphia Suburban Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
31.	2002	Va. St. Corp Cm	PUE-2002-00375	Virginia-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
32. 33.	2003 2003	Pa. PUC Tn Reg. Auth	R-027975 03-	The York Water Company Tennessee-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design Cost Allocation and Rate Design
33. 34.	2003	Pa. PUC	R-038304	Pennsylvania-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
35.	2003	NJ BPU	WR03070511	New Jersey-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
36.	2003	Mo. PSC	WR-2003-0500	Missouri-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
37.	2004	Va. St. Corp Cm	PUE-200 -	Virginia-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
38.	2004	Pa. PUC	R-038805	Pennsylvania Suburban Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
39.	2004	Pa. PUC	R-049165	The York Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
40.	2004	NJ BPU	WRO4091064	The Atlantic City Sewerage Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
41.	2005	WV PSC	04-1024-S-MA	Morgantown Utility Board	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
42.	2005	WV PSC	04-1025-W-MA	Morgantown Utility Board	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
43.	2005	Pa. PUC	R-051030	Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
44.	2006	Pa. PUC	R-051178	T. W. Phillips Gas and Oil Co.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
45. 46.	2006 2006	Pa. PUC NJ BPU	R-061322 WR-06030257	The York Water Company New Jersey American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design Cost Allocation and Rate Design

PAUL R. HERBERT – LIST OF CASES TESTIFIED

	<u>Year</u>	<u>Jurisdiction</u>	Docket No.	Client/Utility	<u>Subject</u>
47.	2006	Pa. PUC	R-061398	PPL Gas Utilities, Inc.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
48.	2006	NM PRC	06-00208-UT	New Mexico American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
49.	2006	Tn Reg Auth	06-00290	Tennessee American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
50.	2007	Ca. PUC	U-339-W	Suburban Water Systems	Water Conservation Rate Design
51.	2007	Ca. PUC	U-168-W	San Jose Water Company	Water Conservation Rate Design
52.	2007	Pa. PUC	R-00072229	Pennsylvania American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
53.	2007	Ky. PSC	2007-00143	Kentucky American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
54.	2007	Mo. PSC	WR-2007-0216	Missouri American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
55.	2007	Oh. PUC	07-1112-WS-AIR	Ohio American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
56.	2007	II. CC	07-0507	Illinois American Water Company	Customer Class Demand Study
57.	2007	Pa. PUC	R-00072711	Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
58.	2007	NJ BPU	WR07110866	The Atlantic City Sewerage Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
59.	2007	Pa. PUC	R-00072492	City of Bethlehem – Bureau of Water	Revenue Reqmts, Cost Alloc.
60.	2007	WV PSC	07-0541-W-MA	Clarksburg Water Board	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
61.	2007	WV PSC	07-0998-W-42T	West Virginia American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
62.	2008	NJ BPU	WR08010020	New Jersey American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
63.	2008	Va St Corp Com	PUE-2008-00009	Virginia American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
64.	2008	Tn. Reg. Auth.	08-00039	Tennessee American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
65.	2008	Mo PSC	WR-2008-0311	Missouri American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
66.	2008	De PSC	08-96	Artesian Water Company, Inc.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
67.	2008	Pa PUC	R-2008-2032689	Penna. American Water Co. – Coatesville Wastewater	-
68.	2008	Az Corp. Com.	W-01303A-08-0227 SW-01303A-08-0227		Cost Allocation and Rate Design
69.	2008	Pa PUC	R-2008-2023067	The York Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
70.	2008	WV PSC	08-0900-W-42T	West Virginia American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
71.	2008	Ky PSC	2008-00250	Frankfort Electric and Water Plant Board	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
72.	2008	Ky PSC	2008-00427	Kentucky American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
73.	2009	Pa PUC	2008-2079660	UGI – Penn Natural Gas	Cost of Service Allocation
74.	2009	Pa PUC	2008-2079675	UGI – Central Penn Gas	Cost of Service Allocation
75.	2009	Pa PUC	2009-2097323	Pennsylvania American Water Co.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
76.	2009	la St Util Bd	RPU-09-	Iowa-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
77.	2009	II CC	09-0319	Illinois-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
78.	2009	Oh PUC	09-391-WS-AIR	Ohio-American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
79.	2009	Pa PUC	R-2009-2132019	Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
80.	S009	Va St Corp Com	PUE-2009-00059	Aqua Virginia, Inc.	Cost Allocation (only)
81.	2009	Mo PSC	WR-2010-0131	Missouri American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
82.	2010	Va St Corp Com	PUE-2010-00001	Virginia American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
83.	2010	Ky PSC	2010-00036	Kentucky American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
84.	2010	NJ BPU	WR10040260	New Jersey American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
85.	2010	Pa PUC	2010-2167797	T.W. Phillips Gas and Oil Co.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
86.	2010	Pa PUC	2010-2166212	Pennsylvania American Water Co Wastewater	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
87.	2010	Pa PUC	R-2010-2157140	The York Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
88.	2010	Ky PSC	2010-00094	Northern Kentucky Water District	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
89.	2010	WV PSC	10-0920-W-42T	West Virginia American Water Co.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
90.	2010	Tn Reg Auth	10-00189	Tennessee American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
91.	2010	Ct Dept PU Cntrl	10-09-08	United Water Connecticut	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
92.	2010	Pa PUC	R-2010-2179103	City of Lancaster-Bureau of Water	Rev Rqmts, Cst Alloc/Rate Dsgn
93.	2011	Pa PUC	R-2010-2214415	UGI Central Penn Gas, Inc.	Cost Allocation
94.	2011	Pa PUC	R-2011-2232359	The Newtown Artesian Water Co.	Revenue Requirement
95.	2011	Pa PUC	R-2011-2232243	Pennsylvania American Water Co.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
96.	2011	Pa PUC	R-2011-2232985	United Water Pennsylvania, Inc.	Demand Study, COS/Rate Dsgn
97.	2011	Pa PUC	R-2011-2244756	City of Bethlehem-Bureau of Water	Rev Rqmts/COS/Rate Design
98.	2011	Mo PSC	WR-2011-0337338	Missouri American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
99.	2011	Oh PUC	11-4161-WS-AIR	Ohio American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design

PAUL R. HERBERT – LIST OF CASES TESTIFIED

	<u>Year</u>	<u>Jurisdiction</u>	Docket No.	Client/Utility	<u>Subject</u>
100.	2011	NJ BPU	WR11070460	New Jersey American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
101.	2011	ld PUC	UWI-W-11-02	United Water Idaho Inc.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
102.	2011	NJ BPU	WR11070460	New Jersey American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
103	2011	II CC		Illinois American Water Company	Cost Allocation and Rate Design
104.	2011	Pa PUC	R-2011-2267958	Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.	Cost Allocation and Rate Design

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

COUNTY OF CUMBERLAND

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the State and County aforesaid, personally came and appeared Paul R. Herbert, being by me first duly sworn deposed and said that:

He is appearing as a witness on behalf of Tennessee-American Water Company before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, and if present before the Authority and duly sworn, his testimony would set forth in the annexed transcript.

Paul R Gulent

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 34 day of May, 2012.

Notary Public

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Notarial Seal

Cheryl Ann Rutter, Notary Public
East Pennsboro Twp., Cumberland County
My Commission Expires Feb. 20, 2015
MEMBER, PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF NOTARIES

TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY Chattanooga, Tennessee

COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATION STUDY

AS OF NOVEMBER 30, 2013

AND

PROPOSED CUSTOMER RATES

GANNETT FLEMING, INC. - VALUATION AND RATE DIVISION

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania



Excellence Delivered As Promised

June 1, 2012

Tennessee American Water Company P.O. Box 6638 Chattanooga, TN 37401

Attention Deron E. Allen, President

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, we have conducted a cost of service allocation study based on pro forma revenue requirements estimated for the test year ended November 30, 2013, and have prepared proposed rate schedules designed to produce the pro forma revenue requirements.

The attached report presents the results of the study, as well as supporting schedules which set forth the detailed cost allocation calculations. Schedule A on page 6 presents a comparison of the cost of service by customer classification with the proforma revenues produced by each classification under present and proposed rates.

Respectfully submitted,

GANNETT FLEMING, INC. Valuation and Rate Division

PAUL R. HERBERT

President

CONSTANCE E. HEPPENSTALL

Rate Analyst

PRH/krm

055500

Gannett Fleming, Inc. Valuation and Rate Division



CONTENTS

PART I. INTRODUCTION

Plan of Report Basis of the Study Allocation Procedures Results of Study Design of Proposed Rates Schedule A. Comparison of Pro Forma Cost of Service with Revenues Under Present and Proposed Rates for the Twelve Months Ended November 30, 2013	2 2 4 4
PART II. COST OF SERVICE BY CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATION	
Schedule B. Allocation of Cost of Service to Customer Classifications for the Twelve Months Ended November 30, 2013	
PART III. PROPOSED CUSTOMER RATES	
Schedule D. Proposed Customer Rates	43

AS OF NOVEMBER 30, 2013 AND PROPOSED CUSTOMER RATES

PART I. INTRODUCTION

PLAN OF REPORT

The report sets forth the results of the cost of service allocation study as of November 30, 2013, prepared for Tennessee American Water Company. Part I, Introduction, contains statements with respect to the basis of the study, the procedures employed, and a summary of the results of the study. Part II, Cost of Service by Customer Classification, presents detailed schedules of the allocation of costs to customer classifications, as well as the bases for the allocations. Part III, Proposed Customer Rates, sets forth the proposed rate structure.

BASIS OF THE STUDY

The purpose of the study was to allocate costs to several customer classifications based on considerations of quantity of water consumed, variability of rate of flow, and costs associated with metering, billing and accounting. The allocation study was based on recognized procedures for allocating the several categories of costs to customer classifications in proportion to each classification's use of the facilities, commodities and services which entail the total cost of providing water service.

ALLOCATION PROCEDURES

The allocation study was based on the Base-Extra Capacity Method for allocating costs to customer classifications. The method is described in the 2000 and prior editions

of the Water Rates Manual, published by the American Water Works Association. The four basic categories of cost responsibility are base, extra capacity, customer and fire protection costs. The following discussions present a brief description of these costs and the manner in which they were allocated.

Base Costs are costs that tend to vary with the quantity of water used, plus costs associated with supplying, treating, pumping and distributing water to customers under average load conditions, without the elements necessary to meet peak demands. Base costs were allocated to customer classifications on the basis of average daily usage.

Extra Capacity Costs are costs associated with meeting usage requirements in excess of the average. They include operating and capital costs for additional plant and system capacity beyond that required for average use. The extra capacity costs in this study are subdivided into costs necessary to meet maximum day extra demand and costs to meet maximum hour extra demand. The extra capacity costs were allocated to customer classifications on the bases of each classification's maximum day and hour usage in excess of average usage. (Extra capacity costs related to fire protection are allocated directly to the fire protection classifications.)

<u>Customer Costs</u> are costs associated with serving customers regardless of their usage or demand characteristics. Customer costs include the operating and capital costs related to meters and services, meter reading costs, and billing and collecting costs. The customer costs were allocated on the bases of the relative cost of meters and services, the number of meter readings and the number of bills.

<u>Fire Protection Costs</u> are costs associated with providing the facilities to meet the potential peak demand of fire protection service. Fire protection costs are subdivided into

costs to meet Public Fire Protection and Private Fire Protection demands. Operating and capital costs for hydrants were assigned directly to Public Fire Protection. The extra capacity costs assigned to fire protection service were allocated to Public and Private Fire Protection on the basis of the total relative demands of the hydrants and fire service lines.

RESULTS OF STUDY

The data summarized in Schedule A, "Comparison of Pro Forma Cost of Service with Revenues Under Present and Proposed Rates for the Twelve Months Ended November 30, 2013," constitute the principal results of the allocation study.

The cost of service by customer classification, shown in column 2 of Schedule A, is developed in Schedule B, "Allocation of Cost of Service to Customer Classifications for the Twelve Months Ended November 30, 2013". The allocation of the total cost of service to the several customer classifications was performed by applying the allocation factors referenced in column 3 to the cost of service by account in column 4. The bases of the allocation factors are presented in Schedule C.

DESIGN OF PROPOSED RATES

The results of the cost of service allocation study were discussed with Company management in order that it be afforded the opportunity of performing its role in the design of proposed rates. The rate design guidelines developed during the discussion were to incease service charges and volumetric rates so that each classification moves approximately toward its cost of service.

In addition, the rates for Lookout Mountain and Lakeview will be merged into the proposed Mountain service area tariff. The tariffs for Lone Oak and Suck Creek will begin

a phase-in to the Mountain Tariff by adopting the same blocking structure and volumetric rates in this case.

The proposed rate structure, as presented in Part III, Proposed Customer Rates, Schedule D, consists of service charges by meter size and volumetric rates by class and service area. The revenue resulting from the proposed rate structure are shown in columns 6 and 7 of Schedule A, and maintain the existing alignment with the cost of service shown in columns 2 and 3.

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

COMPARISON OF PRO FORMA COST OF SERVICE WITH REVENUES UNDER PRESENT AND PROPOSED RATES FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013

	rease	Percent	Increase	(6)	29.9%	26.4%	21.9%	23.9%	5.4%	%0.0	25.2%	11.7%	24.7%
	Proposed Increase		Amount	(8)	\$5,343,526	3,384,491	830,596	750,224	84,294	0	10,393,131	192,938	\$10,586,069
evenues	ed Rates	Percent	of Total	(2)	45.0%	31.4%	%0.6	7.5%	3.2%	3.9%	100.0%		
Pro Forma Revenues	Under Proposed Rates		Amount	(9)	\$23,213,091	16,206,234	4,620,151	3,889,649	1,643,311	2,014,854	51,587,291	1,841,293	\$53,428,584
evenues	nt Rates	Percent	of Total	(2)	43.4%	31.1%	9.2%	7.6%	3.8%	4.9%	100.0%		
Pro Forma Revenues	Under Present Rates		Amount	(4)	\$17,869,565	12,821,743	3,789,555	3,139,425	1,559,017	2,014,854	41,194,160	1,648,355	\$42,842,515
of Service,	- 30, 2013	Percent	of Total	(3)	51.8%	27.1%	8.2%	7.3%	4.0%	1.6%	100.0%		
Pro Forma Cost of Service,	as of November 30, 2013		Amount	(2)	\$26,795,269	13,957,020	4,205,917	3,765,882	2,058,326	805,151	51,587,565	1,841,293	\$53,428,858
		Customer	Classification	(1)	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Other Public Authority	Other Water Utilities	Private Fire Protection	Total Sales of Water	Other Water Revenues	Total

PART II. COST OF SERVICE BY CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATION

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Public Fire Protection (11)	\$0 101 221	363 685	24,978 10,521 0 0 86 86 35,585	0 0 4,533 205 168 102 17 917 260
Private Fire Protection (10)	\$0 57 125	206 388	14,112 5,978 0 0 0 49 	2,575 116 95 58 10 521
Other Water Utilities (9)	\$0 3,234 5,267	10 11,635 20,146	119,666 251,063 0 0 0 1412 371,141	0 108,163 6,559 5,402 3,260 21,886 8,334
Other Public Authority (8)	\$0 2,962 4,289	9 10,656 17,916	125,170 204,437 8,824 1,551 340,413	88,076 6,006 4,947 2,986 17,821 7,632
Industrial (7)	\$0 5,430 9,219	19,534 34,200	205,606 439,480 0 168 708 645,962	0 119,337 11,011 9,069 5,473 927 38,311
Commercial (6)	\$0 9,955 14,426	31 35,813 60,225	420,384 687,674 40,233 10,196 1,448 1,159,935	296,265 20,187 20,187 16,627 10,034 1,700 59,946 25,652
Residential (5)	\$0 11,882 16,613	37 42,744 71,276	501,244 791,925 219,163 81,544 1,595,602	341,178 24,093 19,845 11,976 2,029 69,034
Cost of Service (4)	33,621 50,159	120,950 204,834	1,411,159 2,391,079 268,220 93,460 4,860 4,168,778	0 1,030,128 68,177 56,153 33,888 5,741 208,436
Factor Ref. (3)	7 7 7	7 7	6 1 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	00-000-0
Account Number (1) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	Source of SupplyOperation Operating Labor Operating Expense Purchased WaterMaintenance	Maintenance Labor Materials and Supplies Subtotal Source of Supply	Power and Pumping ExpensesOperation Operating Labor Purchased Power Purchased Power - Lookout Mtn. Purchased Power - Lakeview Materials and Supplies Subtotal Power and Pumping	Water Treatment ExpensesOperation Supervision & Engin. Labor General Labor General Chemicals Electricity, Oil and Gas Misc. Expenses Other Operations Contract Svcs. Rents Waste Disposal - Current Materials and Supplies
Account Number (1) OPERATIO	601.1 601.1 610.1	601.2 620.2	601.1 601.1 615.2 615.3 620.1	601.3 601.3 618.3 620.3 620.3 650.3 650.3

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Account		Factor	Cost				Other Public	Other Water	Private Fire	Public Fire
Number	Account Description	Ref.	of Service	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Authority	Utilities	Protection	Protection
Œ	(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)
	Maintenance									
620.4	Structures & Improvements Materials	7	88,419	31,247	26,181	14,280	7,790	8,506	150	265
635.4	Lab Supplies	2	26,866	9,495	7,955	4,339	2,367	2,585	46	81
635.4	Other Maintenance Contract Services	2	19,769	6,987	5,854	3,193	1,742	1,902	34	59
	Subtotal Water Treatment		1,624,209	546,499	470,401	289,931	139,873	167,149	3,752	6,607
	Transmission & Distribution Expenses									
	Operation									
601.5	T&D Operation Super & Eng	œ	243,480	148,620	65,715	6,890	15,485	1,534	1,899	3,336
601.5	T&D Operation Labor	∞	139,911	85,402	37,762	3,959	8,898	881	1,091	1,917
601.5	Misc Meter Labor	10	345,232	245,666	79,403	4,937	14,914	311	0	0
635.5	Other T & D Oper Contract Services	7	177,717	73,486	61,739	9,881	18,358	2,968	4,105	7,180
650.5	Operations Transportation	œ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
675.5	Electricity, Oil and Gas	œ	17,327	10,576	4,676	490	1,102	109	135	237
675.5	Misc T&D Expenses	œ	53,822	32,853	14,527	1,523	3,423	339	420	737
	Maintenance									
601.6	T&D Supervision & Engin. Labor	О	56,522	25,898	15,973	2,363	4,494	969	1,707	5,392
601.6	T&D Mains Labor	7	662,017	273,744	229,985	36,808	68,386	11,056	15,293	26,745
601.6	T&D Services Labor	=	239,495	187,763	28,524	814	3,281	24	19,088	0
601.6	T&D Meters Labor	10	16,017	11,397	3,684	229	692	14	0	0
601.7	T&D Hydrants Labor	21	94,855	0	0	0	0	0	0	94,855
601.7	Other T&D Plant Labor	თ	3,550	1,627	1,003	148	282	44	107	339
620.6	T&D Paving/Backfill	7	395,976	163,736	137,562	22,016	40,904	6,613	9,147	15,997
620.6	T&D Struct & Imp-Materials	თ	252,947	115,900	71,483	10,573	20,109	3,111	7,639	24,131
635.6	Other T & D Maint Contract Services	თ	2,550	1,168	721	107	203	31	77	243
650.6	Maintenance Transportation	თ	3,378	1,548	955	141	269	42	102	322
675.6	Misc. Maintenance Meters	10	33,973	24,175	7,814	486	1,468	31	0	0
675.6	Other T&D Plant Materials	6	15,502	7,103	4,381	648	1,232	191	468	1,479
	Subtotal Transmission & Distribution	•	2,754,270	1,410,662	765,907	102,013	203,500	27,994	61,278	182,910

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Account		Factor	Cost				Other Public	Other Water	Private Fire	Public Fire
Number	Account Description	Ref.	of Service	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Authority	Utilities	Protection	Protection
Ξ	(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	<u>(</u>	(8)	6)	(10)	(11)
	Customer Accounting Expenses									
601.7	Meter Reading Labor	13	430,360	377,898	47,383	861	4,174	43	0	0
620.7	Misc Customer Expenses	12	5,127	4,421	554	10	49	_	35	_
632.7	Contract Services	12	13,585	11,713	1,469	27	129	_	245	_
635.7	Other Contract Services	12	344,533	297,056	37,244	689	3,273	34	6,202	34
642.7	Rents	12	452	330	49	•	4	0	8	0
670.7	Uncollectible Accounts	23	461,142	423,928	37,214	0	0	0	0	0
675.7	Customer Acctg-Billing/Telephone	12	8,070	6,958	872	16	77	_	145	_
675.8	Customer Acctg-Billing/Postage	12	364,505	314,276	39,403	729	3,463	36	6,561	36
675.7	Forms	12	125,541	108,241	13,571	251	1,193	13	2,260	13
675.7	Misc Customer Services	12		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Subtotal Customer Accounting	,	1,753,316	1,544,881	177,759	2,584	12,362	129	15,513	86
	Administrative and General Expenses									
601.8	Adm & General Salaries	14	1,907,124	1,076,762	450,081	117,479	114,809	59,121	27,081	61,791
604.8	Employee Pensions & Benefits	15	3,503,387	1,853,642	870,942	239,982	227,720	121,918	50,799	138,384
604.9	401K Contributions Expense	15	89,881	47,556	22,344	6,157	5,842	3,128	1,303	3,550
632.8	Auditing Services	14	62,113	32,069	14,659	3,826	3,739	1,926	882	2,012
633.8	Legal Services	7	240,307	135,678	56,712	14,803	14,466	7,450	3,412	7,786
6348.0	Management Fees - Water Quality	-	138,656	45,923	39,877	25,485	11,855	14,559	347	610
6348.0	Management Fees - Cust. Billing/Service	12	1,141,484	984,188	123,394	2,283	10,844	114	20,547	114
6348.0	Management Fees - Administration	14	4,292,698	2,423,657	1,013,077	264,430	258,420	133,074	956'09	139,083
6348.0	Management Fees - Empl. Service	15	243,728	128,957	60,591	16,695	15,842	8,482	3,534	9,627
6358.0	Contract Services - Other	4	61,057	34,473	14,409	3,761	3,676	1,893	867	1,978
641.8	Rental - Real Property	14	1,246	704	294	77	75	39	18	40
642.8	Rental - Equipment	14	935	528	221	28	99	29	13	30
650.8	Transportation	1	359,914	203,207	84,940	22,171	21,667	11,157	5,111	11,661
657.8	General Liability	4	222,102	125,399	52,416	13,681	13,371	6,885	3,154	7,196
658.8	Workmens Comp Premium Exp	15	109,728	58,057	27,278	7,516	7,132	3,819	1,591	4,334
659.8	Insurance Other	14	33,227	18,760	7,842	2,047	2,000	1,030	472	1,077
8.099	Advertising Exp	14	27,011	15,250	6,375	1,664	1,626	837	384	875
8.999	Regulatory Commission Expenses	18	626,950	311,594	162,317	48,902	43,761	25,015	9,780	25,580

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

ALLOCATION OF COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013

							Other		Private	Public
Account		Factor	Cost				Public		Fire	Fire
Number	Account Description	Ref.	of Service	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Authority		Protection	Protection
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)
675.8	Expenses Of Employees	15	19,475	10,304	4,842	1,334	1,266		282	692
675.8	Misc. General Expense	4	490,116	276,719	115,667	30,191	29,505		096'9	15,880
675.8	Misc. Maintenace and M&S	14	77,199	43,586	18,219	4,755	4,647		1,096	2,501
675.8	Miscellaneous General Exp	14	159,595	90,107	37,664	9,831	9,608		2,266	5,171
675.8	Security Service	14	123,946	69,980	29,251	7,635	7,462		1,760	4,016
657.8	AFUDC Adjustment	14				1	ı		1	ı
	Subtotal Administrative and General		13,931,880	7,990,100	3,213,412	844,763	809,389	427,530	202,615	444,065
	Total Operation & Maintenance Expenses	es	24,437,287	13,159,020	5,847,639	1,919,453	1,523,453	1,014,089	303,685	669,938

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Account	Account Description	Factor Ref.	Cost of Service	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Other Public Authority	Other Water Utilities	Private Fire Protection	Public Fire Protection
(1)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)
DEPREC	<u>DEPRECIATION EXPENSE</u>			0						
339.70	<u>Intangible</u> Comprehensive Planning Study	4	29,415	16,608	6,942	1,812	1,771	912	418	953
304.10	0) 0) -	7 0	1,582	559	469	256	139	152	ი 1	יט (
306.00 306.00 309.00	Lakes, Kivers, & Other Intakes Other P/E SS Supply Mains	7 7 7	4,060 399 11,292	1,435 141 3,990	1,202 118 3,343	656 64 1,824	358 35 995	391 38 1,086	r - 1	12 1 34
304.20	Pumping Equipment Pumping Structures Lookout Mountain Tariff Lakeview Tariff	6 19 20	103,868 475 49	36,894 388 43	30,942 71 5	15,134 0 0	9,213 16	8,808	1,039	1,838 0 0
310.00	Pow	9 9 6 6	44,622 126,514 7,511	15,850 44,938 6,137	13,293 37,688 1,127	6,501 18,433 0	3,958 11,222 247	3,784 10,728 0	446 1,265 0	790 2,239 0
311.20 311.50	Lakeview Tariff Diesel Pumping Equipment Other Pumping Equipment	07	2,302 2,982 20,814	2,008 1,059 7,393	251 888 6,201	435 3,033	38 265 1,846	0 253 1,765	30 208 208	53 368
320.20 320.20 320.20	Water Treatment Water Treatment Structures Water Treatment Equipment Granular Activated Carbon	000	424,736 161,874 742,316	150,102 57,206 262,334	125,764 47,931 219,800	68,595 26,143 119,884	37,419 14,261 65,398	40,860 15,572 71,411	722 275 1,262	1,274 486 2,227
304.40	Transmission & Distribution T & D Structures T & D Reservoirs & Standpipes Lookout Mountain Tariff Lakeview Tariff	7 19 20	32,940 135,559 4,185 0	13,621 46,090 3,420 0	11,443 38,648 628 0	1,831 19,859 0	3,403 11,495 138 0	550 11,346 0	761 2,942 0	1,331 5,178 0 0
330.00	& ⊢	5 19 20	652,950 0 17,950	222,003 0 15,662	186,156 0 1,958	95,657 0 32	55,370 0 298	54,652 0	14,169	24,943 0 0

		Ĺ	į				Other	0.15	Private	Public
Number	Account Description	Ref.	of Service	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Authority	Other water Utilities	Protection	Protection
(£)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)
330.10	Elevated Tanks & Standpipes	22	58,917	20,032	16,797	8,631	4,996	4,931	1,278	2,251
330.20	Ground Level Facilities	5	699	227	191	98	22	56	15	26
330.30	Below Ground Tanks	5	511	174	146	75	43	43	1	20
330.40	Clearwells	7	11,233	3,970	3,326	1,814	066	1,081	19	34
331.00	T & D Mains not Classified	4	145,373	62,249	52,320	4,870	15,569	0	3,765	009'9
331.00	T & D Mains - Mains (4" or less)	4	73,637	31,532	26,502	2,467	7,887	0	1,907	3,343
331.00	T & D Mains - Mains (6" - 8")	4	18	8	7	Ψ-	2	0	0	_
331.00	T & D Mains - Mains (6" - 10") TN	4	928'099	282,979	237,842	22,139	70,778	0	17,116	30,003
331.00	T & D Mains - Mains (10" - 16")	က	122,703	42,357	35,498	19,363	10,552	11,546	1,227	2,160
331.00	T & D Mains - Mains (12" or More)	က	329,606	124,136	104,034	56,746	30,926	33,839	3,596	6,329
331.00	T & D Mains - Mains (18" or Greater)	က	8,150	2,813	2,358	1,286	701	191	81	143
333.00	Services	÷	243,150	190,629	28,959	827	3,331	24	19,379	0
334.10	Meters	10	448,634	319,248	103,186	6,415	19,381	404	0	0
334.10	Meters-Metal Case/Old Style	10	324,358	230,813	74,602	4,638	14,012	292	0	0
334.10	Meters - Plastic Case	9	617	439	142	თ	27	~	0	0
334.10	Meters - Metal Case/New Style	9	1,295	921	298	19	56	_	0	0
334.20	Meter Installations	10	321,110	228,502	73,855	4,592	13,872	289	0	0
335.00	Hydrants	21	180,587	0	0	0	0	0	0	180,587
	General Plant									
304.50	Structures and Imp. General	14	8,164	4,609	1,927	503	491	253	116	265
304.60	Office Structures	14	10,059	5,679	2,374	620	909	312	143	326
304.70	Stores, Shop, & Garage Structures	4	2,282	1,289	539	141	137	71	32	74
304.80	Structures and Imp. Misc.	14	3,209	1,812	757	198	193	66	46	104
340.10	Office Furniture	14	6,818	3,849	1,609	420	410	211	26	221
340,20	Computer & Peripheral Equipment	14	6,802	3,840	1,605	419	409	211	6	220
340,20	Computer and Mainframe Equipment	14	14,173	8,002	3,345	873	853	439	201	459
340.20	Computer & Periph Personal	14	11,669	6,588	2,754	719	702	362	166	378
340.20	Computer & Periph Other	14	8,908	5,031	2,102	549	536	276	126	289
340.30	Computer Software	14	10,510	5,935	2,480	647	633	326	149	341
340.30	Computer Software Mainframe	4	64,880	36,631	15,312	3,997	3,906	2,011	921	2,102
340.30	Computer Software	4 (504,865	285,048	119,148	31,100	30,393	15,651	7,169	16,358
340.30	Computer Software	12	570,605	190,206	23,847	441	2,096	22	3,971	22
340.30	Computer Software Personal	7 ;	3,131	1,768	739	193	189	97	44	101
340.30	Computer Software Other	4.	797	14/	19	٦ ا	91 :	∞ (4 ;	∞ ;
340.40	Data Handling Equipment	4:	755	426	178	47	45	53	11	24
340.50	Other Office Equipment	14	440	249	104	27	27	14	9 .	14
341.10	Light Trucks	14	187,375	105,792	44,221	11,542	11,280	5,809	2,661	6,071
341.20	Heavy Irucks	4 :	304,288	171,801	71,812	18,744	18,318	9,433	4,321	9,859
341.30	Automobiles	7 ;	51,005	28,798	12,037	3,142	3,071	1,581	724	1,653
341.40	I ransportation-Uther	4 ;	33,036	18,652	181'1	2,035	1,989	470,T	469	0/0,1
342.00	Stores Equipment	7 :	1,736	086	410	107	104	54	25	96
343.00	Tools, Shop, & Garage Equipment	4	91,584	51,708	21,614	5,642	5,513	2,839	1,300	2,967
344.00	Laboratory Equipment	2	3,995	1,412	1,183	645	352	384	7	12
345.00	Power Operated Equipment	14	20,180	11,394	4,762	1,243	1,215	929	287	654

	Factor		:	:		Other Public	Other Water	Private Fire	Public Fire
Number Account Description	Ket.	0	Kesidential	Commercial	Industrial	Authority	Otilities	Protection	Protection
	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	E	(8)	6)	(10)	(11)
	elephone' 14	14,783	8,347	3,489	911	890	458	210	479
346.19 Remote Control Equipment	14	7.366	4,159	1.738	454	443	228	105	239
346.20 Communication Equipment-Telephone		3 170	1 790	748	195	191	86	45	103
		60 683	20.77	16 446	000	10.1	2 160	2	0010
	† *	09,003	0.00,040	0,440	4,632	4,135	2,100	066	6,230
	<u>+</u>	107	741	60	C .		0	4	0
Total Depreciation Expense	ıse	7,155,805	3,454,337	1,860,127	604,020	500,284	320,670	96,408	319,964
AMORTIZATIONS									
675.10 Amortization Cost of Removal	16	19,790	9.060	5,585	1.356	1.559	623	427	1.180
680.00 Amortization of Regulatory Asset	16	1,650	755	466	113	130	52	36	86
		519	238	146	36	4	16	Ξ	31
680.52 Amortization of Utility Capital Lease	se 14	7,348	4,148	1,734	453	442	228	104	238
Total Depreciation & Amortization	ion	7,185,111	3,468,538	1,868,058	605,978	502,456	321,589	986'96	321,511
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES									
	16	3,473,602	1,590,215	980,250	237,942	273,720	109,418	75,030	207,027
	15	6,353	3,362	1,579	435	413	221	92	251
	15	466,753	246,959	116,035	31,973	30,339	16,243	6,768	18,437
	15	15,485	8,193	3,850	1,061	1,007	539	225	612
	18	432,068	214,738	111,862	33,701	30,158	17,239	6,740	17,628
	18	139,736	69,449	36,178	10,899	9,754	5,575	2,180	5,701
685,44 Gross Receipts Tax	18	819,652	407,367	212,208	63,933	57,212	32,704	12,787	33,442
Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	Taxes	5,353,649	2,540,283	1,461,962	379,944	402,603	181,939	103,822	283,098
SHXAT HMCCNI									
409.15 Federal and State Income Taxes	17	5,274,805	2,432,212	1,465,341	391,391	407,215	189,893	106,024	282,730
Total Income Taxes		5,274,805	2,432,212	1,465,341	391,391	407,215	189,893	106,024	282,730
UTILITY OPERATING INCOME	17	11,178,007	5,154,181	3,105,250	829,408	862,942	402,408	224,678	599,141
To the state of th		000	100	11 07	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		000	1	
oral cost of service		55,420,050	20,734,234	13,746,250	4,120,174	3,098,009	2,109,918	835,195	2,156,418
Less:	0,7	(4.004.640)	(040	(007 700)	001	2.00	for the	200	000
Officer Pees Billing Services	12	(549,644)	(473,903)	(59,417)	(100,749)	(5,222)	(55)	(9,894)	(52,039)
of Leader of Contract Contract	9 100	7 0 0 0 1	000	0.00	000		0	1	0000
lotal cost of service Related to sales	o sales	51,587,565	25,638,382	13,354,425	4,024,326	3,603,290	2,058,326	805,151	2,103,664
Allocation of Public Fire			1,156,887	602,595	181,591	162,592	0	0	(2,103,664)
Total		51,587,565	\$26,795,269	\$13,957,020	\$4,205,917	\$3,765,882	\$2,058,326	\$805,151	\$0

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS

FACTOR 1. ALLOCATION OF COSTS WHICH VARY WITH THE AMOUNT OF WATER CONSUMED

Factors are based on the pro forma test year average daily consumption for each customer classification.

	Average Daily	
Customer	Consumption,	Allocation
Classification	100 Cu. Ft.	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	12,021	0.3312
Commercial	10,442	0.2876
Industrial	6,673	0.1838
Other Public Authority	3,105	0.0855
Other Water Utilities	3,813	0.1050
Private Fire Protection	90	0.0025
Public Fire Protection	<u> 158</u>	0.0044
Total	36,302	1.0000

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 2. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH FACILITIES SERVING BASE AND MAXIMUM DAY EXTRA CAPACITY FUNCTIONS.

Factors are based on the weighting of the factors for average daily consumption

(Factor 1) and the factors derived from maximum day extra capacity demand for each customer classification, as follows:

	Averag	ge Daily	Maxim	um Day	
	Consu	ımption	Extra C	apacity	
Customer	Allocation	Weighted	Allocation	Weighted	Allocation
Classification	Factor 1	Factor	Factor	Factor	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)=(2)x	(4)	(5)=(4)x	$\overline{(6)=(3)+(5)}$
		0.6897		0.3103	
Residential	0.3312	0.2284	0.4028	0.1250	0.3534
Commercial	0.2876	0.1984	0.3150	0.0977	0.2961
Industrial	0.1838	0.1268	0.1118	0.0347	0.1615
Other Public Authority	0.0855	0.0590	0.0937	0.0291	0.0881
Other Water Utilities	0.1050	0.0724	0.0767	0.0238	0.0962
Private Fire Protection	0.0025	0.0017			0.0017
Public Fire Protection	0.0044	0.0030			0.0030
Total	1.0000	0.6897	1.0000	0.3103	1.0000

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 2. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH FACILITIES SERVING BASE AND MAXIMUM DAY EXTRA CAPACITY FUNCTIONS, cont.

			um Day Capacity	
	Average Daily		Rate of Flow,	
Customer	Consumption,		100 Cu. Ft.	Allocation
Classification	100 Cu. Ft.	Factor*	Per Day	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)	$\overline{(4)=(2)\times(3)}$	(5)
Residential	12,021	1.0	12,021	0.4028
Commercial	10,442	0.9	9,398	0.3150
Industrial	6,673	0.5	3,337	0.1118
Other Public Authority	3,105	0.9	2,795	0.0937
Other Water Utilities	3,813	0.6	2,288	0.0767
Subtotal	36,054		29,838	1.0000

The weighting of the factors is based on the maximum day ratio of 1.45, based on a review of maximum day ratios experienced during the period 1995 through 2011.

	Maximum Day Ratio	Weight
Average Day Maximum Day	1.00	0.6897
Extra Capacity	0.45	0.3103
Total	1.45	1.0000

^{*} Ratio of maximum day to average day minus 1.0.

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 3. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH FACILITIES SERVING BASE, MAXIMUM DAY AND FIRE SERVICE

Factors are based on the weighting of the factors for average daily consumption (Factor 1), the factors derived from maximum day extra capacity demand and the fire protection demand by each customer classification, as follows:

		Allocation	Factor	(8)=(3)+(5)+(7)		0.3452	0.2893	0.1578	0.0860	0.0941	0.0100	0.0176	1.0000
	stection	Weighted	Factor	(7)=(5)x	0.0229						0.0083	0.0146	0.0229
	Fire Pro	Allocation Weigh	Factor	(9)							0.3633	0.6367	1.0000
Maximum Day	Extra Capacity	Weighted	Factor	(5)=(4)x	0.3032	0.1221	0.0955	0.0339	0.0284	0.0233			0.3032
	Extra (Allocation		(4)		0.4028	0.3150	0.1118	0.0937	0.0767		****	1.0000
e Daily	mption	Weighted	Factor	(3)=(2)x	0.6739	0.2231	0.1938	0.1239	0.0576	0.0708	0.0017	0.0030	0.6739
Average Daily	Consumption	Allocation	Factor 1	(2)		0.3312	0.2876	0.1838	0.0855	0.1050	0.0025	0.0044	1.0000
		Customer	Classification	(1)		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Other Public Authority	Other Water Utilities	Private Fire Protection	Public Fire Protection	Subtotal

The weighting of the factors is based on the maximum day ratio of 1.45 and the system demand for fire protection, as shown below. The fire protection factors in column 6 are developed on the following page.

Weight	0.6739 0.3032 0.9771	0.0229	1.0000
System Delivery, GPD	37,058,015 16,676,107 53,734,122	1,260,000	54,994,122
Maximum Day Ratio	1.00 0.45 1.45		
	Average Day Maximum Day Extra Capacity Subtotal	Fire Protection	Total

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

BASIS FOR ALLOCATION OF DEMAND-RELATED COSTS OF FIRE SERVICE TO PRIVATE AND PUBLIC FIRE PROTECTION

Description(1)	Restrictive Diameter(s) Squared (2)	Number of Units (3)	Relative Demand (4)=(2)X(3)	Allocation Factor (5)
Private Fire Protection 1 -Inch Fire Line 1.5 -Inch Fire Line 2 -Inch Fire Line 2.5 -Inch Fire Line 3 -Inch Fire Line 4 -Inch Fire Line 6 -Inch Fire Line 8 -Inch Fire Line 10 -Inch Fire Line 11 -Inch Fire Line	1 2.25 4 6.25 9 16 36 64 100 144	0 1 18 1 2 117 896 318 15	0 2 73 6 18 1,869 32,250 20,377 1,498 2,745	
Total Private Fire Protection		1,387	58,839	0.3633
Public Fire Protection				
4-1/2 inch, 3 way	20.25	5,092	103,113	
Total Public Fire Protection		5,092	103,113	0.6367
Total Fire Protection Service		6,479	161,952	1.0000

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 4. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH FACILITIES SERVING BASE, MAXIMUM HOUR AND FIRE SERVICE FUNCTIONS.

Factors are based on the weighting of the factors for the adjusted average hour consumption, the factors derived from maximum hour extra capacity demand and the fire protection demand by each customer classification, as follows:

	Allocation	Factor	(8)=(3)+(5)+(7)		0.4282	0.3599	0.0335	0.1071	0.000.0	0.0259	0.0454	1.0000
Fire Protection	Weighted	Factor	x(9)=(2)	0.0668						0.0243	0.0425	0.0668
	Allocation	Factor	(9)							0.3633	0.6367	1.0000
Maximum Hour Extra Capacity	Weighted	Factor	(5)=(4)x	0.4420	0.2096	0.1700	0.0118	0.0506	0.000			0.4420
	Allocation	Factor	(4)		0.4744	0.3846	0.0266	0.1144	0.000			1.0000
Average Hour Consumption	Weighted	Factor	(3)=(2)x	0.4912	0.2186	0.1899	0.0217	0.0565	0.0000	0.0016	0.0029	0.4912
Average	Allocation	Factor	(2)		0.4450	0.3867	0.0441	0.1150	0.000	0.0033	0.0059	1.0000
	Customer	Classification	(1)		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Other Public Authority	Other Water Utilities	Private Fire Protection	Public Fire Protection	Subtotal

The weighting of the factors and the maximum hour extra capacity factors in column 4 are shown on the following

page.

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 4. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH FACILITIES SERVING BASE, MAXIMUM HOUR AND FIRE SERVICE FUNCTIONS.

			imum Hour	
		Extr	a Capacity	
	Average Hour		Rate of Flow,	
Customer	Consumption,		100 Cu. Ft.	Allocation
Classification	100 Cu. Ft.	Factor*	Per Hour	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)=(2)x(3)	(5)
Residential	501	3.0	1,503	0.4744
Commercial	435	2.8	1,218	0.3846
Industrial	50	1.7	84	0.0266
Other Public Authority	129	2.8	362	0.1144
Other Water Utilities	0	1.7	0	0.0000
Total	1,115		3,168	1.0000

The weighting of the factors is based on the maximum hour ratio of 1.90 and the system demand for fire protection, as follows:

	Maximum Hour Ratio	System Delivery, GPM	Weight
Average Hour Maximum Hour Extra Capacity Subtotal	1.0 0.9 1.9	25,735 23,162 48,897	0.4912 0.4420 0.9332
Fire Protection		3,500	0.0668
Total	:	52,397	1.0000

^{*} Ratio of maximum hour to average day minus 1.0.

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 5. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH STORAGE FACILITIES.

Factors are based on the weighting of the factors for average hour consumption (Factor 1), the factors derived from maximum hour extra capacity demand and the fire protection demand by each customer classification, as follows:

	Allocation	0.3400	0.2851	0.1465	0.0848	0.0837	0.0217	0.0382	1.0000
Fire Protection	Weighted Factor (7)=(6)x	0.000					0.0205	0.0360	0.0565
	Allocation Factor (6)						0.3633	0.6367	1.0000
Maximum Hour Extra Capacity	Weighted Factor (5)=(4)x	0.4469	0.1423	0.0552	0.0423	0.0316			0.4469
	Allocation Factor (4)	0.3928	0.3184	0.1235	0.0947	0.0706			1.0000
ye Hour Imption	Weighted Factor (3)=(2)x	0.1645	0.1428	0.0913	0.0425	0.0521	0.0012	0.0022	0.4966
Average	Allocation Factor 1 (2)	0.3312	0.2876	0.1838	0.0855	0.1050	0.0025	0.0044	1.0000
	Customer Classification (1)	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Other Public Authority	Other Water Utilities	Private Fire Protection	Public Fire Protection	Subtotal

The weighting of the factors and the maximum hour extra capacity factors in column 4 are shown on the following

page.

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 5. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH STORAGE FACILITIES.

Maximum Hour Extra Capacity Average Hour Rate of Flow, Customer Consumption, 100 Cu. Ft. Allocation Classification 100 Cu. Ft. Per Hour Factor Factor* (1) (2) (3) (4)=(2)x(3)(5) Residential 501 3.0 1,503 0.3928 Commercial 435 2.8 1,218 0.3184 Industrial 278 1.7 473 0.1235 Other Public Authority 129 2.8 362 0.0947 Other Water Utilities 159 1.7 270 0.0706 Total 1,502 3,826 1.0000

^{*} Ratio of maximum hour to average day minus 1.0.

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 5. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH STORAGE FACILITIES.

The weighting of the factors is based on the ratio of the capacity required for a 6-hour demand of fire flow, as related to total storage capacity.

Fire Protection Weight =	3,500 GPM x 60 min. x 22,311,000 Gallons Storage	6 Hours	=	0.0565
General Service Weight =	1 -	0.0565	=	0.9435

The weighting of the average hourly consumption and maximum hour extra demand for general service is based on the maximum hour ratio, as follows.

	Maximum Hour Ratio	Percent	Weight
Average Hour	1.0	52.63	0.4966
Extra Capacity Maximum Hour	0.9	47.37	0.4469
Total	1.9	100.00	0.9435

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 6. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH POWER AND PUMPING FACILITIES.

Factors are based on the weighting of the factors for maximum daily demand (Factor 2), maximum day extra capacity and fire demand (Factor 3) and maximum hour extra capacity and fire demand (Factor 4) for each customer classification.

	Allocation	Factor	(8)=(3)+(5)+(7)		0.3552	0.2979	0.1457	0.0887	0.0848	0.0100	0.0177	1.0000
n Hour ity and Fire	Weighted	Factor	(7)=(5)x	0.1032	0.0441	0.0371	0.0035	0.0111	ı	0.0027	0.0047	0.1032
Maximum Hour Extra Capacity and Fire	Allocation	Factor 4	(9)		0.4282	0.3599	0.0335	0.1071	ì	0.0259	0.0454	1.0000
Maximum Day Extra Capacity and Fire	Weighted	Factor	(5)=(4)x	0.7041	0.2430	0.2037	0.1111	0.0606	0.0663	0.0070	0.0124	0.7041
	Allocation	Factor 3	(4)		0.3452	0.2893	0.1578	0.0860	0.0941	0.0100	0.0176	1.0000
ım Day apacity	Weighted	Factor	(3)=(2)x	0.1927	0.0681	0.0571	0.0311	0.0170	0.0185	0.0003	0.0006	0.1927
Maximum Day Extra Capacity	Allocation	Factor 2	(2)		0.3534	0.2961	0.1615	0.0881	0.0962	0.0017	0.0030	1.0000
	Customer	Classification	(1)		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Other Public Authority	Other Water Utilities	Private Fire Protection	Public Fire Protection	Subtotal

The weighting of the factors is based on an analysis of pumping equipment by function, as follows:

Weight	0.1927 0.7041 0.1032	1.0000
Horse Power of Pumps	2,700 9,865 1,446	14,011
¥ ~	Maximum Day Extra Capacity Maximum Day Extra Capacity and Fire Maximum Hour Extra Capacity and Fire	Total

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 7. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION MAINS.

Factors are based on the weighting of the factors for maximum day extra capacity and fire demand (Factor 3) and maximum hour extra capacity and fire demand (Factor 4) for each customer classification, as follows:

	Maximum Day		Maximu	ım Hour	
	Extra Capa	city and Fire	Extra Capa	city and Fire	
Customer	Allocation	Weighted	Allocation	Weighted	Allocation
Classification	Factor 3	Factor	Factor 4	Factor	Factor
(1)	(2)	$\overline{(3)=(2)x}$	(4)	(5)=(4)x	$\overline{(6)=(3)+(5)}$
		0.1779		0.8221	
Residential	0.3452	0.0614	0.4282	0.35	0.4135
Commercial	0.2893	0.0515	0.3599	0.2959	0.3474
Industrial	0.1578	0.0281	0.0335	0.0275	0.0556
Other Public Authority	0.0860	0.0153	0.1071	0.0880	0.1033
Other Water Utilities	0.0941	0.0167	-	-	0.0167
Private Fire Protection	0.0100	0.0018	0.0259	0.0213	0.0231
Public Fire Protection	0.0176	0.0031	0.0454	0.0373	0.0404
Total	1.0000	0.1779	1.0000	0.8221	1.0000

The weighting of the factors is based on the footage of transmission and distribution mains as set forth below:

	Footage of Mains	Weight
Transmission Mains	1,215,175	0.1779
Distribution Mains	5,616,906	0.8221
Total	6,832,081	1.0000

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 8. ALLOCATION OF TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS AND SUPERVISION EXPENSES.

Factors are based on the allocation of transmission and distribution operation labor, as follows:

	Transmission	
	& Distribution	
Customer	Operating	Allocation
Classification	Labor	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	\$319,152	0.6104
Commercial	141,142	0.2699
Industrial	14,818	0.0283
Other Public Authority	33,272	0.0636
Other Water Utilities	3,279	0.0063
Private Fire Protection	4,105	0.0078
Public Fire Protection	7,180	0.0137
Total	\$522,948	1.0000

FACTOR 9. ALLOCATION OF TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION MAINTENANCE SUPERVISION EXPENSES.

Factors are based on the allocation of transmission and distribution maintenance labor, as follows:

	Transmission	
	& Distribution	
Customer	Maintenance	Allocation
Classification	Labor	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	\$660,815	0.4582
Commercial	407,569	0.2826
Industrial	60,353	0.0418
Other Public Authority	114,731	0.0795
Other Water Utilities	17,738	0.0123
Private Fire Protection	43,528	0.0302
Public Fire Protection	137,597	0.0954
Total	\$1,442,331	1.0000

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 10. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH METERS.

Factors are based on the relative cost of meters by size as developed on the following page and summarized below:

Customer Classification (1)	Meter <u>Equivalents</u> (2)	Allocation Factor (3)
Residential	66,906	0.7116
Commercial	21,627	0.2300
Industrial	1,348	0.0143
Other Public Authority	4,061	0.0432
Other Water Utilities	83	0.0009
Subtotal	94,025	1.0000

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont. BASIS FOR ALLOCATING METER COSTS TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS

-	Weighting 13)=(2)x(12)	71,275	411	4,735	2,320	11,696	750	1,625	1,050	160	94,022
Total	Number of Meters (12) (1	71,275	274	1,894	464	1,462	. 20	, 65	21	_ 2	75,507
Other Water Utilities	Weighting (12)=(2)x(11)	,	•	,	,	ω	•	75	•		83
Other Wa	Number of Meters (11)	,	1		•	~	•	е	•	R.	4
ic Authority	Weighting (10)=(2)x(9)	183	20	348	355	2,360	120	525	150		4,061
Other Public Authority	Number of Meters (9)	183	13	139	7.1	295	8	21	ო	,	733
strial	Weighting (8)=(2)x(7)	22	ю	53	30	640	ı	400	200		1,348
Industrial	Number of Meters (7)	22	7	21	ω	80	ı	16	4	1	151
nercial	Weighting (6)=(2)x(5)	5,191	197	3,658	1,850	8,616	630	625	200	160	21,627
Commercial	Number of Meters (5)	5,191	131	1,463	370	1,077	42	25	4	2	8,315
ential	Weighting (4)=(2)x(3)	65,879	192	678	85	72	ŧ	٠	ŧ		906'99
Residential	Mete (3)	62,879	128	271	17	Ø	,	1	•	,	66,304
	5/8" N Equivalent (2)	1.0	1.5	2.5	5.0	8.0	15.0	25.0	9.09	80.0	
	Meter Size (1)	5/8-inch	3/4-inch	1-inch	1-1/2-inch	2-inch	3-inch	4-inch	6-inch	8-inch	Total

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 11. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH SERVICES.

Factors are based on the relative cost of services by size as developed on the following page and summarized below:

Customer Classification (1)	Service <u>Equivalents</u> (2)	Allocation Factor (3)
Residential	66,377	0.7840
Commercial	10,083	0.1191
Industrial	282	0.0034
Other Public Authority	1,163	0.0137
Other Water Utilities	11	0.0001
Private Fire Protection	6,751	0.0797
Subtotal	84,667	1.0000

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, CONT. BASIS FOR ALLOCATING SERVICES COSTS TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS

g.	Weighting (16)=(2)x(15)	71,549	2,273	744	2,963	140	527	3,851	2,243	142	233	84,665
Total	Number of Services (15)	71,549	1,894	465	1,481	52	182	917	320	15	19	76,894
e Service	Weighting (14)=(2)x(13)	0	0	7	39	\$	339	3,762	2,229	142	233	6,751
Private Fire Service	Number of Services (13)	0	0	₩	9	2	117	896	318	15	19	1,387
Other Water Utilities	Weighting (12)=(2)x(11)	0	0	0	7	0	თ	0	0	0	0	1
Other Wa	Number of Services (11)	0	0	0	~-	0	ю	0	0	0	0	4
c Authority	Weighting (10)=(2)x(9)	196	167	411	590	22	61	13	0	0	0	1,163
Other Public Authority	Number of Services (9)	196	139	71	295	ω	21	ю	0	0	0	733
strial	Weighting (8)=(2)x(7)	24	25	10	160	0	46	17	0	0	0	282
Industrial	Number of Services (7)	24	21	φ	80	0	16	4	0	0	0	151
ercial	Weighting (6)=(2)x(5)	5,322	1,756	592	2,154	113	73	59	14	0	0	10,083
Commercial	Number of Services (5)	5,322	1,463	370	1,077	42	25	41	2 0	0	0	8,315
ential	Weighting (4)=(2)x(3)	200'99	325	27	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	66,377
Residential	Number of Services (3)	200'99	271	17	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	66,304
	3/4" Equivalent (2)	1.0	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.7	2.9	4.2	7.0	9.5	12.2	
	Meter Size (1)	3/4-inch	1-inch	1-1/2-inch	2-inch	3-inch	4-inch	6-inch	8-inch	10-inch	12-inch	Total

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 12. ALLOCATION OF CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING COSTS.

Factors are based on the number of bills by classification, as follows:

Customer Classification	Number of Bills	Allocation Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	795,645	0.8622
Commercial	99,784	0.1081
Industrial	1,811	0.0020
Other Public Authority	8,798	0.0095
Other Water Utilities	49	0.0001
Private Fire Protection	16,649	0.0180
Public Fire Protection	132	0.0001
Total	922,867	1.0000

FACTOR 13. ALLOCATION OF METER READING COSTS.

Factors are based on the number of meter readings by classification, as follows:

	Number of	
Customer	Meter	Allocation
Classification	Readings	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	795,645	0.8781
Commercial	99,784	0.1101
Industrial	1,811	0.0020
Other Public Authority	8,798	0.0097
Other Water Utilities	49	0.0001
Total	906,087	1.0000

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 14. ALLOCATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSE.

Factors are based on the allocation of operation and maintenance expenses excluding power and chemicals, as follows:

	Operation and	
Customer	Maintenance	Allocation
Classification	Expenses	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	\$3,649,463	0.5646
Commercial	1,525,487	0.2360
Industrial	398,175	0.0616
Other Public Authority	389,066	0.0602
Other Water Utilities	200,180	0.0310
Private Fire Protection	91,871	0.0142
Public Fire Protection	209,681	0.0324
Total	\$6,463,923	1.0000

FACTOR 15. ALLOCATION OF LABOR RELATED TAXES AND BENEFITS.

Factors are based on the allocation of operation and maintenance labor expense, as follows:

Customer	Labor	Allocation
Classification	Expense	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	\$2,936,058	0.5291
Commercial	1,379,928	0.2486
Industrial	380,111	0.0685
Other Public Authority	360,594	0.0650
Other Water Utilities	193,399	0.0348
Private Fire Protection	80,378	0.0145
Public Fire Protection	219,353	0.0395
Total	\$5,549,821	1.0000

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 16. ALLOCATION OF INTANGIBLE PLANT AND OTHER RATE BASE ELEMENTS.

Factors are based on the allocation of utility plant in service less depreciation, follows:

Customer Classification (1)	Utility Plant in Serivce Less Depreciation Reserve (2)	Allocation Factor (3)
Residential Commercial Industrial Other Public Authority Other Water Utilities Private Fire Protection Public Fire Protection	\$77,395,274 47,730,766 11,585,568 13,334,156 5,329,839 3,657,908 10,085,825	0.4578 0.2822 0.0685 0.0788 0.0315 0.0216
Total	<u>\$169,119,336</u>	1.0000

FACTOR 17. ALLOCATION OF INCOME TAXES AND INCOME AVAILABLE FOR RETURN.

Factors are based on the allocation of original cost rate base, as shown on the following pages and summarized below.

Customer	Original Cost	Allocation
Classification	Rate Base	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	\$62,625,497	0.4611
Commercial	37,732,028	0.2778
Industrial	10,084,376	0.0742
Other Public Authority	10,480,226	0.0772
Other Water Utilities	4,888,770	0.0360
Private Fire Protection	2,733,161	0.0201
Public Fire Protection	7,276,192	0.0536
Total	\$135,820,250	1.0000

ALLOCATION OF COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013

Public Fire Protection (11)	19,368	220 222	661	1,402 1,672 4	64,054	3,072 42,076 - 946 2,584	54 10,478 (1,688) 15,056 3,077	22,461 42,481 1,286 164,582
Private Fire Protection (10)	7,019	125 126	375	795 948 2	36,189	1,735 23,772 - 535 1,460	31 5,937 (957) 8,532 1,744	12,843 24,290 729 93,493
Other Water Utilities (9)	10,236	7,065	21,199	44,963 53,620 129	306,882	14,717 201,583 - 4,534 12,377	1,732 335,987 (54,133) 482,798 98,666	9,284 17,560 41,249 360,615
Other Public Authority (8)	25,607	6,470 6,533	19,414	41,177 49,105 118	320,995 40 15	15,393 210,854 6,625 641 4,743	1,587 307,697 (49,575) 442,147 90,358	57,430 108,622 37,776 365,354 2,605
Industrial (7)	22,260	11,861	35,589	75,484 90,017 216	527,272	25,285 346,352 - 70 7,791 21,266	2,908 564,054 (90,878) 810,519 165,640	30,911 58,464 69,249 631,183
Commercial (6)	91,705	21,747 21,956	65,250	138,395 165,041 396	1,078,066 184 99	51,699 708,155 30,206 4,215 15,929 43,482	5,332 1,034,157 (166,619) 1,486,035 303,690	193,140 365,297 126,964 1,228,330 11,877
Residential (5)	148,769	25,955 26,204	77,877	165,176 196,979 472	1,285,428 1,003 790	61,643 844,366 164,544 33,708 18,993 51,845	6,364 1,234,282 (198,862) 1,773,606 362,459	229,889 434,803 151,534 1,464,862 64,699
Cost of Service (4)	324,965	73,444 74,150	220,365 0 0	467,391 557,383 1,336	3,618,886 1,227 905	173,544 2,377,157 201,376 38,634 53,471 145,961	18,009 3,492,593 -562,711 5,018,693 1,025,633	555,958 1,051,518 428,788 4,308,419 79,181
Factor Ref.	16	000	1 0 0 0	000	6 19 20	20 9 9 9 9 9 9	00000	7 7 7 19 20
Account Number (1) (2) UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE LESS DEPRECIATION	<u>Intangible</u> Comprehensive Planning Study	Source of Supply Land & Land Rights Struct & Improv SS	Collecting and Impound Res Lakes, Rivers, & Other Intakes Wells and Springs Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels	Supply Mains Pump Equipment Source of Supply Other Source of Supply Plant & Equip.	Pumping Equipment Pumping Structures Lookout Mountain Tariff Lakeview Tariff	Power Generation Equipment Electric Pumping Equipment Lookout Mountain Tariff Lakeview Tariff Diesel Pumping Equipment Other Pumping Equipment	Water Treatment Land & Land Rights Water Treatment Structures Water Treatment Structures Water Treatment Equipment Granular Activated Carbon	Transmission & Distribution Land & Land Rights T & D Structures Clearwell T & D Reservoirs & Standpipes Lookout Mountain Tariff Lakeview Tariff
Account Number (1)	339600	303.00	303.00 306.20 307.00 308.00	309.00 311.52 339.00	304.22	310.20 311.22 311.23 311.23	303.00 304.30 304.30 320.31 320.33	303.00 304.40 330.40 330.41

ALLOCATION OF COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013

Account	A desiration of the second of	Factor	Cost	7		7	Other Public	Other Water	Private Fire	Public Fire
(1)	-	 (E)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	Authorney (8)	(6)	(10)	rrotection (41)
330.42	T & D Reservoirs & Standpipes-Painting	5	2,950,464	1,003,158	841,177	432,243	250,199	246.954	64.025	112,708
	Lookout Mountain Tariff	19	0					.		•
	Lakeview Tariff	20	46,313	40,408	5,053	83	169	•	ř	•
330.43	Elevated Tanks & Standpipes	2	1,175,314	399,607	335,082	172,183	299'66	98,374	25,504	44,897
330.20	Ground Level Facilities	2	23,230	7,898	6,623	3,403	1,970	1,944	504	887
330.30	# Below Ground Facilities	2	17,621	5,991	5,024	2,581	1,494	1,475	382	673
331.00	T & D Mains not Classified	4	9,185,199	3,933,102	3,305,753	307,704	983,735	1	237,897	417,008
331.10	T & D Mains - Mains (4" or less)	4	4,815,436	2,061,970	1,733,076	161,317	515,733	1	124,720	218,621
331.20	T & D Mains - Mains (6" - 8")	4	948	406	341	32	102	•	25	43
331.21	T & D Mains - Mains (6" - 10")	4	51,192,107	21,920,460	18,424,039	1,714,936	5,482,675	,	1,325,876	2,324,122
331.30	T & D Mains - Mains (10" - 16")	ဇ	7,207,113	2,487,895	2,085,018	1,137,282	619,812	678,189	72,071	126,845
331.35	T & D Mains - Mains (12" or More)	က	21,458,743	7,407,558	6,208,014	3,386,190	1,845,452	2,019,268	214,587	377,674
	T & D Mains - Mains (18" or Greater)	ဇ	476,370	164,443	137,814	75,171	40,968	44,826	4,764	8,384
333.40	Services		15,581,806	12,216,136	1,855,793	52,978	213,471	1,558	1,241,870	f
334.10	Meters	10	6,928,829	4,930,555	1,593,631	99,082	299,325	6,236	,	•
334.45	Meter Installations	10	9,698,333	6,901,334	2,230,617	138,686	418,968	8,728	,	1
335.40	Hydrants	21	5,815,595	•	i	*	t	•	í	5,815,595
	General									
303.00	Land & Land Rights AG	4	25.022	14.127	5.905	1.541	1.506	922	355	811
304.50	Structures and Improvements - AG	4	654,887	369,749	154,553	40,341	39,424	20,301	9,299	21,218
304.60	Office Structures	4	470,854	265,844	111,121	29,005	28,345	14,596	6,686	15,256
304.70	Stores , Shop, & Garage Structures	14	21,563	12,175	5,089	1,328	1,298	899	306	669
304.80	Miscellaneous Structures	4	45,216	25,529	10,671	2,785	2,722	1,402	642	1,465
340.10	Office Furniture	14	114,956	64,904	27,130	7,081	6,920	3,564	1,632	3,725
340.20	Computer & Peripheral Equipment	4	295,883	167,055	69,828	18,226	17,812	9,172	4,202	9,587
340.21	Computer and Mainframe Equipment	4	(29,840)	(16,848)	(7,042)	(1,838)	(1,796)	(925)	(424)	(296)
340.22	Computer & Periph Personal	14	302,834	170,980	71,469	18,655	18,231	9,388	4,300	9,812
340.23	Computer & Periph Other	7	250,548	141,459	59,129	15,434	15,083	7,767	3,558	8,118
340.24	Computer and Periph Capital	4	20,679	11,675	4,880	1,274	1,245	641	294	029
340.30	Computer Software	4	136,510	77,074	32,216	8,409	8,218	4,232	1,938	4,423
340.31	Computer Software Mainframe	4	(352,567)	(199,060)	(83,206)	(21,718)	(21,225)	(10,930)	(2,006)	(11,423)
340.315	Computer Software	4 :	3,342,406	1,887,123	788,808	205,892	201,213	103,615	47,462	108,294
340.315	Computer Software	12	969,843	836,199	104,840	1,940	9,214	26	17,457	26
340.32	Computer Software Other	4	(19,617)	(11,076)	(4,630)	(1,208)	(1,181)	(808)	(279)	(989)
340.33	Computer Software Other	4	(1,514)	(855)	(357)	(63)	(91)	(47)	(22)	(49)
340.40	Data Handling Equipment	4	1,142	645	270	70	69	35	16	37
340.50	Other Office Equipment	4	15,961	9,011	3,767	983	961	495	227	517
341.10	Light Trucks	4	316,530	178,713	74,701	19,498	19,055	9,812	4,495	10,256
341.20	Heavy Trucks	4	713,966	403,105	168,496	43,980	42,981	22,133	10,138	23,132
341.30	Automobiles	4	181,096	102,247	42,739	11,155	10,902	5,614	2,572	5,868
341.40	Transportation-Other	14	299,350	169,013	70,647	18,440	18,021	9,280	4,251	669'6
342.00	Stores Equipment	4	(1,554)	(877)	(367)	(96)	(94)	(48)	(22)	(20)
343.00	Tools, Shop, & Garage Equipment	4	598,011	337,636	141,131	36,837	36,000	18,538	8,492	19,376

ALLOCATION OF COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2013

Account Number	Fa Account Description	Factor Ref.	Cost of Service	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Other Public Authority	Other Water	Private Fire Protection	Public Fire
(1)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)
345.00	Power Operated Equipment	۲ ۰ ۲ ۲	(31,170)	(17,599)	(7,356)	3,550 (1,920)	(1,876)	(966)	(443)	(1,010)
346.19 346.19	Communication Equipment Non-Telephone Communication Equip. Remote Control	4 4	17,808	10,054 79,387	4,203 33,184	1,097 8,662	1,0/2 8,465	552 4,359	253 1,997	5// 4,556
346.20 347.00	Communication Equipment-Telephone Miscellaneous Equipment	4 4	13,367 590,363	7,547 333,319	3,155 139,326	823 36,366	805 35,540	414 18,301	190 8,383	433 19,128
348.00	Other Tangible Plant	4	(513)	(290)	(121)	(32)	(31)	(16)	(7)	(17)
	Total Utility Plant in Service (Net)		169,444,307	77,544,043	47,822,471	11,607,828	13,359,763	5,340,075	3,664,927	10,105,193
	Other Rate Base Elements									
	Accumulated Deferred ITC	9 ;	(20,965)	(9,598)	(5,916)	(1,436)	(1,652)	(099)	(453)	(1,250)
	Accumulated Amortization of Capital Lease CIAC and Advances - Mains	4 4	(1,5/6,226)	(889,937)	(371,989)	(97,096)	(94,889)	(48,863)	(22,382)	(51,070)
	CIAC and Advances- Services	=	(2,040,004)	(1,599,364)	(242,964)	(6,936)	(27,948)	(204)	(162.588)	(064,430)
	CIAC and Advances- Meters	10	(177,213)	(126,105)	(40,759)	(2,534)	(7,656)	(159)	-	*
	CIAC and Advances - Hydrants	21	(1,011,265)					` ı	š	(1,011,265)
	Deferred Taxes	16	(23,407,464)	(10,715,937)	(6,605,586)	(1,603,411)	(1,844,508)	(737,335)	(505,601)	(1,395,085)
	Utility Plant Acquisition Adjustment	16	(39,920)	(18,275)	(11,265)	(2,735)	(3,146)	(1,257)	(862)	(2,379)
	CVVIP - Mains not classified	4 •	680,544	291,409	244,928	22,798	72,886	•	17,626	30,897
	CVVIF - 0-10 IIICII	4 0	88	40	33	, , , ,	000	1 70	7	4
	CVVIP - INIBIIIS 12 and Greater	n (650,699	224,621	188,247	102,680	55,960	61,231	6,507	11,452
	CVVIF - Fulliphing Structures CVVIP - Toole Shop and Garage Equip	0 2	71,342	1,381	0,338	3,110	1,893	018,1	213	3/8
	CWIT - 1001s, SHOP and Galage Equip. CWIP - Comp. Planning Study -other P/F	1 6	(1,632)	(1,034)	320	(113)	(110)	(7C) 36	(26) 25	(29) 68
	CWIP - Water treatment Structures	2 0	301,147	106.425	89.170	48.635	26.531	28 970	512	90 903
	CWIP - Distribution Reservoirs and Standpipe	2	51,747	17,594	14,753	7,581	4,388	4,331	1,123	1,977
	CWIP - Land and Land Rights - Pumping	9	12,805	4,548	3,815	1,866	1,136	1,086	128	227
	CWIP -Admin. & General Structures	4	115,385	65,146	27,231	7,108	6,946	3,577	1,638	3,738
	CWIP - Services	-	11,871	9,306	1,414	40	163	~	946	ı
	CVVIP - Pumping Equipment	φ ;	19,634	6,973	5,849	2,861	1,742	1,665	196	348
	CVVIP - Hydrants	7	10,42/	•	•	1	,	i	ı	10,427
	CWIP - Computer Software	4	1,706,675	963,589	402,775	105,131	102,742	52,907	24,235	55,296
	RWIP	16	ŧ	1	ŧ	,	i	•	*	i
	Deferred Maintenance	14	ı	•	ı	•	1	1		•
	Utility Plant Capital lease	4 (1,590,500	897,996	375,358	97,975	95,748	49,306	22,585	51,532
	Limited Lerm Utility Plant - Net	16		1	1	1	ŧ	1	r	ì
	Working Capital Allowance	55	3,188,192	1,717,159	762,934	250,273	198,624	132,310	39,534	87,356
	Subtotal Other Rate Base Elements	,	(33,624,050)	(14,918,546)	(10,090,443)	(1,523,452)	(2,879,537)	(451,305)	(931,766)	(2,829,001)
	Total Original Cost Rate Base		135,820,256	62,625,497	37,732,028	10,084,376	10,480,226	4,888,770	2,733,161	7,276,192
	ı									

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS, cont.

FACTOR 18. ALLOCATION OF OTHER REVENUES.

Factors are based on the allocation of total cost of service.

Customer	Total Cost	Allocation
Classification	of Service	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	\$25,277,183	0.4970
Commercial	13,166,268	0.2589
Industrial	3,967,640	0.0780
Other Public Authority	3,552,562	0.0698
Other Water Utilities	2,029,330	0.0399
Private Fire Protection	793,814	0.0156
Public Fire Protection	2,074,012	0.0408
Total	\$50,860,809	1.0000

FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS

FACTOR 19. ALLOCATION OF COSTS WHICH VARY WITH THE AMOUNT OF WATER CONSUMED IN THE LOOKOUT MOUNTAIN SERVICE AREA.

Factors are based on the pro forma test year average daily consumption for each customer classification.

	Average Daily	
Customer	Consumption,	Allocation
Classification	100 Cu. Ft.	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	670	0.8171
Commercial	123	0.1500
Other Public Authority	27	0.0329
Total	<u>820</u>	1.0000

FACTOR 20. ALLOCATION OF COSTS WHICH VARY WITH THE AMOUNT OF WATER CONSUMED IN THE LAKEVIEW SERVICE AREA.

Factors are based on the pro forma test year average daily consumption for each customer classification.

	Average Daily	
Customer	Consumption,	Allocation
Classification	100 Cu. Ft.	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	472	0.8725
Commercial	59	0.1091
Industrial	1	0.0018
Other Public Authority	9	0.0166
Total	<u>541</u>	1.0000

FACTOR 21. ALLOCATION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH FIRE HYDRANTS.

These costs are assigned directly to the public fire protection classification.

Customer	Allocation
Classification	Factor
(1)	(3)
Public Fire Protection	1.0000

FACTOR 22. ALLOCATION OF WORKING CAPITAL

Factors are based on the allocation of operation and maintenance expenses, excluding regulatory expense.

Customer	Total Cost	Allocation
Classification	of Service	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)
Residential	13,159,020	0.5386
Commercial	5,847,639	0.2393
Industrial	1,919,453	0.0785
Other Public Authority	1,523,453	0.0623
Other Water Utilities	1,014,089	0.0415
Private Fire Protection	303,685	0.0124
Public Fire Protection	669,938	0.0274
Total		
	24,437,277	1.0000

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FACTORS FOR ALLOCATING COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS

FACTOR 23. ALLOCATION OF UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS

Factors are based on the net write-offs by customer class.

Customer Classification (1)	Net <u>Write-Offs</u> (2)	Allocation Factor (3)
(1)	(2)	(0)
Residential	\$391,068	0.9193
Commercial	34,327	0.0807
Industrial	0	0.0000
Other Public Authority	11	0.0000
Other Water Utilities	0	0.0000
Private Fire Protection	0	0.0000
Total	\$425,407	1.0000



Tennesee American Water Present and Proposed Rates for General Water Service

	Γ			Chattar	ıooga		
	Ī						Proposed
Service Charge		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	OPA	SFR	Rates
Monthly	5/8"	\$12.54	\$12.46	\$13.09	\$12.54	\$12.54	\$16.50
•	3/4"	21.07	21.99	21.99	21.07	21.07	27.72
	1"	35.05	36.58	36.58	35.05	35.05	46.12
	1-1/2"	70.13	73.19	73.19	70.13	70.13	92.28
	2"	112.20	117.10	117.10	112.20	112.20	147.63
	3"	210.37	219.55	219.55	210.37	210.37	276.80
	4"	350.63	365.94	365.94	350.63	350.63	461.36
	6"	701.26	731.88	731.88	701.26	701.26	922.71
	8"	1,121.99	1,170.99	1,170.99	1,121.99	1,121.99	1,476.30
	10"						
	12"						
Consumption:	CCF					SFR	Proposed
	Monthly	Residential	Commercial	_Industrial_	OPA	No Contract	Rates
First	4	0.2330	0.2320	0.2430	0.2330	0.2330	0.3024
Next	61	3.6960	3.6730	3.8570	3.6960	3.6960	4.7974
Next	435	2.3220	2.3080	2.4240	2.3220	2.3220	2.7323
Next	4,500	1.7360	1.7250	1.7120	1.7360	1.7360	2.0832
Next	10,000	1.3270	1.3180	1.3080	1.3270	1.3270	1.6322
All Over	15,000	0.7880	0.7830	0.7780	0.7880	0.7880	0.9692
SFR Contract							
SER COMMACC							
Ft. Oglethorpe - 1stBlock	Up to 45,000	1.0780					1.1500
Ft. Oglethorpe - 2nd Block	Over 45,000	1.0780					2.0000
Catoosa County	Up to 45,000	1.0780					1.1500
Catoosa County	Over 45,000	1.0780					2.0000
Signal Mountain	Up to 45,000	1.0344					1.1500
Signal Mountain	Over 45,000	1.0344					2.0000
Walden's Ridge	Up to 45,000	1.2180					1.1500
Walden's Ridge	Over 45,000	1.2180					2.0000

	All Areas		
Private Fire	Present	Proposed	
1"	\$32.66	\$32.66	
1-1/2"	73.67	73.67	
2"	131.02	131.02	
2-1/2"	199.79	199.79	
3"	294.50	294.50	
4"	589.75	589.75	
6"	1,178.56	1,178.56	
8"	2,359.11	2,359.11	
10"	3,538.92	3,538.92	
12"	4,718.96	4,718.96	

Tennesee American Water

Present and Proposed Rates for General Water Service

			Lookont N	ut Mountain					Lakeview	Lakeview Mountain		
						Proposed						Proposed
Service Charge	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	OPA	SFR	Rates	Residential	Commercial		OPA	SFR	Rates
Monthly 5/8"	\$14.07	\$13.99	\$14.07	\$14.07	\$14.07	\$16.50	\$14.07	\$13.99		\$14.07	\$14.07	\$16.50
	21.07	20.93	21.07	21.07	21.07	27.72	21.07	20.93		21.07	21.07	27.72
**	35.05	34.83	35.05	35.05	35.05	46.12	35.05	34.83		35.05	35.05	46.12
1-1/2"	70.13	69.69	70.13	70.13	70.13	92.28	70.13	69.69		70.13	70.13	92.28
2,"	112.20	111.49	112.20	112.20	112.20	147.63	112.20	111.49		112.20	112.20	147.63
	210.37	209.06	210.37	210.37	210.37	276.80	210.37	209.06		210.37	210.37	276.80
4	350.63	348.45	350.63	350.63	350.63	461.36	350.63	348.45		350.63	350.63	461.36
9	701.26	696.91	701.26	701.26	701.26	922.71	701.26	696.91		701.26	701.26	922.71
-ω	1,121.99	1,115.04	1,121.99	1,121.99	1,121.99	1,476.30	1,121.99	1,115.04	1,121.99	1,121.99	1,121.99	1,476.30
10"												
12"							~~~					
Consumpti CCF						Proposed						Proposed
Monthly	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	OPA	SFR	Rates	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	OPA	SFR	Rates
	0.8680	0.8620	0.8680	0.8680	0.8680	1.2054	0.4290	0.4250	0.4290	0.4290	0.4290	1.2054
	4.7610	4.7310	4.7610	4.7610	4.7610	5.7004	4.0730	4.0480	4.0730	4.0730	4.0730	5.7004
	3.3870	3.3660	3.3870	3.3870	3.3870	3.6353	2.6990	2.6830	2.6990	2.6990	2.6990	3.6353
	2.3680	2.3530	2.3680	2.3680	2.3680	2.9862	1.9290	1.9180	1.9290	1.9290	1.9290	2.9862
	1.9600	1.9490	1.9600	1.9600	1.9600	2.5352	1.5210	1.5120	1.5210	1.5210	1,5210	2.5352
All Over 15,000	1.4210	1.4120	1.4210	1.4210	1.4210	1.8722	0.9820	0.9750	0.9820	0.9820	0.9820	1.8722

Tennesee American Water

Present and Proposed Rates for General Water Service

	ď													Ω.		L					
		SFR	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76				SFR	0.0000	4.7483 **				
Oak		OPA	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76					0.0000					
Lone Oak		Industrial	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76				Industrial	0.0000	4.7483 **				
		Commercial	\$37.53	\$37.53	\$37.53	\$37.53	\$37.53	\$37.53	\$37.53	\$37.53	\$37.53				Commercial	** 0000.0	4.7183 **				
		Residential	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76	\$37.76				Residential	** 0000.0	4.7483 **		********	*****	
	Proposed	Rates	\$25.75	27.72	46.12	92.28	147.63	276.80	461.36	922.71	1,476.30			Proposed	Rates	1.2054	5.7004	3.6353	2.9862	2.5352	1.8722
		SFR	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49				SFR	0.0000	3.9690	2.9770			
reek		OPA	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49					* 0000.0					
Suck Creek		Industrial	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49				Industrial	0.0000 ★	3.9690 *	2.9770 *			
		Commercial	\$27.31	\$27.31	\$27.31	\$27.31	\$27.31	\$27.31	\$27.31	\$27.31	\$27.31				Commercial	* 0000.0					
		Residential	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49	\$27.49				Residential	* 0000.0	3.9690 *	2.9770 *			
			 8	4"	*	/5"	2"	# _	.	₹	ŧ.	J.,	5		hly	4	61	435	4,500	000,0	5,000
		Charg	Monthly 5/8	3/.	ν-	1-1	2	3	4	9	8)[77	onsumpti CCF	Monthly	First				`	
		Ϋ́	Σ											ŏ						- 4	15

Proposed Rates 1.2054 5.7004 3.6353 2.9862 2.5352 1.8722

Rates \$27.72 27.72 46.12 92.28 147.63 276.80 461.36 922.71

* Current rates blocks for Suck Creek are first 2 CCF, next 10.667 CCF and over 12.667 CCF ** Current rates blocks for Lone Oak are first 2.67 CCF, over 2.67 CCF