Before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority

Docket No. 11-____

Petition of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. for an Adjustment to its Rates, Approval of Changes to Its Rate Design, Amortization of Certain Deferred Assets, Approval of New Depreciation Rates, Approval of Revised Tariffs and Service Regulations, and Approval of a New Energy Efficiency Program and GTI Funding.

Testimony of Thomas E. Skains

On Behalf Of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.



Q. Please state your name and business address.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

A. My name is Thomas E. Skains and my business address is 4720 Piedmont Row Drive, Charlotte, North Carolina.

Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?

A. I am Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Piedmont

Natural Gas Company ("Piedmont" or "the Company").

Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.

I graduated from Sam Houston State University in 1978 with a Bachelor's Degree in Business Administration. In 1981, I received a Doctor of Jurisprudence Degree from the University of Houston Law School, and I was admitted to the State Bar of Texas. I joined the legal department of Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation ("Transco") in 1981 and practiced law in the areas of natural gas supply, rate and federal energy regulatory matters until 1986. In 1986, I was elected a Vice President of Transco and was responsible for marketing, transportation and customer service. I was promoted to Senior Vice President in 1989 and was responsible for the marketing and administration of Transco's transportation and storage services, including project development activities, until I left Transco in 1995 to join Piedmont as Senior Vice President - Gas Supply. In 2000, I was named Piedmont's Senior Vice President - Marketing and Supply Services. I later was named President and Chief Operating Officer in 2002 and Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer in 2003.

1	Ι Ψ.	Mr. Skains, have you previously testined before the Tennessee
2		Regulatory Authority ("TRA") or any other regulatory authority?
3	A.	Yes. I have presented testimony and appeared as a witness before the
4		TRA. I have also previously testified before the North Carolina Utilities
5		Commission, the Public Service Commission of South Carolina, and the
6		Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
7	Q.	Have you held any positions in natural gas trade associations?
8	A.	Yes. I served as Chairman of the American Gas Association in 2009, as
9		Chairman of the Southern Gas Association ("SGA") in 2006 and remain
10		on the Board of both of those associations. I am a former Board member
11		of the Southeastern Gas Association (now part of the SGA) and the
12		Natural Gas Transportation Association (now known as the National
13		Energy Services Association). I also currently serve on the Boards of the
14		American Gas Foundation and the Gas Technology Institute ("GTI").
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
16	A.	My testimony supports the Petition filed by Piedmont seeking the
17		establishment of a general rate proceeding in this docket. In this
18		testimony, I will provide a brief description of Piedmont and its business,
19		summarize our request for rate relief, and provide an overview of the
20		other significant aspects of our filing.
21	Q.	Please describe Piedmont and its business.
22	A.	Piedmont is a North Carolina corporation with its headquarters in
23		Charlotte, North Carolina. The Company is principally engaged in the
	İ	

natural gas distribution business and, as of the end of July, 2011, served over one million customers, including 164,662 in Tennessee, 716,050 in North Carolina (approximately 52,000 of which are served by municipal gas systems that are our wholesale customers), and 131,103 in South Carolina.

Q. Please describe your gas distribution business in Tennessee.

A. Piedmont provides natural gas sales and transportation service to residential, commercial, and industrial customers in the greater Nashville metropolitan area of Davidson County and portions of the adjoining counties of Cheatham, Dickson, Robertson, Rutherford, Sumner, Trousdale, Williamson, and Wilson.

Q. What is Piedmont seeking in this application?

A.

In this proceeding, Piedmont seeks TRA authorization to: (1) update and increase its rates and charges to account for changes in its rate base, operating expenses and capital structure since its last general rate case; (2) update the customer usage factors underlying Piedmont's base rates; (3) amortize and collect certain environmental clean-up, pension, and flood-related expenditures that have been deferred in the interim period since Piedmont's last general rate case proceeding in 2003; (4) modify the existing rate design methodology for commercial and residential customers in order to more closely match cost incurrence with cost recovery and to align rate structures with Piedmont's promotion of energy efficiency and conservation; (5) establish new depreciation rates to

amortize the cost of assets, net of salvage value, over the estimated useful life of the assets in a systematic and rational manner; (6) recover the substantial and ongoing projected costs associated with installing and maintaining system integrity and safety related facilities and programs on Piedmont's system; (7) update and revise Piedmont's existing service regulations and tariffs; (8) establish a new cost-effective "School Energy Pledge" program to promote more efficient utilization of energy by our Tennessee customers; and (9) provide for annual contributions to the Gas Technology Institute ("GTI") for natural gas distribution and transmission research and development efforts.

The Petition filed by the Company proposes rate changes that, in the aggregate, would produce an overall increase in annual revenues of approximately \$16.7 million. This 8.9% increase in annual revenues is necessary to cover the costs (including a reasonable return on investment) to provide adequate and reliable natural gas service to the Company's customers in Tennessee and reflects an annual average increase of only 1.1% since Piedmont's last general rate proceeding in 2003. Even with this increase, Piedmont's request in this case, adjusted for inflation, represents a net reduction in the costs paid by an average Tennessee residential natural gas customer for the services provided by Piedmont compared to the rates approved in Piedmont's last general rate proceeding in 2003.

Q. Please explain why it was necessary to file this rate case.

A.

There are several factors that prompted this rate filing. First, since our last rate case in 2003 we have made substantial capital investments to maintain and expand our gas distribution system, liquefied natural gas ("LNG") storage facility, and operations facility for the benefit of current and future Tennessee customers. During this same period, we have also experienced changes in the Company's operating costs and capital structure. As a result, we are in need of general rate relief to recover our prudently incurred costs and to generate a fair rate of return on invested capital.

Second, due to a heightened national focus on natural gas safety and integrity Piedmont will incur significant levels of new investment and expense related to natural gas transmission and distribution integrity and safety programs scheduled for implementation during the attrition period and beyond.

Third, since our last rate case we have experienced changes in average customer usage from the assumed usage levels underlying our existing base rates. In this case, we are proposing several rate design modifications that will help mitigate the impact of these types of changes to customer usage profiles and align the interests of Piedmont with its customers on energy conservation and efficiency programs consistent with the stated public policy of Tennessee.

Fourth, since our last rate case we have deferred, pursuant to prior TRA orders, significant environmental clean-up costs, pension funding

2

1

4

3

5

6

7

9

8

10

11 12

13

Q.

A.

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

costs, and flood-related costs that need to be amortized and collected over future periods.

Fifth, we are also seeking to implement new depreciation rates based on a current study. These new rates will more properly align the Company's recovery of its invested capital with the useful life of its underlying physical plant and will benefit customers through reduced depreciation expense.

Finally, we believe it is in the best interests of Piedmont and its customers to make a number of modifications and additions to our Tennessee tariffs and service regulations in order to promote energy efficiency and conservation, system integrity and safety and to conform them to a new market and operating environment.

Will other witnesses offer testimony on behalf of the Petition in this proceeding?

Yes. Pia Powers will testify in support of our cost of service exhibits, our proposed amortization and recovery of deferred environmental and flood remediation costs, our proposed energy efficiency and conservation program, and annual GTI funding. David Carpenter will testify in support of our rate base, proposed revenues and our proposed changes in rates, tariffs and service regulations. Rodney Myers and David Carpenter will testify in support of our proposals regarding the recovery of substantial and ongoing system integrity and safety costs. Dave Dzuricky will testify in support of our capital structure and the amortization of

deferred pension expenses. Dan Yardley will present the results of his class cost of service study and testify in support of that study and our proposed rate design. Rhonda Watts will testify in support of our proposed depreciation rates to be utilized in this case. Finally, Dr. Donald Murry will provide testimony in support of the Company's cost of capital and our requested rate of return on common equity.

Q. Please discuss the Company's utility capital investments since the last rate case and how those investments have been financed?

A. Since its 2003 rate case, Piedmont has added approximately 13,278 new customers to its Tennessee distribution system. This growth, along with the need to upgrade and replace aging distribution and transmission facilities, LNG facilities, and our Nashville operations center, has necessitated significant capital investments by the Company. In Tennessee, these investments have totaled more than \$272 million since Piedmont's last rate case. The Company has financed these capital investments through a combination of internally generated cash, short-term and long-term debt, and equity.

Q. How have the Company's operating expenses, customer service and capital structure changed since its last rate case?

A. Since its 2003 rate case, Piedmont has implemented operational and organizational improvements which have improved the Company's cost structures in a manner that will benefit customers in this case. The Company has also engaged in a common stock repurchase program over

the last several years that has stabilized the equity component of the
Company's capital structure. In addition, the Company has and continues
to restructure its short- and long-term debt in order to take advantage of
lower rates of interest.

A.

Q. Why is the Company making significant investments in new integrity and safety measures?

We are currently making significant investments in integrity and safety related improvements to Piedmont's natural gas transmission facilities and have budgeted approximately \$33 million in such investment during the attrition period in this case. This investment is being driven primarily by the requirements of Part 192 of the United States Department of Transportation pipeline integrity management regulations that were adopted several years ago. These regulations require Piedmont to undertake significant assessment programs with respect to its transmission facilities and to remediate or repair any anomalous conditions discovered through those programs.

Q. How has customer usage changed since Piedmont's last rate case?

A. As is reflected in Mr. Carpenter's testimony and consistent with national trends in natural gas consumption, the average annual usage of natural gas by Piedmont's residential and commercial customers has declined since our last rate case. In 2003, our residential customers consumed a normalized average of 78.1 dekatherms per year. That average usage has now declined to 73.6 dekatherms per year, a reduction of 5.8% over 8

1 years. In light of this trend, it is important for Piedmont's rates to be 2 properly designed to preserve the Company's ability to earn its allowed 3 return. 4 Is the Company proposing to make any changes that promote more Q. 5 efficient usage of natural gas by its customers? 6 A. Yes. Piedmont is proposing several changes that would serve to promote 7 better cost transparency and increased energy efficiency. We propose a restructuring of commercial and residential rates to better align cost 8 9 incurrence with cost recovery, allowing customers to better see the cost 10 effects of their energy decisions. We are also proposing a new energy 11 efficiency and conservation program to assist our customers in conserving 12 energy, using energy more efficiently, and realizing the economic 13 benefits of lower energy consumption. How is the Company proposing to restructure residential and 14 Q. commercial rates? 15 We are proposing to increase the monthly charge component of our 16 A. residential and commercial rates. This change, which is discussed more 17 18 fully by Mr. Carpenter and Mr. Yardley, will better match the incurrence 19 and recovery of fixed costs, will send more transparent price signals to 20 our customers, and will better align the interests of Piedmont and its 21 customers on energy conservation and efficiency programs. 22 0. Is the Company proposing other cost allocation and rate design changes for residential and commercial customers? 23

A. Yes. We are proposing to eliminate the existing value/standard rate structure for residential and commercial customers. That structure has been in place for several years and generally provides lower volumetric rates for customers who use gas on a higher annual load factor basis and higher volumetric rates for lower load factor customers. While this rate structure makes sense from an economic cost allocation perspective, it does run counter to our goal of helping customers use energy efficiently. As discussed more fully by Mr. Carpenter and Mr. Yardley, we believe the move to recover more fixed costs through fixed charges is a better cost allocation and rate design methodology with that goal in mind.

A.

Q. Can you describe the Company's proposed energy efficiency and conservation program?

Yes. In our filing, we propose to allocate \$500,000 a year, on a continuing basis, to a new energy efficiency and conservation program. This program would involve an energy education program for approximately 6,800 elementary school students within the greater Nashville metropolitan area served by Piedmont. The goal of the program is to educate elementary school students regarding the benefits and importance of using energy wisely and then obtain a pledge from them to encourage adoption of energy efficiency and conservation measures at home. This program is similar to programs implemented by other natural gas utilities across the country. The details of our proposed program are described by Ms. Powers.

Q. Is the Company proposing to change its depreciation rates?

A.

- A. Yes. We have recently retained Alliance Consulting Group to conduct an updated depreciation study regarding the useful life of our plant and the appropriate recovery of capital investment through depreciation expense.

 The results of that study, which benefit customers through lower depreciation rates, are contained in the testimony of Rhonda Watts.
 - Q. Is Piedmont proposing other changes to its Service Regulations and Tariffs?
 - Yes. We are proposing a number of other changes to our rate schedules and service regulations. These changes are discussed in the testimony of David Carpenter and are designed to accomplish several goals. First, Piedmont is proposing modifications to its tariffs to clarify the procedures for obtaining and changing service from Piedmont. Second, Piedmont is proposing to modify the period for the Company's seasonally differentiated rates and WNA rider in order to mitigate variability in customer usage during the months of October and April and to better conform Piedmont's WNA practices with those of the other distribution companies operating in Tennessee. Third, Piedmont is proposing to add a compressed natural gas vehicle fueling rate schedule to its tariff in order to provide for and promote the use of this abundant, domestic, and environmentally friendly energy source as a motor fuel.
 - Q. Is Piedmont proposing to provide natural gas distribution technology research and development funding for the Gas Technology Institute?

1	Α.	Yes. As discussed by Ms. Powers, Pleamont proposes to fund natural gas
2		distribution safety research and development programs sponsored by GT
3		in the amount of \$150,000 per year. This amounts to an average of \$0.08
4		per month per customer and would allow Piedmont and its customers to
5		participate in the funding of new technology to promote safe and reliable
6		natural gas service for current and future customers.
	I	

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes it does.

7

8