## **Kelly Grams**

From:

Kelly Grams

Sent:

Wednesday, October 10, 2012 11:46 AM

To: Cc: 'Walker, Henry'; 'Kelley, Misty Smith' Monica Smith-Ashford

Subject:

RE: Request for Telephone Conference

RECEIVED

2012 OCT 10 AM 11:57

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

RE: Telmate Docket Nos. 11-00181 & 11-00182

## Counsel:

I have considered your request to discuss informally, by telephone conference, the *Motion to Remove "Confidential" Designation* filed by Pay-Tel in the docket file on October 9, 2012. The issues of certification type and Telmate's applications for authority are currently set for panel consideration during the Authority Conference on October 15, 2012. In its motion, Pay-Tel has further requested a determination of its motion prior to that time. As Pay-Tel's motion is substantive and has been filed officially in the docket file, as Hearing Officer, I am of the opinion that standard procedural protocol should be observed and that Telmate should file a written response in the docket file. As the matter of confidentiality has arisen twice previously in these matters, I am not inclined to address this current motion informally.

If the parties are unable to resolve Pay-Tel's motion amicably, then, as I see it, we are left with the following options:

- 1) Telmate's time for response is shortened to Thursday (preferred) or Friday noon (latest), thereafter I will provide an abbreviated ruling via email on Friday (an Order containing findings and conclusion will be filed on a later date), and the matters will proceed to the panel as scheduled on Monday; or,
- 2) If Telmate feels it is unable to file a written response within the shortened time frame previously noted, then to ensure Telmate has adequate time in which to respond, the dockets will have to be removed from Monday's Authority Conference agenda and placed on the November Conference agenda.

In conclusion, I strongly encourage the parties to discuss and make every attempt to resolve this matter amicably. If the parties are unsuccessful in coming to a resolution, I will leave Telmate the option as to whether to file a response in opposition to the motion by Thursday/Friday or, if Telmate feels additional time is needed, then, by October 16<sup>th</sup> (seven days following filing as allowed under the rules). No Reply will be permitted.

Kelly Cashman-Grams

Hearing Officer
Tennessee Regulatory Authority
(Ph) 615-741-2904 x168
kelly.grams@tn.gov

From: Walker, Henry [mailto:HWALKER@babc.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 09, 2012 5:46 PM **To:** 'Kelley, Misty Smith'; Kelly Grams

Subject: RE: Request for Telephone Conference

Agreed/ good idea. I can also be available on Thursday and Friday (although on Thursday, I will be out between 1130 and 2)).

From: Kelley, Misty Smith [mailto:mkelley@bakerdonelson.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 09, 2012 5:38 PM **To:** Walker, Henry; <u>kelly.grams@tn.gov</u> **Subject:** Request for Telephone Conference

## Hearing Officer Grams:

Due to the short time period Pay-Tel has requested for ruling on the attached motion filed this afternoon, I thought it might be more effective to have the parties discuss the matter on a telephone conference with you rather than Telmate filing a written response later this week and awaiting written ruling. I can make myself available anytime this Thursday (10/11) or Friday (10/12) for such a telephone conference, if that approach is agreeable to everyone.

marketing the state of

Misty

## **Misty Smith Kelley**

Shareholder

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC 1800 Republic Centre 633 Chestnut Street Chattanooga, Tennessee 37450 Phone 423.209.4148 Fax 423.752-9549 Mobile 423.227-7440

mkelley@bakerdonelson.com www.bakerdonelson.com

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC represents clients across the U.S. and abroad from offices in Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Washington, D.C.

BAKER DONELSON DE ARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ PO

One of FORTUNE magazine's "180 Best Companies to Work For"

From: Walker, Henry [mailto:HWALKER@babc.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2012 4:55 PM

To: Kelley, Misty Smith

Subject: FW: Pay-Tel - MOTION TO REMOVE "CONFIDENTIAL" DESIGNATION

Just filed//

From: Calvert, Myra K

Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2012 3:39 PM

To: 'Sharla Dillon'
Cc: Walker, Henry

Subject: Pay-Tel - MOTION TO REMOVE "CONFIDENTIAL" DESIGNATION

Sharla, attached for filing is the above Motion to Remove "Confidential" Designation; original to follow. If you have questions, please call.

Thanks.

Myra 252-3519

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail is from a law firm and may be protected by the attorney-client or work product privileges. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by replying to this e-mail and then delete it from your computer.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail is from a law firm and may be protected by the attorney-client or work product privileges. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by replying to this e-mail and then delete it from your computer.

Under requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including in any attachments and, if this communication is by email, then in any part of the same series of emails), such advice was not intended or written by the sender or by Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or tax-related matter addressed herein.

This electronic mail transmission may constitute an attorney-client communication that is privileged at law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by reply e-mail, so that our address record can be corrected.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail is from a law firm and may be protected by the attorney-client or work product privileges. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by replying to this e-mail and then delete it from your computer.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail is from a law firm and may be protected by the attorney-client or work product privileges. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by replying to this e-mail and then delete it from your computer.