Before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority

Docket No. 11-

Petition of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. for an Adjustment to its Rates, Approval of Changes to Its Rate Design, Amortization of Certain Deferred Assets, Approval of New Depreciation Rates, Approval of Revised Tariffs and Service Regulations, and Approval of a New Energy Efficiency Program and GTI Funding.

Testimony and Exhibits of David R. Dzuricky

On Behalf Of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.



1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is David J. Dzuricky. My business address is 4720 Piedmont
3		Row Drive, Charlotte, North Carolina.
4	Q.	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
5	A.	I am employed by Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. ("Piedmont" or
6		"the Company"). I hold the position of Senior Vice President and Chief
7		Financial Officer.
8	Q.	What are your responsibilities as Senior Vice President and Chief
9		Financial Officer of Piedmont?
10	A.	I am responsible for financial, accounting functions of the Company. In
11		that connection, the Company's Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Risk
12		Officer and Vice President and Controller, report directly to me. I am
13		responsible for recommending and implementing all investment, debt,
14		and equity financing decisions for the Company.
15	Q.	Please describe your educational and professional background.
16	A.	I received a B.S. degree from Syracuse University in 1973 and an MBA
17		from the University of Pittsburgh in 1974. From 1974 to 1995, I was
18		employed in various positions by Consolidated Natural Gas Company
19		("CNG") or its subsidiaries. My last position with CNG was Vice
20		President and Treasurer. I have been employed as Senior Vice President
21		and Chief Financial Officer by Piedmont since 1995.
22	Q.	Mr. Dzuricky, have you previously testified before the Tennessee
23		Regulatory Authority ("TRA") or any other regulatory authority?

1 A. Yes, I have previously testified before the TRA, the North Carolina 2 Utilities Commission, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and the Virginia State Corporation Commission. 3 4 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding? 5 My testimony is filed to support Piedmont's application in this case. A. Specifically, I will address the Company's capital structure and the cost 6 7 and rates for long term debt and short term debt presented in the filing. 8 Dr. Donald Murry will present testimony in support of the capital 9 structure and return on equity requested in this filing. I will also provide 10 support for the Company's proposed amortization of pension costs it has previously deferred pursuant to TRA Order. 11 12 Do you have any exhibits supporting your testimony? Q. 13 Yes. Exhibit (DJD-1) shows the calculation of Piedmont's capital A. structure in this proceeding, including Piedmont's weighted cost of debt 14 and equity and the resulting rates of return on rate base and common 15 equity. Exhibit (DJD-2) shows the derivation of the embedded cost of 16 long term debt. Exhibit (DJD-3) shows the derivation of the embedded 17 18 cost of short term debt. 19 Were these exhibits prepared by you or under your direction and Q. 20 supervision? 21 A. Yes. What is Piedmont's proposed capital structure in this proceeding? 22 Q.

1	A.	For purposes of this rate case we have used the 3 year average capital
2		structure for the Company at June 30, for June 2009, 2010, and 2011.
3		This capital structure, the derivation of which is reflected on Exhibit
4		(DJD-1), consists of 52.71% common equity, 41.42% long term debt,
5		and 5.87% short term debt.
6	Q.	Why did you choose this method for calculating Piedmont's capital
7		structure?
8	A.	Because that was the methodology most recently utilized by the TRA for
9		calculating capital structure in a natural gas distribution company rate
10		case. Specifically, the Authority utilized this method for establishing the
11		capital structure for Chattanooga Gas Company in TRA Docket No. 09-
12		00183.
13	Q.	What cost rates did you attribute to each component of the
14		Company's capital structure?
15	A.	I utilized a cost rate of 6.05 % for long term debt, 1.59 % for short term
16		debt, and 11.25 % for common equity.
17	Q.	How were these cost rates determined?
18	A.	For the Company's cost of common equity, I utilized the cost calculated
19		by Piedmont witness Dr. Murry in his testimony. For long term debt, I
20		used Piedmont's weighted average cost of long term debt as of June 30,
21		2011. This date was selected because it represents the closing of our
22		most recent long term debt issuance and is, therefore, the most current
23		calculation possible of the Company's long term debt cost rate. The

1		derivation of this rate is shown on Exhibit(DJD-2). For short term					
2		debt, I used our current (FY 2011) cost rate for outstanding short-term					
3		debt. The derivation of this rate is shown on Exhibit (DJD-3).					
4	Q.	Please describe Piedmont's request to amortize and recover					
5		previously deferred pension costs?					
6	A.	In Piedmont's 1996 rate proceeding (Docket No. 96-00977) in an Order					
7		issued on June 9, 1997, the TRA established a deferral mechanism for					
8		pension expenses incurred by Piedmont which allows the Company to					
9		create a deferred asset representing "the difference between the amount of					
10		funded pension expense recognized in the Company's last rate case					
11		and the amount of pension expense funded in the future." With respect to					
12		this deferred asset, the TRA stated that "[i]n future rate cases, the amount					
13		of funded expense that has been deferred will be recognized and rates					
14		awarded to recover it." Piedmont has filed two general rate cases since					
15		this approach to accounting and recovery of pension funding was adopted					
16		by the TRA. The first case was in Docket No. 99-00994 in 1999 and the					
17		second was in Docket No. 03-00313 in 2003. In both cases, Piedmont					
18		was permitted to amortize the accrued balance of deferred pension costs					
19		in accordance with the mechanism established in Docket No. 96-00977.					
20	Q.	Please describe the nature of Piedmont's pension obligations and the					
21		manner in which such obligations are incurred.					
22	A.	Piedmont has operated a defined benefit pension plan for its employees					
23		for many years. This type of pension plan, which is common in the utility					

industry, provides for a predetermined pension benefit upon retirement. In order to ensure that Piedmont has sufficient plan assets to pay the defined benefit due under the plan, Piedmont must periodically invest funds with the plan. These investments are managed by outside trustees and the plan itself is supervised by an internal Piedmont administrative committee and overseen by the Benefits Committee of Piedmont's Board of Directors. The Plan administrative committee, which includes several senior officers of the Company, makes all decisions relative to funding the plan. Such decisions are made based on a variety of factors with 9 advice and assistance from outside pension experts and are ratified by the 10 Board Benefits Committee. What is Piedmont proposing in this docket? 12 Q. We are proposing to follow the same methodology for the recovery of 13 A. pension expense that was established by the Authority in Docket No. 96-14 00977 and followed in Docket Nos. 99-00994 and 03-00313. 15 Is there any difference in Piedmont's request in this proceeding than 16 Q. 17 in the prior rate case dockets? No, the approach Piedmont is proposing is the same as has been used in 18 A. 19 prior cases. Over what period of time does Piedmont propose to amortize and 20 Q. collect this balance? 21 We propose to amortize and recover our deferred pension expense 22 A. balance over a period of 8 years at the rate of \$2,686,343 per year. 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

Page 6 of 7

Q. What factors contributed to the current deferred pension expense balance?

A.

Several factors have contributed to the current balance in the deferred pension expense account. The first and most obvious is that Piedmont has not filed a rate case in Tennessee for 8 years. This compares to a 2-3 year interval for the prior cases in which deferred pension assets have been amortized. In other words, the deferred balance has accrued over a longer period than in prior rate cases. Other factors have also contributed to the current deferred pension balance including the aging nature of Piedmont's workforce subject to the defined benefit plan, reductions in the value of pension assets as a result of a significant downturn in securities markets, and an emphasis on fully funding pension obligations in order to minimize risk associated with the plan and fulfill Piedmont's pension commitment to its employees.

Q. Is there anything out of the ordinary in these factors?

- A. No. They are the types of factors you would expect to encounter in making determinations as to when and how much to fund a defined benefit pension plan.
- Q. What are you asking the Authority to do in this proceeding with respect to the deferred balance in Piedmont's pension cost account?
- A. We are asking the Authority to allow Piedmont to amortize the deferred balance in that account over a period of 8 years. We also request that the currently approved pension deferral and amortization mechanism be

1		continued with respect to any future pension funding expense incurred by
2		the Company.
3	Q.	Do you have anything to add to your testimony?
4	A.	Not at this time.
5		
:		

EXHIBIT_(DJD-1)

Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. Capitalization Analysis for Attrition Period

			ACT	ACTUAL BALANCES				PROPOSED C	PROPOSED CAPITAL STRUCTURE & COST RATES	& COST RAT	ES
	Actu	tual Balance as of June 30, 2009	Actu	Actual Balance as of Actual Balance as of Actual Balance as of June 30, 2009 June 30, 2010 June 30, 2011	Actu	Actual Balance as of June 30, 2011	Thre	Three Year Average Balance @ June 30	Three Year Average Embedded Overall Cost Ratio @ June 30 Cost Rate	Embedded Cost	Overall Cost Rate
Long-Term Debt	€	813,604,051	€9	783,364,166	↔	725,249,094	↔	774,072,437	41.42%	6.05%	2.51%
Short-Term Debt	↔	154,500,000	↔	43,500,000	↔	131,000,000	↔	109,666,667	5.87%	1.59%	%60.0
Common Equity	⇔	945,961,621	↔	989,046,836	↔	1,020,050,999	↔	985,019,819	52.71%	11.25%	5.93%
Total	↔	1,914,065,672	₩	\$ 1,914,065,672 \$ 1,815,911,002	↔	\$ 1,876,300,093	↔	\$ 1,868,758,923	100.00%		8.53%

EXHIBIT_(DJD-2)

Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt June 30, 2011

(9)	Total	3,030,578 1,230,000 6,893,000	3,105,930 3,392,892 4,087,278 3,011,820 4,789,656 2,919,817 5,082,658 6,039,753 234,182
	Φ _	φ	
(5)	Cost Debt Expense <u>Amortization</u>	2,436 62,000 109,000	14,430 12,892 17,278 11,820 19,656 15,984 82,658 39,753
	D _M ⊲	↔	es e
(4)	Interest	3,028,142 1,168,000 6,784,000	3,091,500 3,380,000 4,070,000 4,770,000 2,903,833 5,000,000 6,000,000
		€	
(3)	Total	\$ 34,993,764 39,695,167 158,919,083	44,823,031 39,829,605 54,753,695 39,822,512 59,641,941 59,797,578 99,107,598 (5,847,384)
(2)	Less Unamortized Debt Discount and Expense	\$ 6,236 304,833 1,080,917	176,969 170,395 246,305 177,488 358,059 15,984 202,422 892,402 5,847,384
(1)	Principal <u>Amount</u>	\$ 35,000,000 40,000,000 160,000,000	45,000,000 40,000,000 55,000,000 40,000,000 60,000,000 100,000,000 100,000,000
		Senior Notes 8.51% - due 2017 2.92% - due 2016 4.24% - dude 2021	Medium-Term Notes 6.87% - due 2023 8.45% - due 2024 7.40% - due 2025 7.50% - due 2026 7.95% - due 2029 6.55% - due 2011 5.0% - due 2013 6.0% - due 2013 6.0% - due 2033 Insured Notes 6.25% - due 2036
	Line No.	– 0 m	4 9 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

(= Column 6 Line 13 / Column 3 Line 13)

6.05%

Embedded Cost =

EXHIBIT_(DJD-3)

Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.
Embedded Cost of Short-Term Debt (New Facility)

Exhibit__ (DJD-3)

1	Average Amount Outstanding in FY 2011	\$ 325,000,000
2	Interest Expense	\$ 3,932,500
3	Unused Fees	\$ 487,500
4	Facility Fees	\$ 745,775
5	Total Interest Expense	 5,165,775
6	Embedded Cost (Line 5 / Line 1)	1.59%