1		<b>DOCKET # 10-00189</b>
2		<b>Tennessee American Water Company</b>
3		<b>AMENDED Testimony of John Hughes</b>
4		March 1, 2011
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name for the record.
7	A.	My name is John Hughes.
8		
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
LO	A.	I am employed by the Consumer Advocate and Protection
l1		Division ("Consumer Advocate" or "CAPD") in the office of the
L2		Attorney General for the State Of Tennessee ("Office") as a Financial
L3		Regulatory Analyst.
L4		
L5	Q.	How long have you been employed in conjunction with the
L6		Financial accounting industry?
L7	A.	I have been a Certified Public Accountant for eighteen years,
L8		practicing in both Tennessee and Florida. Before my current
L9		employment with the Office, I was employed in many phases of Public
20		Accounting. I have performed duties as a Staff Accountant, Auditor,
21		Audit Manager, Accounting Manager, Financial Planner and Analyst
22		Corporate Controller, and Tax Consultant. My most in depth area of

Page 1 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

1		concentration and experience over my career is corporate auditing and
2		taxation.
3		
4	Q.	What is your educational background and what degrees do you
5		hold?
6	A.	I hold a Bachelors Degree in Business Administration from the
7		University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with a major in Accounting. I
8		also hold a Master of Business Administration Degree in Finance
9		from Dowling College, Oakdale, New York. I am a current, licensed
LO		Tennessee Certified Public Accountant. Further, I hold a license as a
l1		Certified Financial Planner, valid in all states.
L2		
L3	Q.	Would you briefly describe your responsibilities as a Financial
L4		Regulatory Analyst with the Consumer Advocate?
L5	A.	I review tariffs filed by Tennessee public utilities that are subject
L6		to the jurisdiction of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA").
L7		Additionally, I prepare testimony and financial exhibits in rate
L8		proceedings as an employee with the Consumer Advocate.
L9		
20	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
21	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to forecast the Operating
22		Revenues of Tennessee American Water Company ("TAWC") using

Page 2 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

1	my work papers ("work papers of the CAPD") for the attrition yea
2	ending December 31, 2011.

3

4

### **SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

Q. Please summarize the results of the CAPD forecast of TAWC
 Revenues for the attrition year.

A. Based on TAWC's **current water rates**, those tariffs set by the TRA in Docket #08-00039, TAWC calculates its total attrition year revenues at \$37,296,457. The CAPD, however, calculates TWAC's attrition year revenues, using **current water rates**, at \$38,251,266. This difference of \$954,809 represents an **increase** over TAWC's revenue estimate, before even considering TAWC's requested rate increase.

14

15

16

17

Q. Please summarize the reasons why the CAPD projects \$954,809 more in operating revenues than TAWC, using current water rates.

18 A. There are two areas where the CAPD disagrees with TAWC's
19 calculations of operating revenue: First, TAWC includes in its
20 revenue forecast a Weather Normalization Adjustment ("WNA") that
21 the CAPD believes should be disregarded in calculating operating
22 revenue. The total WNA adjustment calculated for the year ending

Page 3 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TAWC Docket 10-00189, Exhibit 4, Schedule 1, Page 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CAPD R-Revenue Comparative Summary

December 31, 2011, is (\$318,523).<sup>3</sup> TAWC reduces its operating income by **subtracting** the WNA adjustment from its operating revenue estimates, greatly reducing the company's calculation of income. The CAPD disagrees with this treatment and objected to this same issue during the previous TAWC rate case, #08-00039.<sup>4</sup> As explained by CAPD witness William Hal Novak, the WNA model used by TAWC, is not appropriate because it does not reflect actual water use. Please refer to Mr. Novak's testimony in order to view a more detailed analysis of Mr. Novak's objections to TAWC's WNA model.<sup>5</sup>

Second, the CAPD's revenue forecast includes approximately \$636,286 due to an increased number of water meters and an increase in water volumes during the attrition year ending December 31, 2011<sup>6</sup>, derived by the CAPD's growth forecast. This growth forecast is supported by nearly seven years of historical trends and a later test period, neither of which is considered by TAWC in making their forecast. The CAPD uses trend analysis, which is "a statistical analysis method which refers to the concept of collecting information and attempting to spot a pattern in the information. In statistics, trend analysis often refers to techniques for extracting an underlying pattern of behavior in a time series which would otherwise be partly or nearly completely hidden by statistical noise." The Direct Testimony of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> TRA-01-Q013-Revenues Page 2 of 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> CAPD Buckner Direct Testimony, #08-00039, Page 23, Line 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> CAPD Novak Direct Testimony, #10-00189, pages 6 through 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Wordnetweb home page.Princeton.edu. glossarv.

TAWC does not indicate what forecasting method is used to determine Operating Revenues.

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

### **OPERATING REVENUES**

### Q. Please describe the components of your forecast for Operating Revenues.

CAPD's formula for forecasting Operating Revenues is to multiply monthly water rates by annualized volumes. The monthly rates are established by the TRA as set forth in TAWC's present tariff, as established in Docket #08-00039. The annualized volumes consist of two components: the *number* of water meters and the *volume* of water usage. Monthly water meter rates depend on the size of the water meter. The size of the water meter is defined by the diameter of the pipe supplying the meter, for example: 1.5 inches, 2.5 inches, etc. The monthly rates for water usage depend on the billed volume of cubic feet. There are several usage rates in the present tariff based on cubic feet, such as: the first 400 cf, the next 800 cf. etc., which are then applied to volumetric blocks as described above. These "billing determinants" determine most of TAWC's operating revenue. Additionally, there are six 'classes' of service: 1) residential, 2) commercial, 3) industrial, 4) other public authority, 5) other water utility, and 6) public and private fire service.

To add to the complexity of the calculations, within the residential and commercial service areas, there are five different locations: 1) Chattanooga, 2) Lookout Mountain, 3) Lakeview, 4)

Page 5 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

Suck Creek, and 5) Lone Oak. The industrial class area is limited to
Chattanooga. The Other Public Authority includes the residential
locations, less the community of Lone Oak.

TAWC also sells water to four other Water Utilities: Fort Oglethorpe (Georgia), Catoosa Utility District (Georgia), Signal Mountain, and Walden's Ridge, both in Tennessee. The Fire Service category is not broken down by location.

The final revenue category, Other Operating Revenues, is comprised of new service fees, late payment penalties, rent, sewer revenues, connection fees, and other miscellaneous fees. The CAPD has not calculated other miscellaneous revenues and, therefore, adopts the TAWC attrition year estimates for Other Operating Revenues.

Α.

### Q. Please describe the forecasting methods for the category Residential Operating Revenues.

The CAPD has adopted the test period for the year ending September 30, 2010 in order to forecast residential revenues and all other revenue categories. Utilizing a more recent test period produces less stale data and is more reflective of current trends. This is in contrast to the test period chosen by TAWC, ending March 31, 2010.

In order to forecast residential operating revenues, billing determinants were compiled monthly for the residential class. Then, those billing determinants were combined with data from previous TAWC rate cases filed in 2004 (04-00288), 2006 (06-00290), and

Page 6 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

2008 (08-00039). This previous data provides an excellent continuum of billing determinants for use in trend analysis. The residential billing determinants were calculated by trending the number of meters and water usage history from the twelve month period ending December 2004 through the twelve months ending September 2010. These billing determinants were used for the locations of Chattanooga, Lookout Mountain, Lakeview, and Suck Creek. For the community of Lone Oak, billing determinants from the period August, 2006 through September 2010 were used because earlier data was not available. Then, the trended billing determinants for the past years ending with the test year ending September 2010 were applied to present rates. This calculation yielded the billing determinants for the attrition year ending December 31, 2011. Those billing determinants were then multiplied by current water rates to calculate an attrition year total of \$15,925,215 in Residential Operating Revenue.

## Q. What is the difference in the calculation of Residential Operating Revenues used by TAWC and the CAPD?

A. TAWC adopted the test year ending March 31, 2010 in forecasting its Residential Operating Revenues. As a result, TAWC is forecasting Residential Operating Revenues of \$15,243,199 at present rates for the attrition year. TAWC's attrition year Residential Operating Revenues are restricted to minimal growth due to TAWC's Weather Normalization Adjustment ("WNA"). TAWC uses the WNA to **decrease** its attrition year Residential Operating Revenues

Page 7 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

by \$318,523.<sup>8</sup> As discussed previously, CAPD witness, Mr. Novak, has concluded that TAWC's WNA is not appropriate and should be disallowed. The CAPD, in contrast, does not use the WNA and calculates that attrition year Residential Operating Revenues will be \$15,925,215<sup>9</sup>. The resulting difference between TAWC and the CAPD's Residential Operating Revenues is \$682,016.<sup>10</sup>

### Q. Please explain the CAPD's forecasting methods for the category Commercial Operating Revenues.

A. The CAPD adopted the test period ending September 30, 2010 for its forecast of Commercial Operating Revenues. The billing determinants were calculated using trend analysis of the number of water meters and volume usage from the twelve month period beginning January 2004 through the twelve month period ending September 2010, for the billing regions of Chattanooga, Lookout Mountain, Lakeview and Suck Creek. The billing determinants were calculated using trend analysis of the number of water meters and volume usage from the twelve month period beginning August 2006 for the billing region of Lone Oak. The blended billing determinants of the CAPD test year were used and trend analysis applied to the attrition year using **current rates**, resulting in a CAPD calculation of \$11,737,629<sup>11</sup> for Commercial Operating Revenues.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> TRA-01-Q013-Revenues, Page 2 of 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, line 2.

# Q. What is the difference in the calculation of Commercial Operating Revenue used by TAWC and the CAPD?

A. TAWC again adopted the older test year ending March 31, 2010 and has forecasted Commercial Operating Revenues for the attrition year at \$11,534,347. Again, as in Residential calculations, TAWC Commercial Operating Revenues are reduced mainly by TAWC's Weather Normalization Adjustment (WNA). In contrast, the CAPD calculates the attrition year Commercial Operating Revenues to be \$11,737,629<sup>13</sup>, resulting in a difference of \$203,282.

10

11

12

**A**3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

## Q. Please describe the forecasting methods for the category Industrial Operating Revenues.

This revenue category includes only the Chattanooga billing region. As with the residential and commercial categories in Chattanooga, billing determinants were available as far back as January 2004, detailing a significant history. Those determinants were calculated using the CAPD test year ending September 30, 2010. Then those billing determinants were multiplied by **current** industrial class water rates and the result was the attrition year calculated Industrial Operating Revenues of \$3,520,697. TAWC's use of the older, more stale, test year data ending March 31, 2010, results in a

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, line 3.

calculation of Industrial Operating Revenue of \$3,401,964<sup>15</sup>. TAWC's calculation is a **Zero Percent** increase over its test year.

Per CAPD calculations, volume increased by 11% percent in just the six month period between April 1, 2010 and September 30, 2010, the most recent monthly data available. Again, this six month period was not included in TAWC's test year. Since industrial use is dependent on volume and not the number of meters for growth, TAWC has not captured the increasing growth of this class. TAWC's calculation of Industrial Operating Revenue for their test period was \$3,401,964. Using updated test year data, CAPD revenue estimates exceed TAWC's estimates by \$118,733<sup>17</sup>.

## Q. Please describe the forecast methods for the category Other Public Authority Operating Revenues.

A. The CAPD used the updated test year billing determinants ending September 30, 2010. When applied to current rates, the CAPD's forecast of Other Public Authority Operating Revenues is \$2,530,872.<sup>18</sup> TAWC factored in **no increase** to their older test year projection for the attrition year, resulting in \$2,556,253<sup>19</sup> in Other Public Authority Operating Revenues. Using trend analysis statistical techniques, CAPD estimates that this category's revenues will decline

Page 10 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, line 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> TAWC, Exhibit 4, Schedule 2, Page 7 of 13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, line 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> TRA-01-Q013-Revenues.

by \$25,381.<sup>20</sup> For example, Other Public Authority Operating Revenues volumetric billing determinants for the Chattanooga billing district have declined by calendar year beginning in 2004 from 1,216,889 cubic feet to 1,025,432 cubic feet in 2009.<sup>21</sup> This decline has been steady over the full calendar years 2004 through 2009. By using trend analysis on the historical billing determinants, the CAPD was able to detect a probable decline in volumes and a resulting decline in revenues.

# Q. Please describe the forecasting methods for the category Other Water Utility Operating Revenues.

A. The CAPD again used the more recent test year data from the year ending September 30, 2010. The class Other Water Utility Operating Revenues includes the utility districts of Fort Oglethorpe, Catoosa, Signal Mountain, and Walden's Ridge.

TAWC predicts that revenues in this class will remain constant from the older test year through the attrition year at \$1,308,493.<sup>22</sup> The CAPD, however, in contrast, predicts an increase in revenues during the attrition year to \$1,293,805.<sup>23</sup>

Once again, as in the previous example of Other Public Authority Operating Revenues, a change in volumetric usage by

Page 11 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Public Authority History; CAPD Work Paper R-OPA Trend.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 5.

Catoosa County during the CAPD newer test year ending September 30, 2010. The loss of business from Catoosa County, Georgia, is cited in testimony by TAWC witness John S. Watson. Catoosa Utility District Authority stopped purchasing water from TAWC in 2008 when it's contract expired. Catoosa's current water source is a spring. It is not known at this time if a subsequent contract will be negotiated due to drought conditions in the future.

Since Catoosa Utility District Authority had been purchasing 416,111 cubic feet of water annually, as recently as 2008, it's loss may exceed that predicted by the CAPD's trend analysis. On the opposite side of the equation, this loss frees up that much more existing capacity for TAWC to resell, without expanding production and its ensuing associated costs.

# Q. Please describe the forecasting methods for the category Private Fire Service Operating Revenues.

A. The CAPD used the historical billing determinants, as with other classes of service. In this particular class, the CAPD used the trend analysis technique on each meter pipe size to determine if any attrition year estimates should be changed. As a result, the CAPD determined that, although the **total** billing determinants were the same as the TAWC's forecast, different meter pipe sizes produced different forecast revenues. TAWC calculates that Private Fire Service

Page 12 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 24}$  TAWC Direct Testimony of witness John S. Watson, Q 33, Page 36, Line 25.

Operating Revenues will total \$1,735,066.<sup>25</sup> The CAPD calculates the amount to be \$1,725,873.<sup>26</sup> Therefore, the CAPD's trend analysis of Private Fire Service Operating Revenues is less than that of TAWC by \$9,193.<sup>27</sup> Again, this demonstrates the forward looking value of trend analysis.

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

### **SUMMARY**

## Q. Please summarize the CAPD's overall forecasts of comparative Operating Revenues.

10 A. The CAPD's forecast of overall Operating Revenues totals \$38,251,266<sup>28</sup>, which is \$954,809<sup>29</sup> greater than TAWC's forecast of \$37,296,457<sup>30</sup>.

Based on what is reported in TAWC's exhibits, there are several obvious differences between the CAPD and TAWC forecasts. First, when forecasting Residential Revenues, TAWC has only used growth/shrinkage factors on one meter size per revenue class. This does not capture what has historically occurred with the other meter sizes. The CAPD, in comparison, applied growth and/or shrinkage factors to **all** meter sizes in the residential class. This same difference continued in the volumetric calculations in the residential class where TAWC considered only two categories, whereas the CAPD

Page 13 Hughes, Direct

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> CAPD Work Paper R-Revenue Comparative Summary, Line 10

considered all cubic feet calculations in the volumetric calculations.

The CAPD has examined the 'bill analysis' referred to by TAWC witness Sheila A. Miller<sup>31</sup> and cannot determine any statistical method that was used to calculate future billing determinants, other than those few that were just discussed above.

Second, as discussed by CAPD expert witness William H. (Hal) Novak, TAWC's Weather Normalization Adjustment, unrealistically reduces TAWC's revenues. The CAPD holds that this reduction is not correct.

Third, the CAPD's use of trend analysis captures the likelihood of increases and decreases to billing determinants better than other statistical analysis techniques that *do not use past data*. Averaging, as is often used, does not foresee *future* changes. Trend analysis is a method that uses the benefit of historical information (which exists since 2003 to present for most of TAWC's billing determinate data) to spot future outcomes. The CAPD feels that this statistical technique is the best one suited to this docket and calculating attrition year values.

### Q. Does this conclude your testimony on Operating Revenues?

20 A. Yes it does.

 $^{\rm 31}$  TAWC Docket 10-00189 Direct testimony of Sheila A. Miller, page 5, Q. 12.

Page 14 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

1		<b>DOCKET # 10-00189</b>
2		<b>Tennessee American Water Company</b>
3		<b>AMENDMENT TO AMENDED Testimony of John Hughes</b>
4		March 1, 2011
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name for the record.
7	A.	My name is John Hughes.
8		
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
10	A.	I am employed by the Consumer Advocate and Protection
11		Division ("Consumer Advocate" or "CAPD") in the office of the
12		Attorney General for the State Of Tennessee ("Office") as a Financial
13		Regulatory Analyst.
14		
15	Q.	How long have you been employed in conjunction with the
16		Financial accounting industry?
17	A.	I have been a Certified Public Accountant for eighteen years,
18		practicing in both Tennessee and Florida. Before my current
19		employment with the Office, I was employed in many phases of Public
20		Accounting. I have performed duties as a Staff Accountant, Auditor,
21		Audit Manager, Accounting Manager, Financial Planner and Analyst,
22		Corporate Controller, and Tax Consultant. My most in depth area of

Page 1 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

1		concentration and experience over my career is corporate auditing and
2		taxation.
3		
4	Q.	What is your educational background and what degrees do you
5		hold?
6	A.	I hold a Bachelors Degree in Business Administration from the
7		University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with a major in Accounting. I
8		also hold a Master of Business Administration Degree in Finance
9		from Dowling College, Oakdale, New York. I am a current, licensed
LO		Tennessee Certified Public Accountant. Further, I hold a license as a
l1		Certified Financial Planner, valid in all states.
L2		
L3	Q.	Would you briefly describe your responsibilities as a Financial
L4		Regulatory Analyst with the Consumer Advocate?
L5	A.	I review tariffs filed by Tennessee public utilities that are subject
L6		to the jurisdiction of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA").
L7		Additionally, I prepare testimony and financial exhibits in rate
L8		proceedings as an employee with the Consumer Advocate.
L9		
20	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
21	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to forecast the Operating
22		Revenues of Tennessee American Water Company ("TAWC") using

Page 2 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

- my work papers ("work papers of the CAPD") for the attrition year
- ending December 31, 2011.
- 3 Q. Are you the John Hughes who previously filed direct testimony on
- January 5, 2011 and amended direct testimony on February 24,
- **2011?**
- 6 A. Yes, I am.

### **7** Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

- 8 A. The purpose of my testimony is to amend my pre-filed amended direct
- 9 testimony, filed on February 24, 2011, the forecasted financial
- exhibits (Exhibits of the Consumer Advocate) and certain work papers
- 11 (work papers of John Hughes) for Commercial Operating Income,
- Industrial Operating Income, and Other Water Utility Operating
- Income for the attrition year ending December 31, 2011.

### 14 Q. Please describe the first amendment.

- 15 A. The Commercial History Volumetric Determinants involving
- Lakeview was found to have an error. As a result, this error was
- 17 corrected, and the resulting commercial trend analysis and
- commercial summary work papers were corrected to incorporate the
- changes to the volumetric data. The summary attrition year revenue
- computations were corrected accordingly.

### 21 Q. Please describe the second amendment.

- 22 A. The Industrial Class was not trended and the testimony was corrected
- to reflect this change.

Page 3 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

- 1 Q. Please describe the final amendment.
- 2 A. The Other Water Utility Class was not trended and the testimony was
- 3 corrected to reflect this change.
- 4 Q. What are the required corrections to your testimony due to the
- 5 **amendments?**

13

- 6 A. Page 3, Line 10 should be \$38,251,266, not \$38,229,488.
- Page 3, Line 11 should be \$954,809, not\$933,031.
- Page 3, Line 15 should be \$954,809, not \$933,031.
- 9 Page 4, Line 12 should be \$636,286, not \$614,508.
- Page 8, Line 22 should be \$11,737,629, not \$11,715,851.
- Page 9, Line 9 should be \$11,737,629, not \$11,715,851.
- Page 9, Line 9 should be \$203,282, not \$181,504.
- This concludes the amendments to my amended testimony filed on
- February 24, 2011. Attached is my 2<sup>nd</sup> amended testimony.

Page 4 10-00189 Hughes, Direct

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION SUMMARY ATTRITION YEAR REVENUE COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET #10-00189

#### R-REVENUE COMPARATIVE SUMMARY

		3/31/2010	3/31/2010		Tennessee American Attrition Year @ P	' '	Consumer Advocate ar Attrition Year @ F	Over (Under) CAPD Forecast Revenues		
Line No.	No. <u>Class of Service</u>		Normalized Total Revenues		12/31/2011 Total Revenues	Percent Increase Over 3/31/2010	12/31/2011 Total Revenues			
1	Residential	\$ 15,613,436	\$ 15,159,653	\$	15,243,199	0.55% A/	\$ 15,925,215	5.05%	\$	(682,016)
2	Commercial	11,512,840	11,403,023		11,534,347	1.15% B/	11,737,629	2.93%		(203,282)
3	Industrial	3,400,242	3,401,964		3,401,964	0.00% C/	3,520,697	3.49%		(118,733)
4	Other Public Authority	2,556,475	2,556,253		2,556,253	0.00% D/	2,530,872	-0.99%		25,381
5	Other Water Utility	1,248,931	1,308,493		1,308,493	0.00% E/	1,293,805	-1.12%		14,688
6	Private Fire Service	1,721,772	1,721,772		1,735,066	0.77% F/	1,725,873	0.24%		9,193
7	Public Fire Service	(66)	-		-		40			(40)
8	Subtotal	\$ 36,053,630	\$ 35,551,158	\$	35,779,322	0.64%	\$ 36,734,131	3.33%	\$	(954,809)
9	Other Operating Revenues	1,517,219	1,512,788		1,517,135	0.29% G/	1,517,135	0.29%		-
10	Total Revenue \$ 37,570,849 \$ 37,063,946		\$	37,296,457	0.63%	\$ 38,251,266		\$	(954,809)	
	A/CAPD Work Paper P-PES SHMMARY		E/CAPD Work Pane	r P-OT	THED LITH SHIMMAD	<b>v</b>				

A/ CAPD Work Paper R-RES SUMMARY B/ CAPD Work Paper R-COMM SUMMARY C/ CAPD Work Paper R-IND SUMMARY D/ CAPD Work Paper R-OPA SUMMARY E/ CAPD Work Paper R-OTHER UTIL SUMMARY
F/ TAWC response to CAPD Part IV, #7.
G/ TAWC Other Operating Revenues -Attrotopm Yr at Present Rates

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION SUMMARY ATTRITION YEAR REVENUE COMPARATIVE

#### R-RESIDENTIAL HIST

Suck Creek					E	Billing Determ	inants - 2010	)						
Residential Class	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	12 MTD
Meter Size														
5/8"	208	213	209	210	212	211	209	213	212	-	-	-	1,896	2,530
3/4"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	9	12
1 1/2"													-	-
2"													-	-
3"													-	-
4"													-	-
6"													-	-
8"													-	-
Total Meters	209	214	210	211	213	212	210	214	213	-	-	-	1,905	2,542
Volumetric Rates														
First 100 Cubic Feet	386	392	386	387	389	395	395	408	399	_	-	-	3,536	4,690
Next 100 Cubic Feet	767	709	661	685	739	887	840	957	804	-	-	-	7,049	8,990
In Excess of 200 Cubic Feet	104	85	64	92	577	222	168	225	204	-	-	-	1,741	2,007
Total Volumetric	1,256	1,186	1,111	1,164	1,706	1,503	1,403 PAGE 31	1,590	1,407	-	-	-	12,326	15,687

#### ORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE ATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION N YEAR REVENUE COMPARATIVE

#### R-COMMERCIAL HIST

	Billing Determinants - 2010													
	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	12 MTD
	5,098	4,831	5,686	4,718	4,820	5,344	5,076	5,350	4,768	-	-	-	45,690	61,071
	137	123	152	128	121	144	132	139	125	-	-	-	1,199	1,604
	1,394	1,312	1,514	1,341	1,323	1,496	1,412	1,470	1,372	-	-	-	12,633	16,830
	355	339	374	346	343	371	355	361	349	-	-	-	3,191	4,259
	1,058	1,013	1,110	1,039	1,015	1,096	1,059	1,077	1,044	-	-	-	9,511	12,708
	35	33	31	31	35	36	34	36	39	-	-	-	309	429
	24	24	24	24	24	25	25	25	25	-	-	-	220	292
	12	12	12	12	14	13	13	13	13	-	-	-	114	150
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	18	24
	8,115	7,688	8,905	7,640	7,696	8,526	8,108	8,473	7,736	-	-	-	72,887	97,368
Rates														
	20,562	19,298	22,538	20,307	20,649	23,070	22,305	23,191	21,674	-	-	-	193,593	258,654
:	90,337	81,810	94,685	91,892	94,403	109,399	109,880	112,697	111,137	-	-	-	896,240	1,184,138
et	122,549	109,913	118,874	128,567	125,351	145,068	156,107	159,202	160,041	-	-	-	1,225,672	1,597,510
et	62,953	56,914	55,517	70,584	67,640	71,881	88,287	83,613	93,258	-	-	-	650,647	843,789
Feet	434	3,993	576	-	-	-	832	1,168	3,074	-	-	-	10,077	10,141
bic Feet	296,836	271,928	292,190	311,350	308,042	349,418	377,410	379,871	389,184	_	_	_	2,976,229	3,894,232
		,020	,.00	2,000		AGE 46	2,	2.0,011	220,101				_,::0,==0	-,,=0=

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION SUMMARY ATTRITION YEAR REVENUE COMPARATIVE Chattapoors

#### R-INDUSTRIAL HIST

Chattanooga					Е	Billing Determ	inants - 2010	)						
Industrial Class	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	12 MTD
Meter Size														
5/8"	22	21	27	18	21	23	22	26	18	-	-	-	198	264
3/4"	2	2	3	1	2	2	2	3	1	-	-	-	18	24
1"	23	21	25	20	20	22	21	23	19	-	-	-	194	263
1 1/2"	6	6	7	5	6	6	6	7	5	-	-	-	54	72
2"	82	81	87	79	81	84	82	86	78	-	-	-	739	985
3"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4"	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	-	-	-	153	204
6"	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	45	60
8"													-	
Total Meters	157	153	171	145	152	159	155	167	143	-	-	-	1,402	1,874
Volumetric Rates														
First 400 Cubic Feet	404	393	458	375	398	415	410	457	385	-	-	-	3,695	4,927
Next 6,100 Cubic Feet	4,143	4,118	4,209	4,091	4,081	4,155	4,264	4,251	4,156	-	-	-	37,469	49,783
Next 43,500 Cubic Feet	19,147	19,184	18,834	19,873	19,656	19,827	19,564	19,622	19,807	-	-	-	175,514	230,927
Next 450,000 Cubic Feet	68,677	67,945	68,752	71,896	72,528	73,093	76,695	78,058	82,153	-	-	-	659,797	872,926
Next 1,000,000 Cubic Feet	53,508	57,265	58,224	63,550	62,202	62,452	66,558	65,472	68,749	-	-	-	557,980	729,312
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet	52,750	50,760	53,918	69,545	72,280	70,039	74,937	74,744	82,995	-	-	-	601,968	775,046
Total Volumetric	198,629	199,665	204,395	229,331	231,145	229,981	242,428	242,604	258,245	-	-	-	2,036,423	2,662,922

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE & PROTECTION DIVISION OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY BILLING DETERMINANTS HISTORY TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-00189

R-PUBLIC AUTHORITY HIST

ıkeview						Billing Determ								
her Public Authority	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	
Meter Size														
5/8"	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60	
3/4"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	12	
1 1/2"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	12	
2"	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	24	
3"													-	
4"													-	
6"													-	
8"		•								•	•	•	-	
Total Meters	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	108	
Volumetric Rates														
rst 400 Cubic Feet	12	16	20	17	18	16	17	17	17	16	16	14	196	
ext 6,100 Cubic Feet	118	131	177	138	128	122	63	76	134	144	164	133	1,528	
ext 43,500 Cubic Feet	131	77	29	39	79	77	-	10	116	33	161	104	856	
ext 450,000 Cubic Feet													-	
ext 1,000,000 Cubic Feet													-	
Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet													-	
Total Volumetric	261	224	226	194	225	215	80	103	267	193	341	251	2,580	
keview					E	Billing Determ	inants - 201	10						
ner Public Authority	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	1
Meter Size														
5/8"	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	45	
3/4"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	9	
1 1/2"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	9	
2"	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	18	
3"													-	
4"													-	
													-	
6"														
8"													-	
	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	-	-	-	- 81	
8"	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	-	-	-	- 81	
8" Total Meters Volumetric Rates	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	-	-	-	- 81 132	
8" Total Meters  Volumetric Rates st 400 Cubic Feet										- - -	-	- - -		
8" Total Meters	13	15	13	17	11	14	17	13	19	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	132	
8" Total Meters  Volumetric Rates st 400 Cubic Feet ext 6,100 Cubic Feet	13 130	15 137	13 142	17 134	11 113	14 148	17 141	13 157	19 185		- - -		132 1,287	
8" Total Meters  Volumetric Rates  st 400 Cubic Feet xt 6,100 Cubic Feet xt 43,500 Cubic Feet xt 450,000 Cubic Feet xt 1,000,000 Cubic Feet	13 130	15 137	13 142	17 134	11 113	14 148	17 141	13 157	19 185	-	-		132 1,287	
8" Total Meters  Volumetric Rates  st 400 Cubic Feet ext 6,100 Cubic Feet ext 43,500 Cubic Feet	13 130	15 137	13 142	17 134	11 113	14 148	17 141	13 157	19 185				132 1,287	

PAGE 87

### TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY TRA DOCKET #10-00189 OTHER WATER UTILITY BILLING DETERMINANTS HISTORY

#### R-OTHER WATER UTILITY HIST

Chattanooga					E	Billing Deter	minants - 20	010						
Other Water Utility Class	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	12 MTD
Meter Size														
5/8"													-	
3/4"													-	
1"													-	
1 1/2"													-	
2"													-	
3"													-	
4" 6"													-	
8"													-	
Total Meters	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Volumetric Rates														
Ft. Oglethorpe	44,288	35,241	33,462	37,409	33,378	36,723	41,485	36,056	39,630	-	_	-	337,672	452,871
Catoosa	-	1,300	2,081	-	2,085	105	4,140	2,575	1,115	-	-	-	13,401	29,430
Signal Mountain	31,110	30,349	27,467	34,149	36,085	35,108	48,755	49,259	53,465	-	-	-	345,747	429,050
Walden's Ridge	36,335	33,561	30,437	30,222	32,716	40,392	43,220	44,023	47,864	-	-	-	338,770	421,330
Total Volumetric	111,733	100,451	93,447	101,780	104,264	112,328	137,600	131,913	142,074	-	-	-	1,035,590	1,332,681

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION SUMMARY ATTRITION YEAR REVENUE COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET #10-00189

Chattanooga Private Fire Service	January	February	March	April	May	Billing Deteri June
Meter Size 1" 1 1/2" 2" 2 1/2" 3" 4" 6" 8" 10" 12" Total Meters						
Chattanooga Private Fire Service	January	February	March	April	May	Billing Deteri June
Meter Size 1" 1 1/2" 2" 2 1/2" 3" 4" 6" 8" 10" 12" Total Meters						
Chattanooga Private Fire Service	January	February	March	April	May	Billing Deteri June
Meter Size 1" 1 1/2" 2" 2 1/2" 3" 4" 6" 8"						

10" 12" Total Meters

Chattanooga Private Fire Service	January	February	March	April	May	Billing Deteri June
Meter Size 1" 1 1/2" 2" 2 1/2" 3" 4" 6" 8" 10" 12" Total Meters						
Chattanooga Private Fire Service	January	February	March	April	May	Billing Deteri June
Meter Size 1" 1 1/2" 2" 2 1/2" 3" 4" 6" 8" 10" 12" Total Meters						
Chattanooga Private Fire Service	April	May	June	July	August	Billing Deteri September
Meter Size 1" 1 1/2" 2" 2 1/2" 3" 4" 6" 8" 10"						

12" Total Meters

#### **R-PRIVATE FIRE SERVICE HIST**

minants - 2003

July August September October November December Total

minants - 2004

July August September October November December Total

minants - 2005

July August September October November December Total

1 13

1

2

80 730

292

15	
19	
1,153	

:		-4-	_	^	20
mı	naı	nts	- 2	Ul	Jb

August	September	October	November	December	Total
					2
					14
					2
					4
					80
					814
					292
					15
					19
					1,242
					,
	August	August September	August September October	August September October November	August September October November December

### minants - 2007

July August September October November December Total

### minants - March 2008

### OFFICE O TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY CONSUMETRA DOCKET #10-00189 RESIDENTRESIDENTIAL BILLING DETERMINANTS COMPARATIVE **TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-00189**

### **BILLING DETERMINANTS** TREND ANALYSIS

#### R-RESIDENTIAL TREND

12/31/2011

			Lakeview						
	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/		B/
Meter Size	12/31/2004	12/31/2005	12/31/2006	12/31/2007	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	9/30/2010	12/31/2011	<b>TAWC</b>
5/8"	32,896	32,805	33,015	33,555	33,806	33,560	33,077	33,684	33,672
3/4"	12	18	12	3	-	-	-	-	-
1"	46	48	48	48	48	41	37	39	38
1 1/2"									
2"									
3"									
4"									
6"									
8"									
Total Meters	32,954	32,871	33,075	33,606	33,854	33,602	33,114	33,723	33,710
Volumetric Rates									
First 400 Cubic Feet	108,308	108,914	108,632	109,270	108,863	107,601	106,934	107,424	108,092
Next 6,100 Cubic Feet	87,364	88,005	84,165	85,138	82,482	77,981	76,461	75,063	73,824
Next 43,500 Cubic Feet	2,111	1,510	1,251	814	838	1,172	627	368	704
Next 450,000 Cubic Feet	- -								
Next 1,000,000 Cubic Feet									
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet									
Total Volumetric	197,783	198,429	194,048	195,222	192,183	186,754	184,022	182,855	182,620

A/ CAPD Work Papers R-RESIDENTIAL HIST, 9/30/10 normalized per TAWC response to Consumer Advocate DR #12 (exclusive of WNA). B/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 2, Page 2 of 13, Lines 15 and 24

# OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE & PROTECTIVE DIVISION COMMERCIAL BILLING DETERMINANTS COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-00189

### BILLING DETERMINANTS TREND ANALYSIS

#### **AMENDED R-COMMERCIAL TREND**

12/31/2011

			Lakeview						
	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/		B/
Meter Size	12/31/2004	<u>12/31/2005</u>	<u>12/31/2006</u>	12/31/2007	<u>12/31/2008</u>	<u>12/31/2009</u>	9/30/2010	<u>12/31/2011</u>	TAWC
5/8"	1,957	1,833	1,839	1,707	1,676	1,231	1,639	1,639	1,641
3/4"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1"	218	216	228	229	222	162	213	213	234
1 1/2"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2"	106	96	88	92	84	63	84	84	84
3"					-	-	-	0	
4"					-	-	-	0	
6"					-	-	-	0	
8"					-	-	-	0	
Total Meters	2,281	2,145	2,155	2,028	1,982	1,456	1,936	1,939	1,959
Volumetric Rates									
First 400 Cubic Feet	6,207	5,650	5,455	5,350	5,216	3,733	4,831	4,831	5,034
Next 6,100 Cubic Feet	17,322	16,414	15,068	13,817	12,385	8,446	11,951	11,951	11,542
Next 43,500 Cubic Feet	10,616	7,296	7,917	7,954	6,661	5,021	6,827	6,827	6,164
Next 450,000 Cubic Feet	134	84	228	167	157	-	240	240	-
Next 1,000,000 Cubic Feet					-	-	-		
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet					-	-	-		
Total Volumetric	34,279	29,444	28,668	27,288	24,419	17,200	23,849	23,849	22,740

Lakoviow

A/ CAPD Work Papers R-COMERCIAL HIST, 9/30/10 normalized per TAWC response to Consumer Advocate DR #12 (exclusive of WNA). B/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 2, Page 5 of 13, Lines 15 and 24

# OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE & PROTECTION DIVISION INDUSTRI INDUSTRIAL BILLING DETERMINANTS COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-00189

### BILLING DETERMINANTS TREND ANALYSIS

#### R-INDUSTRIAL TREND

12/31/2011

Chattanooga

	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/		B/
Meter Size	12/31/2004	12/31/2005	12/31/2006	12/31/2007	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	9/30/2010	12/31/2011	<b>TAWC</b>
5/8"	301	276	283	280	270	264	264	255	268
3/4"	36	34	23	24	24	24	24	19	25
1"	348	329	304	302	294	306	263	261	271
1 1/2"	72	83	84	84	84	81	72	80	79
2"	1,108	1,059	1,013	1,021	988	987	985	943	979
3"	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	(1)	-
4"	299	292	276	267	230	209	204	181	203
6"	60	59	63	68	84	67	60	72	61
8"	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
Total Meters	2,225	2,134	2,046	2,046	1,975	1,938	1,874	1,809	1,886
Volumetric Rates									
First 400 Cubic Feet	5,838	5,663	5,588	5,400	5,332	5,073	4,927	4,788	4,987
Next 6,100 Cubic Feet	59,048	56,337	56,123	54,943	54,268	50,073	49,783	48,131	48,999
Next 43,500 Cubic Feet	260,422	254,468	255,560	250,126	235,631	223,316	230,927	218,908	220,492
Next 450,000 Cubic Feet	1,012,009	1,000,627	1,021,497	1,036,716	984,772	865,244	872,925	864,087	856,123
Next 1,000,000 Cubic Feet	794,066	791,350	873,991	907,244	884,704	696,181	729,312	757,248	691,165
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet	551,378	869,307	1,065,096	976,976	815,058	646,326	775,046	811,784	741,482
Total Volumetric	2,682,761	2,977,752	3,277,855	3,231,405	2,979,765	2,486,213	2,662,921	2,704,946	2,563,248

A/ CAPD Work Papers R-INDUSTRIAL HIST B/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 2, Page 7 of 13, Lines 15 and 24

# OFFICE O TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY CONSUMETRA DOCKET #10-00189 COMMER(OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY BILLING DETERMINANTS COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-00189

### BILLING DETERMINANTS TREND ANALYSIS

**R-OPA TREND** 

12/31/2011

Lookout Mountain

	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/		B/
Meter Size	12/31/2004	12/31/2005	12/31/2006	12/31/2007	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	9/30/2010	12/31/2011	<b>TAWC</b>
5/8"	132	137	149	158	144	156	164	168	158
3/4"	-	-	4	12	11	15	16	21	16
1"	108	108	127	121	110	127	132	133	130
1 1/2"	12	12	11	12	11	12	12	12	12
2"	83	83	75	72	66	72	72	65	72
3"									
4"									
6"									
8"									
Total Meters	335	340	366	375	343	382	397	398	388
Volumetric Rates									
First 400 Cubic Feet	739	757	835	967	813	845	892	926	861
Next 6,100 Cubic Feet	4,796	4,974	5,683	6,794	5,095	5,495	5,160	5,673	5,226
Next 43,500 Cubic Feet	2,196	3,834	3,624	5,311	3,371	3,424	2,476	3,464	3,172
Next 450,000 Cubic Feet	-	-	-	-	-	648	648	660	648
Next 1,000,000 Cubic Feet									
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet									
Total Volumetric	7,731	9,565	10,142	13,072	9,279	10,411	9,176	10,723	9,907

A/ CAPD Work Papers R-PUBLIC AUTHORITY HIST B/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 2, Page 9 of 13, Lines 36 and 45

# OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE & PROTECTION DIVISION OTHER WATER UTILITY BILLING DETERMINANTS COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-00189

BILLING DETERMINANTS
TREND ANALYSIS

AMENDED R-OTHER WATER UTILITY TREND

12/31/2011

#### Chattanooga

Chattanooga Other Water Utility Class

Other Water Othicy Olass									
	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/		B/
Meter Size	12/31/2004	12/31/2005	12/31/2006	12/31/2007	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	9/30/2010	12/31/2011	<b>TAWC</b>
5/8"									
3/4"									
1"									
1 1/2"									
2"							12	12	12
3"							12	12	12
							20	-	-
4"							36	36	36
6"									
8"	-	-	-	-	-			-	-
Total Meters	-	-	-	-	-		48	48	48
Volumetric Rates									
First 400 Cubic Feet									
Next 6,100 Cubic Feet									
Ft. Oglethorpe	-	107,906	483,455	497,607	506,108	436,299	452,871	452,871	469,025
Catoosa	-	35,411	369,170	508,501	403,962	45,745	29,430	29,430	27,241
Signal Mountain	-	81,561	467,096	520,258	486,872	369,265	429,050	429,050	415,455
Walden's Ridge	-	· -	376,073	470,456	505,226	384,981	421,330	421,330	434,358
SFR	1,337,767	1,046,319	-	-	,	,	,	,	- ,
Total Volumetric	1,337,767	1,271,197	1,695,794	1,996,822	1,902,168	1,236,290	1,332,681	1,332,681	1,346,079
. G.G G.Gillottio	.,551,101	.,=,	.,550,701	.,000,022	.,552,100	.,_00,_00	.,552,661	.,552,551	.,5.5,0.0

A/ CAPD Work Papers R-OTHER WATER UTILITY HIST B/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 2, Page 11 of 13, Lines 15 and 28

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE & PROTECTIVE DIVISION RESIDENTIAL REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-00189

#### RESIDENTIAL REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE **R-RES COMPARATIVE** Chattanooga Meter Charges TAWC Over **TAWC TAWC** CAPD CAPD (Under) CAPD Present Billing Revenue Billing Revenue Revenue Meter Rates Determinates Forecast Determinates Forecast Forecast Size A/ B/ 5/8" \$ 10.88 724,498 \$ 7,882,538 728,218 \$ 7,923,012 \$ (40,474)3/4" 1,089 18.28 19,907 1,183 21,629 (1,722)1" 30.41 1,949 59,269 2,055 62,490 (3,221)1 1/2" 60.85 207 12,596 224 13,633 (1,037)2" 97.35 114 11.098 89 8.694 2.404 3" 182.53 183 183 1 4" 6" 8" \$ 7,985,591 \$ 8,029,639 \$ Total 727,858 731.770 (44,048)TAWC Over Volumetric Rates TAWC TAWC CAPD CAPD (Under) CAPD Billing Revenue Present Revenue Billing Revenue Rates Determinates Forecast Determinates Forecast Forecast First 400 Cubic Feet 0.202 2,294,987 \$ 463,587 2,276,511 \$ 459,855 \$ 3,732 Next 6.100 Cubic Feet 3.207 1,546,547 4,959,776 1,661,355 5,327,965 (368, 189)125,837 Next 43,500 Cubic Feet 2.015 62,450 74,312 149,739 (23,902)Next 450.000 Cubic Feet 1.506 2,540 3,825 5,916 8,909 (5,084)Next 1,000,000 Cubic Feet 1.151 All Over 1.500.000 Cubic Feet 0.684 \$ 5,946,469 \$ Total 3,906,524 \$ 5,553,026 4,018,094 (393,443)Total Residential \$13,538,616 \$ 13,976,108 \$ (437, 492)

A/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 2, Page 1 of 13, Lines 15 and 24. B/ CAPD Work Paper, R-RESIDENTIAL TREND

### CONSUMER ADVOCATE & PROTECTION DIVISION COMMERCIAL REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCI

#### **R-COMM COMPARATIVE**

			s	uck Cree	k				
Meter Charges  Meter  Size	Present Rates	TAWC Billing Determinates A/	Re	AWC evenue orecast	CAPD Billing Determinates B/	R	CAPD evenue orecast	(Und Re	VC Over er) CAPD evenue orecast
5/8"	\$ 23.70	AV 49	\$	1,161	ь/ 48	\$	1,138	\$	24
3/4"	23.70	-	Ψ	1,101	-	Ψ	1,130	Ψ	-
1"	23.70	<u>-</u>		-	_		_		_
1 1/2"	23.70	<u>-</u>		_	-		_		_
2"	23.70	_		_	-		_		_
_ 3"	23.70	-		-	-		-		-
4"	23.70	-		-	-		_		
6"	23.70	-		-	-		-		
8"	23.70	-		-	-		-		
Total		49	\$	1,161	48	\$	1,138	\$	24
Volumetric Rates	Present	TAWC Billing		AWC evenue	CAPD Billing		CAPD evenue	(Und	VC Over er) CAPD evenue
	Rates	Determinates		precast	Determinates		orecast		recast
First 100 Cubic Feet	\$ -	39	\$	-	27	\$	_	\$	-
Ocer 100 Cubic Feet	3.423	58	•	199	23	·	78	•	121
	2.567	49		126	48		124		2
First 400 Cubic Feet	-	-		-	-		-		-
Next 6,100 Cubic Feet	-	-		-	-		-		-
Next 43,500 Cubic Feet	-	-		-	-		-		-
Total		146	\$	324	98	\$	202	\$	123
Total Commercial			\$	1,486		\$	1,339	\$	146

A/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 2, Page 5 of 13, Lines 15 and 26. B/ CAPD Work Paper, R-COMMERCIAL TREND

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE & PROTECTION DIVISION INDUSTRIAL REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-00189

#### R-IND COMPARATIVE

			Chattanooga			
Meter Charges						TAWC Over
		TAWC	TAWC	CAPD	CAPD	(Under) CAPD
	Present	Billing	Revenue	Billing	Revenue	Revenue
Meter	Rates	Determinates	Forecast	Determinates	Forecast	Forecast
Size		Α/		В/		
5/8"	\$ 10.88	268	\$ 2,916	255	\$ 2,774	
3/4"	18.28	25	457	19	347	110
1"	30.41	271	8,241	261	7,937	304
1 1/2"	60.85	79	4,807	80	4,868	` ,
2" 3"	97.35	979	95,306	943	91,801	3,505
3 4"	182.53 304.23	-	- 04 750	(1)	(183	•
6"	304.23 608.47	203 61	61,759 37,117	181 72	55,066 43,810	
8"	973.63	01	37,117	12	43,010	(6,693)
Total	973.03	1,886	\$ 210,602	1,810	\$ 206,421	\$ 4,181
Total		1,000	Ψ 210,002	1,010	Ψ 200,721	Ψ,101
Volumetric Rates						TAWC Over
		TAWC	TAWC	CAPD	CAPD	(Under) CAPD
	Present	Billing	Revenue	Billing	Revenue	Revenue
	Rates	Determinates	Forecast	Determinates	Forecast	Forecast
First 400 Cubic Feet	\$ 0.202	4,987	\$ 1,007	4,788	\$ 967	\$ 40
Next 6,100 Cubic Feet	3.207	48,999	157,140	48,131	154,356	2,784
Next 43,500 Cubic Feet	2.015	220,492	444,291	218,908	441,100	3,192
Next 450,000 Cubic Feet	1.506	856,123	1,289,321	864,087	1,301,315	(11,994)
Next 1,000,000 Cubic Feet	1.151	691,165	795,531	757,248	871,592	(76,062)
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet	0.684	741,482	507,174	811,784	555,260	(48,087)
Total		2,563,248	\$ 3,194,464	2,704,946	\$ 3,324,591	\$ (130,126)
Total Industrial			\$ 3,405,066		\$ 3,531,011	\$ (125,945)

A/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 2, Page 7 of 13, Lines 15 and 24. B/ CAPD Work Paper, R-INDUSTRIAL TREND

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE & PROTECTION DIVISION COMMERCIAL REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-001

### R-OPA COMPARATIVE

#### **Lookout Mountain**

		_				
Meter Charges						TAWC Over
		TAWC	TAWC	CAPD	CAPD	(Under) CAPD
	Present	Billing	Revenue	Billing	Revenue	Revenue
Meter	Rates	Determinates	Forecast	Determinates	Forecast	Forecast
Size		A/		B/		
5/8"	\$12.21	158	\$ 1,929	168	\$ 2,051	\$ (122)
3/4"	18.28	16	292	21	386	(93)
1"	30.41	130	3,953	133	4,036	(83)
1 1/2"	60.85	12	730	12	712	18
2"	97.35	72	7,009	65	6,341	668
3"	-	-	-	-	-	-
4"	-	-	-	-	-	
6"	-	-	-	-	-	
8"	-	-	-	-	-	
Total		388	\$ 13,914	399	\$ 13,527	\$ 387
Volumetric Rates						TAWC Over
		TAWC	TAWC	CAPD	CAPD	(Under) CAPD
	Present	Billing	Revenue	Billing	Revenue	Revenue
	Rates	Determinates	Forecast	Determinates	Forecast	Forecast
First 400 Cubic Feet	\$0.753	861	\$ 648	926	\$ 697	\$ (49)
Next 6,100 Cubic Feet	4.131	5,226	21,589	5,673	23,435	(1,846)
Next 43,500 Cubic Feet	2.939	3,172	9,323	3,464	10,181	(858)
Next 450,000 Cubic Feet	2.055	648	1,332	660	1,356	(25)
Next 1,000,000 Cubic Feet	-	-	-	-	-	`-
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total		9,907	\$ 32,891	10,723	\$ 35,670	\$ (2,778)
Total Other Public Authority		·	\$ 46,805	·	\$ 49,197	\$ (2,391)
•						

A/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 2, Page 10 of 13, Lines 15 and 24. B/ CAPD Work Paper, R-OPA TREND

#### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNNESSEE **CONSUMER ADVOCATE & PROTECTION DIVISION** OTHER WATER UTILITY REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-00189

#### AMENDED R-OTHER WATER UTIL COMPARATIVE

			Chattanoog	ja						
Meter Charges Meter Size		esent Rates	TAWC Billing Determinates A/	R	TAWC evenue orecast	CAPD Billing Determinates B/	R	CAPD evenue orecast	(Und	VC Over ler) CAPD evenue orecast
5/8"	\$	10.88	-	\$	-	-	\$	-	\$	-
3/4"		18.28	-		-	-		-		-
1"		30.41	-		-	-		-		-
1 1/2"		60.85	-		-	-		-		-
2"		97.35	12		1,181	12		1,168		13
3"		182.53	-		-	-		-		-
4"		304.23	36		10,993	36		10,952		41
6"		608.47	-		-	-		-		-
8"		973.53	-		-	-		-		-
Total			48	\$	12,174	48	\$	12,120	\$	54
Volumetric Rates		esent Rates	TAWC Billing Determinates	R	ΓAWC evenue orecast	CAPD Billing Determinates	R	CAPD evenue orecast	(Und	WC Over ler) CAPD evenue orecast
First 400 Cubic Feet	\$	0.202	5	\$	1	-	\$	_	\$	1
Next 6,100 Cubic Feet	•	3.207	1		3	-	·	-	·	3
Next 43,500 Cubic Feet		2.015	_		-	-		-		-
Next 450,000 Cubic Feet		1.506	_		-	-		-		-
										-
Next 1,000,000 Cubic Feet		1.151	-		-	-		-		
Next 1,000,000 Cubic Feet All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet			-		-	-		-		-
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet		1.151	- - 469,025		- - 438,679	- - 452,871		- - 423,570		- 15,109
		1.151 0.684	- - 469,025 27,241		- - 438,679 25,479	- - 452,871 29,430		- 423,570 27,526		- 15,109 (2,047)
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet Fort Oglethorpe		1.151 0.684 0.9353	,			,		,		,
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet Fort Oglethorpe Catoosa County		1.151 0.684 0.9353 0.9353	27,241		25,479	29,430		27,526		(2,047)
All Over 1,500,000 Cubic Feet Fort Oglethorpe Catoosa County Signal Mountain		1.151 0.684 0.9353 0.9353 0.8977	27,241 415,455		25,479 372,954	29,430 429,050		27,526 385,158	\$	(2,047) (12,204)

A/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 2, Page 11 of 13, Lines 15 and 28 B/ CAPD Work Paper, R-OTHER WATER UTILITY TREND

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE & PROTECTION DIVISION PRIVATE FIRE REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET # 10-00189

### R-PRIVATE FIRE SERVICE COMPARATIVE

### Chattanooga

Meter Charges						TAWC Over
		TAWC	TAWC	CAPD	CAPD	(Under) CAPD
	Present	Billing	Revenue	Billing	Revenue	Revenue
Meter	Rates	Determinates	Forecast	Determinates	Forecast	Forecast
Size						
1"	\$ 28.34	-	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -
1 1/2"	63.92	1	64	1	64	-
2"	113.88	16	1,822	14	1,594	228
2 1/2"	173.35	1	173	1	169	
3"	255.53	2	511	1	256	256
4"	511.71	107	54,753	108	55,265	(512)
6"	1,022.61	891	911,146	904	924,439	(13,294)
8"	2,046.96	307	628,417	299	612,041	16,376
10"	3,070.65	17	52,201	15	46,060	6,141
12"	4,094.54	21	85,985	21	85,985	-
Total		1,363	\$1,735,072	1,364	\$1,725,873	\$ 9,195

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION RESIDENTIAL REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET #10-00189

### R-RES SUMMARY

	TAWC		CAPD		Di	fference
Chattanooga	\$ 13,538,616	A/	\$ 13,976,108	A/	\$	(437,492)
Lookout Mountain	942,636	A/	1,065,011	A/		(122,375)
Lakeview	715,044	A/	720,212	A/		(5,168)
Lone Oak	64,618	A/	67,113	A/		(2,495)
Suck Creek	95,003	A/	96,771	A/		(1,768)
Total Residential	\$ 15,355,918		\$ 15,925,215		\$	(569,298)
Corrections and Allowances	(113,625)					(113,625)
Tolerance Factor/Rounding	906					906
Total Net Residential Revenues	\$ 15,243,199	B/	\$ 15,925,215		\$	(682,017)
A/CARD Work Danors B BES C	)					

A/ CAPD Work Papers, R-RES COMPARATIVE B/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 1, Page 1 of 1, Line 5

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION COMMERCIAL REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET #10-00189

### R-COMM SUMMARY

	TAWC		CAPD		D	ifference
Chattanooga	\$11,294,808	A/	\$11,456,567	A/	\$	(161,759)
Lookout Mountain	145,078	A/	184,052	A/		(38,974)
Lakeview	91,862	A/	94,727	A/		(2,865)
Lone Oak	562	A/	944	A/		(382)
Suck Creek	1,486	A/	1,339	A/		147
Total Commercial	\$11,533,796		\$11,737,629		\$	(203,834)
Corrections and Allowances	416					416
Tolerance Factor	135					135
Total Net Commercial Revenues	\$11,534,347	B/	\$ 11,737,629		\$	(203,283)
A/ CAPD Work Papers, R-COMM B/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule						

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION INDUSTRIAL REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET #10-00189

### **R-IND SUMMARY**

	TAWC	CAPD	Difference
Chattanooga	\$ 3,405,066 A/	\$ 3,520,697 A/	\$(115,631)
Total Industrial	\$ 3,405,066	\$ 3,520,697	\$ (115,631)
Corrections and Allowances	(3,032)		(3,032)
Tolerance Factor	(70)		(70)
Total Net Industrial Revenues	\$ 3,401,964 B/	\$ 3,520,697	\$ (118,733)
A/ CAPD Work Papers, R-IND C B/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedul			

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET #10-00189

### **R-OPA SUMMARY**

	TAWC	CAPD	Difference
Chattanooga	\$ 2,503,703 A/	\$2,469,996 A/	\$ 33,707
Lookout Mountain	46,805 A/	49,197 A/	(2,392)
Lakeview	11,317 A/	11,679 A/	(362)
Total Other Public Authority	\$ 2,561,826	\$ 2,530,872	\$ 30,954
Corrections and Allowances	(5,599)		(5,599)
Tolerance Factor	26		26
Total Net Other Public Authority Revenues	\$2,556,253 B/	\$ 2,530,872	\$ 25,381

A/ CAPD Work Papers, R-OPA COMPARATIVE B/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 1, Page 1 of 1, Line 8

### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION OTHER WATER UTILITY REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET #10-00189

### **R-OTHER WATER UTIL SUMMARY**

	TAWC	CAPD	Di	fference
Chattanooga	\$1,308,493 A/	\$1,293,805 A/	\$	14,688
Total Other Utility	\$ 1,308,493	\$ 1,293,805	\$	14,688
Corrections and Allowances	-			-
Tolerance Factor	-			-
Total Net Other Utility Revenues	\$1,308,493 B/	\$1,293,805	\$	14,688
.,				

A/ CAPD Work Papers, R-OTHER WATER UTIL COMPARATIVE B/ TAWC Exhibit No. 4, Schedule 1, Page 1 of 1, Line 9

# OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION OTHER WATER UTILITY REVENUE FORECAST COMPARATIVE TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET #10-00189

Year Ending	Residential	Commercial	Total
September 2010	783,435	101,247	884,682
December 2011	793,052	100,909	893,961

### **R-CUSTOMER GROWTH**

Annual Growth %

1.05%

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF TENNESSEE CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND PROTECTION DIVISION SUMMARY OF VOLUMETRIC FORECAST TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TRA DOCKET #10-00189

### **R-VOLUMETRIC HISTORY**

	CAPD
	Forecasted
	<u>12/31/2011</u>
Residential	4,474,815
Commercial	4,030,663
Industrial	2,662,922
Other Pub. Auth.	1,007,255
Other Wtr. Util.	1,332,681
Private Fire Service	
Public Fire Service	
Total	13,508,335
i Olai	13,300,333