2009 JUN 19 PM 2:45

Before the

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

IN RE:)	
LYNWOOD UTILITY CORPORATION'S PETITION FOR ADJUSTMENT OF RATES))	DOCKET NO. 09-00034
**********	*****	*******
DIRECT TES	STIMO	NY
OF		
DAVE PE	TERS	
************	*****	**********

June 19, 2009

Before the

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

IN RE:)
LYNWOOD UTILITY CORPORATION'S PETITION FOR ADJUSTMENT OF RATES) DOCKET NO. 09-00034)
***************	************
WORK PA	APERS
OF	יז
DAVE PE	ETERS
**************	*************

June 19, 2009

Revenue Deficiency For 12 Months Ending December 31, 2008

Line No.		Consumer Advocate Settlement	Company F/	Difference
	(Maintenance Expenses)			
1	Rate Base	\$485,313 A/	\$1,028,956 A/	(\$543,643)
2	Operating Income at Present Rates	(\$6,398) B/	(\$85,880) B/	\$79,482
3	Earned Rate of Return	-1.32%	-8.35%	7.03%
4	Fair Rate of Return	7.50% C/	8.00%	-0.50%
5	Required Operating Income	\$36,398	\$82,316	(\$45,918)
6	Operating Income Deficiency	\$42,797	\$168,197	(\$125,400)
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	0.907	0.907	0
8	Revenue Deficiency (Surplus)	<u>\$47,185</u>	\$185,443	(\$138,258)
A/ B/	Rate Increase Schedule 3, Line 27 Schedule 4, Line 18	7.96%	33.82%	

C/ Schedule 7, Line 5

Lynwood Utilities Corp. TRA Docket #09-00034 Comparison of Rate Making Components Company vs. Consumer Advocate For 12 Months Ending December 31, 2008

Line #		Company 2008 Actual	ADJUSTMENTS	Consumer Advocate
1	Sewer Revenue - Usage Based	\$521,605 A/	\$0	\$521,605
2	Tap Fees	\$17,500 B/	(\$45,500) C/	\$63,000
3	Penalty Fees	\$8,163 D/	\$0	\$8,163
4	Inspection Fees	\$1,000 E/	\$0	\$0
5	Total Revenues	\$548,268	(\$45,500)	\$592,768
6	Purchased Wastewater	\$2,551 F/	\$0	\$2,551
7	Sludge Removal	\$34,617 G/	\$3,147	\$31,470
8	Purchased Power	\$62,794 H/	\$10,466 I/	\$52,328
9	Chemicals	\$42,450 J/	\$0	\$42,450
10	Materials & Supplies	\$20,502 K/	\$0	\$20,502
11	Engineering Inspections	\$2,701 L/	\$0	\$2,701
12	Testing	\$31,488 M/	\$0	\$31,488
13	Repairs & Maintenance	\$89,030 N/	\$0	\$89,030
14	Operations Management	\$28,800 O/	\$0	\$28,800
15	Billing and Collection Fees	\$44,966 P/	\$0	\$44,966
16	Bad Debt Expenses	\$10,334 Q/	\$0	\$10,334
17	Accounting and Bookkeeping	\$16,635 R/	\$0	\$16,635
18	Tax Accounting	\$2,850 s/	\$0	\$2,850
19	Accounting - Other	\$13,049 T/	\$0	\$13,049
20	Legal	\$8,899 U/	\$0	\$8,899
21	Management	\$16,000 V/	\$0	\$16,000
、22	Rent	\$10,344 W/	\$0	\$10,344
23	Insurance	\$18,699 X/	\$0	\$18,699
24	Other Misc. Expenses	\$1,629 Y/	\$0	\$1,629
25	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	\$20,716 z/	(\$845)	\$21,561
26	Regulatory Expenses	\$33,524 AA/	\$8,371 BB/	\$25,153
27	Depreciation & Amortization, Net	\$121,569 CC/	\$13,842 DD/	\$107,727
28	Total Operating Expense	\$ 634,147	\$ 34,981	\$ 599,166
29	Net Operating Income ("NOI")	\$ (85,879)	\$ (80,481)	\$ (6,398)
	Rate Base			
30	Plant in Service	\$3,122,341 EE/	\$ 191,089 FF/	2,931,252
31	Deferred Debits and Deposits	143,618 GG/	•	143,618
32	Cash Working Capital	52,574 HH/	12,729 /	39,845
33	Total Less Deductions:	\$3,318,533	\$ 203,818	\$ 3,114,715
34	Accumulated Depreciation	1,602,052 JJ/	(38,248) KK/	1,640,300
35	Contributions In Aid of Construction	687,524 LL/	(301,578) MM/	989,102
36	Total Deductions	2,289,576	(339,826)	2,629,402
37	Rate Base (line 38- Line 44)	1,028,957	543,644	485,313

Lynwood Utilities Corp. TRA Docket #09-00034

Schedule 3

Comparative Rate Base For 12 Months Ending December 31, 2008

Line No.		Consumer Advocate	Company	Difference
1	Utility Plant in Service	\$2 .93 1,252 A/	\$3,122,341 в	/ (\$191,089)
2	Deferred Debits and Deposits	\$143,618 C/	\$143,618 C	c/ \$0
3	Cash Working Capital	\$39,845 D	\$52,574 ∈	(\$12,729)
4	Total Additions	\$3,114,715	\$3,318,533	(\$203,818)
5	Accumulated Depreciation	\$1,640,300 F/	\$1,602,052 G	s/ \$38,248
6	Contributions In Aid of Construction	\$989,102 H/	\$687,524 1/	\$301,578
7 8	Total Deductions Rate Base	\$2,629,402 \$485,313	\$2,289,576 \$1,028,956	\$339,826 (\$543,643)
A/ B/	2006 Rate Case-Rate Base '!I6-'Utility Pla 2009 Rate Case-Rate Base'!F6	ant In Service'!F31		

Income Statement at Current Rates For 12 Months Ending December 31, 2008

Line No.		Consumer Advocate	Company	Difference
1	Water Service Revenues (Inc. Tap Fees)	\$584,605 A/	\$540,105	\$44,500
2	Penalties/Late Fees	\$8,163 B/	\$8,163	\$0
3	Forfeited Discounts	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	AFUDC	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total Revenue	\$592,768	\$548,267	\$44,501
3	Other Operating and Maintenance	\$469,879 c/	\$491,862	(\$21,984)
4	Depreciation and Amort. Exp.	\$107,727 D/	\$121,569	(\$13,842)
5	Taxes Other Than Income	\$21,561 E/	\$20,716	\$845
8	Total Operating Expense	\$599,166	\$634,147	(\$34,981)
9	Net Operating Income for Return	(\$6,398)	(\$85,880) B/	\$79,482

A/	Schedule 12, Line 4
B/	Company Schedule B, p.
C/	Schedule 7, Line 21
D/	Schedule 2, Line 33
F/	Schedule 2 Line 31

Lynwood Utilities Corp. TRA Docket #09-00034

Schedule 5

Income Statement at Proposed Rates For 12 Months Ending December 31, 2008

		Cor	nsumer Advo	ocat	e C	ons	sumer Advocate
Line			Current		Normalizing		Current
No.			Rates		Adjustments		Rates
	1	Water Service Revenues	\$540,105	A/	\$44,500 E	3/ -	\$584,605
	2	Miscellaneous Revenues	\$8,163	C/	\$0		\$8,163
	3	Total Revenue	\$548,268	-	\$44,500	_	\$592,768
	4	Operation and Maintenance	\$491,862	D/	(\$21,984) E	≣ /	\$469,879
	5	Depreciation	\$121,569	F/	(\$13,842) F	=/	\$107,727
	6	Taxes Other Than Income	\$21,561	G/		_	\$21,561
	7	Total Operating Expense	\$634,992	-	(\$35,826)	_	\$599,166
	8	Net Operating Income for Return	(\$86,724)	=	\$80,326	_	(\$6,398)
A/ B/		Sch. 4 Line 4 Sch. 4 Line 4					
C/		Sch. 4 Line 5					
D/		Sch. 4 Line 11					
E/		Sch. 4 Line 11					
F/		Sch. 4 Line 12					
G/		Sch. 4 Line 13			/		

Lynwood Utilities Corp. TRA Docket #09-00034 Operation Maintenance Expenses For 12 Months Ending December 31, 2008

Line No.		Consumer Advocate	Company	Difference
	(Maintenance Expenses)			
1	Purchased Wastewater	\$2,551 A	\$2,551	\$0
2	Sludge Removal	\$31,470 B/	\$34,617	(\$3,147)
3	Purchased Power	\$52,328 C/	\$62,794	D/ (\$10,466)
4	Chemicals	\$42,450 E/	\$42,450	\$0
5	Materials & Supplies	\$20,502 F/	\$20,502	\$0
6	Engineering Inspections	\$2,701 G/	\$2,701	\$0
7	Testing	\$31,488 H/	\$31,488	\$0
8	Repairs & Maintenance	\$89,030 I/	\$89,030	\$0
9	Operations Management	\$28,800 J/	\$28,800	<u>\$0</u>
11	Total Operations Maintenance	\$301,320	\$314,933	(\$13,613)
12	Billing and Collection Fees	\$44,966 K/	\$44,966	\$0
13	Bad Debt Expenses	\$10,334 L/	\$10,334	\$0
14	Accounting and Bookkeeping	\$16,635 M	\$16,635	\$0
15	Tax Accounting	\$2,850 N/	\$2,850	\$0
16	Accounting - Other	\$13,049 O _i	\$13,049	\$0
17	Legal	\$8,899 P/	\$8,899	\$0
18	Management	\$16,000 Q	\$16,000	\$0
19	Rent	\$10,344 R/	\$10,344	\$0
20	Insurance	\$18,699 S/	\$18,699	\$0
21	Other Misc. Expenses	\$1,629 T/	\$1,629	\$0
22	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	\$21,561 U/	\$20,716	\$845
23	Regulatory Expenses	\$25,153 V/	\$33,524	(\$8,371)
24	Depreciation & Amortization, Net	\$107,727 W	\$121,569	× (\$13,842)
25	Total Expenses	\$599,166	\$634,147	_(\$34,981)

Lynwood Utilities Corp. TRA Docket #09-00034

Schedule 7

Cost of Capital For 12 Months Ending December 31, 2008

Line No.		RatioA/	Cost	Weighted Cost
1	Short term Debt	0.00%	0.00% A/	0.00%
2	Long Term Debt	114.23%	7.50% A/	8.57%
3	Preferred Stock	0.00%	0.00% A/	0.00%
4	Stockholder's Equity	-14.23%	7.50% A/	-1.07%
5	Total	100.00%		7.50%

476853:85 414147.57 62706.281 556614.33

Last Rate Increase was 12/2007 for 13.15% - increased it's revenues by \$61,991.00 Company sought 34.4% in this docket (07-0007)

Company showed their rate base as \$236,382 in docket 07-0007

(\$687,524) (\$945,056) Their sched 1.1 CIAC in this case CIAC in 07-0007

Company's Numbers

Granted Certificate of Convenience 6/14/76 Serves Williamson County Subdivisions:

Legends Ridge River Landings And a few residences near these developments

And two non-residential customers (Berrys Chapel Church Of Christ and Walnut Grove Elementary School)

Revenue for 2 non-res customers was \$11,294.00 for 2008

12/31/2008 12/31/2009

Historical Test Year Attrition Year

Increase request
Revenue In 8 request
Current approved rate of return
Financial consultant

33.82% \$185,440

bly in Excel format) of accounting exhibits filed

James Ford

customer class (i.e. who are the 2 non-residential customers and how much revenue do they provide)

825

12/11/2007

Last rate increase Rate base =

Customers

HB&TS Utility Districts charges 7.5% for billing services Ryan

Hillsboro, Burrwood & Thompson Station

Balance Sheet P&L Pg. 57-105 Pg. 23 Pg. 106 - 159

collection system - they have it balance of \$2,806,755 - We show a balance of \$2,958,485 difference is 151730 which is in this case, not the 07-0007 In their Utility Plant from 2006 rate case, they show a case why?????

2007 Docket \$6.53 34.46% \$15.00 33.33%	\$8.16 27.57% \$20.00 50.00%	8,500 \$55.51 34,46%		\$32.65 95.86% 139 out of 145 wastewater systems have lower rates 83.45% 121 out of 145 wastewater systems have lower rates at current LUC rates
2009 Docket \$8.78 \$20.00	\$10.41 \$30.00	8,500 \$74.63	\$32.25 \$47.62 \$48.85 \$22.39	\$43.90 \$20.49 \$29.80 \$28.25 \$14.34
2009 Docket Charge Per 1,000 gallons Minimum Monthly Charge	Charge Per 1,000 gallons Minimun Monthly Charge	LUC Average Usage LUC Bill	Franklin Brentwood Harpeth Valley Spring Hill	5,000 Gallon Bill LUC Franklin Brentwood Harpeth Valley
Residential Residential	Non-Residential Non-Residential			http://www.franklin-gov.com/pdf/water_fee_sched.pdf http://www.brentwood-tn.org/index.aspx?/page=291#7 http://www.hvud.com/water_reports/73057_SchdRates.pdf http://www.springhillm.org/PDF/waternewcustomerforms.pdf

\$7.67 \$75.84 \$23.00 Median \$32.65 \$35.95

http://media.timesfreepress.cgm/docs/2008/08/Rate_Survey.pdf Bartlett http://media.timesfreepress.com/docs/2008/08/Rate_Survey.pdf Piperton http://media.timesfreepress.com/docs/2008/08/Rate_Survey.pdf Murfreesboro

Docket 09-00034 Lynwood Utility Corporation	Company		CAD
Change In Rate Base Since Last Rate Case		look at reclass from CIAC to retained earnings	
Prior Case Rate Base	\$565,425	\	\$395,787
Increase In Deferred Debits	\$85,901		
Plant Additions 2007	\$83,320		(\$75,442)
2008	\$80,515	depreciation not in here for both years	(\$78,036)
Working Capital Requirement	\$52,750		
Amortization of CIAC 2007 2008	\$76,299 \$50,824	additions to CIAC not in here for b	\$15,799 \$33,324
Other Items	\$34,099		
Current Case Rate Base	\$1,029,133		
	\$1,028,953		
	\$180		

	<u>う</u>	ustomers			
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
January	740	977	799	818	833
February	740	778	807	822	836
March	740	783	807	827	
April	742	780	808	827	
May	753	190	807	830	
June	755	791	808	831	
July	759	791	811	829	
August	754	962	814	830	-
September	765	795	814	830	:
October	191	805	814	831	
November	771	794	820	833	
December	9//	807	823	837	
Average	755	791	811	829	835
Commercial Customers	2	7	7	73	7
		36	20	18	
Net Residential Customers (avg.)	753	789	808	827	833
	-				

HB & 1S	Hillsboro, Burrwood & I hompson Station
Ť	City Of Franklin

Data Response of LUC 4/9/2009 pg. 12

5 12:	
. 2	•
2.00	ಾ ಹಾಣ
200	
0.000	
. 47	
	· •
5420	- 5
33 m 2	
S. 40	
6.00	ទី
	1
⊚ ದ ಿ	•
8. E	
∵ 🔾 :	
100	
	-
1000	tility
21.00	
6	
100	0.00
£	
	9
2. 1.	
22.5	ĕ
	-
-	
بد	~
	-
- 63	Lynw
- Ă	
Docket	
$\overline{}$	

\$18035F	98588	SEPTEME		(508)	Quill	\$18.)			1918 B	9141F.	Note	gan.	áui	gene E	gw.	246		Milita	gost.	Ker	354	gre-		Wak	0385	Q-Q-la			W.E		1988 B						
		. Net								133 133 133 133 133 133 133 133 133 133			\$396,630	\$196,850	(\$26,374)	\$567,106	\$74,000	(\$33,145)	\$607,961	\$225,000	\$138,000	(\$40,620)	\$930,341	\$109,750	(\$55.889)	\$984,203	\$133,000	(\$61.958)	\$1,055,245	\$49,500	(\$66,520)	250 500 S	000,000	\$1,022,426	\$17.500	(\$50.824)	\$989,102
hed. 4)		Accumulated Amortization											(\$32,420)		(\$26.374)	(\$58,794)		(533,145)	(\$51,939)		80	(\$40,620)	(\$132,559)	80	(\$55.889)	= (\$188,448)	8	(\$61.958)	(\$250,405)	88	(\$66,520)	1627-015-0	(600,000)	(\$393.224)	80	(\$50,824)	(\$444,048)
Docket 07-0007 (company sched. 4)	Wood Cannel Colpes	Gross											\$429,050	S196,850	80	\$625,900	\$74,000	ଞ	006,6698	\$225,000	\$138,000	ଞ	\$1,062,900	\$109,750	S	\$1,172,650	\$133,000	SI	\$1,305,650	\$49,500	<u>80</u>	\$55.531 \$60.500	Operation of the second	\$1.415.650	\$17,500	80	\$1,433,150
Docker		Net		\$0.00	\$36,000.00	-\$1,800.00	\$34,200.00	. \$42,600.00	-\$3,930.00	\$72,870.00	\$46,750.00	-\$6,268.00	\$113,352.00	\$196,850.00	-\$16,111.00	\$294,091.00	\$74,000.00	-\$19,811.00	\$348,280.00	\$225,000.00	\$138,000.00	-\$58,661.00	\$652,619.00	\$109,750.00	-\$64,149.00	\$698,220.00	\$133,000.00	-\$70,799.00	\$760,421.00	\$49,500.00	<u>-\$73,274.00</u>	\$60 500 00 \$60 500 00	\$76,000,00	\$720.848.00	\$17,500.00	-\$50,824.00	\$687,524.00
	Company exhibit	Accumataca Amortization		-\$303,700.00	\$0.00	-\$1,800.00	-\$305,500.00	\$0.00	-\$3,930.00	-\$309,430.00	\$0.00	-\$6,268.00	-\$315,698.00	\$0.00	-\$16,111.00	-\$331,809.00	\$0.00	-\$19.811.00		\$0.00		-\$58,661.00	-\$410,281.00	\$0.00	-\$64.149.00	-\$474,430.00	\$0.00	-\$70,799.00	-\$545,229.00	\$0.00	-\$73.274.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	00.0¢ 276 789 00	-\$694 802 00	80.00	-\$50,824,00	7-\$745,626.00
		Gross		\$303,700.00	\$36,000.00	\$0.00	\$339,700.00	\$42,600.00	\$0.00	\$382,300.00	\$46,750.00	\$0.00	\$429,050.00	\$196,850.00	\$0.00	\$625,900.00	\$74,000.00	\$0.00	\$699,900.00	\$225,000.00	\$138,000.00	<u>\$0.00</u>	\$1,062,900.00	\$109,750.00	\$0.00	\$1,172,650.00	\$133,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,305,650.00	\$49,500.00	<u>\$0.00</u>	\$50.000	00.00	\$1.415.650.00	\$17,500.00	80.00	\$1,433,150.00
Docket 09-00034	Account	12/31 Balance														-					1																\
				977-199 Balance	Additions	Amortization	Balance	Additions	Amortization	Balance	Additions	Amortization		Additions	Amortization	Balance	Additions	Amortization	Balance	Additions	Addition-Specia	Amortization	Balance	Additions	•	_	Additions			Additions	Amortization	Additions	Amortization			Amortization	Balance
		Year	Year	977-199			1998			1999			2000			2001			2002				2003			2004		!	2005			7		2007	! !		2008

why does number go down in 2008 from 2007

-\$49,123.00 -\$127,123.00

Docket 09-00034

Lynwood Utility Corporation

	2008	<u>2006</u>	Change	% Change	
Operating	314,932	266,536	48,396	18.2%	
Customer Accounting	55,300	44,556	10,744	24.1%	9.4% of rev in 2006 - 10% of rev in 2008
General & Admin	88,105	76,000	12,105	15.9%	
Taxes Other Than Income	20,716	27,075	(6,359)	-23.5%	
Regulatory Expenses	33,524	8,800	24,724	281.0%	
Depreciation & Amortization	121,569	69,820	51,749	74.1%	Cell F. 0 is wrong - bet their own dep. Sched
Total	634,146	492,787	141,359	28.7%	docket 07:0007 settlement co. exhibit sched 4
Increase In Operating Expenses			141,359		51,749
Domilotomy				•	
Regulatory Pate Case			10.00	100	C F T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
Other			6.524	16.3%	Where do these numbers come from
Taxes					
I Ower Dronerty Toyer			(050 2)	/0.5 4	
Lower Flopelly laxes			(655,0)	4.5%	
Depreciation & Amortization			51,749 1	36.6%	
Customer Accounting					
Billing Expense			4,950	3.5%	
Bad Debts & Billing Adjustments	tments		5,794	4.1%	107727
General & Admin					13,842
Rent			5,344	3.8%	
Insurance			9,145	%5'9	
Misc. Other			(2,384)	-1.7%	
Operations					
Projected Items			20,850	14.7%	\$10,465 for electricity rates - they ARENT going up after all
Material & Supplies			15,084	10.7%	
Chemical			17,721	12.5%	
Other			(5,259)	-3.7%	
Total Increase			141,359		

		L	Tap Fee Revenue	ar		
	Quantity	Fees	2007	Quantity	Fees	2008
January	2	\$2,750	\$5,500		\$3,500	\$3,500
February	0	80	80	0	80	0\$
March	1	\$2,750	\$2,750	-	\$3,500	\$3,500
April	i	\$2,750	\$2,750	,	\$3,500	\$3,500
May		\$2,750	\$2,750	0	0\$	S
June	ĸ	\$2,750	\$8,250	-	\$3,500	\$3,500
July	0	2 0	80	0	98	<u>\$</u>
		7 @ 2750				
August	œ	and 1 @		Φ.	80	80
		3500	\$22,750	-		
September	m	\$2,750	\$8,250	T	\$3,500	\$3,500
October	7	\$3,500	87,000	0	80	80
November	0			0	80	0\$
December	0			0	80	80
Misc.			8500			
Total			860,500		K	\$17,500
			21		\	'n

Only 5 tap fees but they added 18 customers
Rev. from tap fees s/b \$63,000
Difference (\$45,500)

Data Response of I I C 4/9/2009 pg. 3

	Pro Forma	\$9,280	\$30,020	\$36,000	\$34,959	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$30,359	\$143,618
	Adjustments	80	80	\$36,000	80	80	80	\$0	\$36,000
Schedule B-2	12/31/2008	\$9,280	\$30,020		\$34,959	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$30,359	\$107,618
Docket 09-00034 Lynwood Utility Corporation		Unamortized Debt Discount	Deferred Cost of 2006 Rate Case	Deferred Cost of 2009 Rate Case	Deferred Cost of Odor Control	Rent Deposit	Container Deposit	TDEC Case Balance	Total Deferred Debits and Deposits
	Schedule	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-5	B-4			

Docket 09-00034

Lynwood Utility Corporation Account

		וווווסטטע							
Year		Land	Structures & Improvements	Pump Equipment	Collection System	Lab Equipment	Treatment & Disposal Transport Equipment	Transport Equipment	Total
Year									
2000	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$50,966.00	\$22,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,548,515.00	\$2,885.00	\$1,759,471.00
	Additions	\$0.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$19,500.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$19,500.00
	Retirements	\$0.00	\$0.00	80.00	80.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
2001	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$50,966.00	\$41,500.00	80.00	\$1,548,515.00	\$2,885.00	\$1,778,971.00
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$35,353.00	\$14,250.00	\$0.00	\$47,868.67	\$0.00	\$97,471.67
	Retirements	80.00	<u>\$0.00</u>		\$0.00	80.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2002	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$86.310.00	\$55,750.00	\$0.00	\$1,596,383.67	\$2,885.00	\$1,876,442,67
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$16,500.00	\$0.00	\$645,156.92	\$0.00	\$749,837.92
	Retirements	80.00	\$0.00	80.00	80.00	\$0.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2003	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	600000 FUTS	ST21250100	\$0.00	\$2,241,540.59	\$2,885.00	65 08 07 07 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	20.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00	\$224,190.00	\$10,500.00	\$264,690.00
	Retirements	80.00	\$0.00	80.00	<u>\$0.00</u>	\$0.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2004	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	S DISTURS	\$102,250.00	\$0.00	\$2,465,730.59	\$13,385.00	82,890,970,59
	Additions	\$0.00	80.00	\$9,720.00	\$36,000.00	\$0.00	\$33,647.63	\$0.00	\$79,367.63
	Retirements	<u>80.00</u>	\$0.00	\$0.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2005	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$184,220,00	\$138,250.00	\$0.00	\$2,499,378.22	\$13,385.00	\$2,970,338,22
	Additions	\$0.00	\$6,475.00	\$2,3	\$13,500.00	80.00	\$26,511.67	\$0.00	\$48,863.67
	Retirements	20.00	80.00		20.00	\$0.00	-\$60,717.00	\$0.00	-\$60,717.00
2006	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$131,580.00	8,86,597,00	\$151,750.00	\$0.00	\$2,465,172.89	\$13,385.00	68,484,89
	Additions	80.00	\$0.00		\$16,500.00	\$12,767.88	\$48,321.87	\$0.00	\$83,320.32
	Retirements	20.00	80.00	20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2007	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$131,580.00		\$168,250.00	\$12,767.88	\$2,513,494.76	\$13,385.00	\$3,041,805.21
	Additions	20.00	\$24,000.00	\$8,453.26	\$22,839.43	\$2,540.00	\$22,683.20	\$0.00	\$80,515.89
	Retirements	80.00	\$0.00	20.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	80.00
2008	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$155,580.00	THE STREET SOLES	\$191,089.43	\$15,307.88	\$2,536,177.96	\$13,385.00	83.102.231110
					OPPOSITE THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	STATES OF STATES			
9002	Balance Additions		\$125,105,0 66,579,00	ST2,256,00 S18,650,33	\$22,252.00	8 8	\$1,059,352.96	\$7,108,00	\$1,286,073.96
2007	Balance		\$131,684,00	590.915.70	829.839.50		11.05.11.60 11.05.05.11.60	00.587.03	00.10.00.10 00.10.00.10
	Additions		0	92/282/6TS	\$8,412.50	\$2,553.58	\$125,674.74	\$2,677.00	15 (45 85 15
2008	Balance	o	\$131,684.00	\$110,148.46	\$38,252,00	\$2,553.58	\$1,308,286.34	\$12,462.00	SI,603,386,38
(4)	**************************************	2							[552.04 [552] 51 [4154531975 [42452] 551481 1934 II

\$1,603,386.38

\$12,754.30 \$1,227,891.62

/ \$1,338.50 calc s/b 2677 per 20% dep rate - the 1338.5 comes from their sched. B-3

\$107,726.57

Liabilities Assets

2008 NetBook Value

Net

Docket 09-00034

Year	***************************************	Land	Structures & Improvements	Pump Equipment	Collection System	Lab Equipment	Treatment & Disposal Transport Equipment	Transport Equipment	Total
Year					**************************************		**************************************	To the transfer of the transfe	Department of the second of th
2000	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$50,966.00	\$22,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,548,515.00	\$2,885.00	\$1,759,471.00
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,500.00
	Retirement	20.00	20.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	80.00	20.00	\$0.00
2001	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$50,966.00	\$41,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,548,515.00	\$2,885.00	\$1,778,971.00
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$35,353.00	\$14,250.00	\$0.00	\$47,868.67	\$0.00	\$97,471.67
	Retirements	20.00	<u>\$0.00</u>	\$0.00	20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2002	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	986.318.00	\$55,750.00	\$0.00	\$1,596,383.67	\$2,885.00	1876,442,67
	Additions	\$0.00	80.00	\$88,181.00	\$16,500.00	\$0.00	\$645,156.92	\$0.00	\$749,837.92
	Retirements	\$0.00	\$0.00	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2003	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	000087480	ST21250 00	\$0.00	\$2,241,540.59	\$2,885.00	82,626,280,59
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00	\$224,190.00	\$10,500.00	\$264,690.00
	Retirements	<u>20.00</u>	80.00	\$0.00	20.00	\$0.00	20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2004	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	20 003 144 2	\$102,250.00	\$0.00	\$2,465,730.59	\$13,385.00	\$2,890,970,59
	Additions	\$0.00	20.00	\$9,720.00	\$36,000.00	\$0.00	\$33,647.63	\$0.00	\$79,367.63
	Retirement	<u>20.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	80.00	\$0.00
2005	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	84,220,00	\$138,250.00	\$0.00	\$2,499,378.22	\$13,385.00	\$2,970,538,22
	Additions	80.00	\$6,475.00	\$2,377.00	\$13,500.00	\$0.00	\$26,511.67	\$0.00	\$48,863.67
	Retirements	20.00	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	20.00	20.00	-\$60,717.00	20.00	-\$60,717.00
2006	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$131,580.00	5 86 597 00	\$151,750.00	\$0.00	\$2,465,172.89	\$13,385.00	\$2,958,484.89
	Additions	\$0.00	80.00	\$5,730.57	\$16,500.00	\$12,767.88	\$48,321.87	\$0.00	\$83,320.32
	Retirements	\$0.00	<u>\$0.00</u>	80.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2007	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$131,580.00	18 128 22 8	\$168,250.00	\$12,767.88	\$2,513,494.76	\$13,385.00	53,041,805,21
	Additions	20.00	\$24,000.00	\$8,453.26	\$22,839.43	\$2,540.00	\$22,683.20	\$0.00	\$80,515.89
	Retirements	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	20:00	\$0.00
2008	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$155,580.00	28.08.00028	\$191,089.43	\$15,307.88	\$2,536,177.96	\$13,385.00	83,122,321.10

\$3,182,700.10 -\$60,379.00 \$2,806,734.89

\$124,496.78

Docket 09-00034

Lynwood Utility Corporation Account

Year	Towns and the second se	Land	Structures & Improvements	Pump Equipment	Collection System	Lab Equipment	Treatment & Disposal Transport Equipment	Transport Equipment	Total
Year									
2000	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$50,966.00	\$22,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,548,515.00	\$2,885.00	\$1,759,471.00
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	80.00	\$19,500.00
	Retirement	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2001	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$50,966.00	\$41,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,548,515.00	\$2,885.00	\$1,778,971.00
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$35,353.00	\$14,250.00	\$0.00	\$47,868.67	\$0.00	\$97,471.67
	Retirements	\$0.00	20.00	\$0.00	20.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2002	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$86.519.00	\$55,750.00	\$0.00	\$1,596,383.67	\$2,885.00	\$1.876,442.67
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$88,181.00	\$16,500.00	\$0.00	\$645,156.92	\$0.00	\$749,837.92
	Retirement	\$0.00	80.00	\$0.00	80.00	\$0.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2003	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$174.500.00		\$0.00	\$2,241,540.59	\$2,885.00	\$2,626,280.59
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00	\$224,190.00	\$10,500.00	\$264,690.00
	Retirement	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2004	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	5174 500.00	\$102,250.00	\$0.00	\$2,465,730.59	\$13,385.00	\$2,890,970,59
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,720.00	\$36,000.00	\$0.00	\$33,647.63	\$0.00	\$79,367.63
	Retirement	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2005	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$125,105.00	\$184.220.00	\$138,250.00	\$0.00	\$2,499,378.22	\$13,385.00	\$2,970,338,22
	Additions	\$0.00	\$6,475.00	\$2,377.00	\$13,500.00	\$0.00	\$26,511.67	\$0.00	\$48,863.67
	Retirements	20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-\$60.717.00	80.00	-\$60,717.00
2006	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$131,580.00	CHS 839500	\$151,750.00	\$0.00	\$2,465,172.89	\$13,385.00	\$2,958,484.89
	Additions	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,730.57	\$16,500.00	\$12,767.88	\$48,321.87	\$0.00	\$83,320.32
	Retirements	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2007	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$131,580.00	510.3.2.25	\$168,250.00	\$12,767.88	\$2,513,494.76	\$13,385.00	\$3,041,805,21
	Additions	\$0.00	\$24,000.00	\$8,453.26	\$22,839.43	\$2,540.00	\$22,683.20	\$0.00	\$80,515.89
	Retirements	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2008	Balance	\$10,000.00	\$155,580.00	5.700 780 83	\$191,089.43	\$15,307.88	\$2,536,177.96	\$13,385.00	\$3,122,321,10

\$124,496.78

\$3,182,700.10 -\$60,379.00 \$2,806,734.89

Docket 09-00034		
Lynwood Utility Corporation		
	Company	
Rate Base	\$1,028,953	\$485,313
Pro-Forma Operating Revenue at Current Rate	(\$85,878)	(\$6;398)
Earned Rate Of Return On Rate Base	-8.35%	-1.32%
Approved Overall Rate Of Return	8.00%	8.00%
Required Operating Income	\$82,316	\$38,825
Operating Income Deficiency	(\$168,194)	(\$45,223)
Expansion Factor 0.907	(\$185,440)	(\$49,860)
Revenues 2008	\$548,268	\$548,268
Revenue Requirements	\$733,708	\$598,128

%60.6

33.82%

\$733,692.24

\$49,860

	Docket 09-00034 Lynwood Utility Corporation	Company	
Schedule			
B-1	Utility Plant In Service	\$3,122,341	
B-2	Deferred Debits and Deposits	\$143,618	
B-3	Accumulated Depreciation	(\$1,602,052) \$	51,520,289
B-5	Working Capital	\$52,750	
B-4	Contribution in AID of Construction (CIAC)	(\$687,524)	
	Current Case Rate Base @ 12/31/08	\$1,029,133	
		\$1,028,953	
		¢10A	

	E				алипаниянногинания объедания нападаминания нападамина нап	\$39,845
Company	\$548,268 2008 Expenses divided by 365 days 1/12th	\$1,502		X2X	CCV	\$52,574
			(50Davs)	15 Davie	13 Days	
	2008 Revenue	Net	Average Time To Receive	Average Time To Day	wings into 101 ay	Working Capital Requirement

Schedule B-5

Docket 09-00034 Lynwood Utility Corporation

Schedule

	Docket 07-0007 Lynwood Utility Corporation	Settlement Company Exhibit 1.1	it 1.1
Schedule		2006 Company	-
B-1	Utility Plant In Service	\$2,806,755	
B-2	Deferred Debits and Deposits	\$60,717	
B-3	Accumulated Depreciation	(\$1,263,822) \$1,542,933	
B-5	Working Capital	0\$	
B-4	Contribution in AID of Construction (CIAC)	(\$1,038,225)	
	Current Case Rate Base @ 12/31/08	\$565,425	
		\$1,028,953	
		(\$463,528)	

\$82,316

	Docket 09-00034	Schedule E-4		
	Lynwood Utility Corporation			
		Book		
Schedule		12/31/2008	Adjustments	Pro Form
8-1	Property Taxes	\$17,013		\$17,013
8-2	Tn Franchise Taxes	\$3,000		\$3,000
	Taxes Other	\$703		\$703
	Total Customer Accounting Expenses	\$20,716	80	\$20,716

Docket 09-00034 Lynwood Urility Comoration	Schedule E-3			
anon	Book			
	12/31/2008 Factor Adjustments	Factor Adj	justments	As Adjusted
Accounting and Bookkeeping	\$16,150 1.03%	1.03%	\$485	\$16,635
	\$2,850			\$2,850
	\$13,049			\$13,049
	\$8,899			\$8,899
	\$16,000			\$16,000
	\$10,344			\$10,344
	\$17,641	1.06%	\$1,058	\$18,699
	\$1,582	1.03%	\$47	\$1,629
	\$86,515		\$1,590	\$88,105

			Pro Forma	\$23,371	\$4,153	\$27,524	\
			Adjustments	\$6,000	80	\$6,000	
Schedule E-5		Book	12/31/2008	\$17,371	\$4,153	\$21,524	\$15,000
Docket 09-00034	Lynwood Utility Corporation		dule	Regulatory Expenses	Utility Regulatory Assessment Fee	Total Deferred Debits and Deposits	1250/month
			Schedule				

difference are non-recurring expenses that should be excluded pg. 1/9/240 of company's response to CAS's 1st discovery request

\$2,371

Schedule E-2 y Corporation	Book 12/31/2008 Adjustments		1ses \$4,540 \$5,794	Total Customer Accounting Expenses \$10,744
Docket 09-00034 Lynwood Utility Corporation	Schedule	Billing and Collection Fees	B-2 Bad Debt Expenses	Total Customer

Billing is 7.5% of revenues Bad Debt is 1.8% of revenues

\$41,120.10 \$9,868.82

Docket 09-00034	Lynwood Utility Corporation

Schedule E-1

	Purchased Wastewater	Sludge Removal	Purchased Power	Chemicals	Materials & Supplies	Engineering Inspections	Testing	Repairs & Maintenance	Operations Management	Total Operating Expenses
Schedule										

Billing is 7.5% of revenues Bad Debt is 1.8% of revenues

G ASSEM
Ω
-
X
U
3000
O
ellenne.
0
Ō

			No electricity hikes expected now							
As Adjusted	\$2,551	\$34,617	\$62,794	\$42,450	\$20,502	\$2,701	\$31,488	\$89,030	\$28,800	\$314,933
Adjustments	\$189	\$3,147	\$10,466	\$3,859	\$597			\$2,593		\$20,851
actor 4	1.08%	1.10%	1.20%	1.10%	1.03%					H
Book 12/31/2008 Factor Adjustments	\$2,362 1.08%	\$31,470 1.10%	\$52,328 1.20%	\$38,591 1.10%	\$19,905	\$2,701	\$31,488	\$86,437	\$28,800	\$294,082

Docket 09-00034				Docket 07-	Docket 07-0007 (company sched. 2)	ny sched. 2)
Lynwood Utility Corporation	oration			Lynwood U	Lynwood Utility Corporation	ation
2008	Per Books	Adjustments As Adjusted	As Adjusted	Per Books	Per Books Adjustments As Adjusted	As Adjusted
Revenues				2005	10 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	
Sewer Fees	\$539,105		\$539,105	\$484,783	\$26,012	8510,795
Inspection Fees	\$1,000	80	\$1,000	29,000	(\$2,250)	\$6,750
Late Charges	\$8,163	80	\$8,163	\$7,143	<u>8390</u>	\$7,533
Total Revenues	\$548,268	80	\$548,268	\$500,926	\$24,152	\$525,078
Operations And Expenses						
Operating Expenses	\$294,082	\$20,850	\$314,932	\$431,315	\$48,023	\$479,338
Customer Accounting	\$55,300	80	\$55,300	8	\$54,000	\$54,000
General & Administrative	\$86,515	\$1,590	\$88,105	\$32,298	8968	\$33,266
Taxes Other Than Income	\$20,716	80	\$20,716	98	80	80
Regulatory Expenses	\$21,524	\$12,000	\$33,524	08	\$28,800	\$28,800
Depreciation & Amortization, Net	\$126,319	-84,750	\$121,569	\$44,811	86,130	\$50,941
Total Operation Expenses	\$604,456	\$29,690	\$634,146	\$508,424	\$137,921	\$646,345
Income (Loss)	(\$56,188)	\$29,690	(\$85,878)	(\$7,498)	\$137,921	S0 (S121,267)

Company exhibit

Before the

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

AFFIDAVIT	
I, Dave Peters, Regulatory Analyst, for the Consumer Advocate Division of the Atto General's Office, hereby certify that the attached Direct Testimony represents my opinion in	
above-referenced case and the opinion of the Consumer Advocate Division.	
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 19 day of 12009. NOTARY PUBLIC My commission expires: 166, 23 2011	

- 1 Q. Please state your name for the record.
- 2 A. My name is Dave Peters.

- 4 Q. By whom are you employed and what is your position?
- I am employed by the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division ("CAPD") in the Office of the Attorney General for the state of Tennessee ("Office") as a Regulatory Analyst.

8

- 9 Q. How long have you been employed as a financial professional?
- A. I have been employed as a finance professional in the private and public sector 10 11 for approximately 25 years. Before my current employment with the Office, I 12 was employed by Dell Computers as a site Controller in the Dell Fulfillment and Logistics organization. Formerly, I was employed with Nortel Networks in a 13 variety of financial positions, the last being as a program manager in the 14 Telecommuting program. My responsibilities included budgeting, forecasting, 15 internal controls, monthly close, balance sheet reviews and extensive financial 16 17 reporting to management.

18 19

- Q. What is your educational background and what degrees do you hold?
- 20 A. I have a Bachelors degree in Business Administration from Tennessee 21 Technological University with a major in Accounting and a Masters degree in 22 Business Administration from Belmont University. I am also a Tennessee 23 Certified Public Accountant.

24

- Q. Would you briefly describe your responsibilities as a Regulatory Analyst with the CAPD?
- I prepare testimony and financial exhibits in rate proceedings as an employee with the CAPD. Additionally, I review tariffs filed in the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") by certificated utilities operating in Tennessee.

- Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 32 A. The purpose of my testimony is to represent the forecasted financial exhibits 33 prepared by the CAPD ("Exhibit CAPD"), related Appendices, and provide my 34 exhibit ("Exhibit CAPD work papers") of work papers for forecasted Operation 35 and Maintenance ("O&M") Expenses, forecasted Depreciation Expense, 36 forecasted Regulatory Expenses, Taxes Other Than Income, forecasted General 37 and Administrative Expenses, and forecasted Rate Base, for Lynwood Utilities

Corporation (LUC) for the Lynwood adopted attrition year ended December 31, 2009.

Q. What is a public utility?

A. In the context of this case, a public utility is a business formed as a shareholder-owned corporation. Even though the public utility in this case is a for profit corporation, it is also important to note that this public utility is:

an organization that has been designated by law as a business affected with a significant public interest, and that also possesses all of the following characteristics: (1) The business is essentially free from direct competition, i.e., it operates in a monopolistic environment; (2) The business is required by law to charge rates for its services that are reasonable and not unjustly discriminatory; (3) The business is allowed to earn (but not guaranteed) a "reasonable" profit; and (4) The business is obligated to provide adequate service to its customers, on demand.¹

Q. Does Lynwood possess these public utility characteristics?

A. Yes. Lynwood is a shareholder-owned public utility that has been granted the advantage of operating in a monopolistic environment in exchange for special obligations, namely, the requirement to provide adequate service to all customers at rates that are just, reasonable, and non-discriminatory. This regulatory framework should guide all of the TRA's decisions in this matter.

Q. Are Lynwood's results audited?

A. No. Lynwood's financial statements are unaudited and the CAPD does not have the authority to audit a public utility's books. This testimony is based on my reliance on Lynwood's financial record keeping and their preparation of the financial statements in this docket.

¹Accounting for Public Utilities, Hahne and Aliff §1.01.

- 1 Q. Please summarize the results of the CAPD forecast of Lynwood's earnings for the attrition year.
- 3 A. The CAPD forecast of Lynwood earnings for the attrition year results in a recommended \$47,1852 increase in rates. This translates to a rate increase of 4 7.96% as opposed to Lynwood's requested increase of 33.82%. This is shown in 6 schedule 1, Revenue Deficiency. The CAPD's rate recommendation is based on its projection of adjusted net operating income ("adjusted NOI"), rate base and 7 overall rate of return of 7.5% which is the carrying cost of Lynwood's long-term 8 debt. Lynwood does not have any positive equity as of December 31, 2008, 9 therefore that is why the CAPD recommends using the 7.5% rate³. 10

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES:

13

- 14 Q. Please describe your forecasting methodology for Operation and Maintenance 15 Expenses.
- 16 A. One key projection that must be made in determining the adjusted NOI is the projection of all expenses.

18

- 19 Q. Did you make any normalizing adjustments to the test period ending 20 December 2008?
- Yes. The CAPD normalized the test period ending December 2008 by removing Non-Recurring expenses, specifically in the area of regulatory expenses, which were not subject to the CAPD expense growth rate. The appropriate rate treatment of these particular items will be discussed later in my testimony.

- Q. What are the significant differences between the CAPD and Lynwood in expenses and revenues for the forecasted attrition year?
- 28 A. In Exhibit CAPD work papers, work paper Operation Maintenance Expenses 29 Schedule 6 provides a reconciliation of the differences in the calculation of all 30 expenses. Additionally, CAPD Income Statement Schedule 5 shows the 31 reconciliation between Lynwood Revenues and CAPD Revenues.

²²Exhibit CAPD, Schedule 1, Line 8.

³ Exhibit CAPD, Schedule 10, Line 13.

The significant differences in Expenses for the attrition year are: (1) \$10,466 in Purchased Power; (2) \$8,371 in Regulatory Expenses; (3) \$13,842 in Depreciation and Amortization, (4) \$3,147 in Sludge Removal and (5) increase the TRA inspection fee by \$845. The total difference in expense results in a CAPD forecast that is \$34,9814 lower than the forecasted amount of Lynwood.

Q. What are the issues with Lynwood's forecast of Purchased Power?

A. Lynwood is forecasting \$62,794⁵ for the attrition year ended December 2009 in its O&M Expenses. This represents a 20% increase over actual 2008 costs. Per Lynwood assertions, this was adjusted from \$52,328 to \$62,794 because of an anticipated Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) 20% rate increase. This was announced in the fall 2008 and has since been eliminated. TVA issued a press release on 5/15/2009 that credits this rate cut "effectively erasing a huge rate boost approved last year to offset rising fuel costs. This latest announcement is the third rate cut in the last 3 fiscal quarters and will take effect July 1, 2009." Therefore, the CAPD removes this expense change from 2008 actuals.

Q. What are the issues with Lynwood's forecast of Regulatory Expenses?

A. Lynwood shows Regulatory Expenses for the test year of \$17,371. This number is comprised of a monthly amortization of \$1,250/month for the amortization of previous rate cases and some actual payments of \$2,3716. The adjustment for amortizing the Regulatory Expenses from this docket has been reduced from \$12,000 to \$6,000 as CAPD has reduced the estimated Regulatory cost of this case from \$36,000 to \$18,000. \$18,000 is a more realistic cost based on the amount of expenses the Regulatory Expenses are in relation to the total expense increases approved. The CAPD excludes this amount as these payments represent non-recurring expenses. The other component of Regulatory Expenses is the Utility Regulatory Assessment Fee of \$4,153.

⁴CAPD work paper, Index of Work Papers, Schedule 4, Line 8.

⁵CAPD work paper, Index of Work Papers, Schedule 2, Line 8.

⁶ Company work paper, Schedule E-5, Line 6.

- Q. What are the issues with the Lynwood's forecast of depreciation and amortization expenses?
- Lynwood is showing the depreciation and amortization expense in their 3 A. company schedule R/E of \$121, 569. This expense should be the net of the 4 additions to depreciation and the amortization of contributions in aid of 5 construction (CIAC). When you total up those specific changes in their 6 schedules B4 (Analysis of CIAC) and B3 (Depreciation Analysis) the result is an expense of \$107,727. The difference is \$13,842 and CAPD shows that as a 8 reduction of expenses in the CAPD schedule 4 (Income Statement at Current 9 10 Rates)7.

- 12 Q. Please discuss your issue with Sludge Removal Expenses for Lynwood's forecast attrition year.
- 14 Α. The company's test year expenses for sludge removal costs contain expenses attributable to extraneous sludge removal related to odor control 15 measures which account for 2/3 of the total test year costs of sludge removal. 16 Thus, sludge removal costs which the company has already deferred in account 17 186.7 are presented in the company's test year as recurring expenses. 18 Although requested in discovery, the company did not provide invoices for 19 sludge removal costs citing the expense of production. However, a comparison 20 of invoices on file in Docket 08-00060 and ledger entries provided in this docket 21 show that a portion of sludge removal expenses in the 2008 test year are already 22 being paid for through the surcharge approved in Docket 08-00060. 23

24

- Q. Given the interplay of Docket 08-00060 and the company's test year in this docket, do you have a recommendation as to the proper level of sludge removal costs for the 2008 test year?
 - A. Yes, I recommend adoption of the company's 2008 test year figure for sludge removal.

293031

- Q. What is the basis of your recommendation?
- A. First, there have been no publicly noticed consumer complaints
 regarding odors from Lynwood since March 10, 2008. The extra sludge removal
 expense may be justified as a recurring expense in curtailing odor if regular and
 recurring "extraneous" sludge removal has functioned to reduce consumer

⁷ CAPD work paper, Index of Work Papers, Schedule 4, Line 4.

complaints. Second, allowing the company to continue a surcharge or tracking mechanism for these issues would no longer be justified, especially if the "extraneous" sludge removal is a part of a permanent solution to the odor issue that will result in a recurring expense. Third, Docket 08-00060, which addressed and authorized an odor control surcharge, was expensive for consumers. In total, the company sought \$45,252 in that docket for various expenses, ranging from extraneous sludge removal to extra salary for the owner, Mr. Tyler Ring. The settlement between the Consumer Advocate and the company in Docket 08-00060 resulted in Lynwood consumers paying a volumetric surcharge on their bills to allow the company to recover \$30,973. Of the settlement amount, approximately \$5,909 or, nearly 20% of the settlement amount, was dedicated solely to the legal and accounting fees incurred by the company in pursuing the docket. Due to the administrative burden and expense of proceedings like Docket 08-00060, it would be reasonable to allow the company's 2008 test year figure for sludge removal in order to eliminate any future effort by Lynwood to recover what it considers deferred odor control costs.

16 17 18

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

Q. Please discuss income taxes.

19 A. First of all, Lynwood is a S corporation for Federal Income Tax purposes.

Therefore, any Federal Income Tax basis flows through to the owner's individual tax returns. Secondly, the return component is purely the payment of interest expense to the long-term debt obligations. The company has no equity basis. Consequently, the return component consists only of long-term debt obligations. Therefore, all of the interest expense is deductible for state excise tax purposes, resulting in no taxable income to the company.

252627

28

29

30

31

32

Q. Please discuss your issue with Tap Fees for Lynwood's forecast attrition year.

A. In Lynwood's 4/9/2009 data response page 3, they show 2008 Tap Fee revenues of \$17,500 which equates to 5 customers at \$3,500/tap. However, in the same data response, they show the average number of customers growing from 811 customers in 2007 to 829 in 2008. At the same \$3,500/tap Lynwood revenues would be higher by \$45,500. The CAPD schedule 5 reflects this change⁸.

33

⁸ Company work paper, Data Response 4/9/09, Page 12.

1 Q. Do Lynwood's rates compare favorably to neighboring wastewater systems?

A. No. Based on a monthly usage of 5,000 gallons of water treated, Lynwood currently pays \$32.65/month. This puts their rates higher than all but 17% of all wastewater systems in Tennessee. If the full rate increase is granted (33.82%), Lynwood would be 139th out of 145 in all of Tennessee (higher than 96% of all wastewater systems in TN). Locally, the same bill in Franklin is \$20.49, Spring Hill is \$14.34 and the Harpeth Valley Utility district charges \$28.25 and statewide, the median bill is \$23.00. The comparative numbers above were based on 5,000 gallons of treated water per month⁹. However, the average Lynwood customer uses approximately 8,500 gallons a month resulting in an average bill of approximately \$57. If Lynwood receives the entire rate increase in this docket, the average customer will pay approximately \$76 per month. Additionally, Lynwood customers don't get a discount in the summer months (other utilities don't charge sewage treatment for water used for watering their lawns and gardens) whereas all of the neighboring systems do offer such a program. Like all companies operating in this difficult economic climate, Lynwood needs to focus more on cost containment and less on rate relief. Most companies are trimming costs to retain customers, not increase prices.

18 19 20

26

27

28

29

30

32

33

34

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. What are the issues with Lynwood's calculation of Rate Base?

A. By taking the final settlement numbers of Lynwood's rate base in the 2006 rate case, and computing all of the additions and subtractions in the years 2007 and 2008, CAPD arrives at a new rate base of \$495,839. This is \$533,115 lower than Lynwood's stated rate base of \$1,028,956. The differences are calculated as follows:

1st) Utility Plant In Service – By taking the ending Utility Plant In Service account from the 2006 case of \$2,806,755 and adding all of the plant additions for 2007 and 2008 less the collection systems account (this was not included in the 2006 case), the net result is an adjusted plant in service balance of \$2,931,252. This number is \$191,089 less than Lynwood's new plant in service balance of \$3,122,341.

31 \$3,122,341.

2nd) Cash Working Capital – Lynwood bases their working capital requirements on the lag time between revenues billed and customers paying. This results in a working capital balance of \$52,574. CAPD bases working capital requirements

⁹ Allen & Hoshall Tennessee Water and Sewer Rate Survey, June 2008.

- on 1/12 of total expenses (less depreciation which is a non-cash expense). This results in a working capital balance of \$39,845, a difference of \$12,729.
 - 3rd) Accumulated Depreciation By taking the ending Accumulated Depreciation account from the 2006 case of \$1,263,822 and adding all of the depreciation additions for 2007 and 2008 less the collection systems account (this was not included in the 2006 case), the net results is an adjusted accumulated depreciation balance of \$1,640,300. This number is \$38,248 less than Lynwood's new accumulated depreciation balance of \$1,602,052.
 - 4th) Contributions In Aid Of Construction By taking the ending Contributions In Aid Of Construction (CIAC) account from the 2006 case of \$1,038,225 and adding all of the CIAC additions for 2007 and 2008 less the amortization of CIAC for 2007 and 2008, the net results is an adjusted CIAC balance of \$989,102. This number is \$301,578 less than Lynwood's new CIAC balance of \$687,524. There were two entries booked in 2007 that make up this difference and it was a reclass from CIAC to Retained Earnings. The CAPD includes this amount as there is no justification to reclass items from CIAC to Retained Earnings¹⁰.

17
18 Q. What is your proposal for Rate Design?

A. Lynwood has a minimum charge of \$15 per month and a volumetric charge of \$6.53 per 1,000 gallons. The company has proposed to increase the minimum charge to \$20 per month and increase the volumetric charge to \$8.78 per 1,000 gallons. The CAPD proposes a three tiered volumetric rate which is similar to all other neighboring waste water utilities and is a more equitable method of rate recovery for the consumers. The CAPD reserves the right to assist in proposing this new rate making methodology once the CAPD receives more information concerning customers by subdivision and usage by customers.

28 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

29 A. Yes, it does.

 $^{^{\}rm 10}$ CAPD work paper, Index of Work Papers, Schedule 3, Line 8.