Before the

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

In re: Petition of Atmos Energy Corporation for Approval of Adjustment of Its Rates and Revised Tariff))	Docket No. 08-00197
*************	******	***********
DIRECT TES	STIMON	\mathbf{W}
OF	1	
TERRY BU	CKNER	
**************	*****	**********

January 16, 2009

INTRODUCTION

- 2 Q. Please state your name for the record.
- 3 A. My name is Terry Buckner.

4

1

- 5 Q. By whom are you employed and what is your position?
- I am employed by the Consumer Advocate and
 Protection Division ("Consumer Advocate") in the Office of
 the Attorney General for the state of Tennessee ("Office") as a
 Regulatory Analyst.

10

11 Q. How long have you been employed in conjunction with the 12 public utility industry?

Approximately thirty years. Α. Before my 13 current employment with the Office, I was employed 14 Comptroller of the Treasury for the state of Tennessee for 15 nearly two years as the Assistant Director responsible for public 16 utility audits. Prior to that, I was employed for approximately 17 eight years with the Office. Formerly, I was employed with the 18 Tennessee Public Service Commission ("Commission") in the 19 Utility Rates Division as a financial analyst for approximately 20 My responsibilities included testifying before the 21 Commission as to the appropriate cost of service for public 22 utilities operating in Tennessee. Prior to my employment with 23 the Commission, I was employed by TDS Telecom for eight 24

1		years and the First Utility District of Knox County for three
2		years.
3		
4	Q.	What is your educational background and what degrees do
5		you hold?
6	A.	I have a Bachelors degree in Business Administration
7		from the University of Tennessee, Knoxville with a major in
8		Accounting. I am also a Tennessee Certified Public Accountant
9		('CPA") and a member of the American Institute of Certified
10		Public Accountants.
11		
12	Q.	Would you briefly describe your responsibilities as a
13		Regulatory Analyst with the Consumer Advocate?
14	A.	I prepare testimony and financial exhibits in rate
15		proceedings as an employee with the Consumer Advocate.
16		Additionally, I review tariff filings by Tennessee public utilities,
17		which are subject to the jurisdiction of the Tennessee
18		Regulatory Authority ("TRA").
19		

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

20

21 A. The purpose of my testimony is to represent the 22 forecasted financial exhibits prepared by the Consumer 23 Advocate ("Exhibits of CAPD") and provide my exhibit of 24 work papers ("work papers of Terry Buckner") for forecasted Operating Revenues for Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos") for the attrition year ending March 31, 2010. Additionally, my testimony makes recommendations on the issue of rate design for any change in rates ordered by the TRA in this docket.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Α.

Q. Please summarize the results of the CAPD forecast of the earnings of Atmos for the attrition year.

March 31, 2010. For the attrition year, Atmos is requesting a \$6.289 million rate increase whereas the Consumer Advocate's forecast shows that customer rates should actually be reduced by \$3.673 million instead, which is a difference of \$9.961¹ million between the forecast of Atmos and the forecast of the Consumer Advocate. The \$9.961 million difference is primarily due to the following areas of disagreement between Atmos and the Consumer Advocate: (1) The Consumer Advocate believes that Atmos will collect about \$.12 million² more in operating revenue margins than the revenue estimates included in the rate increase petition by Atmos; (2) The Consumer Advocate is projecting about \$2 million³ less in operation and maintenance expenses than the amount projected by Atmos; (3) The

Page 3 08-00197: Buckner, Direct

¹ Exhibits of CAPD, Schedule 1, Line 8.

² Exhibits of CAPD, Schedule 3, Line 5.

³ Exhibits of CAPD, Schedule 4, Line 6.

Consumer Advocate's calculation of depreciation expense is approximately \$.228 million⁴ less than the depreciation expense projected by Atmos; (4) The Consumer Advocate forecasts approximately \$.191 million less in "interest on customer deposits" than the projection by Atmos; (5) Using the recommended rate of return by the Consumer Advocate, results in about \$.200 million less in revenue requirement due to a lower rate base forecast than the rate base computations of Atmos; and (6) The amount of revenue required for Atmos to have an opportunity to earn a fair profit is about \$7 million less in the Consumer Advocate's forecast due to the Consumer Advocate's computation of a lower cost of capital.

Although there are many underlying details supporting the Consumer Advocate's position, all of which are discussed below and/or shown in the testimony, work papers, and exhibits of the Consumer Advocate's witnesses, the six areas discussed above serve as an overview of the primary areas of dispute between Atmos and the Consumer Advocate in this case.

⁴ Exhibits of CAPD Schedule 3, Line 8.

OPERATING REVENUES

_	
7	
4	

3

1

Q. Please describe the service areas of Atmos in Tennessee.

Α. Atmos is an investor owned LDC, which takes ownership of the natural gas and delivers it to each individual ratepayer's 5 location of use. Atmos has exclusive rights to sell and 7 distribute natural gas to the following certificated service areas in Tennessee: Union City, Columbia, Shelbyville, Lynchburg, 8 Maryville, Alcoa, Franklin, Murfreesboro, Nolensville, Johnson 9 City, Elizabethton, Greeneville, Kingsport, Morristown, and 10 Bristol. Atmos is a monopoly subject to the regulation of the 11 TRA. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α.

Q. Please describe the components of your forecast for Operating Revenues.

The components for forecasting Operating Revenues are monthly rates or prices multiplied by annualized volumes. The monthly rates are established by the TRA and are set forth in current tariff of Atmos; and the volumes consist of two components: the number of customers, and the volume of natural gas usage per one hundred cubic feet ("CCF"). The monthly customer rate is dependent upon the classification of customer (i.e. Residential, Commercial, etc.) and the month of the year. The monthly rates for natural gas usage for some

customer classes differ according to the billed volume per CCF.

There are several usage rates, which are applied to volumetric blocks. These are the billing determinants generating most of the Operating Revenues of Atmos.

Additionally, these billing determinants are used by Atmos in six classes of service, which are: (1) residential; (2) commercial; (3) industrial; (4) public authority; (5) transportation; and (6) special contract. Other Operating Revenues are not dependent upon the normal billing determinants and include forfeited discounts and other miscellaneous service charges.

Α.

Q. Please describe the forecasting methodologies for the Residential Operating Revenues.

The Consumer Advocate adopted the test period ended September 2008 for its forecast of Residential Operating Revenues. Billing determinants for all the locations and classes were compiled by month from October 2004 through September 2008. The residential billing determinants were calculated by trending the customers and usage history for the four fiscal years starting with the twelve month period ended September 2005 through the twelve months ended September 2008 by weather station. The four weather stations are Bristol, Knoxville, Nashville, and Paducah. The blended billing

determinants (i.e. number of bills and volumes) of actual amounts for the four fiscal years ended September 2008 and trended amounts for the attrition year were applied to present rates, which resulted in \$25,696,7675 in Residential Operating Revenues. The weather normalization adjustment ("WNA") was calculated by the Consumer Advocate using a trended volume from the last four fiscal years. The use of four historical winters or heating seasons in the development of the WNA amount is consistent with the forecasted Residential and Commercial trended amounts.

Atmos adopted the test period ended June 2008 in forecasting their Residential Operating Revenues. Atmos grew their residential and commercial customers from the test period by 1.60%. Additionally, Atmos forecasts more migration of residential customers to their senior citizen – low income discount. Based on the data provided to the Consumer Advocate through September 2008, the migration proposed by Atmos has yet to occur and will not occur at the historical rate of conversion.

For the residential volumetric usage, Atmos reduced the normalized volumetric usage from the test period by 1.50%7.

⁵Work papers of Terry Buckner, Index of work papers Page 1, Line 7.

⁶Atmos Direct Testimony of Patricia J. Childers, Page 5, Line 11.

⁷ Atmos Direct Testimony, Schedule PJC-2

As a result, Atmos is forecasting Residential Operating Revenues of \$25,396,5798 at present rates for the attrition year, which is a .83% increase over the test period ended June 30, 2008. However, the Consumer Advocate's attrition period Residential Operating Revenues increase by 2.02% over the test period ended September 30, 2008. The Consumer Advocate's forecasted Residential Operating Revenue is approximately \$.3M greater than the forecasted amount of Atmos.

Α.

Q. Please describe the forecasting methodologies for the Commercial Operating Revenues.

The Consumer Advocate adopted the test period ended September 2008 for its forecast of Commercial Operating Revenues. Billing determinants for all the locations and classes were compiled by month from October 2004 through September 2008. The Commercial billing determinants were primarily calculated by trending the customers and usage history as reported by Atmos in discovery responses to the Consumer Advocate for the four fiscal years starting with the twelve month period ended September 2005 through the twelve months ended September 2008 by the four weather stations. The blended billing determinants of actual amounts for the four fiscal years ended September 2008 and trended amounts for the

⁸ Atmos Direct Testimony, Schedule PJC-3, Page 5 of 6, Line 7.

attrition year were applied to present rates, which resulted in The \$15,022,5809 in Commercial Operating Revenues. Advocate Consumer incorporated one additional Commercial customer not included in the test, which is consistent with the forecast of Atmos. The WNA was calculated by the Consumer Advocate using a trended volume from the last four fiscal years. Again, the use of four historical winters or heating seasons in the development of the WNA amount is consistent with the forecasted Residential and Commercial trended amounts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Atmos adopted the test period ended June 2008 in forecasting their Commercial Operating Revenues. Atmos grew their residential and commercial customers from the test period by 1.60%.

As a result, Atmos is forecasting Commercial Operating Revenues of \$15,553,510¹⁰ at present rates for the attrition year, which is a 1.79% increase over the test period.

However, the Consumer Advocate's attrition period Commercial Operating Revenues decrease by 1.68% over the test period ended September 30, 2008. This difference is due to Schedule 250 volumes which were reported in discovery to the Consumer Advocate as Transportation volumes. The volume rates are identical for this schedule in both classes. The

¹⁰ Atmos Direct Testimony, Schedule PJC-3, Page 5 of 6, Line 28.

⁹ Work papers of TerryBuckner, Index of work papers, Page 1, Line 28.

Advocate's forecasted Commercial Consumer Operating Revenue is approximately \$.5M lower than the forecasted amount of Atmos.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α.

1

2

3

Q. describe the forecasting methodologies for the Industrial Operating Revenues.

The Consumer Advocate adopted the test period ended September 2008 for its forecast of Industrial Operating Revenues. Billing determinants for all the locations and classes were compiled by month from October 2004 through September 2008. The industrial billing determinants were primarily calculated by trending the customers and usage history for the four fiscal years starting with the twelve month period ended September 2005 through the twelve months ended September 2008 by weather station. However, the actual September 2008 test year volumes for Schedule 230 were adopted for the attrition year. Except for the historical amounts used for Schedule 230, the blended billing determinants of actual amounts for the four fiscal years ended September 2008 plus the trended amounts for the attrition year were applied to present rates, which resulted in \$2,467,98811 in Industrial Operating Revenues.

Work papers of Terry Buckner, Index of work papers, Page 1, Line 54.

Atmos adopted the test period ended June 2008 in forecasting their Industrial Operating Revenues.

Atmos is forecasting Industrial Operating Revenues of \$2,515,240¹² at present rates for the attrition year, which is a 2.20% decrease over the test period. The attrition period Industrial Operating Revenues are diminishing mainly due to the loss of industrial customers and customer migration to the Transportation class of service.

Α.

Q. Please describe the forecasting methodologies for the Public Authority Operating Revenues.

The Consumer Advocate adopted the test period ended September 2008 for its forecast of Public Authority Operating Revenues. Billing determinants for all the locations and classes were compiled by month from October 2004 through September 2008. The Public Authority billing determinants were calculated by trending the customers and usage history for the four fiscal years starting with the twelve month period ended September 2005 through the twelve months ended September 2008 by weather station. The blended billing determinants of actual amounts for the four fiscal years ended September 2008 and trended amounts for the attrition year

¹² Atmos Direct Testimony, Schedule PJC-3, Page 5 of 6, Line 54

were applied to present rates, which resulted in \$194,620¹³ in Public Authority Operating Revenues.

Atmos adopted the test period ended June 2008 in forecasting their Public Authority Operating Revenues. Additionally, Atmos forecasts more migration of public authority customers to their senior citizen – low income discount.

As a result, Atmos is forecasting Public Authority Operating Revenues of \$203,952¹⁴ at present rates for the attrition year, which is a .50% decrease over the test period.

Q. Please describe the forecasting methodologies for Transportation Operating Revenues.

The Consumer Advocate adopted the test period ended September 2008 for its forecast of Transportation Operating Revenues. Billing determinants for all the locations and classes were compiled by month from October 2004 through September 2008. The transportation billing determinants were calculated by trending the customers and usage history for the four fiscal years starting with the twelve month period ended September 2008 by weather station. The blended billing determinants of actual amounts for the four fiscal years ended September 2008

¹⁴ Atmos Direct Testimony, Page 5 of 6, Line 63.

¹³ Work papers of Terry Buckner, Index of work papers, Page 1, Line 63.

and trended amounts for the attrition year were applied to present rates, which resulted in \$5,550,695 in Transportation Operating Revenues.

Atmos adopted the test period ended June 2008 in forecasting their Transportation Operating Revenues.

As a result, Atmos is forecasting Transportation Operating Revenues of \$5,088,241 at present rates for the attrition year, which is a .90% decrease over the test period. As previously mentioned, the forecast difference is due to Schedule 250 volumes which were reported in discovery to the Consumer Advocate as Transportation volumes. The volume rates are identical for this schedule in both classes. The Consumer Advocate's forecasted Transportation Operating Revenue is approximately \$.5M higher than the forecasted amount of Atmos.

17 Q. Please describe the forecasting methodologies for the Special 18 Contract Operating Revenues.

19 A. The Consumer Advocate has adopted the Special Contract Operating Revenues forecast of Atmos.

Q. Please describe the forecasting methodologies for the Other Operating Revenues.

Α. Given that Other Operating Revenues are not dependent 3 upon the normal billing determinants, the Consumer Advocate 4 adopted the Atmos forecast amount of \$469,311 5 Miscellaneous Service Charges. However, the Forfeited 6 Discount amount was adjusted for the forecasted attrition year 7 The Consumer Advocate's forecast of Other volumes. 8 Operating Revenues is \$1,677,624, which is \$2,084 lower than the Other Operating Revenue forecast of Atmos. 10

11

12 Q. Please summarize the comparative forecasts of Operating 13 Revenue Margins.

The Consumer Advocate's forecast of Operating Revenue 14. Margins totals \$51,523,980¹⁵, which is \$123,085¹⁶ greater than 15 the forecast of Atmos. While the Consumer Advocate took a 16 longer historical look and a more granular forecasting method 17 than Atmos in forecasting Operating Revenue Margins, the 18 comparative results are remarkably similar. Obviously, based 19 on the known data, adoption of the Consumer Advocate's 20 Operating Revenue Margins forecast would be slightly more 21 beneficial to the ratepayers. The Consumer Advocate's 22 forecast, which considers more data at a more granular level 23

¹⁶ Exhibits of CAPD, Schedule 3, Line 5.

¹⁵ Work papers of Terry Buckner, Index of work papers, Page 2, Line 101.

1		than Atmos' forecast, should be adopted for setting rates in this
2		proceeding.
3		
4	Q.	What is Atmos currently earning in Tennessee?
5	A.	The September 2008 TRA 3.06 surveillance report for
6		Atmos indicates a 7.45% rate of return for the twelve months
7		ended September 2008. It is the Consumer Advocate's
8		contention that the reported return is prospectively understated
9		due to Pension Expense and Long Term Incentive Pay ("LTIP"),
10		which has been historically disallowed by the TRA.
11		
12	Q.	What is the recent history of rate increases for Atmos in
13		Tennessee?
14	A	In TRA Docket #05-00258, the TRA ordered a revenue
15	1.5	decrease of \$6,071,837. In TRA Docket #07-00105, the TRA
16		authorized a revenue increase of \$3,990,00, which resulted in a
17		9% rate increase. In this docket, Atmos requests an additional
18		revenue increase of \$6,288,571, which is a 13% increase with a
19		rate of return of 8.99%.
20		
21		

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α.

Q. Please discuss the proposed rate design of Atmos.

Atmos is proposing an increase in fixed charges of \$2 per month for residential customers plus a 6% increase in the residential volumetric rate; The proposed increases by Atmos in commercial rates and industrial are \$2.50 per month in fixed charges and a 14% increase in the commercial and industrial volumetric rates; Other Public Authority revenues would increase by \$2.50 per month in fixed charges plus a 6% increase in the volumetric rate; Transportation volumetric rates would increase between 13-14% dependent upon the tariff schedule; and no change would occur for the Special Contract customers. Exclusive of the Special Contract customers, the rate design of Atmos produces a 13% rate increase for nearly every rate class. Atmos contends that "The vast majority of non-gas costs borne by a natural gas utility, and correspondingly its revenue requirements are fixed and are essentially unaffected by the volumes sold or transported."17 Moreover, Atmos states that "The Company currently collects approximately 45% of its base rate margin through the customer charge." 18 As a result, Atmos states that "The requested increases to the customer charges are

Atmos Direct Testimony Patricia J. Childers, Page 7, Lines 1-3.
 Atmos Direct Testimony Patricia J. Childers, Page 7, Lines 8-10.

a small but important incremental step toward greater recovery of the Company's fixed costs through the customer charge." ¹⁹

In TRA Docket #07-00105, the monthly residential fixed rates were increased by \$1 and the volumetric rates were increased by approximately 8%; the monthly commercial fixed rates were increased by \$3.50 and the volumetric rates were increased by approximately 11%; and only the volumetric rates were increased for industrial and transportation customers.

The volumetric usage by the customers of Atmos indicates a decline over the last four fiscal years, whereas the number of customer bills has increased slightly. While there could be several reasons for the decline in usage, one of the reasons is undoubtedly conservation efforts by customers. As commodity and distribution prices of natural gas rise, customers have a greater incentive to conserve. This principle is clearly illustrated by the spike in gasoline prices last year; when the price for gasoline-sky rocketed in the summer of 2008, there was a resulting decline in gasoline usage. In fact, there was energy conservation. Therefore, the Consumer Advocate recommends that conservation would be better achieved through a higher percentage increase in volumetric rates relative to the percentage increase in fixed monthly customer charges. This is so because the customer's behavior has more of

¹⁹ Atmos Direct Testimony Patricia J. Childers, Page 7, Lines 11-13.

an impact on the monthly utility bill under this pricing structure. Conversely, customers have less of an incentive to conserve energy as more of their bill becomes fixed.

The Consumer Advocate recommends that an appropriate mix between fixed customer charges volumetric rates be achieved. This mix is important because, as discussed above, moving the rate design toward more fixed charges through higher monthly customer charges negatively impact the conservation efforts of customers. Last year in TRA Docket #07-00105, the customer charges for residential and commercial customers were raised; and in this docket Atmos states that 45% of its base rate margin is collected through the customer charge. As volumes decline and the number of bills increase, the ratio of base rate margin collected through the customer charge may go even higher. customer charges are already the highest of the three largest LDCs in the state, and the Consumer Advocate does not see a need to go beyond the increases in customer charges that Atmos made just last year. The Consumer Advocate therefore recommends that the monthly customer charges for all customer classes be maintained at present levels.

The Consumer Advocate therefore recommends that the monthly customer charges for all customer classes be maintained at present levels, and that any rate adjustment

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ordered in this proceeding be made proportionately to the volumetric rates of all customer rate classifications.

08-00197: Buckner, Direct

- 3
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A. Yes, it does.

Before the

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

In re: Petition of Atmos Energy Corporation for Approval of Adjustment of Its Rates and Revised Tariff) Docket No. 08-00197
AFFIDA	AVIT
I, Terry Buckner, Regulatory Analyst, for the Attorney General's Office, hereby certify that the opinion in the above-referenced case and the opinion	attached Direct Testimony represents my
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 16 day of 100. Emil Hard My Com My commission expires: Queg. 23, 2011	TERRY BUCKNER STATE OF TENNESSEE NOTARY PUBLIC ON COUNTINGENIES AUG. 23. 2011

Before the

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

In re: Petition of Atmos Energy Corporation for Approval of Adjustment of Its Rates and Revised Tariff)))	Docket No. 08-00197
*********	****	*****
EXHIB	BITS	
OF	1	
TERRY BU	ICKNER	
************	*****	********

January 16, 2009

Atmos Energy Corporation Index to Schedules For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010

	Schedule No.
Revenue Deficiency (Surplus)	1
Rate Base	2
Income Statement at Current Rates	3
Operation & Maintenance Expenses	4
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	5
Excise and Income Taxes	6
Revenue Conversion Factor	7
Cost of Capital	8

Atmos Energy Corporation Revenue Deficiency (Surplus) For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010

Line No.		CAPD	Company E/	Difference
1	Rate Base	189,602,388 A/	191,039,507	1,437,119
2	Operating Income at Present Rates	14,543,687 в/	13,352,571	(1,191,116)
3	Earned Rate of Return (L 2 / L 1)	7.67%	6.99%	-0.68%
4	Fair Rate of Return	6.5% C/	8.99%	2.50%
5	Required Operating Income (L 1 x L 4)	12,303,678	17,174,452	4,870,774
6	Operating Income Deficiency (Surplus) (L 5 - L 2)	(2,240,009)	3,821,881	6,061,890
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.639625_D/	1.645410	0.005785
8	Revenue Deficiency (Surplus)	(3,672,773)	6,288,571	9,961,344

A/ Schedule 2, Line 15.

B/ Schedule 3, Line 16.

C/ Schedule 8, Line 5.

D/ Schedule 7, Line 10.

E/ Atmos Schedules.

Atmos Energy Corporation Rate Base For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010

Line		A/	B/	
No.		CAPD	Company	Difference
1	Utility Plant in Service	364,045,392	365,165,376	(1,119,984)
2	Construction Work in Progress	5,156,533	6,048,638	(892,105)
3	Materials and Supplies / Storage Gas	15,381,251	15,381,251	-
4	Working Capital/Deferred Rate Case	1,724,382	2,084,267	(359,885)
5	Net Elimination of Intercompany Leased Property	6,609,445	6,609,445	-
6	Unamortized Maryland Way Gain	-	-	-
7	Total Additions	392,917,003	395,288,977	(2,371,974)
	•			
	Deductions:			
8	Accumulated Depreciation	158,816,360	158,512,045	304,316
9	Customer Deposits	4,692,458	4,734,868	(42,410)
10	Contributions and Advances in Aid of Construction	55,261	55,261	-
11	Accumulated Deferred Tax-Accel. Depreciation	38,988,924	40,048,145	(1,059,221)
12	Accrued Interest on Customer Deposits	761,611	899,151	(137,540)
13	Total Deductions	203,314,614	204,249,470	(934,855)
	•			
14	Rate Base	189,602,388	191,039,507	(1,437,119)

A/ Dave Peters work paper, RB-SUM-1, Index of work papers, Page 103. B/ Atmos Direct Testimony, Schedule THP-7, work papers.

Atmos Energy Corporation Income Statement at Current Rates For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010

Line <u>No.</u>		CAPD	_	Company D/	Difference
1	Revenues - Sales, forfeited discounts & other	170,989,205	B/	171,283,516	(294,311)
2	Cost of Gas	119,465,225	В/ _	119,882,621	(417,396)
3	Gross margin on sales and service	51,523,980	A/	51,400,895	123,085
4	AFUDC	141,902	C/ _	141,902	
5	Operating Margin	51,665,882	=	51,542,797	123,085
6	Other Operation and Maintenance	16,050,854	D/	17,568,395	(1,517,541)
7	Interest on Customer Deposits	199,595	E/	390,627	(191,032)
8	Depreciation and Amortization Exp.	9,083,880	F/	9,312,793	(228,913)
9	Taxes Other Than Income	6,447,527	G/	6,228,016	219,511
10	State Excise Tax	942,839	H/	786,515 H	156,324
11	Federal Income Tax	4,690,912	H/ _	3,903,880 H	787,032
12	Total Operating Expense	37,415,607		38,190,226	(774,619)
13	Net Operating Income for Return	14,250,275	_	13,352,571	897,704
14	Plus amortization-Gain on Maryland Farms	-	1/	-	-
15	Plus adjustments	293,412	I/ _		293,412
16	Adjusted Net Operating Income	14,543,687	=	13,352,571	1,191,116

A/ Terry Buckner work paper, Index of work papers, Pages 1-2.

B/ Per Atmos Schedule THP-3 as adjusted for volume changes.

C/ Atmos Schedule.

D/ Schedule 4, Line 6.

E/ Schedule 2, Line 10 times 4.5%.

F/ Dave Peters work paper E-DEP, Index of work papers, Page 83.

G/ Schedule 5, Line 7.

H/ Schedule 6, Lines 12 and 20.

I/ Atmos responses.

Atmos Energy Corporation Operation & Maintenance Expenses For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010

Line						
<u>No.</u>		CAPD		Company	C/ _	Difference
1	Storage & Dist Oper. Expense	6,266,225	A/	6,218,044		48,181
	LNG Maintenance	-		-		-
2	Storage & Dist Maint. Expense	-		520,926		(520,926)
	Distribution - CIE	-		-		-
	Distribution - Maintenance	-		-		-
3	Customer Acc. Exp.	2,064,492	A/	2,321,146		(256,654)
4	Uncollectible Accounts Expense	183,481	B/	-		183,481
	GTI Funding	-		-		-
	Customer Service	-		-		-
5	Sales Expense	294,786	A/	266,299		28,487
	Sales Promotion Expense	-		-		-
	Pension Expense	-		-		-
	Injuries and Damages	-		-		-
	Employee Benefits - Insurance	-		-		-
	LTIP	-		- ·		-
	Other Employee Benefits	-		-		-
	Property Insurance	-		-		-
6	Other Administrative and General Exp.	7,241,870	A/	8,773,976		(1,532,106)
	Reg. Comm. Expense	-		-		_
	Outside Services	-		-		-
	Misc. General	-		-		-
	Misc. Expense	_		-		-
	Rents	-		-		-
	Training	-		-		-
	Elimination of leased property		· <u>-</u>	-	_	
7	Total O&M Expense	16,050,854	. <u>-</u>	18,100,391	_	(2,049,537)

A/ Dave Peters work paper, E-REC2, Index of work papers, Pages 3-4.

B/ Dave Peters work paper, E-UNCOL, Index of work papers, Page 60.

C/ CAPD DR #31.

Atmos Energy Corporation Taxes Other Than Income Taxes For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010

Line				
No.		CAPD	Company B/	Difference
1	Property Taxes	2,999,806	2,904,284	95,522
2	State Gross Receipts Tax	1,899,600	1,906,286	(6,686)
3	Payroll Taxes	268,299	264,739	3,560
4	State Franchise Tax	628,715	501,600	127,115
5	Other General Taxes	325,607	325,607	-
6	TRA Inspection Fee	325,500	325,500	_
7	Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	6,447,527_A/	6,228,016	219,511

A/ Dave Peters work paper, T-OTAX0, Index of work papers, Page 90.

B/ ATMOS Schedule THP-5, LINE 5.

Atmos Energy Corporation Excise and Income Taxes For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010

Line No.		CAPD		Company
1	Operating Margin	51,523,980	A/	51,400,895
2 3 4	Other Operation and Maintenance Depreciation and Amortization Expense Taxes Other Than Income	15,757,442 9,083,880 6,447,527	A/	17,568,395 9,312,793 6,228,016
5 6 7	NOI Before Excise and Income Taxes less Interest on Customer Deposits less Interest Expense	20,235,131 199,595 5,530,322		18,291,691 390,627 5,800,837
8 9	Pre-tax Book Income Schedule M Adjustments	14,505,214		12,100,227
10 11	Excise Taxable Income Excise Tax Rate	14,505,214 6.50%		12,100,227 6.50%
12	Excise Tax	942,839	: :	786,515
13 14 15	Pre-tax Book Income Excise Tax Schedule M Adjustments	14,505,214 942,839 		12,100,227 786,515 -
16 17 18 19	FIT Taxable Income FIT Rate Subtotal FIT Less: ITC Amortization	13,562,375 35.00% 4,746,831 55,919	 .C/ _	11,313,712 35.00% 3,959,799 55,919
20	Federal Income Tax Expense	4,690,912	: :	3,903,880

A/ Schedule 3, Lines 5, 8, 9, 7.

B/ Rate Base * Weighted Cost of Debt (Schedule 2, Line 15 * [Schedule 8 Line 1 + Line 2 + Line 3]).

C/ Atmos Schedule THP-8, Line 17.

Atmos Energy Corporation Revenue Conversion Factor For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010

Line No.		Amount	Balance
1	Operating Revenues	7 WHOGH	1.000000
2	Add: Forfeited Discounts	0.007117 A/	0.007117
3	Balance		1.007117
4	Uncollectible Ratio	0.003561 в/	0.003586
5	Balance		1.003530
6	State Excise Tax	0.065000 C/	0.065229
7	Balance		0.938301
8	Federal Income Tax	0.350000 C/	0.328405
9	Balance	-	0.609896
10	Revenue Conversion Factor (1 / Line 9)	=	1.639625

A/ Forfeited discounts on gross revenues = forfeited discounts / gross revenues (excluding forfeited discounts)

1,208,303 /

170,989,205 -

1,208,303

0.007117

B/ Uncollectible expenses on base revenues

183,481 /

51,523,980 (base revenues) =

0.003561

C/ Statutory rate

Atmos Energy Corporation Cost of Capital Based on Comparable Companies' Capital Structures

Line No.		Ratio	Cost	Weighted Cost
1	Short Term Debt	13.10%	2.50%	0.3%
2	Long Term Debt	41.10%	6.30%	2.6%
3	Preferred Stock	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
4	Stockholder's Equity	45.80%	7.80%	3.6%
5	Total	100.00%		6.5%

Source: Direct Testimony, Dr. Stephen Brown

Before the

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

In re: Petition of Atmos Energy Corporation for Approval of Adjustment of Its Rates and)	Docket No. 08-00197
Revised Tariff)	
************	*****	**********
WORK P.	APERS	
OF	1	
TERRY BU	CKNER	<u>L</u>
***********	*****	*********

January 16, 2009

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION TRA DOCKET #08-00197 INDEX OF WORK PAPERS

LINE	WORK PAPER DESCRIPTION	WORK PAPER #	PAGES#
	Commence of Description of Description	n	D1 D2
1	Summary of Revenue Margin at Present Rates	Revenue B. Dogidantial Twond	P1-P2
2	Trend of Residential Customers	R-Residential Trend R-Commercial Trend	P3
3	Trend of Commercial Customers		P4
4	Trend of Industrial Customers	R-Industrial Trend	P5
5	Trend of Public Authority Customers	R-Public Authority Trend	P6
6	Trend of Transportation Customers	R-Transportation Trend	P7
. 7	Trend of Special Contract Customers Trend of Total Customers	R-Special Contract Trend R-Total Customer Trend	P8
8 9			P9
10	Trend of Residential Customers by Tariff Schedule Trend of Commercial Customers by Tariff Schedule	R-Residential Trend (TS)	P10 P11
		R-Commercial Trend (TS)	P11 P12
11 12	Trend of Industrial Customers by Tariff Schedule	R-Industrial Trend (TS)	
13	Trend of Public Authority Customers by Tariff Sch.	R-Public Authority Trend (TS)	P13
13	Trend of Transportation Customers by Tariff Sch.	R-Transportation Trend (TS)	
15	Trend of Special Contract Customers by Tariff Sch. Trend of Total Customers by Tariff Schedule	R-Special Contract Trend (TS)	P16
	Trend of Potal Customers by Farm Schedule Trend of Residential Volumes	R-Total Customer Trend (TS) R-Residential Vol. Trend	P17
16 17	Trend of Commercial Volumes	R-Commercial Vol. Trend	P17
18	Trend of Industrial Volumes	R-Industrial Vol. Trend	P19
19	Trend of Public Authority Volumes	R-Public Authority Vol. Trend	
20	Trend of Fubic Additionary Volumes Trend of Transportation Volumes	R-Transportation Vol. Trend	P21
21	Trend of Special Contract Volumes	R-Special Contract Vol. Trend	
22	Trend of Total Volumes	R-Total Volume Trend	P23
23	Trend of Total WNA Volumes	R-Total WNA Trend	P24
23 24	Trend of Potal WIVA Volumes Trend of Residential Volumes by Tariff Schedule	R-Residential Vol. Trend (TS)	P25
25	Trend of Commercial Volumes by Tariff Schedule	R-Commercial Vol. Trend (TS)	
26	Trend of Industrial Volumes by Tariff Schedule	R-Industrial Vol. Trend (TS)	P27
27	Trend of Public Authority Volumes by Tariff Sch.	R-Public A. Vol. Trend (TS)	P28
28	Trend of Transportation Volumes by Tariff Sch.	R-Transp. Vol. Trend (TS)	P29
29	Trend of Special Contract Volumes by Tariff Sch.	R-Special C. Vol. Trend (TS)	P30
30	Trend of Total Volumes by Tariff Schedule	R-Total Volume Trend (TS)	P31
31	2005 Monthly Residential Customers	R-Residential 1	P32
32	2006 Monthly Residential Customers	R-Residential 2	P33
33	2007 Monthly Residential Customers	R-Residential 3	P34
34	2008 Monthly Residential Customers	R-Residential 4	P35
35	2005 Monthly Residential Volumes	R-Residential 5	P36
36	2006 Monthly Residential Volumes	R-Residential 6	P37
37	2007 Monthly Residential Volumes	R-Residential 7	P38
38	2008 Monthly Residential Volumes	R-Residential 8	P39
39	2005 Monthly Commercial Customers	R-Commercial 1	P40
40	2006 Monthly Commercial Customers	R-Commercial 2	P41
41	2007 Monthly Commercial Customers	R-Commercial 3	P42
42	2008 Monthly Commercial Customers	R-Commercial 4	P43
43	2005 Monthly Commercial Volumes	R-Commercial 5	P44
	•		

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION TRA DOCKET #08-00197 INDEX OF WORK PAPERS

LINE	WORK PAPER DESCRIPTION	WORK PAPER #	PAGE#
1	2006 Monthly Commercial Volumes	R-Commercial 6	P45
2	2007 Monthly Commercial Volumes	R-Commercial 7	P46
3	2008 Monthly Commercial Volumes	R-Commercial 8	P47
4	2005 Monthly Industrial Customers	R-Industrial 1	P48
5	2006 Monthly Industrial Customers	R-Industrial 2	P49
6	2007 Monthly Industrial Customers	R-Industrial 3	P50
7	2008 Monthly Industrial Customers	R-Industrial 4	P51
8	2005 Monthly Industrial Volumes	R-Industrial 5	P52
9	2006 Monthly Industrial Volumes	R-Industrial 6	P53
10	2007 Monthly Industrial Volumes	R-Industrial 7	P54
11	2008 Monthly Industrial Volumes	R-Industrial 8	P55
12	2005 Monthly Public Authority Customers	R-Public Authority 1	P56
13	2006 Monthly Public Authority Customers	R-Public Authority 2	P57
14	2007 Monthly Public Authority Customers	R-Public Authority 3	P58
15	2008 Monthly Public Authority Customers	R-Public Authority 4	P59
16	2005 Monthly Public Authority Volumes	R-Public Authority 5	P60
17	2006 Monthly Public Authority Volumes	R-Public Authority 6	P61
18	2007 Monthly Public Authority Volumes	R-Public Authority 7	P62
19	2008 Monthly Public Authority Volumes	R-Public Authority 8	P63
20	2005 Monthly Transportation Customers	R-Transportation 1	P64
21	2006 Monthly Transportation Customers	R-Transportation 2	P65
22	2007 Monthly Transportation Customers	R-Transportation 3	P66
23	2008 Monthly Transportation Customers	R-Transportation 4	P67
24	2005 Monthly Transportation Volumes	R-Transportation 5	P68
25	2006 Monthly Transportation Volumes	R-Transportation 6	P69
26	2007 Monthly Transportation Volumes	R-Transportation 7	P70
27	2008 Monthly Transportation Volumes	R-Transportation 8	P71
28	2005 Monthly Special Contract Customers	R-Special Contract 1	P72
29	2006 Monthly Special Contract Customers	R-Special Contract 2	P73
30	2007 Monthly Special Contract Customers	R-Special Contract 3	P74
31	2008 Monthly Special Contract Customers	R-Special Contract 4	P75
32	2005 Monthly Special Contract Volumes	R-Special Contract 5	P76
33	2006 Monthly Special Contract Volumes	R-Special Contract 6	P77
34	2007 Monthly Special Contract Volumes	R-Special Contract 7	P78
35	2008 Monthly Special Contract Volumes	R-Special Contract 8	P79
36	2007 Monthly Senior Citizen Customers	R-Senior Citizen 1	P80
37	2008 Monthly Senior Citizen Customers	R-Senior Citizen 2	P81

Office of the Attorney General - State of Tennessee TRA Diocket #08-00197 Atmos Energy Corp - Tennessee Distribution System Summary of Margin at Present vs. Proposed Rates Attrition Period Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010

	ion Period Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010	Present	Rates	Adjusted	Adjusted	Total
		Monthly	Commodity	Base	Volumes	Adjusted
No.	Description	Customer chg	Charge/Ccf	Count	Ccf	Margin Rev
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1	RESIDENTIAL	``	` '	, ,	` ′	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
2	210 RGS SUMMER	\$10.000	\$0.1308	576,013	7,598,642	\$6,754,032
3	210 RGS WINTER (weather sensitive)	\$13.000	\$0.1308	818,918	63,350,984	18,932,243
4	210 RGS SR CIT SUMMER	\$0.000	\$0.1308	729	7,056	923
5	210 RGS SR CIT WINTER (weather sensitive)	\$0.000	\$0.1308	1,004	70,597	9,234
6	211 HVAC	\$10.000	\$0.0667	12	3,223	335
7	Total Residential		•	1,396,676	71,030,502	\$ 25,696,767
8						
9						
10						
11	COMMERCIAL					
12	211 HVAC	\$10.000	\$0.0667	16	193	173
13	220 COM/IND GS (weather sensitive)	\$27.500	\$0.2049	187,418	46,998,834	14,784,056
14	230 LRG COM/IND GS (weather sensitive)	\$200.000	\$0.1758	56	460,278	92,117
15	240 DEMAND/COMM GS	\$310.000		0	0	-
16	Block 1 Volumes		\$0.0983	0	. 0	-
17	Block 2 Volumes	1	\$0.0640	0	0	-
18	Block 3 Volumes		\$0.0279	0	0	· -
19	Demand Volumes		\$1.6283	0	0	.
20	250 OPT GS	\$310.000		79	- 0	24,490
21	Block 1 Volumes		\$0.0983	. 0	619,969	60,943
22	Block 2 Volumes		\$0.0640	. 0	711,126	45,512
23	Block 3 Volumes		\$0.0279	0	. 0	= '
24	293 LRG TONN HVAC GS	\$27.500		12	0	330
25	Błock 1 Volumes		\$0.0983	0	149,519	14,698
26	Block 2 Volumes		\$0.0640	0	4,081	261
27	Block 3 Volumes		\$0.0279	0	0	-
28	Total Commercial			187,581	48,944,000	\$ 15,022,580
29						
~^	INDUSTRIAL					
	220 COM/IND GS	\$27.500	\$0.2049	4,340	6,233,151	1,396,523
5 2	230 LRG COM/IND GS	\$200.000	\$0.1758	160	1,152,893	234,679
33	240 DEMAND/COMM GS	\$310.000		12	0	3,720
34	Block 1 Volumes		\$0.0983	0	240,000	23,592
35	Block 2 Volumes		\$0.0640	0	394,256	25,232
36	Błock 3 Volumes		\$0.0279	0	0	-
37	Demand Volumes		\$1.6283	0	45,915	74,763
38	250 OPT GS	\$310.000		420	0	130,200
39	Block 1 Volumes		\$0.0983	0	3,604,306	354,303
40	Block 2 Volumes		\$0.0640	0	3,488,734	223,279
41	Block 3 Volumes		\$0.0279	0	0	-
42	280 - ECONOMIC DEV GS (250 OPT)	\$310.000		0	0	
43	Block 1 Volumes		\$0.0737	0	0	-
44	Block 2 Volumes	1	\$0.0480	0	0	-
45	Block 3 Volumes	1	\$0.0209	0	0	
46	292 CNG/Prime Mover	\$0.000		0	0	-
47	Block 1 Volumes	1	\$0.0983	0	0	-
48	Block 2 Volumes	İ	\$0.0640	0	0	-
49	Block 3 Volumes		\$0.0279	0	0	-
50	292 COGEN/CNG	\$27.500		24	0	660
51	Block 1 Volumes	1	\$0.0983	0	10,543	1,036
52	Block 2 Volumes		\$0.0640	0	0	-
53	Block 3 Volumes	1	\$0.0279	0	0	_
54	Total Industrial	ľ		4,956	15,169,798	\$ 2,467,988
55						
56	PUBLIC AUTHORITY					
57	211 HVAC	\$10.000	\$0.0667	0	. 0	-
58	221 EXPERIMENTAL SGS	\$27.500	\$0.0983	72	578,208	58,818
59	225 PAG SR CIT SUMMER	\$0.000	\$0.1308	0	0	-
60	225 PAG SR CIT WINTER (weather sensitive)	\$0.000	\$0.1308	0	0	_
UU		4		2,438	0	24,380
61	225 PAG GS - SUMMER	\$ 50000				
61 62	225 PAG GS - SUMMER 225 PAG GS - WINTER (weather sensitive)	\$10.000 \$13.000	\$0.1308 \$0.1308	3,442	509,760	111,423

Schedule -Revenue

TRA Diocket #08-00197
Atmos Energy Corp - Tennessee Distribution System
Summary of Margin at Present vs. Proposed Rates
Attrition Period Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010

MILLI	Attition Period Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010					
		Present		Adjusted	Adjusted	Total
3		Monthly	Commodity	Base	Volumes	Adjusted
No.	Description	Customer chg	Charge/Ccf	Count	Ccf	Margin Rev
	(a)	(b)	. (c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
64		ļ				
65	TRANSPORTATION					
66	260 - TRANSP (220 SML COM/INDG)	\$310.000	\$0.2049	0	308,820	63,277
67	260 - TRANSP (230 LRG COM/INDG)	\$310.000	\$0.1758	72	8,479,462	1,513,009
68	260 - TRANSP (240 DEMAND/COMM GS)	\$310.000		24	0	7,440
69	Block 1 Volumes		\$0.0983	0	480,000	47,184
70	Block 2 Volumes		\$0.0640	0	1,534,870	98,232
71	Block 3 Volumes		\$0.0279	0	0	-
72	Demand Volumes		\$1.6283	0	85,240	138,796
73	260 - TRANSP (280/240 ECON DEV - DEMAND/COMM)	\$310.000		0	0	
74	Block 1 Volumes		\$0.0737	0	0	-
75	Block 2 Volumes		\$0.0480	0	0	-
76	Block 3 Volumes		\$0.0209	0	0	-
77	Demand Volumes		\$1.2212	. 0	0	-
78	260 - TRANSP (250 OPT GS)	\$310.000		982	0	304,420
79	Block 1 Volumes		\$0.0983	0	13,430,112	1,320,180
80	Block 2 Volumes		\$0.0640	0	30,091,102	1,925,831
81	Block 3 Volumes		\$0.0279	0	806,780	22,509
82	260 - TRANSP (280/250 ECON DEV - OPT GS)	\$310.000		12	.0	3,720
83	Block 1 Volumes		\$0.0983	0	240,000	23,592
84	Block 2 Volumes		\$0.0640	0	507,887	32,505
85	Block 3 Volumes		\$0.0480	0	0	-
86	SPECIAL CONTRACT (facility chg only)	\$18,475.040		12	10,338,770	221,700
87	SPECIAL CONTRACT COGEN (.040/.022 per Ccf)	\$400.000		12	0	4,800
88	Block 1 Volumes		\$0.0400	0	4,282,500	171,300
89	Block 2 Volumes	l	\$0.0220	. 0	1,256,700	27,647
90	SPECIAL CONTRACT (\$0.015 PER Ccf)	\$310.000	\$0.0150	12	1,440,000	25,320
91	SPECIAL CONTRACT (\$0.021 PER Ccf)	\$310.000	\$0.0210	12	5,205,800	113,042
92	SPECIAL CONTRACT (\$0.042 PER Ccf)	\$310.000	\$0.0420	12	2,809,570	121,722
าร	SPECIAL CONTRACT (Handbill \$0.02 per Ccf)	\$8,000.000	\$0.0200	12	9,107,190	278,144
್ರಾ	Total Transportation			1,162	90,404,803	\$ 6,464,370
96						
97	TOTALS	1		1,596,327	226,637,071	\$49,846,325
98		L		.,,,		7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7
99	4870 - Forfeited Discount					\$1,208,303
100	4880 - Miscellaneous Service charges					469.311
101	TOTAL MARGIN REVENUES					\$51,523,980
101	TO THE MINIOR THE VERYOLD					ψο 1,020,000