

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

August 25, 2009

IN RE:

**REQUEST OF BELL SOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS,
INC. D/B/A AT&T TENNESSEE FOR EXPEDITED
REVIEW OF GROWTH CODE DENIAL**

)
)
)
)
)

**DOCKET NO.
09-00102**

**ORDER APPROVING REQUEST OF AT&T TENNESSEE
FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF GROWTH CODE DENIAL AND
REVERSING CENTRAL OFFICE CODE DENIAL**

This matter came before Director Mary W. Freeman, Director Eddie Roberson and Director Kenneth C. Hill of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (“TRA” or the “Authority”), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on August 4, 2009, for consideration of the *Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial* filed on July 14, 2009.

On July 9, 2009, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Tennessee (“AT&T”) submitted an application to the Pooling Administrator for the purpose of obtaining additional numbering resources to meet the demands of its customer, Volkswagen Group of America (“Volkswagen”). AT&T did not have sufficient number resources available in the Chattanooga Rate Center (“Rate Center”) to fulfill the request of Volkswagen. NXX assignment guidelines permit the assignment of additional codes only after a carrier demonstrates that a rate center has a 75% utilization rate and that its estimated exhaust date does not exceed six months.¹ Because the Rate Center utilization rate is approximately 66% and the estimated exhaust date is approximately 104²

¹ See Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions, *Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Guidelines (COCAG) Final Document*, ATIS 03-00051, section 4.3.1 (June 15, 2007).

² Memorandum for Record, August 5, 2009.

months, the Pooling Administrator denied the application by AT&T. On July 14, 2009, AT&T filed a request asking the TRA to review the denial of the Pooling Administrator.

The Federal Communications Commission authorized the Authority to review the Pooling Administrator's denial of a numbering application.³ Specifically, the Federal Communications Commission stated that state commissions may overturn a decision of the Pooling Administrator when "a carrier demonstrates that it has received a customer request for numbering resources in a given rate center that it cannot meet with its current inventory."⁴ After consideration of the facts contained in the record, the public interest, and this agency's responsibility to foster competition in the telecommunications industry,⁵ the panel voted unanimously to approve the request of AT&T and reverse the Pooling Administrator's denial of AT&T's request for additional numbering resources.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

1. The Pooling Administrator's decision to deny AT&T's request for additional numbering resources is reversed as stated herein.
2. The Pooling Administrator is ordered to assign three (3) one-thousand blocks of telephone numbers for the Chattanooga Rate Center served by Switch Identification No. CHTGTNBRDS0.


Mary W. Freeman, Director


Eddie Roberson, Director


Kenneth C. Hill, Director

³ *In re: Numbering Resource Optimization*, CC Docket No. 99-200, *Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 96-98 and CC Docket No. 99-200*, 17 FCC Rcd. 252, 279-83 ¶¶ 61-66 (2001).

⁴ *Id.* ¶ 64.

⁵ See Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-123.