## BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

July 6, 2010

IN RE:	)	
BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. D/B/A AT&T TENNESSEE PETITION TO EXTEND MARKET REGULATION TO RATE GROUPS 1 AND 2	) ) )	DOCKET NO. 10-00108
ORDER ESTABLISHING A PROCEDURAL	SCHE	DULE

This matter came before the Hearing Officer for the purpose of establishing a procedural schedule and to discuss with the parties discovery and the necessity for a protective order. On June 24, 2010, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Tennessee ("AT&T Tennessee") and the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division of the State of Tennessee Office of the Attorney General ("Consumer Advocate") filed a *Joint Agreed Proposal Regarding Schedule*. During a telephonic conference on June 29, 2010, the Hearing Officer discussed the jointly proposed schedule with the parties and offered certain modifications to which all parties agreed. Specifically, the hearing is set for August 9, 2010, and the parties agreed that there is no need for post-hearing briefs. The Procedural Schedule established in this docket shall be as reflected in **Exhibit A** attached to this Order.

The Consumer Advocate propounded discovery to AT&T Tennessee on June 28, 2010. The Consumer Advocate stated that it did not anticipate additional discovery but wanted to be able to propound extra requests if needed. The parties envisioned that much of the discovery would be agreed upon, and although the parties do not anticipate any dispute

regarding discovery, they expressed concern regarding the ability to have such disputes resolved in short order. For this reason, the parties requested, and the Hearing Officer agreed, that General Counsel or his designee would hear discovery disputes in the event that the Hearing Officer is not available.

Regarding the entry of a protective order, the parties stated that because discovery responses are not likely to contain proprietary information and much of the testimony is in the public domain, they did not see the need for entry of a protective order at this time.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Procedural Schedule attached to this Order as **Exhibit A** is hereby adopted and is in full force and effect.
- 2. Due to the need to have discovery disputes resolved within a short period of time, General Counsel or his designee will hear discovery disputes in the event the Hearing Officer is not available.

Director Eddie Roberson

Hearing Officer

## **TRA DOCKET NO. 10-00108**

## PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

(July 6, 2010)

May 28, 2010	AT&T Tennessee Petition Filed
June 3, 2010	AT&T Tennessee Provided Non-Proprietary CD to CAPD
June 21, 2010	Hearing Officer Appointed / First Status Conference
June 28, 2010	Agreed Schedule Due
June 28, 2010	Discovery Requests
July 8, 2010	Discovery Responses Due
July 15, 2010	Intervenor's Pre-Filed Testimony
July 22, 2010	Petitioner's Pre-Filed Rebuttal Testimony
August 5, 2010	Status Conference (Determination will be made re whether there will be a live hearing or matter submitted to TRA on paper filings)
August 9, 2010	Hearing – Commencing at 9:00 a.m.
August 23, 2010	Deliberation by Panel
August 26, 2010	Statutory Deadline for Decision