

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY



RECEIVED

2011 JUN 17 PM 3:42

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

460 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

Date: 6/17/2010

TO: Docket File

From: Patsy Fulton, Utilities Division *PF*

RE: **Docket No. 11-00020** Petition Of DSH & Associates, L.L.C. To Obtain
A CCN For The Service Of The Part Of Jefferson County, Tennessee
Known As Lakeside Estates

The attached e-mails were received from Wade Murphy and Woody Smith at Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation concerning Lakeside Estates, Jefferson County, Tennessee by TRA Staff on May 27, 2010 and June 13 & 14, 2010.

Patsy Fulton - Fwd: Re: LaFollette Utilities Lakeside Letter - SOP-07073

From: Wade Murphy
To: Fulton, Patsy
Date: 6/14/2011 10:26 AM
Subject: Fwd: Re: LaFollette Utilities Lakeside Letter - SOP-07073

Patsy, in conclusion, it looks like Evergreen Utility, Mr. Hodge, will have to install some additional capacity immediately in order to serve some houses that are built but for which there is no capacity for service. Let's discuss how we need to coordinate permit transfer and CCN issues. Thanks.

Wade
532-0666

>>> Woody Smith 6/13/2011 1:51 PM >>>

I spoke to Eddie Troxell of LaFollette just now. The final capacity of the system is of course 123,000 gpd. He said their current BUILT capacity is 7500 gpd average, 11,400 gpd peak, which they have exceeded on occasion. Currently the developer actually has one or more new houses finished or nearly finished which he is not being allowed to connect. They have even pursued putting these on pump and haul (which we have rejected) or a temporary drain field. So they need to build more capacity (which is already permitted and Eddie believes plans are approved for the whole system) in order to add more houses.

Eddie said you can call him on his cell phone if you wish, it is listed in WATERLOG under mobile.

>>> Wade Murphy 6/13/2011 1:41 PM >>>

Thanks Woody for the clarification but I would like to be clearer on one point. I appreciate you pointing out to everyone that Phase 1 puts more demand on the system than the original design planned, but TRA's concern is whether Evergreen Utility will have to immediately install additional capacity if the facility is transferred to them. What is the treatment/drip disposal capacity compared to the constructed demand?

Although it's not our concern, it may be of concern to the TRA relative to the tariff that the development is actually serving 6-bedroom rentals. We'll leave that for the TRA to consider.

Please advise about the constructed treatment/disposal capacity.

Thanks again.

Wade

>>> Woody Smith 6/13/2011 10:02 AM >>>

This letter means that this facility has already used up more of their capacity than would be expected for the number of houses. The permit was originally based on a certain number of houses, mostly 3 bedroom. The developer has built several VERY large houses, like 6 bedrooms, which use a LOT more water and sewer service. The plan calls for several more houses to be built, but they have already used up their capacity with these much bigger than planned super houses. These I believe are being rented to whole extended families or very large parties which are discharging way more than a normal house. LaFollette Utilities in fact has imposed a moratorium on new connections here.

>>> Wade Murphy 5/27/2011 5:31 PM >>>

Patsy, here is a copy of the letter we discussed this afternoon. I'll be glad to check with my field staff next week regarding the interpretation of the letter if you need me too. I think the letter means that the constructed capacity of the treatment and drip irrigation system is less than the 0.123 mgd permitted capacity. If that's true, once the permit is transferred to DHS, no additional permit action would be required for adding more treatment/disposal capability.

Let me know.

Wade
532-0666