BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

PREPARED DIRECT REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RONALD D. HANSON

IN RE: CHATTANOOGA GAS COMPANY DOCKET NO. 09-00183

electronically filed 4/6/10 @ 10:30

1	Q.	Please state your name, position and address.
2	A.	Ronald D. Hanson, Manager, Regulatory Analysis, AGL Services Company
3		("AGSC"). My business address is 10 Peachtree Place, Location 1686, Atlanta,
4		Georgia 30309.
5	Q.	Are you the same Ronald D. Hanson who previously submitted direct
6		testimony in this proceeding?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
9	Α.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to present information for Chattanooga
10		Gas Company ("CGC" or the "Company") in response to the direct testimony of
11		witnesses for the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division ("Consumer
12		Advocate"). Specifically I will respond to the financial and accounting
13		information set forth in the direct testimony of Mr. Terry Buckner, Mr. John
14		Hughes and Mr. Chris Klein. Additionally, I will provide corrections and updated
15		forecast information and incorporate this information into the Consumer

Advocate's calculated revenue requirement to provide an updated revenue requirement for the Company.

Q. How will your rebuttal testimony be presented?

A. I will (1) provide summary results of the Company's revenue requirement, which is based on the Consumer Advocate's revenue requirement, adjusted for corrections and updated forecast information and (2) respond to the Consumer Advocate's forecast of operating income, rate base, and cost of capital.

Q. Are you presenting Exhibits with your testimony?

- A. Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits in support of CGC's base revenue requirement for the twelve months ending April 30, 2011:
 - Exhibit RDH-5 Statement of Income as proposed by the Consumer
 Advocate and as adjusted by the Company before and after the proposed rate
 adjustment and calculations of the proposed base revenue adjustment, base
 revenue conversion factor and Tennessee excise and federal income taxes.
 - Exhibit RDH-6 The elements of estimated average rate base as of April 30,
 2011 as proposed by the Consumer Advocate and as adjusted by the Company.
 - Exhibit RDH-7 A summary of the Company's estimated cost of capital as of April 30, 2011 as proposed by the Consumer Advocate and as proposed by the Company.
 - Exhibit RDH-8 Details of Adjustments and Updates to the Consumer Advocate Filing

1		• Confidential Exhibit RDH-9 – Comparison of Compensation for CGC to
2		Market
3		• Confidential Exhibit RDH-10 - Comparison of Compensation for AGSC to
4		Market
5		
6	Q.	Please provide a summary of the differences between the Consumer
7		Advocate's proposed revenue deficiency of \$41,409 and the Company's
8		updated proposed revenue deficiency of \$2,248,376.
9	A.	The major components of the difference are as follows:
10		• Total adjustments for corrections to the Consumer Advocate's estimates
11		reduce operating expenses by \$(121) thousand
12		• Total adjustments for updates to forecasts for known changes to expenses
13		reduce operating expenses by \$(87) thousand
14		Adjustments to add back expense eliminations made by the Consumer
15		Advocate increase operating expenses by \$930 thousand
16		• An increase in return on equity from the Consumer Advocate's proposed cost
17		of equity of 9.5% to the Company's of 11% equates to approximately a \$1.2
18		million increase in revenue requirement
19		• The change in capital structure from the Consumer Advocate's proposal to the
20		Company's results in an increase in revenue requirement of \$.3 million
21		• The change in rate base resulting corrections to the Consumer Advocate's
22		estimated rate base and from the changes in operating expenses above results
23		in a decrease in revenue requirement of \$(15) thousand

A.

I. Operating Income

- Q. Do you accept the update of operating income as proposed by the Consumer Advocate?
- A. I have reviewed the forecast of operating income as prepared by the Consumer Advocate and accept the forecast with the exception of several corrections and forecast updates. Additionally, the Consumer Advocate and the Company have differing positions on certain items included in the Company's revenue requirement. All of these items will be explained in detail throughout my testimony.

A. Operating Revenues

- Q. Please describe the components of operating income as proposed by the Consumer Advocate?
 - The first component of operating income is operating revenues and the second component is operating expenses. Operating revenues includes revenues, cost of gas and allowance for funds used during construction ("AFUDC"). The Consumer Advocate's estimate of operating revenues excluding AFUDC is \$88,348,700 which is \$95,410 higher than the Company's forecast of \$88,253,290. While the Company does not accept the methods used to arrive at the forecast the Company does accept the result as a reasonable update to the revenue forecast. The Company also accepts the Consumer Advocate's forecast of cost of gas. The amount of cost of gas is based on the amount included in the Company's filing. This amount is embedded in the operating revenues amount and has an equal

offset amount in cost of gas and therefore has no effect on the operating margin.

The rebuttal testimony of Marcie Shields addresses the details of the revenue forecast.

Q. Do you agree with Mr. Buckner's forecast of AFUDC?

A. I agree with the process used by Mr. Buckner in his workpaper on page 2 of his workpapers but I disagree with the cost of capital that he has applied. I have updated Mr. Buckner's forecast using the Company's proposed cost of capital. The result is AFUDC of \$239,457 rather than the Consumer Advocate's AFUDC of \$210,826.

B. Operating Expenses

A.

Q. Do you agree with the Consumer Advocate's forecast of operating expenses?

I agree with the Consumer Advocate's calculation of operating expenses with the exception of seven corrections to operating expenses and three eliminations of expenses. I would also like to provide updates to expenses for estimates that have changed since the Company's filing of its revenue requirement.

Corrections to Operating Expenses

Q. Would you provide an explanation of the seven corrections to operating expenses?

A. The first five adjustments are related to expenses allocated from AGL Services Company ("AGSC"). First, in its calculation of AGSC allocated expenses the Consumer Advocate incorrectly reduced allocated expenses by \$165,163 for an adjustment amount related to CGC's property tax expense. This incorrect reduction is shown on page 19 of Mr. Hughes' workpapers. The Consumer

Advocate had already made an adjustment to reflect the proper level of property tax for CGC as shown on pages 1 and 22 of Mr. Hughes' workpapers.

Second, in its calculation of AGSC allocated expenses the Consumer Advocate incorrectly increased allocated expenses by \$73,531 for allocated income taxes from AGL Resources Inc. ("AGLR") This incorrect increase is shown on page 19 of Mr. Hughes' workpapers under the caption "PUCHA TAX COLLECTION ALLOCATIONS." The elimination of this expense had already effectively been made in Mr. Buckner's excise and income tax calculation on Schedule 6 of his exhibits.

Third, in its calculation of allocated costs the Consumer Advocate incorrectly excluded an adjustment to AGSC allocated expenses for pension and postretirement benefits expense other than pensions ("PBOP"). The required adjustment is to increase the estimated pension contribution amounts and PBOP expense amounts per actuarial estimates. The combined adjustment for pensions and PBOP expense is \$117,651.

Fourth, in its calculation of AGSC allocated costs the Consumer Advocate overstated the allocated expenses by \$352,911 for allocated depreciation expense. Mr. Buckner on page 3 of his workpapers had an allowance for depreciation expense from AGSC. Mr. Hughes in his calculation of AGSC allocated operations and maintenance expense included the total allocation amounts from AGSC and

should have reduced the amount by \$352,911. This amount represents the allocated depreciation expense embedded in Mr. Hughes' operations and maintenance expense forecast.

Fifth, similar to adjustment 4 in its calculation of AGSC allocated costs the Consumer Advocate overstated the allocated expenses by \$129,739 for allocated taxes other than income. Mr. Hughes, on page 1 of his workpapers, had an allowance for taxes other than income from AGSC. Mr. Hughes, in his calculation of AGSC allocated operations and maintenance expense, included the total allocated amounts from AGSC and should have reduced the amount by \$129,739. This amount represents the allocated taxes other than income expense embedded in Mr. Hughes' operations and maintenance expense forecast.

Q. Please continue with your explanation of adjustments to the Consumer Advocate's proposed operating expenses.

A.

A sixth adjustment relates to the calculation of depreciation expense. I agree with Mr. Buckner's calculations with the exception of the understatement of depreciation expense related to three accounts which totals \$111,480. Mr. Buckner has understated depreciation expense for LNG Storages Tanks (Account 362) by \$61,298, Transportation - Heavy Trucks (Account 392.2) by \$46,896, and Stores Equipment (Account 393) by \$3,286. Mr. Buckner had excluded the depreciation on these accounts based on the fact that the net book value in these accounts was less than zero. However, he did not take into account that the

depreciation rates included in the direct testimony of Rhonda Watts were based on reallocated depreciation reserves. The effect of the reallocation of the reserves is to decrease the depreciation rates in other accounts for the over accrual in these accounts. A full explanation of this process and the effect on depreciation rates is included in the rebuttal testimony of Rhonda Watts. The calculation of the understatement of depreciation expense is included my rebuttal workpapers.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

A seventh adjustment relates to the calculation of uncollectible accounts expense, which understates operating expenses by \$40,390. Mr. Hughes makes a reduction to uncollectible accounts expense with no reasonable basis. He reduces the Company's forecast by a factor of 36.4% which is the ratio of write-offs to amounts accrued as expense for 2009. The Company's method for calculating bad debt expense is to apply an estimated percentage of write-offs to the estimated non-gas revenues. The validity and appropriateness of this approach is that it provides how much the Company needs to collect as uncollectible accounts expense for each dollar of non-gas revenue that it collects. This approach has been approved by the TRA in each of the Company's last two rate cases in 2004 and 2006. Further, the Company's approach was accepted by the Consumer Advocate in the last two rate cases as well. The calculation of the appropriate level of uncollectible accounts expense for the attrition period using the Consumer Advocate's estimated revenue and the resulting adjustment to the Consumer Advocate's operating expenses is included in my rebuttal workpapers. This calculation reflects the updated estimated write-off percentage for the 24 months

ended December 2009 and the estimated revenues as estimated by the Consumer Advocate.

Incorrect Expense Eliminations Made by Consumer Advocate

- Q. Please describe the three expense eliminations made by the Consumer Advocate.
- A. One expense incorrectly eliminated by the Consumer Advocate is expenses related to the variable compensation plans proposed in the Company's operating expenses. The Consumer Advocate eliminated 50% of the directly incurred Annual Incentive Plan ("AIP") expenses or \$62,556 and 50% of the allocated Annual Incentive Plan expenses or \$148,036 and eliminated 100% of the allocated Long-Term Incentive Plan expenses or \$189,359.
- Q. Mr. Hughes cites TRA precedent as a reason to eliminate variable compensation. Is his application of the precedent correct?
- A. No it is not. Mr. Hughes relies on the TRA's Order in Docket 96-00977 which states that 50% of Nashville Gas Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan expense will be included in the cost of service. According to the TRA order in that case, the reason for the disallowance was that the plan was "designed to provide additional compensation for top executives that achieve preset financial targets established by the Company." [Feb 19, 1997 TRA Order, p. 12]. Mr. Hughes applies the precedent to the Company's AIP. The compensation plan cited in Docket 96-00977 is not similar to the Company's AIP program. First, the AIP is a program that applies to all employees, not just senior executives. Second,

approximately 60% of the AIP for CGC employees is based on individual performance measures such as safety, customer service, operating efficiency and compliance. Lastly, Mr. Hughes proposes the elimination of 100% of LTIP while in the case cited, the TRA only eliminated 50% of LTIP. It appears Mr. Hughes is applying an interpretation to the TRA order that, for compensation plans that have only financial targets, 100% should be eliminated. However, in the TRA order, the only targets referred to are financial in nature. Therefore, should the TRA in this proceeding use the 1996 case as the basis for its decisions, at a minimum 50% of the LTIP costs should be allowed in the Company's cost of service.

Q. Are the Company's variable compensation plans included as part of its total compensation plan?

Yes. As a subsidiary of AGLR, CGC and AGSC, CGC's provider of shared services, participate in AGLR's compensation program and variable compensation is a necessary and important part of the AGLR's total compensation program. Employee compensation is reviewed at least annually and set using external market surveys to determine reasonable and appropriate compensation levels. These surveys provide data on both base salary and variable pay target levels and related forms of compensation paid by comparable employers to similarly situated employees. Using this data AGLR sets annual compensation levels relative to the 50th percentile. This means that AGLR targets the middle-of-road benchmark, where 50% of companies pay more and 50% would pay less than AGLR. Paying employees at the 50th percentile is equivalent

A.

to paying employees at 100% of market. Recent surveys performed for CGC and AGSC employees are included as Confidential Exhibit RDH-9 and Confidential Exhibit RDH-10, respectively. These exhibits show that CGC employees are compensated at 97% of market including total compensation and that AGSC employees are compensated at 99.3% of market including total compensation.

- Q. Mr. Hughes proposes the elimination of 50% of the AIP based on an assertion that only 50% benefits ratepayers. Is this assertion correct?
 - No it is not. First, the Company is requesting the inclusion of AIP at the payout level that represents the market level pay for employees. Therefore, AIP is simply a part of the cost of doing business. Ratepayers benefit from the services provided by CGC's employees and the services provided by AGSC employees on behalf of CGC. Second, AIP varies with the level of individual, business unit and corporate performance. Because AIP varies with business results it encourages employees to control costs which helps the Company to avoid or minimize rate increases. Additionally, approximately 60% of the AIP for CGC employees is based on individual performance measures such as safety, customer service, operating efficiency and compliance. These measures result in better service for customers. Our customers receive full and direct benefit from CGC's employees and AGSC employees acting on behalf of CGC having a salary and incentive structure that is aligned with enhancing customer service and minimizing costs.
- Q. Mr. Hughes proposed the elimination of LTIP based on the assumption that shareholders reap all of the benefits of LTIP. Is this correct assumption correct?

A.

A. No. As with AIP, LTIP represents a part of the compensation for certain employees and is therefore a part of the cost of doing business. Additionally, as business performance is enhanced through the incentive plan, ratepayers reap benefits of lower costs and improved productivity which helps the Company avoid or minimize rate increases. Ratepayers also benefit from AGLR's ability to attract and retain employees and officers who can best serve the needs of the customers.

A.

Q. Do you agree with Mr. Hughes' assessment and characterization of the Company's variable compensation plans?

No. Mr. Hughes' characterization of the Company's variable compensation programs is inaccurate. First, Mr. Hughes states that variable compensation programs apply to "certain officers and employees of CGC and its parent company, AGL Resources, Inc." The Company's AIP applies to all employees of CGC and AGL Services Company, the companies' costs that are at issue in this case. Second, Mr. Hughes underestimates the benefits that the variable compensation plans bring to CGC's customers, both from a qualitative and quantitative standpoint. A substantial portion of the Company's variable compensation plans is directly related to individual performance measures such as safety, customer service, operating efficiency and compliance. These measures result in better service for customers.

- Q. How does Mr. Hughes underestimate the quantitative benefits that CGC customers derive from the Company's variable compensation plans?
- A. Mr. Hughes is of the opinion that a financial target can only benefit the shareholders. He repeatedly states that earnings per share are solely a benefit for shareholders. However, the pure nature of financial targets and earnings per share and the regulated nature of CGC make the benefits associated with the Company's variable compensation plans, in my opinion, a 100% benefit for both shareholders and customers.
- Q. How do the Company's variable compensation plans benefit both customers and shareholders 100%?
 - A financial target such as earnings per share is driven primarily by two things revenues and costs. As revenues increase, so do earnings per share. Likewise, as costs decrease, earnings per share increase. Earnings per share are often viewed through a narrow prism as a benefit only for shareholders. However, when one expands this view and looks at it in the context of ratemaking and what drives a company's rates, one can clearly see that the drivers of earnings per share revenues and costs clearly impact the rates to customers.

As the Company adds customers, its revenues and earnings per share increase. Put in the context of a rate case, higher revenues result in a lower revenue requirement from customers. The same principle can be said for costs. If the Company's costs decrease, its earnings per share will increase. Again put in the context of a rate case, lower costs will result in a lower revenue requirement from customers. Further, on page 7, lines 12-18, Mr. Hughes himself indicates that

A.

- there are financial benefits to customers that are derived from the Company's variable compensation plans.
 - Q. Can you provide an example in this case where the performance of employees had a direct and measurable effect on the revenue requirement proposed in this case?
 - A. Yes. Due to the time, effort and diligence of the tax group of AGSC, CGC's property taxes have been reduced by approximately \$500,000. This substantial reduction in costs has been incorporated in this case, reducing the Company's revenue deficiency by almost 25%. This is a perfect example of how a compensation plan can drive behaviors that produce both an increase in earnings per share **and** a decrease in rates to customers.
 - Q. Do you have any further comments of how the Company's variable compensation plans benefit customers?
 - A. Yes, and in doing so, I would like to further expand on the property tax example discussed previously. In that example, the reduction in CGC's property taxes result in a **temporary** benefit to shareholders but a **permanent** benefit to its customers. This same logic holds true for any reductions in costs shareholders benefit temporarily until a rate case proceeding, at which time the benefit is passed along to customers on a permanent basis. Or, such a cost reduction can help offset cost increases, thereby mitigating the need for the Company to file for rate relief.
 - Q. Do you have any other comments about Mr. Hughes' assertions regarding the Company's variable compensation plans?

- A. Yes. Mr. Hughes comments that "CGC has proposed rewarding their employees and shareholders for merely increasing natural gas rates charged to ratepayers." This statement is not true. The Company's variable compensation plans have been in place for quite some time and were not developed for the mere purpose of increasing rates to customers. Conversely, these plans were established to drive efficiencies, reduce costs and enhance the overall customer experience, the complete opposite of what Mr. Hughes is asserting. Lastly, Mr. Hughes' recommendation that all variable compensation be eliminated if the TRA adopts the Company's alignment and usage adjustment ("AUA") should be rejected. The contention that CGC's proposed AUA "guarantees" a return to the Company is simply not true. The AUA covers one component of CGC's base rates, and that is the fluctuation in customer usage. The AUA does not cover key items such as decreases in customer growth, increases in the Company's cost to serve, increases in the Company's capital investment or increases in the Company's debt costs.
- Q. Do you agree with Mr. Hughes that the TRA's ruling on Nashville Gas' LTIP in 1996 should be applied as a precedent to eliminate 50% AIP and 100% of LTIP?
- A. No. As I have mentioned AIP and LTIP are a part of the compensation of CGC and AGSC employees. Both of the programs benefit ratepayers through both financial and non-financial means. Our customers receive full and direct benefit from CGC's employees and AGSC employees acting on behalf of CGC having a salary and incentive structure that is aligned with enhancing customer service and minimizing costs.

- Q. Please describe the second expense elimination made by the Consumer Advocate.
 - A. A second expense eliminated by the Consumer Advocate is 50% of the amortization of rate case preparation costs of \$106,536 of the total amortization of \$212,781. These amounts represent the 3-year amortization of \$89,706 of the remaining unrecovered costs from the Company prior rate case costs plus \$548,640 expected to be incurred during this proceeding. The Company provided an updated estimate of rate case preparation costs in its response to Consumer Advocate Discovery Request 1-79. The total expected costs are \$632,002. The amortization of this amount plus the amortization of the existing balance results in a total amortization of \$240,569. An adjustment of \$134,033 (\$240,569 less \$106,536) is required to restore rate case costs to the proper level.

These costs include legal expenses, cost of preparing the class cost of service, depreciation study costs, costs of the cost of equity witness, general rate case support and costs incurred in the estimate of pensions and postretirement benefits costs other than pensions (PBOP). The Consumer Advocate incorrectly eliminated these expenses on the premise that much of the costs associated with ratemaking dockets are incurred for the protection of shareholders' interest. These costs, like any other cost, are a cost of the provision of service to customers. In order to establish fair and reasonable rates for customers the Company must present its case to the TRA. These proceedings are in a legal environment that requires

representation. Further, there are certain topics in a rate case that are specialized in nature, and it is more cost effective to have an outside resource perform the function rather than develop the expertise in house, and such in house costs would be recoverable. The class cost of service study determines the appropriate rates to charge each class of customers. The depreciation study and pension and PBOP estimates are required to estimate the appropriate level of operating expenses to charge customers. In fact, the depreciation study resulted in a cost reduction of over \$1 million, all of which was passed on to the customers. Under the Consumer Advocate's argument of 50% sharing in costs, the shareholder should be able to retain 50% of this cost reduction if it must incur 50% of the costs associated with the study. The cost of equity study is required to determine a fair cost to charge ratepayers to ensure that capital is attracted to the Company for investment in facilities to serve rate payers.

The Consumer Advocate also asserts that the costs should not be fully recovered because the case is "without merit." With all due respect to the Consumer Advocate, regardless of its opinion on the merit of CGC's case, costs associated with rate cases should be allowed. It should also be noted that as a result of the settlement of the 2006 rate case the Company was required to file a rate case prior to May 28, 2010. The settlement also required the depreciation study that the Company performed in this case.

The Company urges the TRA to reject the Consumer Advocate's position and allow all outside costs incurred for the preparation and litigation of this case to be recovered. Not doing so could result in unintended consequences in future cases.

Q. What unintended consequences could occur in future cases?

A. If utilities are not allowed to recover the full costs of outside resources for a rate case, they may begin hiring additional resources to perform these functions in house. Costs for additional attorneys, specialized accountants and economists and other specialized areas would greatly exceed the costs incurred by having these same services performed by outside resources.

A.

Q. Please describe the third expense elimination made by the Consumer Advocate.

A third expense that is incorrectly adjusted by Mr. Buckner is legal costs. Mr. Buckner states in his supplemental testimony that the Company is seeking double recovery of legal costs related to TRA Docket No. 07-00224. The legal costs included by the Company are the estimated amount of legal costs the Company expects to incur during the attrition period. Therefore, the inclusion of the expense is not a proposed double recovery but rather a means to estimate future expense.

Mr. Buckner's proposed elimination of \$396,208 of legal costs was derived by taking the difference between total legal expenses included in the Consumer Advocate's original filing of \$590,821 (based on calendar year 2009 plus expense growth factors) and the 3-year average for legal costs of \$194,613 for the years 2005 through 2007. Mr. Buckner's use of a three year period that ends almost four years outside the Company's attrition period is not appropriate. The amount

included by the Consumer Advocate in its original filing is appropriate and \$396,208 should be restored. The Company incurred \$527,498 of legal expenses in 2008 and \$578,479 in 2009 which is evidence that this level of legal expenses are reasonably likely to recur through the attrition period. Further, if the TRA were to adopt a 3-year average as a representation of the legal expenses for the attrition period it should use the most recent average. The 3-year average of expenses for 2007 through 2009 for these expenses is \$434,199. The calculation of this average is provided in my rebuttal workpapers.

Updates to Estimates to include in Consumer Advocate's Cost of Service

Q. Please describe your updates to the estimated cost of service.

There are four updates that I am providing for information that has become available since the filing of my direct testimony that reduce estimated operating expenses during the attrition period by \$87,084. The first update is to decrease pension expense by \$140,801, \$152,333 less benefits capitalized of \$11,532, as a result of updated actuarial estimates. The second update is to increase allocated expenses from AGSC by \$96,520 for an increase in the estimated pension expense based on contributions made on behalf of AGSC employees due to a change in the actuarial determination. The third is to increase PBOP expenses by \$11,521, \$12,465 less benefits capitalized of \$944, as a result of updated actuarial estimates. The fourth update is to decrease allocated expenses from AGSC for the transition of the call center by \$54,324 from the original estimate due to the use of more recent budget data. The calculation of each of the updates is provided in my rebuttal workpapers.

A.

II. Forecast of Rate Base

1

2

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Please summarize the forecast of rate base presented by Mr. Buckner.
- 3 Mr. Buckner's forecast of rate base for the 13 month average for the attrition A. period is \$93.9 million as compared to \$97.8 million as included in CGC's filing 4 5 in this proceeding. Mr. Buckner's forecast was based upon the actual balances of 6 rate base items as of December 31, 2009 adjusted for forecast changes through the attrition period. The primary reasons for the decrease were an increase in utility 7 8 plant of \$4.0 million, a decrease in construction work in progress of \$4.8 million, 9 a decrease in working capital (due to decreased cost of gas stored) of \$1.8 million and an increase in accumulated deferred income taxes of \$1.0 million. Each of the 10 11 forecast items was based upon information provided by the Company in response to discovery requests with the exception of deferred rate case costs, understated 12 accumulated deferred income tax related to AGSC and three adjustments resulting 13 from expense adjustment mentioned earlier in my rebuttal testimony. Therefore, 14 15 with the exception of these items the Company agrees with the updates to rate base as provided by Mr. Buckner. 16
 - Q. Do you agree with Mr. Buckner's forecast of deferred rate case cost that is included as a component of working capital?
 - A. No. Mr. Buckner has understated deferred rate case costs by \$393,128. This understatement has two components. First, Mr. Buckner has incorrectly excluded the unrecovered cost from the Company's 2006 rate case in TRA Docket 06-00175. These costs have not been fully recovered. The Company has proposed to recover the yet unrecovered cost over 3 years. The unrecovered balance as of the

beginning of the attrition period, May 1, 2010, is \$89,706. Mr. Buckner has also incorrectly excluded from his calculation 50% of the rate case costs that have or will be incurred in this proceeding. The total updated estimated costs for this rate case are \$632,002. Mr. Buckner excluded 50% of these costs from his calculation of working capital based on his opinion that the costs are excessive. I have addressed the issue of the level of rate case costs earlier in my rebuttal testimony in the discussion of operating expenses. Had Mr. Buckner properly included the unrecovered balance from the 2006 case and included the full cost of this proceeding the deferred rate case costs would be \$656,462 as compared to \$263,334 provided in his workpapers on page 25. A corrected calculation of the updated deferred rate case costs is included in my rebuttal workpapers.

- Q. Please describe the understatement of accumulated deferred income tax related to AGSC.
- A. Allocated accumulated deferred income tax in the amount of \$375,633, as provided in Consumer Advocate Discovery Request 1-85 should have been included in accumulated deferred income tax. This adjustment is reflected in my exhibits and results in a reduction to rate base.
- Q. Please describe the other changes to rate base.
- A. I provided updates to the Consumer Advocate's calculation of rate base for the PBOP component of working capital for changes in the estimated expense and payment amounts. I updated accumulated depreciation for the change in depreciation expense. I updated accumulated deferred income taxes as appropriate and finally updated the lead lag component of working capital.

III. Cost of Capital

- 2 Q. Please summarize the cost of capital as proposed by the Consumer Advocate.
- A. The Consumer Advocate has proposed a cost of capital of 7.29% versus the
 Company's estimate of 8.28%. The Components of the Consumer Advocate's and
 Company's cost of capital are presented in Exhibit RDH-7.
 - Q. Do you agree with the cost of components of the capital structure as proposed by Dr. Chris Klein?
 - A. Dr. Klein has adopted the cost of short-term and long-term debt as proposed by the Company. The cost of equity is addressed in the direct rebuttal testimony of Dr. Roger Morin.
 - Q. Do you agree with Dr. Klein's proposed capital structure?
 - A. No. Dr. Klein in his Exhibit 2 based his capital structure on a 4 point average historic capital structure. The average is based on the three years ended June 2009 and the forecast for the attrition period as proposed in my proposed capital structure on Exhibit RDH-4, Schedule 1. There are two reasons that the Company's capital structure is more appropriate than Dr. Klein's. First, the level of short-term debt in Dr. Klein' capital structure of 10% is overstated versus the level that will be experienced during the attrition period. The Company estimates that its short-term debt to total capital will be 6.94% of total capitalization. The Company's forecast of its capital structure includes the issuance of \$300 million in senior notes by AGLR in August 2009, the proceeds of which were used to repay short-term debt. Since Dr. Klein's balance is based on historic balances it does not take into account this known change in balance. Second, the Company's

capital structure is more appropriate because the capital structure is aligned with the peer group upon which the cost of equity is based. Dr. Klein's capital structure which includes 48% equity is not aligned with the peer group used in his cost of equity estimate which creates an inconsistency as detailed in the rebuttal testimony of Dr. Morin.

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 7 A. Yes.

Chattanooga Gas Company 2009 Rate Case - Docket 09-00183 Rebuttal To Consumer Advocate Filing Ronald D. Hanson Exhibits

Chattanooga Gas Company Statement of Income with Proposed Rate Adjustment Twelve Months Ended April 30, 2011 (Attrition Period)

			(1)	(2)		(3) (Column 1+2)	(4)		(5) (Column 3+4)
Line No.	Description		Consumer Advocate (A)	Adjustments		Company Update	Proposed Rate Adjustments		Total company Rate Adjustments
1 2	Revenues - Sales of Gas Cost of Gas		87,662,634 58,634,548	\$0		\$87,662,634 58,634,548	\$2,248,376	(H)	\$89,911,010 58,634,548
3	Base Revenues		29,028,086	-		29,028,086	2,248,376		31,276,462
4 5	Other Revenues AFUDC	_	686,066 \$210,826	28,631	(D)	686,066 239,457	9,356	(1)	695,422 239,457
6	Total Operating Revenues		29,924,978	28,631		29,953,609	2,257,732		32,211,341
7 8 9	Operation and Maintenance Expense Depreciation Expense Interest on Customer Deposits		11,515,483 5,201,431 132,216	610,131 111,480	(E) (F)	12,125,614 5,312,911 132,216	15,961	(J)	12,141,575 5,312,911 132,216
10 11	Taxes Other than Federal Income and State Excise Income Taxes		3,581,242 2,665,889	(271,821)	(G)	3,581,242 2,394,068	879,335		3,581,242 3,273,403
12	Total Operating Expenses	-	\$23,096,261	\$449,789	(-,	\$23,546,050	\$895,296	-	\$24,441,346
13	Operating Income for Return	_	\$6,828,717	-\$421,159		\$6,407,558	\$1,362,437		\$7,769,995
14	Rate Base	(B)	\$93,931,708			\$93,818,504			\$93,818,504
15	Rate of Return	(C)	7.27%			6.83%			8.28%

- (A) REVISED Consumer Advocate Exhibits Schedule 3 Mr. Buckner
- Revenues from Gas Sales equal total per Mr. Bucker Revised Exhibit 3 (\$88,348,700) less amount reclassified to Other Revenues per Mr. Peters (\$686,066).
- (B) REVISED Consumer Advocate Exhibits Schedule 2 Line 14 Mr. Buckner
- (C) Line 13 divided by Line 14
- (D) Exhibit RDH-8 Line 26
- (E) Exhibit RDH-8 Line 23
- (F) Exhibit RDH-8 Line 24
- (G) Exhibit RDH-5 Schedule 4 Column 2 Line 23
- (H) Exhibit RDH-5 Schedule 2 Column 2 Line 12
- (I) Exhibit RDH-5 Schedule 2 Column 2 Lines 11 + 14
- (J) Exhibit RDH-5 Schedule 2 Column 2 Line 12 X RDH-5 Schedule 3 Column 3 Line 4

Chattanooga Gas Company Revenue Adjustment Calculation For the Twelve Months Ending April 30, 2011 (Attrition Period)

Line No.		(1) Consumer Advocate Amount (A)		(2) Company Update	
1	Rate Base	\$93,931,708		\$93,818,504	(C)
2	Operating Income at Present Rates	6,828,717		6,407,558	(D)
3	Earned Rate of Return	7.27%		6.83%	(E)
4	Proposed Rate of Return	7.30%		8.28%	(F)
5	Required Operating Income	6,853,821		7,769,955	(G)
6	Operating Income Deficiency	25,104		1,362,396	(H)
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	164.944%		165.031%	(1)
8	Revenue Deficiency	\$41,409		\$2,248,376	(J)
9	Components of Revenue Deficiency:				
10	Revenues - Sales of Gas	41,409		2,248,376	
11	Other Revenues			-	
12	Total Revenue Deficiency	\$41,409		\$2,248,376	
13	Forfeited Discount Ratio	0.3951%	(B)	0.4161%	(K)
14	Forfeited Discount	\$164		\$9,356	(L)
(A)	REVISED Consumer Advocate Exhibits	Schedule 1 - Mr. Buckner			
(B)	REVISED Consumer Advocate Exhibits	Schedule 7 - Mr. Buckner			
(C)	Exhibit RDH-6 Schedule 1 Column 3 Line	e 10			
(D)	Exhibit RDH-5 Schedule 1 Column 3 Line	e 13			
(E)	Line 2/Line 1				
(F)	Exhibit RDH-7 Schedule 1 Colunm 3 Line	e 10			
(G)	Line 1 X Line 4				
(H)	Line 5 Minus Line 2				
(I)	Exhibit RDH-5 Schedule 3 Column 4 Line	e 10			
(J)	Line 6 X Line 7				
(K)	Exhibit RDH-5 Schedule 3 Column 3 Lin	ne 2			

Line 12 X Line 13

(L)

Chattanooga Gas Company Revenue Conversion Factor For the Twelve Months Ending April 30, 2011 (Attrition Period)

		Consume (1)	er Advocate (A) (2)	Company	y Update (4)
Line No.	Revenue Conversion Factor	Rate	Balance	Rate	Balance
1	Operating Revenues		100.000%		100.000%
2	Add: Forfeited Discount Ratio	0.3951%	0.3951%	0.4161% (B)	0.4161%
3	Balance (Lines 1 + 2)		100.3951%		100.4161%
4	Deduct: Uncollectible Ratio	0.6367%	0.006392156	0.7099% (C)	0.007128332
5	Balance (Line 3 Less Line 4)		99.7559%		99.7033%
6	Deduct: State Excise Tax Rate	6.5000%	0.064841325	6.5000% (D)	0.064807137
7	Balance (Line 5 Less Line 6)		93.272%		93.223%
8	Deduct: Federal Income Tax	35.0000%	32.6451%	35.0000% (D)	32.6279%
9	Retention Factor (Line 7 Less Line	8)	60.6266%		60.5947%
10	Revenue Conversion Factor		164.944%		165.031%

- (A) REVISED Consumer Advocate Exhibits Schedule 7
- (B) Exhibit RDH-1 Schedule 3 Line 2
- (C) Workpaper 10 Line 28
- (D) Statutory Rates

Chattanooga Gas Company Tennesse Excise and Federal Income Taxes Twelve Months Ended April 30, 2011 (Attrition Period)

			(1)	(2)	(3) Columns 1 plus 2	(4)
Line No.	Description		Consumer Advocate	Tax Calc for Adjustments	Company Attrition Period at Current Rates	Attrition Period at Proposed Rates (H)
1 2 3	Revenues - Sales of Gas Cost of Gas Base Revenues (Line 1 minus Line 2)	(A) (A)	\$87,662,634 58,634,548 29,028,086	\$0 	\$87,662,634 58,634,548 29,028,086	\$89,911,010 58,634,548 31,276,462
4 5 6	Other Revenues AFUDC Total Operating Revenues	(A) (A)	686,066 210,826 29,924,978	28,631 28,631	686,066 239,457 29,953,609	695,422 239,457 32,211,341
7 8 9 10	Operation and Maintenance Expense Depreciation Expense Interest on Customer Deposits Taxes Other than Federal Income	(A) (A) (A) (A)	11,515,483 5,201,431 132,216 3,581,242	610,131 (F) 111,480 (G) - -	12,125,614 5,312,911 132,216 3,581,242	12,141,575 5,312,911 132,216 3,581,242
11	Net Operating Income Before Interest and Income Taxes ((Line 6 Less Lines (7+8+9+10))		\$9,494,606	-\$692,980	\$8,801,626	\$11,043,398
12	Interest Expense	(B)	2,570,535		2,570,535 (I)	2,570,535
13	Net Income Before Income Taxes (Line 11 minus Line 12)		\$6,924,071	-\$692,980	\$6,231,091	\$8,472,863
14	Permanent Adjustments to Book Income	(C)	9,148		9,148	9,148
15	Net Taxable Income (Line 13 plus Line 14)		6,933,219	(692,980)	6,240,239	8,482,011
16	Excise Tax Rate	(D)	6.50%	6.50%	6,50%	6.50%
17	Excise Tax (Line 15 X Line 16)		\$450,659	-\$45,044	\$405,616	\$551,331
18	Federal Taxable Income (Line 15 minus Line 17)		\$6,482,560	-\$647,936	\$5,834,624	\$7,930,680
19	Federal Income Tax Rate	(D)	35%	35%	35%	35%_
20	Federal Income Tax Expense (Line 18 X Line 19)		\$2,268,896	-\$226,778	\$2,042,118	\$2,775,738
21 22	Amortization of Deferred Tax Liability - Federal Amortization of Deferred Tax Liability - State	(E) (E)	(35,585) (18,081)	-	(35,585) (18,081)	(35,585) (18,081)
23	Tennessee Excise and Federal Income Tax Expense (Line: 17+20+21+22)	s .	\$2,665,889	-\$271,821	\$2,394,068	\$3,273,403



- (B) REVISED Consumer Advocate Exhibits Schedule 6 Line 7 Mr. Buckner
- (C) Exhibit RDH-1 Schedule 4 Line 14
- (D) Statutory Rates
- (E) Exhibit RDH-1 Schedule 4 Line 21
- (F) Exhibit RDH-8 Line 23
- (G) Exhibit RDH-8 Line 24
- (H) Exhibit RDH-5 Schedule 1 Column 5
- (RDH-3, Schedule 1, Line 10 x RDH-4, Schedule 1, Line 1)
 + (RDH-3, Schedule 1, Line 10 x RDH-4, Schedule 1, Line 2)

Chattanooga Gas Company Comparative Statements of Operations and Maintenance Expense and Taxes Other than Income Consumer Advocate and CompanyTwelve months Ended April 30, 2011 (Attrition Period)

			(1)	(2)	(3) Columns (1 plus 2)
Line No.	Description		Consumer Advocate	Adjustments	Company
	Operation and Maintenance Expenses				
1	Payroll Expenses	(A)	\$2,198,645	-	\$2,198,645
2	Employee Benefits	(A)	1,201,530	(72,189)	(C) \$1,129,341
3	Benefits Capitalized	(A)	(92,776)	5,465	(D) (\$87,311)
4	Fleet Services and Facilities Expense	(A)	833,649	-	\$833,649
5	Outside Services	(A)	1,046,501	396,208	(E) \$1,442,709
6	Bad Debt Expenses	(A)	189,197	40,390	(F) \$229,587
7	Sales Promotion Expense	(A)	13,818	-	\$13,818
8	Customer Service and Account Expense	(A)	5,930	-	\$5,930
9	Administrative and General Expenses	(A)	896,957	134,033	(G) \$1,030,990
10	Admin & Gen. Salaries & Exp. Capitalized	(A)	(38,668)	-	(\$38,668)
11	Other Distribution and Storage Expense	(A)	625,098	-	\$625,098
12	AGL Services Company Allocations	(A)	4,635,602	106,224	(H)4,741,826
13	Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses		\$11,515,483	\$610,131	\$12,125,614
14	Taxes Other than Income				
15	Property	(B)	\$1,603,581	-	\$1,603,581
16	Gross Receipts	(B)	699,928	-	\$699,928
17	Franchise Fees	(B)	675,947	-	\$675,947
18	TRA Inspection Fee	(B)	285,537	-	\$285,537
19	Payroll	(B)	173,560	-	\$173,560
20	Payroll taxes capitalized	(B)	-	-	\$0
21	Allocated Taxes Other than Income	(B)	142,688	-	\$142,688
22	Total Taxes Other than Income		\$3,581,241	\$0	\$3,581,241

(A)	REVISED Consumer Advocate Exhibits Schedule 4 - Mr. Buckner
-----	---

⁽B) REVISED Consumer Advocate Exhibits Schedule 5 - Mr. Buckner

(H) Exhibit RDH-8 Lines (1+2+3+4+5+11+14+18+19)

⁽C) Exhibit RDH-8 Lines (9+12+16)

⁽D) Exhibit RDH-8 Lines (10+13+17)

⁽E) Exhibit RDH-8 Line 21

⁽F) Exhibit RDH-8 Line 7

⁽G) Exhibit RDH-8 Line 20

Chattanooga Gas Company Average Rate Base For the Twelve Months Ending April 30, 2011 (Attrition Period)

		(1)	(2)		(3) (Columns 1 plus 2)	
Line No.		Consumer Advocate (A)	Adjustments		Company	
1	Utility Plant in Service	\$202,717,046			\$202,717,046	
2	Construction Work In Progress	(189,090)			(189,090)	
3	Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions	248,501	9,095	(B)	257,596	(E)
4	Working Capital Requirement	13,090,905	393,128	(C)	13,484,033	
		\$215,867,362	\$402,223		\$216,269,585	
E	Less:	406 270 052	¢442.000	(D)	POG 492 074	
5	Accumulated Provision For Depreciation	\$96,370,052	\$113,022	(D)	\$96,483,074	
6	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	23,770,564	402,406		24,172,970	(F)
7	Contributions in Aid of Construction	1,508,644			1,508,644	
8	Customer Advance For Construction	286,394			286,394	
9	Total Deductions	\$121,935,654	\$515,427		\$122,451,081	
10	Rate Base	\$93,931,708	-\$113,205		\$93,818,504	

- (A) REVISED Consumer Advocate Exhibits Schedule 2 Mr. Buckner
- (B) Line 18 Column 3 minus Column 1
- (C) Exhibit RDH-6 Schedule 2 Column 2 Line 11
- (D) Workpaper 2 Column 20 Line 5
- (E) Workpaper 1 Column 4 Line 44
- (F) Workpaper 3 Page 1 Column 1 Line 20

Chattanooga Gas Company Working Capital Requirement For the Twelve Months Ending April 30, 2011 (Attrition Period)

(1) (2) (3) Columns 1 plus 2 Line Consumer Advocate (A) Adjustments Company No. \$1,390,440 (B) \$1,164,573 Requirement For Lead Lag 2 Materials and Supplies 20,788 20,788 3 Stored Gas Inventory 14,881,232 14,881,232 Other Accounts Receivable 4 8,299 8,299 5 **Deferred Rate Case** 263,334 393,128 656,462 (C) 6 **Total Additions** \$16,338,226 \$393,128 \$16,731,354 7 Reserve for Uncollectibles Accounts \$252,837 \$0 \$252,837 8 **Customer Deposits** 2,339,923 2,339,923 9 Accrued Interest on Customer Deposits 654,561 654,561 10 **Total Deductions** \$3,247,321 \$0 \$3,247,321 11 Working Capital Requirement \$13,090,905 \$393,128 \$13,484,033

- (A) Consumer Advocate Buckner Workpapers Schedule RB-Working Capital Page 20
- (B) Exhibit RDH 6 schedule 3 Line 25
- (C) Workpaper 4 Column 5 Line 31

Chattanooga Gas Company Lead Lag Requirement After Revenue Adjustment For the Twelve Months Ending April 30, 2011 (Attrition Period)

Required Income Statement

			Statement		
Line		At	trition Period	Lag Days	\$ Days
No.					
1	Revenues	\$	90,845,889	46.05	4,183,453,184
2	Gas Purchased	\$	58,634,548	39.66	2,325,446,174
3	Salary and Wages		2,198,645	12.00	26,383,740
4	Pension		486,411	166.56	81,016,616
5	Postretirment Benefits Other than Pensions		115,743	-	
6	Insurance Expense		313,281	-	
7	Allocated Cost		4,741,826	38.71	183,556,071
8	Uncollectibles		229,587		
9	Other Operating		4,056,082	34.64	140,502,692
10	Depreciation and Amortization		5,312,911		-
11	Taxes - Other Than Income Tax		3,581,242	177.78	636,673,203
12	SIT Current		258,286	59.25	15,303,435
13	SIT Deferred		274,965	-	-
14	FIT Current		1,634,343	37.75	61,696,443
15	FIT Deferred		1,105,811	-	-
16	Interest on Customer Deposits		132,216	-	-
17	Interest ST Debt		132,941	(23.34)	(3,102,846)
18	Interest LT Debt		2,384,022	93.38	222,619,933
19	Equity Return (Line 1 minus Lines 2 through 18)		5,253,030		-
20	Total Operating Funds	\$	90,845,889	40.62	\$ 3,690,095,460
21	Net Lead (Lag) Days			5.431	
22	Average Daily Operating Expenses				\$ 248,893
23	CWC Required for Operating Expenses				1,351,665
24	Tax Collections Withheld				38,953
25	Net Cash Working Capital Provided				\$ 1,390,440

Chattanooga Gas Company Lead Lag Requirement After Revenue Adjustment For the Twelve Months Ending April 30, 2011 (Attrition Period) (A)

Required Income Statement

Line		Statement Attrition Period		Lag Days	\$ Days	No CAPD
No.			tittoii i eilou	Lag Days	Ψ Days	NO CAPD
1	Revenues	\$	88,559,526	46.05	4,078,166,1	72
2	Gas Purchased	\$	58,634,548	39.66	2,325,446,1	74
3	Salary and Wages		2,198,645	12.00	26,383,7	40
4	Pension		638,744	166.56	106,389,2	01
5	Postretirment Benefits Other than Pensions		103,277	-		
6	Insurance Expense		313,281	•		
7	Allocated Cost		4,635,602	38.71	179,444,1	53
8	Uncollectibles		189,197			
9	Other Operating		3,832,945	34.64	132,773,2	15
10	Depreciation and Amortization		5,201,431	-	-	
11	Taxes - Other Than Income Tax		3,581,242	177.78	636,673,2	09
12	SIT Current		150,094	37.00	5,553,4	78
13	SIT Deferred		274,812	-	-	
14	FIT Current		997,731	37.00	36,916,0	47
15	FIT Deferred		1,087,840	-	-	
16	Interest on Customer Deposits		132,216	-	-	
17	Interest ST Debt		191,621	(23.34)	(4,472,4	
18	Interest LT Debt		2,378,914	93.38	222,142,9	89
19	Equity Return		4,017,386	•	-	
20	Total Operating Funds	\$	88,559,526	41.41	\$ 3,667,249,7	72
21	Net Lead (Lag) Days			4.640		
22	Average Daily Operating Expenses				\$ 242,62	29
23	CWC Required for Operating Expenses				1,125,79	98
24	Tax Collections Withheld				38,9	53
25	Net Cash Working Capital Provided				\$ 1,164,57	73

(A) Consumer Advocate Buckner Workpapers Schedule RB-CWC

Chattanooga Gas Company Summary of Estimated Consolidated Cost of Capital Test Period - 12 Months Ended June 30, 2009

Consumer Advocate Capital Structure and Cost of Capital (A)

Line		(1)	(2)	(3) Weighted
No.	Capital Structure Component	Ratio	Cost Rate	Average Cost
1	Short-term debt	10.00%	2.04%	0.204%
2	Long-Term Debt	42.00%	6.03%	2.533%
3	Total Debt	52.00%		2.74%
4	Common Equity	48.00%	9.50%	4.56%
5	Total Capitalization	100.00%		7.297%

Chattanooga Gas Company Capital Structure and Cost of Capital (B)

Line		(1)	(2)	(3) Weighted
No.	Capital Structure Component	Ratio	Cost Rate	Average Cost
6	Short-term debt	6.94%	2.04%	0.14%
7	Long-Term Debt	42.15%	6.03%	2.54%
8	Total Debt	49.10%		2.68%
9	Common Equity	50.90%	11.00%	5.60%
10	Total Capitalization	100.00%		8.28%

- (A) REVISED Consumer Advocate Exhibits Schedule 8 Mr. Buckner
- (B) Exhibit RDH-4 Schedule 1

Chattanooga Gas Company Estimated Consolidated Cost of Capital - Capitalization by Long-Term Component Attrition Period - 12 Months Ended April 30, 2011

Comparison of Consumer advocate Capital Structure and CGC Capital Structure

Line	Consumer Advocate			
No				
1	Proposed Long-term Capitalization Structure: Long Term Debt	46.67%		
2	Common Equity	53.33%		
	• •			
3	Capital Structure excluding short-term debt	90.00%		
		Long-Term Capitalization		
		Long-Term	Common	
		Debt	Equity	·
4	Proposed Capitalization Structure	42.00%	(A) 48.00%	(B)
(A)	Line 1 x Line 3			
(D)	Line 2 x Line 3			
(B)	Line 2 x Line 3			
	0) ((
Line	Chattanooga Gas Company (1)			
No.				
	Proposed Long-term Capitalization Structure:			
1	Long Term Debt	45.30%		
2	Common Equity	54.70%		
3	Capital Structure excluding short-term debt	93.06%		
		Long-Term Capitalization Long-Term Common		
		Debt	Equity	
4	Proposed Capitalization Structure	42.15%	(A) 50.90%	(B)
(A)	Line 1 x Line 3			
(* *)				
(B)	Line 2 x Line 3			

(1) Exhibit RDH-4 Schedule 2

Operating Expenses Summary of Adjustment and Updates

Line No.

	Operating Expense Category		
Adjustments			Workpaper
1 Property Tax	AGL Services Company Allocations	165,163	6
2 PUHCÁ Tax Adjustment	AGL Services Company Allocations	(73,531)	7
3 Pension and PBOP Costs - AGL Service Co.	AGL Services Company Allocations	117,651	8
4 Overstatement of allocated Depreciation	AGL Services Company Allocations	(352,911)	5
5 Overstatement of allocated Taxes Other than Income	AGL Services Company Allocations	(129,739)	5
6 Depreciation Expense	Depreciation Expense	111,480	9
7 Uncollectible Expense	Bad Debt Expenses	40,390	10
8 Total adjustments		(121,497)	
Updates to Forecasts			
9 Update to Pension Contribution - Direct	Employee Benefits	(152,333)	11
10 Update to Pension Contribution - Direct	Benefits Capitalized	11,532	11
11 Update to Pension Contribution - Allocated	AGL Services Company Allocations	96,520	12
12 Update to PBOP Expense - Direct	Employee Benefits	12,465	11
13 Update to PBOP Expense - Direct	Benefits Capitalized	(944)	11
14 Adjustment for Allocated Call Center Costs	AGL Services Company Allocations	(54,324)	13
15 Total		(87,084)	
Add Back Eliminations			
16 Direct Variable Compensation - 50%	Employee Benefits	67,679	14
17 Direct Variable Compensation - 50%	Benefits Capitalized	(5,123)	14
18 Allocated Variable Compensation - 50%	AGL Services Company Allocations	148,036	(A)
19 Add Back Long-Term Incentive Pay (LTIP)	AGL Services Company Allocations	189,359	(B)
20 Add Back 50% elimination of Rate Case Costs	Administrative and General Expenses	134,033	15
21 Restore Legal Costs to Appropriate Run Rate	Outside Services	396,208	16
22 Total Addbacks from Eliminations		930,192	
23 Total Operations and Maintenance Adjustments		610,131 (1)	
24 Depreciation Expense		111,480 (2)	
25 Total Operating Expense Adjustments	•	721,611	
26 Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (1) Line 8+15+22 Less Line 6		28,631	17

(2) Line 6

⁽A) Page 19 of Hughes Workpapers
(B) Per Hughes Workpapers Page 19
(C) Total elimination equals total of accounts 670402 and 670403 on Hughes Workpaper E-outside less three year average per Buckner supplemental Exhibit E-LGL-3 YR AVG of \$396,208.

Chattanooga Gas Company 2009 Rate Case - Docket 09-00183 Rebuttal To Consumer Advocate Filing Ronald D. Hanson Workpapers

Rate Base - PBOP Estimated Attrition Period Balance

		(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)	(6)	(7)
Line											
No.		Opening Balance	Expense	Notes	Paygo	Notes	Ending Balance			d Deferred In	come Tax
1	Dec-07 Actual		-		-		(130,137)		Federal	State	Total
2	Jan-08	(130,137)		1	26,102		(116,466)				
3	Feb-08	(116,466)			34,958		(73,989)				
4	Mar-08	(73,989)			22,533		(43,937)				
5	Apr-08	(43,937)			11,150		(3,548)				
6	May-08	(3,548)			29,021		32,992				
7	Jun-08	32,992	7,519		14,441		54,953				
8	Jul-08	54,953	7,519		27,765		90,237				
9	Aug-08	90,237	7,519		62,946		160,701				
10	Sep-08	160,701	(141,890)	t .	21,695		40,507				
11	Oct-08	40,507	(9,082)		18,550		49,975				
12	Nov-08	49,975	(9,082)		19,450		60,343				
13	Dec-08	60,343	(9,082)		39,545		90,809	(2)			
14	Jan-09	90,809	(16,067)		10,886		85,628				
15	Feb-09	85,628	(16,067)		27,387		96,948				
16	Mar-09	96,948	(16,067)		17,299		98,179				
17	Apr-09	98,179	(16,067)		14,313		96,425				
18	May-09	96,425	(16,067)		32,224		112,582		(00.101)		(10.100)
19	Jun-09	112,582	(16,067)		21,083		117,598		(38,484)	(7,644)	(46,128)
20	Jul-09	117,598	(16,067)		20,180		121,710		(39,830)	(7,911)	
21	Aug-09	121,710	(16,067)		10,120		115,763		(37,884)	(7,525)	(45,408)
22	Sep-09	115,763	4,642		29,560		149,965		(49,076)	(9,748)	(58,824)
23	Oct-09	149,965	(14,625)		6,208		141,548		(46,322)	(9,201)	(55,522)
24	Nov-09	141,548	(14,625)		10,554		137,477	(0)	(44,989)	(8,936)	(53,925)
25	Dec-09	137,477	(14,625)		17,924	(7)	140,775	(6)		(9,150)	(55,219)
26	Jan-10 Estimated		(10,541)	. ,	22,030	(7)			(49,829)	(9,897)	(59,726)
27	Feb-10	152,264	(10,541)		22,030	(7)			(53,588)	(10,644)	(64,232) (68,739)
28	Mar-10	163,754 175,243	(10,541)		22,030 22,030	(7)			(57,348) (61,108)	(11,391) (12,138)	(73,246)
29 30	Apr-10	186,732	(10,541) (10,541)		22,030	(7) (7)			(64,868)	(12,136)	(73,240)
30 31	May-10 Jun-10	198,221	(10,541)		22,030	(7)			(68,628)	(13,631)	(82,259)
32	Jul-10	209,710	(10,541)		22,030	(7)			(72,388)	(14,378)	(86,765)
33	Aug-10	221,199	(10,541)	(4)	22,030	(7)			(76,147)	(15,125)	(91,272)
34	Sep-10	232,689	(10,541)		22,030	(7)			(79,907)	(15,872)	(95,779)
35	Oct-10	244,178	(10,541)		22,030	(7)			(83,667)		(100,285)
36	Nov-10	255,667	(10,541)		22,030	(7)			(87,427)		(104,792)
37	Dec-10	267,156	(10,541)		22,030	(7)			(91,187)		(109,299)
38	Jan-11	278,645	(7,853)		21,850	(8)			(95,767)		(114,789)
39	Feb-11	292,642	(7,853)		21,850	(8)			(100,347)		(120,279)
40	Mar-11	306,638	(7,853)		21,850	(8)	•		(104,928)		(125,769)
41	Apr-11	320,635	(7,853)		21,850	(8)			(109,508)		(131,259)
42	Test Period 13 Month	Average: June 200	8-June 2009				88,837		(29,072)	(5,774)	(34,846)
43	13 Month Average: D						115,800		, , , -,	, , ,	
44	Attrition Period 13 Mo			11			257,596				

⁽¹⁾ From Actuarial Letter from Mercer dated February 4, 2008 included in TRA FG Item 50-7. See page titled "AGL Resources Inc. Postretirement Health and Welfare Plans."

Letter is inlouded in response to TRA FG-50-11.

- (7) From Actuarial Letter from Mercer dated March 3, 2010 included in TRA FG Item 50-11. See Exhibit III. Estimated Paygo of \$264,364 divided by 12.
- (8) From Actuarial Letter from Mercer dated March 3, 2010 included in TRA FG Item 50-11. See Exhibit III. Estimated Paygo of \$262,199 divided by 12.

⁽²⁾ From Actuarial Letter from Mercer dated January 28, 2009 included in TRA FG Item 50-8. See page titled "AGL Resources In. Postretirement Health and Welfare Plans".

⁽³⁾ From Actuarial Letter from Mercer dated September 8, 2009 included in TRA FG Item 50-3. See Exhibit II.

⁽⁴⁾ Calendar year 2010 amount based on estimated FAS 106 Expense per Mercer Letter dated February 5, 2010 titled AGL Resources Inc. Postretirement Helath and Welfare Plans FAS 106 Net Periodic Benefit Costs, Exhibit II. Letter is included in response to TRA FG-50-9.

⁽⁵⁾ Calendar year 2011 amount based on estimated FAS 106 Expense per MERCER letter dated March 3, 2010

titled Rate Case Support Qualified and Nonqualified Pension Plans FAS 106 Expense and Contribution Forecasts, Exhibit IV.

⁽⁶⁾ From Actuarial Letter from Mercer dated January 29, 2010 included in Discovery Request No 50.

Chattanooga Gas Company Depreciation Expense and Accumulated Depreciation Adjustments

								ω.	
(20)			Attrition	Year				111,480 (E	113,022 (F
(19)		Apr	2011	Fxnense	5 108	3 908	274	9,290	168,761
(18)		1		ш	"			9,290	159,471
(17)		Feb	2011	Expense	5.108	3.908	274	9,290	150,181
(16)				ш	,			9,290	140,891
(15)		Dec	2010	Expense	5.108	3,908	274	9,290	131,601
(14)		Nos	2010	Expense	5,108	3,908	274	9,290	122,311
(13)	0						274		113,022
(12)		Sep	2010	Expense	5.108	3.908	274	9,290	103,732
(11)							274		94,442
(10)		Inl	2010	Expense	5,108	3,908	274	9,290	85,152
6)				ш			274	1	75,862
(8)		Max	2010	Expense	5,108	3,908	274	9,290	66,572
6		Apr	2010	Expense	9,812	4,135	373	14,320	57,282
(9)	()	Mar	<u>2010</u>	Expense	9,812	4,135	373	14,320	42,961
(2)	(B)	餇	2010	Expense	9,812	4,135	373	14,320	28,641
(4)		Jan	2010	ω	9,812			14,320	14,320
(3)		•		5/31/2010	1.39%	9.45%	5.39%		
(2)	(\			12/31/2009	2.67% 1.39%	10.00%	7.34%		
(1)					4,409,906	496,255	60,965		on (D)
'			ie FERC). Acct.	362 LNG Storage Tanks	392.2 Transportation - Heavy Trucks	393 Stores Equipment	Total Expense	Effect on Accumulated Depreciation (D)
		:	5	2	_	7	ന	4	5

3000E

Consumer Advocate Buckner Workpapers Schedule E-DEP1 Page 4
Monthy expense based on 12/31/09 Balance X 12/31/09 percentage Divided by 12 Months
Monthly expense based on 12/31/09 Balance X 5/31/10 percentage Divided by 12 Months
Previous month balance plus new previous month balance plus new previous month balance plus new force and a force plus new Adversage of Columns 8 through 19--Attrition Period
Average of columns 7 through 19--Attrition period

(3) State (1,751,545) (1,775,720) (1,775,720) (1,824,069) (1,824,069) (1,848,244) (1,871,230) (1,894,216) (1,917,202) (1,940,188) (1,963,174) (1,986,160) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,147) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146) (2,003,146)			
(2) Federal (20,861,761) (20,956,932) (21,052,103) (21,147,274) (21,447,274) (21,437,233) (21,526,811) (21,526,811) (21,526,811) (21,526,811) (21,905,966) (22,090,754) (22,095,543) (22,190,332) (22,285,121)			
(1) Consolidated F (22,613,306) (22,732,652) (22,851,997) (22,971,343) (23,208,463) (23,208,463) (23,561,787) (23,561,787) (23,561,787) (23,561,787) (24,563,886) (24,450,661) (24,268,436) (24,268,436)	(23,797,337)	(375,633)	(24,172,970)
December-09 January-10 February-10 May-10 June-10 June-10 June-10 June-10 October-10 October-10 December-10 Pebruary-11 February-11	13 Month Average - Attrition Period	19 Allocated Amount (1)	1 11
Month A & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	13 Mon 18 Attrition	19 Allocate	20 Total
No.			

(1) From Response to CAPD Discovery Request No. 1-85

**!-	(1) As of	(2) As of December 31, 2009	(3)	(4) As	(5) As of April 30, 2010	(9)	(7) As	(8) As of April 30, 2011	(6)
	Consolidated	Foderal	State	Post of the state of	1000	C+++	L. 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	1	č
	(21,480,969)	(18,446,988)	(3.033.981)	(21.705.337)	(18,614,181)	(3.091.155)	(22 987 004)	(19 625 644)	State (3 361 360)
	1,372,846	1,145,351	227,495	1,386,929	1,157,100	229,829	1,422,264	1,185,030	237.234
	(223,628)	(186,570)	(37,058)	(240,275)	(200,458)	(39,817)	(290,239)	(242,136)	(48,103)
	(236,088)	(196,966)	(39,122)	(236,088)	(196,966)	(39,122)	(236,088)	(196,966)	(39,122)
5 Interest and Taxes Charged to Construction 6 Software Labor	(267,298)	(223,004)	(44,294)	(287,378)	(239,756)	(47,621)	(348,376)	(290,638)	(57,738)
	(539)	(450)	(88)	(539)	(450)	(68)	(539)	(450)	(88)
R Gain/Loss Difference	(230,737)	(192,516)	(38,239)	(242,879)	(202,631)	(40,248)	(275,178)	(229,578)	(45,600)
	(202,413)	(433,004)	(40,009)	(284,241)	(244,825)	(49,416)	(329,331)	(272,190)	(57,142)
_	(41.024)	(34.226)	(86.79)	(127,363)	(34,776)	(21,206) (6,798)	(192,165)	(160,321)	(31,843)
11 Engineering Cost-G & A	(:=:::)	(2-11)	(22,12)	(+70,1+)	(077,50)	(061.0)	(+70,1+)	(077'46)	(06/10)
12 AMT_SYS	•		•	•		, ,			
13 Chattanooga Rate Case	(118,107)	(98,535)	(19,572)	(250,391)	(208,899)	(41,492)	(211,412)	(183.750)	(27.662)
	•	1	•		, '	,	. '	•	,
	•	,	•	,	,	,	•	•	•
	1,177,084	(633,815)	1,810,899	1,177,084	(633,815)	1,810,899	1,177,084	(633,815)	1,810,899
	(289,835)	156,064	(445,899)	(285,922)	153,956	(439,878)	(274,171)	147,627	(421,798)
-	•	•	1	•	•	•	,	,	
_	3,697	3,086	611	3,697	3,086	611	3,697	3,086	611
`	•	1		•	ŧ				,
	132,928	110,900	22,028	128,892	107,533	21,359	116,772	97,421	19,351
	•	•	•	1		1		,	,
	,	•			•	,	•	r	1
	(2,014)	(1,680)	(334)	(2,014)	(1,680)	(334)	(2,014)	(1,680)	(334)
	•	•			•	•	•	,	, '
• • •	(601,827)	(502,098)	(99,729)	(601,827)	(502,098)	(99,729)	(601,827)	(502,098)	(99,729)
	601,777	502,056	99,721	601,777	502,056	99,721	601,777	502,056	99,721
	135,901	113,381	22,520	009'69	28,067	11,534	009'69	58,067	11,534
_	3,015	2,515	200	3,015	2,515	200	3,015	2,515	200
	•	•	,	•	ı	,	•	•	٠
_	•	1	•		ı	•	•	,	ı
	1,541,402	1,285,975	255,427	1,541,402	1,285,975	255,427	1,541,402	1,285,975	255,427
	(492,154)	(410,599)	(81,555)	(500,132)	(417,255)	(82,877)	(524,090)	(437,243)	(86,847)
•	80,053	66,787	13,266	105,699	88,183	17,516	182,714	152,435	30,279
•	827,012	296'689	137,045	777,946	649,032	128,914	723,974	604,004	119,970
_	•	1	•	•		•			1
_	(1,521,347)	(1,521,347)		(1,507,390)	(1,507,390)	•	(1.465.477)	(1.465.477)	•
38 Salaries Overhead G and A	(170,715)	(142,426)	(28,289)	(170,715)	(142,426)	(28,289)	(170,715)	(142,426)	(28,289)
39 Total	(20 189 673)	(18 839 750)	(4 3/0 023)	(20, 809, 004)	(40.048.000)	(4 464 706)	(ADO TO A CO)	(007 000 00)	1000000
	(212,527,527)	(10,000,100)	(076'640'1)	(50,030,034)	(19,240,330)	(1,451,705)	(22,107,331)	(20,380,422)	(1,726,928)
Less: Pension - Not included because expense is based on cash									
	1,541,402	1,285,975	255,427	1,541,402	1,285,975	255,427	1,541,402	1.285.975	255.427
41 Less: PBOP - Amount including FAS 158	827,012	689,967	137,045	777,946	649,032	128,914	723,974	604,004	119,970
42 Plus: PBOP Amount Excluding FAS 158	(55,219)	(46,069)	(9,150)	(73,246)	(61,108)	(12,138)	(131,259)	(109,508)	(21,751)
43 Adjusted Accumulated Deferred Income Tax	(22,613,306)	(20,861,761)	(1,751,545)	(23,090,688)	(21,242,445)	(1,848,244)	(24,503,986)	(22,379,909)	(2,124,076)

(1,986,160)

Chattanooga Gas Company Accumulated Deferred Income Tax - Federal

•
As of December 31, 2008

35,584

Federal Deferred Provision Excluding Reg. Amortization

Less Amortization of Regulatory Liability

40 4

Reconciling item to General Ledger of \$3,086
 Revised for updated rebuttal

Chattanooga Gas Company Accumulated Deferred Income Tax - State

		(E)	(2) Column 3 minus 1	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)
pa u u u	Federal Depreciation Contributions in Aid of Construction Engineering Costs	As of December 31, 2008 (2,874,909) 222,505 (28,637)	January-December 2009 Activity (159,072) 4,990 (8,421)	As of December 31, 2009 (3,033,981) 227,495 (37,058)	January-April 2010 Activity (57,373) 2,334 (2,759)	As of April 30, 2010 (3,091,354) 229,829 (39,817)	May 2010 - April 2011 Activity (270,006) 7,405 (8,287)	As of April 30, 2011 (3,361,360) 237,234 (48,103)
Soff Inte Soff Ren Gair Ded	Software Amortization Interest and Taxes Charged to Construction Software Labor Removal Costs Gain/Loss Difference	(36,110) (39,956) (89) (30,692) (62,654)	(3,012) (4,338) 0 (7,547) (10,635)	(39,122) (44,294) (89) (38,239) (46,809)	(3,327) 0 (2,009) (2,607)	(39,122) (47,621) (89) (40,248) (49,416)	(10,116) (10,116) (5,352) (7,725)	(39,122) (57,738) (57,738) (89) (45,600) (57,142)
481 AM Cha Defe	481(a)- Deductible G&A Costs Engineering Cost-G & A AMT_SYS Chattanooga Rate Case Deferred Reconciliation	(6,798) (6,798) 0 0 (12,052) 0	(7,520)	(6,798) (6,798) 0 0 0 (19,572)	(5,541) 0 0 0 0 (21,920)	(6,798) (6,798) 0 0 (41,492)	(10,635) 0 0 13,830 0	(5.1,649) (6,798) 0 0 (27,662)
PP Stal	PP Treatment of TN excise tax as credit on gross receipts re State Amortization Organizational Costs Miscellaneous Accrued Liabilities (1)	1,810,899 (463,979) 0 19,695	18,080 0 0 (19,084)	1,810,899 (445,899) 0 611	6,021	1,810,899 (439,878) 0 611	18,080	1,810,899 (421,798) 0 611
Misoc Purch NSP - I NSC Varial NSC Charl Rest Reloc Reloc Chari Reloc Chari	Miscellatious Accided Taxes Purchased Gas Adjustment NSP Variable Compensation Section 481 Adjustment UNICAP Bad Debt Reserve Charitable Contribution Basis Difference Restricted Stock Goodwill Amortizations Pension - Other Relocation Cost Accrued Bonus Accrued Post Retirement Benefits Charitable Contributions Carryforward Amortization Salaries Overhead G and A	24,036 (334) (99,729) 99,721 13,826 500 (121,819) (77,585) 503 5,844	(2,008) (2,008) 0 0 8,694 0 377,246 (3,970) 12,763 131,201 (28,289)	22,028 (334) (99,729) 99,721 22,520 500 0 255,427 (81,555) 13,266 137,045 (28,289)	(669) 0 0 0 0 (10,986) 0 0 (1,322) 4,250 (8,131) (8,131)	21,359 0 (334) 0 (99,729) 99,721 11,534 500 255,427 (82,877) 17,516 128,914 (28,289)	(2,008) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 12,763 (8,94b) 0	19,351 0 0 (334) 0 (99,729) 99,721 11,534 500 0 255,427 (86,847) 30,279 119,970 0 0
Total	·	(1,664,846)	314,923	(1,349,923) (102,040) Less Amortization of Regulatory Liability	(102,040) Regulatory Liability	(1,451,963)	(274,965)	(1,726,928)

⁽¹⁾ Reconciling item to General Ledger of \$611

4

18,080 (293,045)

State Deferred Provision Excluding Reg. Amortization

Chattanooga Gas Company Book vs Tax Depreciation Temporary Difference Calculation for Accumulated Deferred Income Tax Calculation

(10)	State Tax				57.373								184.444				85,562
(6)	Federal Tax				168,195								686,925				323,536
(8) Column 5 Less Column 6	Fed - State				(344,736)	•							(690,507)				(306,386)
(2)	State				3,042,297								6,093,730				2,957,578
(9)	ed Ped				2,697,561								5,403,223				2,651,192
(5) Column 2 Less Column 3	Tax - Book				537,930								2,147,087				1,009,951
(4)	Tax depreciation				2,697,561								5,403,223				2,651,192
					Ξ								(2)				(3)
(3)	Book depreciation				2,159,632								3,256,136				1,641,241
(2)	Adjusted for under depreciated plant	14,320	14,320	14,320	14,320	9,290	9,290	9,290	9,290	9,290	9,290	9,290	9,290	9,290	9,290	9,290	9,290
£	CAPD Proposed Depreciation Expense	524,165	524,648	525,833	527,704	392,815			398,051		399,863	400,493	400,797	401,101	400,968	400,963	401,049
		Jan-10	Feb-10	Mar-10	Apr-10	May-10	Jun-10	Jul-10	Aug-10	Sep-10	Oct-10	Nov-10	Dec-10	Jan-11	Feb-11	Mar-11	Apr-11
	Line No.	-	2	က	4	Ŋ	9	7	∞	თ	10	£	12	13	4	15	16

Lines 1 through 4 depreciation Expense
 Lines 5 through 12 depreciation Expense
 Lines 13 through 16 depreciation Expense

Chattanooga Gas Company Rate Case Preperation Costs For the Twelve Months Ending April 30, 2011 (Attrition Period)

Line No. Current Rate Case Preparation Costs 1 Depreciation Study 2 Class Cost of Service 3 General Rate Case Support 4 Legal Costs 5 Cost of Equity Witness 6 Pension PBOP Estimates 7 Total 632,002 8 Monthly Amortization 17,556 9 Amortization - 3 years 210,667 Amortization of Existing Cost 10 Balance as of April 30, 2010 89,705.70 11 Monthly Amortization 29,901.90 13 Total Annual Amortization 29,901.90 13 Total Annual Amortization 240,569.23 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) Ending Balance Beginning Balance Rate Case Costs Amortization Cost Incurred Costs Federal State Total	
2 Class Cost of Service 3 General Rate Case Support 4 Legal Costs 5 Cost of Equity Witness 6 Pension PBOP Estimates 7 Total 632,002 8 Monthly Amortization 17,556 9 Amortization - 3 years 210,667 Amortization of Existing Cost 10 Balance as of April 30, 2010 89,705.70 11 Monthly Amortization 2,491.83 Accumulated Deferred Income Tax 12 Annual Amortization 29,901.90 13 Total Annual Amortization 240,569.23 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
3 General Rate Case Support 4 Legal Costs 5 Cost of Equity Witness 6 Pension PBOP Estimates 7 Total 632,002 8 Monthly Amortization 17,556 9 Amortization of Existing Cost 10 Balance as of April 30, 2010 89,705.70 11 Monthly Amortization 2,491.83 Accumulated Deferred Income Tax 12 Annual Amortization 29,901.90 13 Total Annual Amortization 240,569.23 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
4 Legal Costs 5 Cost of Equity Witness 6 Pension PBOP Estimates 7 Total 632,002 8 Monthly Amortization 17,556 9 Amortization - 3 years 210,667 Amortization of Existing Cost 10 Balance as of April 30, 2010 89,705,70 11 Monthly Amortization 2,491.83 Accumulated Deferred Income Tax 12 Annual Amortization 29,901.90 13 Total Annual Amortization 240,569.23 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
5 Cost of Equity Witness 6 Pension PBOP Estimates 7 Total 632,002 8 Monthly Amortization 17,556 9 Amortization - 3 years 210,667 Amortization of Existing Cost 10 Balance as of April 30, 2010 89,705.70 11 Monthly Amortization 2,491.83 Accumulated Deferred Income Tax 12 Annual Amortization 29,901.90 13 Total Annual Amortization 240,569.23 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
6 Pension PBOP Estimates 7 Total 632,002 8 Monthly Amortization 17,556 9 Amortization - 3 years 210,667	
7 Total 632,002 8 Monthly Amortization 17,556 9 Amortization - 3 years 210,667	
8 Monthly Amortization 17,556 9 Amortization - 3 years 210,667 Amortization of Existing Cost 10 Balance as of April 30, 2010 89,705.70 11 Monthly Amortization 2,491.83 Accumulated Deferred Income Tax 12 Annual Amortization 29,901.90 13 Total Annual Amortization 240,569.23 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
9 Amortization - 3 years 210,667	
Amortization of Existing Cost 10 Balance as of April 30, 2010 89,705.70 11 Monthly Amortization 2,491.83 Accumulated Deferred Income Tax 12 Annual Amortization 29,901.90 13 Total Annual Amortization 240,569.23 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8 Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
11 Monthly Amortization 2,491.83 Accumulated Deferred Income Tax 12 Annual Amortization 29,901.90 13 Total Annual Amortization 240,569.23 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8 Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
12 Annual Amortization 29,901.90 13 Total Annual Amortization 240,569.23 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8	
13 Total Annual Amortization 240,569.23 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8 Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	Balance
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8 Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
Ending Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
Balance Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
Beginning Balance Monthly Rate Case	
Balance for Attrition Period Rate Case Costs Amortization Cost Incurred Costs Federal State Total	
14 31-Dec-09 326,928	
15 31-Jan-10 326,928.00 (7,985) 318,943 104,374 20,731 125,	05
16 28-Feb-10 318,943.00 (7,985) 310,958 101,761 20,212 121,	
17 31-Mar-10 310,958.00 (7,985) 302,973 99,148 19,693 118,	
18 30-Apr-10 302,973.00 (7,985) 426,719.70 721,708 236,179 46,911 283,	
19 31-May-10 721,707.70 (7,985) 713,723 233,566 46,392 279,	
20 30-Jun-10 713,722.70 (7,985) 705,738 230,953 45,873 276,	
21 31-Jul-10 705,737.70 (7,985) 697,753 228,340 45,354 273,	
22 31-Aug-10 697,752.70 (7,985) 689,768 225,726 44,835 270,	
23 30-Sep-10 689,767.70 (7,985) 681,783 223,113 44,316 267,	29
24 31-Oct-10 681,782.70 (7,985) 673,798 220,500 43,797 264,	97
25 30-Nov-10 673,797.70 (18,717) 655,081 214,375 42,580 256,	56
26 31-Dec-10 655,081.10 (18,717) 636,364 208,250 41,364 249,	4.4
27 31-Jan-11 636,364.49 (18,717) 617,648 202,125 40,147 242,:	14
28 28-Feb-11 617,647.89 (18,717) 598,931 196,000 38,931 234,	
29 31-Mar-11 598,931.29 (18,717) 580,215 189,875 37,714 227,	.72 .31
30 30-Apr-11 580,214.69 (18,717) 561,498 183,750 36,497 220,	72 31 89
31 Attrition Period Average 656,462	72 31 89
Total Estimated Costs above 632,002.00	72 31 89
Less actual incurred through December 31, 2009 205,282.30	72 31 89
Remaining Cost to be incurred 426,719.70	72 31 89

	(B) (B)
GROWTH Total for Attrition Factor (A) Period (5) (6) Columns 3+4+5	352,911 129,739
GROWTH Factor (A) (5)	1,843 677
INFLATIO N Factor (A) (4)	5,529
2009 Amount allocated to cost catagory (3)	345,540 127,029
Proportion of allocated to cost catagory (2)	7.04% 2.59%
2009 Total Allocated Costs to CGC from Service Company (1)	4,908,973 4,908,973
	Depreciation Taxes other than inc.

(A) As calculated by the consumer advocate in Rebuttal workpapers
(B) See Hughes workpapers Schedule E - ALLOC-2. The allocated amount on page 19 of Hughes workpapers should have been reduced by these amounts

Note: The calculation above is the amount of depreciation and taxes other than income included in allocated costs. Since the consumer advocate had included within depreciation and taxes other than income taxes these amounts allocated costs should have been reduced by the amounts as calculated above.

Chattanooga Gas Company Incorrect reduction of AGL Services Company allocations related to property tax

Consumer Advocate Calculation of Property Tax

165,163 (1)

(1) Consumer Advocate had already shown the proper calculation of property taxes as shown on Hughes workpapers 1 and 22. Then on Hughes workpaper page 19, \$165,163 was improperly backed out of allocated costs from services company. The adjustment of \$165,163 was included in response to discovery Request No. 46 as an adjustment to property tax (shown as an adjustment to allocated costs in error.) The amount was intended to be an adjustment to direct property tax. Since the Consumer advocate already adjusted pages 1 & 22, there is no need for this entry.

Chattanooga Gas Company Incorrect increase of AGL Services Company allocations related to PUCHA tax

Consumer Advocate Calculation of PUCHA Tax

-73,531 (1)

(1) In the calculation of AGSC allocated expenses, the Consumer Advocate incorrectly increased allocated expenses by \$73,531 for allocated income taxes from AGL Resources Inc. This incorrect increase is shown on page 19 of Mr. Hughes' workpapers under the caption "PUCHA TAX COLLECTION ALLOCATIONS." The elimination of this expense had already effectively been made in Mr. Buckner's excise and income tax calculation on Schedule 6 of his exhibits.

Chattanooga Gas Company Allocated Pension Adjustment

Services Company Calandar Year 2009
(1) X (2)
(1)
(2)
(3)

Services Company
Pension Exp. Allocation Percentage CAPD Calc
4,059,170
3.15%
127,864

Total Adjustment
117,651

(a) Per attached CGC Schedule 25-12d Workpaper 8 Page 2 Line 43

Chattanooga Gas Company Estimated Pension Plan Payments Attrition Period

		t		sion Payments for	Π	Estimated Payments for	
		Non-Qualif		ans Based on Test eriod		AGL Services Company per MERCER	
		Payments-	1-	GNOG		per MERCER	
		Account		Payments-Account	1		
Line No.		247010		247020		Payments-account 247030	
1	Jul-08	13,451		33,263	•		
2	Aug-08	13,451		33,263			
3	Sep-08	20,177		51,245			
4	Oct-08	13,451		30,563			
5	Nov-08	13,451		33,263			
6	Dec-08	13,451		33,263			
7	Jan-09	13,451		33,706			
8	Feb-09	13,451		33,706			
9	Mar-09	20,177		51,908			
10	Apr-09	13,451		33,706			
11	May-09	13,451		31,006			
12	Jun-09	18,864		33,706			
13 14	Jul-09 Aug-09	13,451 13,451		33,263 33,263			
15	Sep-09	20,177		51,245			
16	Oct-09	13,451		30,563			
17	Nov-09	13,451		33,263			
18	Dec-09	13,451		33,263			
19	Jan-10	13,451		33,706		488,017	(2)
20	Feb-10	13,451		33,706		488,017	(2)
21	Mar-10	20,177		51,908		488,017	(2)
22	Apr-10	13,451		33,706		488,017	(2)
		,		33,.33		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\-/
23	May-10	13,451	(1)	31,006	(1)	488,017	(2)
24	Jun-10	18,864	(1)	33,706	(1)	488,017	(2)
25	Jul-10	13,451	(1)	33,263	(1)	488,017	(2)
26	Aug-10	13,451	(1)	33,263	(1)	488,017	(2)
27	Sep-10	20,177	(1)	51,245	(1)	488,017	(2)
28	Oct-10	13,451	(1)	30,563	(1)	488,017	(2)
29	Nov-10	13,451	(1)	33,263	(1)	488,017	(2)
30	Dec-10	13,451	(1)	33,263	(1)	488,017	(2)
31	Jan-11	13,451	(1)	33,706	(1)	823,016	(3)
32	Feb-11	13,451	(1)	33,706	(1)	823,016	(3)
33	Mar-11	20,177	(1)	51,908	(1)	823,016	(3)
34	Apr-11	13,451	(1)	33,706	(1)	823,016	(3)
35 Attrition Period Estimated		180,278		432,598		7,196,200	
36 Estimated Attrition Period Pay	ments					7,809,076	
37 Attrition Period PBOP Expens	۵			Calendar Years		Attrition Period Amounts	
38 Estimated Calendar Year 2010				109,267		72,845	
39 Estimated Calendar Year 201				(30,474)		(10,158)	
40 Total PBOP Forecast	11101 (0)			(00,414)		62,687	
41 Total Forecast AGSC Pension	and PROP					7,871,762	
42 Percentage Allocable to CGC		vear allocate	ed be	rcentage		3.12%	
12 1 5/55/1/ago / 11/00abio 10 000	22000 OII 1001	, our unoudle	Ju po	. 55.11490		0,1270	
Adjustment to include Pension	Expense Bas	sed on Contri	butio	n and PBOP	,		
43 Based on FAS 106 Accruals						245,515	

⁽¹⁾ Based on attrition test period actual amounts.

⁽²⁾ Estimated contribution amount of \$5,856,203 for 2010 divided by 12 per MERCER letter Dated October 14, 2009 include in TRA FG Item No. 50-6. See Exhibit III.

⁽³⁾ Estimated contribution amount of \$9,876,193 for 2011 divided by 12 per MERCER letter Dated October 14, 2009 included in TRA FG Item No. 50-6. See Exhibit III.

⁽⁴⁾ Estimated FAS 106 expense amount for 2010 per MERCER letter dated September 8, 2009 included in TRA FG Item No. 50-3. See Exhibit IV.

⁽⁵⁾ Estimated FAS 106 expense amount for 2011 per MERCER letter dated September 8, 2009 included in TRA FG Item No. 50-5. See Exhibit II.

Chattanooga Gas Company Incorrect decrease of depreciation expense.

Adjustment to Depreciation Expense

111,480 (A)

(A) Workpaper 2 column 20 Line 4

Note: Mr. Bucker has understated depreciation expense for LNG Storages Tanks (Account 362) by \$61,298, Transportation - Heavy Trucks (Account 392.2) by \$46,896, and Stores Equipment (Account 393) by \$3,286. Mr. Buckner had excluded the deprecation on these accounts based on the fact that the net book value in these accounts was less than zero. However, he did not take into account that the depreciation rates included in the direct testimony of Rhonda Watts were based on reallocated depreciation reserves.

(8,214)

(8,506)

6980.5

-291.74

(3,138)

Chattanooga Gas Company

34 January-08

35 February-08

Provision	for	Uncollectibles	- Gas
1 100131011	101	OHOUNG GUNDES	- 023

	Provision for Uncollectibles - Gas				
	Account	Beginning		Net	Ending
	No. 139100	Balance	Accruals	Write-Offs	Balance
4	January 00	(04.044)		0.010	(04 005)
	January-08	(94,044)		9,219	(84,825)
	February-08	(84,825)		(3,600)	(88,425)
	March-08	(88,425)		8,345	(80,080)
	April-08	(80,080)		13,508	(66,572)
	May-08	(66,573)		23,302	(43,271)
	June-08	(43,270)	(226 024)	66,554	23,284
	July-08	23,284	(226,921)	65,702	(137,935)
	August-08	(137,934)	(85,604)	53,358	(170,180)
	September-08	(170,180)		15,862	(154,318)
	October-08	(154,318)		(25,603)	(179,921)
	November-08	(179,921)		(25,807)	(205,728)
	December-08	(205,728)		5,324	(200,404)
	January-09	(200,404)	(40.440)	913	(199,491)
	February-09	(199,491)	(13,140)	6,320	(206,311)
	March-09	(206,311)	(151,000)	58,985	(298,326)
	April-09	(298,326)	(37,598)	21,783	(314,141)
	May-09	(314,141)	(37,598)	39,602	(312,137)
	June-09	(312,136)	(37,598)	88,670	(261,064)
	July-09	(261,064)	(37,598)	55,492	(243,170)
	August-09	(243,170)	(37,598)	15,467	(265,301)
	September-09	(265,301)	112,402	(30,977)	(183,876)
	October-09	(183,876)	(37,598)	1,677	(219,797)
	November-09	(219,797)	(37,598)	(8,256)	(265,651)
	December-09	(265,651)	(37,598)	(13,965)	(317,214)
25	Total 24 months activity	===	(665,047)	441,875	
26	Not Charge Offe			111 075	
	Net Charge-Offs Net Margin Evaluding Demaged Billing	Line 22		441,875	
	Net Margin Excluding Damaged Billing Historic Charge Off Percentage 24 Mor		ino 27)	62,246,549 0.7098793%	
20	ristoric Charge Oil Percentage 24 Moi	itris (Line 20/L	-Ine 27)	0.7090793%	
	Estimated Margin Excluding Damaged	Billing Per Mr	Peters' -		
29	Page 11 Workpapers	g . o	. 515.5	29,661,450	
	Estimated Bad Debt Expense			210,560	
00	Zoninatod Bad Bost Exponed			210,000	
•	Net Margin Excluding Damaged Billing			04 405 454	
31	2008			31,405,451	
32	2009			30,841,098	
33	Total			62,246,549	
	Provision for Uncollectbles - Damages				
	Account				
	No. 139610	Beginning		Net	Ending
	MONEY CONTRACTOR CONTR	Balance	Accruals	Write-Offs	Balance
24	January 00	(12.056)	(2.120)	6090 5	(0.214)

(12,056)

(8,214)

189,197

40,390

36 March-08	(8,506)	(1,264)	1650.29	(8,119)
37 April-08	(8,119)	(5,000)	13953.35	834
38 May-08	834	(1,387)	1253.06	700
39 June-08	(200)	(3,908)	-1955.3	(6,063)
40 July-08	(6,063)	(2,482)	4891.68	(3,654)
41 August-08	(3,654)	(899)	5488.05	935
42 September-08	935	(6,764)	-326.71	(6,155)
43 October-08	(6,155)	(2,672)	44.54	(8,783)
44 November-08	(8,783)	(2,829)	5028.95	(6,583)
45 December-08	(6,583)	(5,104)	303.22	(11,384)
46 January-09	(11,384)	(1,172)	(3,480)	(16,035)
47 February-09	(16,035)	(1,172)	1,867	(15,340)
48 March-09	(15,340)	(2,734)	6,802	(11,272)
49 April-09	(11,272)	(1,923)	404	(12,791)
50 May-09	(12,791)	(853)	(4,085)	(17,729)
51 June-09	(17,729)	(2,199)	3,702	(16,226)
52 July-09	(16,226)	(1,803)	(1,857)	(19,885)
53 August-09	(19,885)	(1,885)	1,793	(19,977)
54 September-09	(19,977)	(526)	201	(20,302)
55 October-09	(20,302)	(1,440)	(4,729)	(26,471)
56 November-09	(26,471)	(1,706)	(150)	(28,327)
57 December-09	(28,327)	(1,001)	(252)	(29,579)
58 Total 24 months activity		(53,861)	37,238	,
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Damage Billing				
59 2008			93,279	
60 2009			52,701	
61 Total Damaged Billing 24 Months		-	145,980	
62 Historic Charge Off Percentage 24	Months		36.10%	
63 Damaged Billing Per Mr. Peters' - F	Paga 11 Worknapar	re.	52,702	
64 Estimated Bad Debt Expense	age ii workhaher	0	52,702 19,026	
04 Estimated bad Debt Expense			18,020	
65 Total Bad Debt Expense			229,587	
		_		

Amount Per CAPD Filing Per Hughes Workpapers

67 Total Bad Debt Expense Adjustment

66 Page 12 column 5

Estimated Pension Contributions and FAS 106 Expense

Line No.

ᅐ
.=
≒
≍
∺
ᅩ
=
Ö
13
_
Ä
_
~
ē
~
-
Q
Φ
눑
~
_=
Ŧ
S
Ш

1 Estimated Funding for Non-Qualified Plan	37,744 (1)	(1)
Estimated Contribution Related to Qualified Plan 2 Minimum Required Contribution Related to Calendar Year 2010 3 Minimum Required Contribution Related to Calendar Year 2011 4 Total Contribution Related to Attrition Period	Calendar 370,000 246,667 606,000 202,000 448,667	(Z)
5 Estimated Contribution Related to Qualified Plan 6 Total Pension Contribution	486,411	O&M Original Change Percentage 638,744 (152,333) 92.43% (140,801.70) Amount Capitalized 11,531.63

(1) Based on Actual Payments made to participants during test period 12 months ended December 2009.

(2) Amount based on estimated minimum contributions to be made related to Attrition period per MERCER, the Company's actuary. Letter dated March 3, 2010, page 3 included in response to TRA FG-50-10.

Calculation of Attrition Period Amounts

Calendar 2010 estimate 370,000 divided by 12 multiplied by 8 equals 246,667 Calendar 2011 estimate 606,000 divided by 12 multiplied by 4 equals 202,000

Estimated FAS 106 Expense for Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions (PBOP)

	103,277 12,465 92.4	Amount Capitalized
(£)		
126,494 84,329 94,240 31,413	115,743	
126,494 94,240	ı	
0.1		
1 Estimated Expense for Calendar 2010 2 Estimated Expense for Calendar 2011		

(943.63)

11,521.71

(3) Calendar year 2010 amount based on estimated FAS 106 Expense per MERCER letter dated February 5, 2010 titled AGL Resources Inc. Postretirement Health and Welfare Plans FAS 106 Net Periodic Benefit Cost, Exhibit II. Letter is inlcuded in response to TRA FG-50-9.

Calendar 2010 estimate of 126,494 divided by 12 multiplied by 8 equals 84,329 for attrition period.

(4) Calendar year 2011 amount based on estimated FAS 106 Expense per MERCER letter dated March 3, 2010 titled Rate Case Support Qualified and Nonqualified Pension Plans FAS 106 Expense and Contribution Forecasts, Exhibit IV.

Letter is inlcuded in response to TRA FG-50-11.

Calendar 2011 estimate of 94,240 divided by 12 multiplied by 4 equals 31,413 for attrition period.

Chattanooga Gas Company 2009 Rate Case Cost of Service Workpapers TRA FG Item No. 25 CGC Schedule 25-12d updated

L

Chattanooga Gas Company **Estimated Pension Plan Payments Attrition Period**

			ed Pla	ion Payments for ns Based on Test		Estimated Payments for AGL Services Company per MERCER	
		Payments-	ге	inod		per MERCER	
		Account		Payments-			
Line No.		247010		Account 247020		Payments-account 247030	
1	Jul-08	13,451		33,263			
2	Aug-08	13,451		33,263			
3	Sep-08	20,177		51,245			
4	Oct-08	13,451		30,563			
5	Nov-08	13,451		33,263			
6	Dec-08	13,451		33,263			
7	Jan-09	13,451		33,706			
8	Feb-09	13,451		33,706			
9	Mar-09	20,177		51,908			
10	Apr-09	13,451		33,706 31,006			
11 12	May-09 Jun-09	13,451		33,706			
12	Jul-09	18,864 13,451		33,263			
14	Aug-09	13,451		33,263			
15	Sep-09	20,177		51,245			
16	Oct-09	13,451		30,563			
17	Nov-09	13,451		33,263			
18	Dec-09	13,451		33,263			
19	Jan-10	13,451		33,706		779,707	(2)
20	Feb-10	13,451		33,706		779,707	(2)
21	Mar-10	20,177		51,908		779,707	(2)
22	Apr-10	13,451		33,706		779,707	(2)
23	May-10	13,451	(1)	31,006	(1)	779,707	(2)
24	Jun-10	18,864	(1)	33,706	(1)	779,707	(2)
25	Jul-10	13,451	(1)	33,263	(1)	779,707	(2)
	Aug-10	13,451	(1)	33,263	(1)	779,707	(2)
	Sep-10	20,177	(1)	51,245	(1)	779,707	(2)
28	Oct-10	13,451	(1)	30,563	(1)	779,707	(2)
	Nov-10	13,451	(1)	33,263	(1)	779,707	(2)
	Dec-10	13,451	(1)	33,263	(1)	779,707	(2)
31	Jan-11	13,451	(1)	33,706	(1)	960,052	(3)
32	Feb-11	13,451	(1)	33,706	(1)	960,052	(3)
33	Mar-11	20,177	(1)	51,908	(1)	960,052	(3)
34	Apr-11	13,451	(1)	33,706	(1)	960,052	(3)
35 Attrition Period Estimated		180,278		432,598		10,077,866	
36 Estimated Attrition Period Payment	s					10,690,742	
37 Attrition Period PBOP Expense				Calendar Years		Attrition Period Amounts	
38 Estimated Calendar Year 2010 PBC	OP (4)			214,168		142,779	
39 Estimated Calendar Year 2011 PBC				74,177		24,726	
40 Total PBOP Forecast	(-)			,	•	167,504	
41 Total Forecast AGSC Pension and	PBOP					10,858,246	
42 Percentage Allocable to CGC based		year allocate	ed per	centage		3.15%	
Adjustment to include Pension Expe	ense Bas	sed on Contri	ibutior	and PBOP	•		
43 Based on FAS 106 Accruals (Update	ted)				,	342,035	
Adjustment to include Pension Expe				and PBOP			
44 Based on FAS 106 Accruals (original	al filing	workpaper 8))			245,515	
45 Adjustment for update						96,520	

⁽¹⁾ Based on attrition test period actual amounts.

⁽²⁾ Estimated contribution amount of \$9,356,489 for 2010 divided by 12 per MERCER letter Dated March 3, 2010 include in TRA FG Item No. 50-11. See Exhibit III.

⁽³⁾ Estimated contribution amount of \$11,520,619 for 2011 divided by 12 per MERCER letter Dated March 3, 2010 included

in TRA FG Item No. 50-11. See Exhibit III.

(4) Estimated FAS 106 expense amount for 2010 per MERCER letter dated September 8, 2009 included in TRA FG Item No. 50-9. See Exhibit IV.

⁽⁵⁾ Estimated FAS 106 expense amount for 2011 per MERCER letter dated September 8, 2009 included in TRA FG Item No. 50-11. See Exhibit II.

Cost for Attrition

		Period - Budget
		Amounts for July
		2010 - December
		2010 Annualized
Line		(1)
No.		
	6011 Staff Support	
1	Payroll Expenses	57,312
2		16,010
3		- 1
4	Depreciation	270,228
5	Capitalized and Distributed	(10,557)
6	Other (2)	- 1
7	Taxes	11,794
8	Total	344,786
_	Allocation based on Call Volume 6.48%	22,336
10	Employees	-
	404E VD Contament Contribe	
11	1215 VP Customer Service	139,826
12	Payroll Expenses Benefits and Incentives	83,559
13		
13	Depreciation	256,680
15		(25,757)
16	Capitalized and Distributed Other (2)	33,120
17	Taxes	7,548
18	Total	494,976
	Allocation based on Call Volume 6.48%	32,066
	Employees 0.40%	2
20	Employoco	-
	6018 Call Center Management	
21	Payroll Expenses	596,172
22	Benefits and Incentives	215,453
23	Outside Services	· · ·
24	Depreciation	
25	Capitalized and Distributed	(109,820)
26	Other (2)	- 1
27	Taxes	32,185
28	Total	733,990
29	Allocation based on Call Volume 6.48%	47,550
30	Employees	10

Line			Cost for Attrition Period - Budget Amounts for July 2010 - December 2010 Annualized (1)
604	l6 Director Customer Operations		
31	Payroll Expenses		275,880
32	Benefits and Incentives		87,725
33	Outside Services		· -
34	Depreciation		-
35	Capitalized and Distributed		(50,820)
36	Other (2)		-
37	Taxes		14,893
38	Total		327,678
	cation based on volume of end-use customers	2.73%	8,958
40 Em	ployees		3
603	6 Customer Relations		
41	Payroll Expenses		230,484
42	Benefits and Incentives		82,151
43	Outside Services		_
44	Depreciation		-
45	Capitalized and Distributed		(42,457)
46	Other (2)		` -
47	Taxes		12,443
48	Total		282,621
49 Allo	cation based on volume of end-use customers excluding ETG	3.11%	8,798
50 Em	ployees		5
	2 Strategic Alliances		
51	Payroll Expenses		-
52	Benefits and Incentives		-
53	Outside Services		-
54	Depreciation		•
55	Capitalized and Distributed		40.000
56	Other (2)		13,600
57 50	Taxes		12 600
58 50 Allo	Total	9.41%	13,600
	cation based on Call Volume excluding ETG	9.41%	1,280
60 Em	DiOyees		•

Line			Cost for Attrition Period - Budget Amounts for July 2010 - December 2010 Annualized (1)
603	6 Ops Support - Commercial		
61	Payroll Expenses		836,412
62	Benefits and Incentives		290,501
63	Outside Services		-
64	Depreciation		
65	Capitalized and Distributed		(154,075)
66	Other (2)		5,408
67	Taxes		45,153
68	Total		1,023,399
	cation based on Call Volume excluding ETG	9.05%	92,599
70 Em	ployees		16
603	1 Customer Verification		
71	Payroll Expenses		126,504
72	Benefits and Incentives		45,998
73	Outside Services		10,000
74	Depreciation		_
75	Capitalized and Distributed		(23,303)
76	Other (2)		(20,000)
77	Taxes		6,829
78	Total		156,029
79 N/A	*	7.94%	12,386
	ployees	71017	3
00 E.M	56,000		Ĭ
603	2 VNG/ELK/FCG/CGC Market		
81	Payroll Expenses		3,332,772
82	Benefits and Incentives		1,190,387
83	Outside Services		-
84	Depreciation		-
85	Capitalized and Distributed		(613,926)
86	Other (2)		
87	Taxes		179,919
88	Total		4,089,152
89 N/A		12.84%	524,952
90 Emp	pioyees		73

Line			Cost for Attrition Period - Budget Amounts for July 2010 - December 2010 Annualized (1)
	6041 Credit and Collections		400.040
91	Payroll Expenses		169,810
92	Benefits and Incentives		61,649
93	Outside Services		-
94	Depreciation		(04.004)
95	Capitalized and Distributed		(31,281)
96	Other (2)		0.407
97	Taxes		9,167 209,345
98	Total	8.58%	•
99		0.56%	17,963 4
100	Employees		4
	6040 Credit and Collections MGT		07.500
101	Payroll Expenses		97,538
102	Benefits and Incentives		30,800
103	Outside Services		-
104	Depreciation		
105	Capitalized and Distributed		(17,967)
106	Other (2)		66,000
107	Taxes		5,265
108	Total		181,636
	Allocation based on volume of end-use customers	2.73%	4,965
110	Employees		1
	6042 Backline		
111	Payroll Expenses		758,254
112	Benefits and Incentives		272,221
113	Outside Services		-
114	Depreciation		-
115	Capitalized and Distributed		(139,677)
116	Other (2)		- 1
117	Taxes		40,934
118	Total		931,732
119	Allocation based on volume of end-use customers excluding ETG	3.11%	29,005
	Employees		17
120 1	спіріоуева		1

Line			Cost for Attrition Period - Budget Amounts for July 2010 - December 2010 Annualized (1)
	6043 Backline - Credit & Collection ETG		201 212
121	Payroll Expenses		324,818
122	Benefits and Incentives		115,610
123	Outside Services		- I
124	Depreciation		(59,834)
125 126	Capitalized and Distributed Other (2)		(59,654)
120	Taxes		17,535
128	Total		398,128
129 1		8.16%	32,482
	Employees	0.1070	7
100 1	2.mploy000		· •
	366 Operation Services		
131	Payroll Expenses		168,022
132	Benefits and Incentives		54,522
133	Outside Services		-
134	Depreciation		-
135	Capitalized and Distributed		(30,951)
136	Other (2)		3,000
137	Taxes		9,070
138	Total		203,664
139 A	Allocation based on volume of end-use customers	2.73%	5,568
140 E	Employees		2
	6016 Customer Logistics Services		0.400.000
141	Payroll Expenses		2,486,392
142	Benefits and Incentives		850,061
143	Outside Services		-
144	Depreciation		(450.040)
145	Capitalized and Distributed		(458,016)
146	Other (2)		12,120
147 148	Taxes Total		134,158 3,024,715
	Nlocation based on volume of end-use customers	3.22%	3,024,715 97,290
	Employees	J.22 /0	97,290 41
100 L	ampio, oco		7'

Line			Cost for Attrition Period - Budget Amounts for July 2010 - December 2010 Annualized (1)
	6019 Call Center Overhead		
151	Payroll Expenses		44.000
152	Benefits and Incentives		14,028
153	Outside Services		327,858
154	Depreciation		-
155	Capitalized and Distributed		
156	Other (2)		349,850
157	Taxes		
158	Total	0.7707	691,736
	Allocation based on volume of end-use customers	2.73%	18,910
160	Employees		-
	6045 Customer Services Technology		000 004
161	Payroll Expenses		303,694
162	Benefits and Incentives		102,967
163	Outside Services		
164	Depreciation		-
165	Capitalized and Distributed		(59,383)
166	Other (2)		22,780
167	Taxes		16,346
168	Total		386,404
	Allocation based on volume of end-use customers	2.73%	10,563
170	Employees		4
	6017 Emergency Response Team		
171	Payroll Expenses		1,180,692
172	Benefits and Incentives		436,627
173	Outside Services		12,500
174	Depreciation		-
175	Capitalized and Distributed		(217,494)
176	Other (2)		- 1
177	Taxes		63,719
178	Total		1,476,044
179	Allocation based on leak call volumes	3.32%	49,005
180	Employees		30

Cost for Attrition

Line	Period - Budget Amounts for July 2010 - December 2010 Annualized (1)
Total	
181 Payroll Expenses	11,084,582
182 Benefits and Incentives	3,950,269
183 Outside Services	597,038
184 Depreciation	270,228
185 Capitalized and Distributed	(2,045,319)
186 Other (2)	505,878
187 Taxes	606,958
188 Total Annualized Costs - Allocable	14,969,634
189 Total Annualized Costs Allocated to CGC	1,016,675
190 Employees	218
191 Call Center Allocated To CGC During Test Period	796,024
192 Incremental Annualized Cost of Operation for Call Center	220,651
193 Amount included in Consumer Advocate Hughes Workpaper E - ALLOC-2 Page 19 Line 4	274,975
194 Total amount of update adjustment (Line 192 minus Line 193)	(54,324)

- (1) The above amounts for payroll, outside services, depreciation and other expenses are based on budgeted amounts for the months July 2010 through December 2010 annualized to develop an annual run rate for the customer service function of AGL Services Company.
- (2) Other is comprised of travel and entertainment, training, supplies, dues and subscriptions, equipment leases etc...

Amounts	eliminated (B)
	Total AIP

67,679 (5,123) 62,556 50% 50% 50% 3 135,358 (10,246) 125,112 100.00% 7.57% **(C)** 92.43%

(A) Total Per FG 25-7(B) Per Hughes Workpaper E-Benefits Page 8(C) Per Hughes Workpaper E-PAY SUM Page 2

Chattanooga Gas Company Deferred Rate Case Cost Adjustment

Revised Rate case Costs annual Amortization 240,569.23 (A)
Amount included in Consumer Advocate Workpapers 106,536.00 (B)
Total Rate Case Costs to Restore 134,033.23

- (A) Workpaper 4 Def. Rate Case Cost Line 13
- (B) Hughes Workpaper E-A&G Page 15 account 670700

Chattanooga Gas Company 3-year Average of Legal Expenses

	2007	2008	2009 3	-Year Average
670402 Outside Legal Services	191,789.41	514,743.37	559,518.23	422,017.00
670403 Miscellaneous Legal Services	4,831.08	12,754.66	18,961.22	12,182.32
Total	196,620.49	527,498.03	578,479.45	434,199.32

Note: Total elimination equals total of accounts 670402 and 670403 on Hughes Workpaper E-OUTSIDE Page 11 less three year average per Buckner supplemental Exhibit E-LGL-3 YR AVG of \$396,208.

CHATTANOOGA GAS COMPANY TRA DOCKET #09-00183 ANALYSIS OF AFUDC FOR ATTRITION YEAR ENDING 4/30/11

		1	7			
		ц.	PLANT	B /		ં
		AD	ADDITIONS	ROR	•	AFUDC
MAY	2010 \$		979,094	8.28%	S	40,534
JUNE			1,166,097	8.28%		48,276
JULY			1,061,449	8.28%		43,944
AUGUST			665,900	8.28%		27,568
SEPTEMBER			429,094	8.28%		17,764
OCTOBER			378,544	8.28%		15,672
NOVEMBER			179,094	8.28%		7,414
DECEMBER			179,094	8.28%		7,414
JANUARY	2011		34,563	8.28%		1,431
-EBRUARY			125,156	8.28%		5,181
MARCH			190,178	8.28%		7,873
APRIL			395,723	8.28%		16,383
	-	TOTAL	ΑL		8	239,457
uckner W	orkpapers	Ϋ́,	Per Buckner Workpapers R-AFUDC Page 2	I		210,826
Total AFUDC Adjustment	Adjustme	int				28,631