

MICHAEL J. QUINAN Direct Dial: 804.697.4149 Direct Fax: 804.697.6149 E-mail: mquinan@cblaw.com

## April 9, 2010

Sara Kyle, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0505

filed electronically in docket office on 04/09/10

ATTENTION: Sharla Dillon, Dockets &

Records Manager

Re: Petition of Kingsport Power Company

d/b/a AEP Appalachian Power for

Permission to Recoup Increased Inspection Fees

TRA Docket No. 1000037

Dear Chairman Kyle:

East Tennessee Energy Consumers ("ETEC") hereby submits these comments for consideration by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") in the above matter and states as follows.

ETEC consists of large industrial customers of Kingsport Power Company ("Kingsport"). Its members include Eastman Chemical Company; Domtar Paper Company, LLC; Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.; Wellmont Health System; and AGC Flat Glass North America. Each has facilities within Kingsport's service territory.

On March 12, 2010, Kingsport submitted a Petition for Permission to Recoup Increased Inspection Fees ("Petition") pursuant to T.C.A. § 85-4-303. Kingsport's Petition seeks the TRA's approval of a "Tennessee Inspection Fee Rider" ("Rider") that would authorize Kingsport to increase each of its customer's bills by 0.2%. The rider would take effect on April 15, 2010.

According to the Petition, the Rider is designed to produce additional revenues of approximately \$291,000, which, according to Kingsport in its Petition, represents the difference between the amount to be paid by Kingsport for such fees (\$471,000) pursuant to the formula in T.C.A. § 85-4-303(c) (as amended) and the amount being collected for such fees (\$180,000) in Kingsport's current base rates.

ETEC takes no position on whether the TRA should approve the requested Rider. ETEC wishes, however, to express its concern that the Petition seeks approval of a separate tariff that

909 East Main Street, Suite 1200 | Richmond, Virginia 23219-3095 804.697.4100 tel | 804.697.4112 fax | www.cblaw.com

RECEIVED
2010 APR 12 AM 9: 40
T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

MICHAEL J. QUINAN Direct Dial: 804.697.4149 Direct Fax: 804.697.6149 E-mail: mquinan@cblaw.com

April 9, 2010

Sara Kyle, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0505

ATTENTION: Sharla Dillon, Dockets &

Records Manager

Re:

Petition of Kingsport Power Company d/b/a AEP Appalachian Power for

Permission to Recoup Increased Inspection Fees

TRA Docket No. 1000037

## Dear Chairman Kyle:

East Tennessee Energy Consumers ("ETEC") hereby submits these comments for consideration by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") in the above matter and states as follows.

ETEC consists of large industrial customers of Kingsport Power Company ("Kingsport"). Its members include Eastman Chemical Company; Domtar Paper Company, LLC; Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.; Wellmont Health System; and AGC Flat Glass North America. Each has facilities within Kingsport's service territory.

On March 12, 2010, Kingsport submitted a Petition for Permission to Recoup Increased Inspection Fees ("Petition") pursuant to T.C.A. § 85-4-303. Kingsport's Petition seeks the TRA's approval of a "Tennessee Inspection Fee Rider" ("Rider") that would authorize Kingsport to increase each of its customer's bills by 0.2%. The rider would take effect on April 15, 2010.

According to the Petition, the Rider is designed to produce additional revenues of approximately \$291,000, which, according to Kingsport in its Petition, represents the difference between the amount to be paid by Kingsport for such fees (\$471,000) pursuant to the formula in T.C.A. § 85-4-303(c) (as amended) and the amount being collected for such fees (\$180,000) in Kingsport's current base rates.

ETEC takes no position on whether the TRA should approve the requested Rider. ETEC wishes, however, to express its concern that the Petition seeks approval of a separate tariff that

## CHRISTIAN & BARTON, LLP

Chairman Sara Kyle Tennessee Regulatory Authority April 9, 2010 Page 2

provides for ongoing increases in Kingsport's rates based on a single cost element, *i.e.*, inspection fees. While the General Assembly, through enactment of T.C.A. §65-4-303(d), appears to offer Kingsport the opportunity to seek such a single-issue rate increase, the General Assembly also has granted the TRA the authority, pursuant to that provision, to determine whether such fees should be recovered through such a "separate recovery mechanism," such as the proposed Rider, or through a "rate case proceeding pursuant to [T.C.A.] section 65-5-109." As a general matter of public policy, ETEC is concerned about the fairness and wisdom of single-issue rate increases and, accordingly, assumes that, if the TRA determines to grant the Petition and approve the Rider, the TRA will have determined that the resulting rate increase is fully justified and in the interest of both Kingsport and its customers. Such a determination is especially critical in the current economic environment.

ETEC appreciates the opportunity to submit these comments and looks forward to working with Kingsport and the TRA concerning future regulatory matters of interest to its members.

Sincerely yours,

Michael J. Quinan, Esq.

Counsel for East Tennessee Energy Consumers

cc Edward L. Petrini, Esq. James R. Bacha, Esq. William C. Bovender, Esq. J. Richard Collier, Esq.

#1037487