STATE OF TENNESSEE

Office of the Attorney General



ROBERT E. COOPER, JR. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND REPORTER

CORDELL HULL AND JOHN SEVIER STATE OFFICE BUILDINGS

SOLICITOR GENERAL
TELEPHONE (615) 741-3491
FACSIMILE (615) 741-2009

MICHAEL E. MOORE

LAWRENCE HARRINGTON CHIEF POLICY DEPUTY

LUCY HONEY HAYNES

CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

MAILING ADDRESS
P.O. BOX 20207
NASHVILLE, TN 37202

August 19, 2009

Ms. Sara Kyle, Director Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

filed electronically in docket office on 08/19/09

Re: Lynwood Utility Corporation's Petition for Adjustment of Rates TRA Docket No. 09-00034

Dear Chairman Kyle,

Please accept the attached filing in Docket 09-00034. The filing consists of corrected supplemental testimony of Mr. David Peters on behalf of the Consumer Advocate. The corrected supplemental corrects a mathematical error in the calculation of the overall rate increase proposed by the Consumer Advocate in Mr. Peters Supplemental Direct Testimony filed on August 14, 2009. The filing does not introduce new substantive testimony. If you have questions about this filing, please let me know.

Sincerely.

Ryan L. McGehee

Attachment

IN THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY AT NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE: LYNWOOD UTILITY CORPORATION'S) DOCKET NO. 09-00034 PETITION FOR ADJUSTMENT OF) RATES NOTE: NOTE					
AFFIDAVIT OF DAVE PETERS					
I, Dave Peters, Regulatory Analyst, for the Consumer Advocate Division of the Attorney General's Office, hereby certify that the attached Corrected Supplemental Direct Testimony represents my opinion in the above-referenced case and the opinion of the Consumer Advocate					
Division.					
DAVE PETERS Sworn to and subscribed before me this / 9th day of August, 2009. NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires AUG. 23, 2011 My commission expires: 0.3 2011					

1

Q. Are there any corrections to your supplemental testimony?

3

A. Yes. In my schedule 2, line 27¹, I had the change amount backward for the depreciation difference between Lynwood and CAPD. The written part of my supplemental testimony regarding depreciation was correct; however, the impact of the amount being booked backwards changes the proposed rate hike from our supplemental recommendation of 12.69% (additional revenue of \$75,212) to 10.17%

9 (additional revenue of \$60,293)².

10

- 11 Q. Does this conclude your corrections to the previously submitted supplemental testimony of 9-14-2009?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.

¹ CAPD Exhibit Schedule 2, Line 27.

² CAPD Exhibit Schedule 1, Line 9.

Revenue Deficiency For 12 Months Ending December 31, 2008

Lin No	_		Consumer Advocate Settlement	Company F/	Difference
		(Maintenance Expenses)			
	1	Rate Base	\$562,809 A/	\$1,028,956 A/	(\$466,147)
	2	Operating Income at Present Rates	(\$12,475) B/	(\$85,880) B/	\$73,406
	3	Earned Rate of Return	-2.22%	-8.35%	6.13%
	4	Fair Rate of Return	7.50% C/	8.00%	-0.50%
	5	Required Operating Income	\$42,211	\$82,316	(\$40,106)
	6	Operating Income Deficiency	\$54,685	\$168,197	(\$113,511)
	7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	0.907	0.907	0
	8	Revenue Deficiency (Surplus)	\$60,293	\$185,443	(\$125,150)
A/ B/ C/	9	Rate Increase Schedule 3, Line 27 Schedule 4, Line 18 Schedule 7, Line 5	10.17%	33.82%	