BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC

Attorneys at Law

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

Erin M. Everitt

phone: fax: e-mail:

(615) 742-7997 (615) 248-4242 eeveritt@bassberry.com 315 Deaderick Street, Suite 2700 Nashville, Tennessee 37238-3001

(615) 742-6200

OTHER OFFICES

KNOXVILLE MEMPHIS

August 8, 2008

VIA E-MAIL AND HAND DELIVERY

Chairman Tre Hargett c/o Ms. Sharla Dillon Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243

filed electronically in docket office on 08/08/08

Re: Petition of Tennessee American Water Company To Change And Increase Certain Rates And Charge So As To Permit It To Earn A Fair And Adequate Rate Of Return On Its Property Used And Useful In Furnishing Water Service To Its Customers

Docket No. 08-00039

Dear Chairman Hargett:

Enclosed please find an original and seven (7) sets of copies of Tennessee American Water Company's Amendment to Direct Testimony filed by Sheila A. Miller in this docket. For ease of reference, a fully revised copy of Mrs. Miller's direct testimony is included with this submission, which reflects the identified amendments to pages 14 and 15.

Please return three (3) copies of this Supplemental Response to me by way of our courier, which I would appreciate your stamping as "filed."

Should you have any questions concerning any of the enclosed, please do not hesitate to contact me.

With kindest regards, I remain

Very truly yours,

Ein M. Everitt

Enclosures

Chairman Tre Hargett August 8, 2008 Page 2

cc: Hon. Ron Jones (w/o enclosure)

Hon. Sara Kyle (w/o enclosure)

Hon. Eddie Roberson, PhD (w/o enclosure)

Ms. Darlene Standley, Chief of Utilities Division (w/o enclosure)

Richard Collier, Esq. (w/o enclosure)

Mr. Jerry Kettles, Chief of Economic Analysis & Policy Division (w/o enclosure)

Ms. Pat Murphy (w/o enclosure)

Timothy C. Phillips, Esq. (w/enclosure)

David C. Higney, Esq. (w/enclosure)

Henry M. Walker, Esq. (w/enclosure)

Michael A. McMahan, Esq. (w/enclosure)

Frederick L. Hitchcock, Esq. (w/enclosure)

Mr. John Watson (w/o enclosure)

Mr. Michael A. Miller (w/o enclosure)

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

COUNTY OF KANAWHA

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the State and County aforesaid, personally came and appeared Sheila A. Miller, being by me first

duly sworn deposed and said that:

She is appearing as a witness on behalf of Tennessee-American Water Company before

the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, and if present before the Authority and duly sworn, her

amended testimony would set forth in the annexed transcript consisting of 3 pages.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this Sth day of August 2008.

My commission expires Telmany 10, 2015.

1	TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY					
2	CASE NO. 08-00039					
3	AMENDMENT TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY Sheila A. Miller					
4 5	Shena A. Whiel					
6	In response to TN-TRA-05-Q01, three items were eliminated from the					
7	working capital element of the rate base calculation. As a result, the original					
8	direct testimony of Sheila A. Miller is amended as follows:					
9						
10	Page 14, lines 12 through 17 are amended to read as follows:					
11						
12	CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS					
13	The amount shown in Exhibit 1, Schedule 2 is the 13 month					
14	average of the CWIP balance from August 2008 through the					
15	attrition year ending August 30, 2009. These amounts represent					
16	the forecasted project work for utility plant that is not in service					
17	as of August 31, 2009.					
18						
19	Page 14, lines 23 through 27 are eliminated					
20	Page 15, line 1 eliminate the words Prepaid Insurance					
21	Page 15, lines 9 through 14 are eliminated					
22						
23	Question 26 is amended as follows:					
24						
25	26. Q. PLEASE DETAIL THE COMPONENTS THAT COMPRISE					
26	RATE BASE SHOWN ON EXHIBIT 1, SCHEDULE 2.					
27	A. <u>UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE</u>					
28	Includes the original cost of all land, land rights, easements,					
29	structures and improvements, together with equipment in service					

at November 30, 2007. The Utility Plant balance was calculated through August 31, 2009 by adding net additions and retirements through the end of the attrition period. The 13-month average of the Utility Plant balances from August 1, 2008 through August 31, 2009 was calculated to arrive at the utility plant balance for the attrition period. These additions and retirements are summarized on Exhibit 1, Schedule 2, page 3 of 3, and are addressed in greater detail in Mr. Watson's direct testimony. As with the revenues and expenses, we eliminated utility plant associated with Walden's Ridge.

CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS

The amount shown in Exhibit 1, Schedule 2 is the 13 month average of the CWIP balance from August 2008 through the attrition year ending August 30, 2009. These amounts represent the forecasted project work for utility plant that is not in service as of August 31, 2009.

19 .

WORKING CAPITAL

Working capital shown on Exhibit 2, Schedule 2, is further detailed on Exhibit 2, Schedule 3, and I will explain each of those components.

<u>Prepaid Taxes, and Materials and Supplies</u> represent an average of the thirteen month end balances for the test year ending November 30, 2007.

<u>Deferred Regulatory Expense</u> consists of an average of the unamortized balances at August 31, 2009, the attrition year end.

The amounts included in rate base include \$962,885 for rate case 1 expense, \$30,384 for the cost of service study expense, and \$27,000 2 for depreciation study expense. 3 Other Deferred Debits consist of three items which include the 4 thirteen month average of the unamortized transition costs of the 5 Customer Call Center totaling \$433,326, the unamortized 6 transition costs of the Shared Services Center in the amount of 7 \$162,358, and the unamortized balance of the management audit 8 totaling \$256,500. 9 Lead-Lag Study - The Company is utilizing a Lead/Lag Study 10 that was performed based on historical data for the twelve months 11 ending July 31, 2002. 12 13 14 15 16

1 2 3 4 5			TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY CASE NO. 08-00039 AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY Sheila A. Miller
6	1.	Q.	WILL YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS
7			ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD?
8		A.	My name is Sheila A. Miller and my business address is 1600
9			Pennsylvania Avenue, Charleston, WV 25302.
10 11	2.	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT
12			CAPACITY?
13		Α.	I am employed by American Water Works Service Company as
14 15			an Assistant Manager of Rates and Regulation.
16	3.	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES IN THIS POSITION?
17		A.	My responsibilities include the preparation and presentation of
18			rate filings requested by three operating companies comprising
19			the Southeast Region of the American Water Works Service
20			Company. I present testimony in formal rate cases and other
21			regulatory proceedings. I am also responsible for various
22			accounting duties including budget preparation, account
23			reconciliation, and financial statement analysis.
24			
25	4.	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL
26			BACKGROUND AND BUSINESS EXPERIENCE?
27		A.	In 1983, I graduated Summa Cum Laude with a Bachelor of Arts
28			degree from Glenville State College with a major in Accounting

and Management, and a minor in Economics. In 1988, I received my Certified Public Accountant (CPA) license.

I have worked with the American Water System for 23 years and began my career in December 1984, as a Junior Accountant. In that capacity I worked in the Construction Accounting Department for American Water Works Service Company. In 1985 I was promoted to Accountant, Construction Accounting Supervisor for the Southeast Region in 1988, Construction Accounting Superintendent for West Virginia American Water Company in 1992, Assistant Director of Accounting for West Virginia American in 1995, Director of Accounting for West Virginia American in 1997, Director of Accounting for the Southeast Region in 2000, transferred to Senior Financial Analyst (Rates) for the Southeast Region in 2002 and promoted to my current position of Assistant Manager Rates & Regulation in 2007. I have vast accounting knowledge and expertise in the financial aspects of the company.

In addition, I assisted with the system-wide acquisition integration of Citizens Water by serving on the Acquisition Team. I was instrumental in the set up of a system-wide conversion process for the Shared Services Center by assisting the team with the integrity and comparative reports that were created and used by the American System. I also served in the communication link as a member of the Customer Advisory Team throughout the transition phase. I assisted with the implementation of our

1			financial software system (JDEdwards), prepared source		
2			documentation, and trained employees in the areas of general		
3			ledger, purchase orders, fixed assets, accounts payable, and		
4			reporting.		
5					
6			I have previously prepared testimony and rate case exhibits for		
7			Tennessee American Water, Kentucky American Water, Virginia		
8		American Water, and West Virginia American Water.			
9					
10	5.	Q.	WERE THE COMPANY'S ACCOUNTING EXHIBITS		
11			PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?		
12		A.	Yes, they were.		
13					
14	6.	Q.	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE INFORMATION USED IN		
15			THE COMPANY'S ACCOUNTING EXHIBITS?		
16		Α.	The information contained in the Accounting Exhibits was		
17			prepared from the financial and operational records of the		
18			company.		
19					
20	7.	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE INCREASE IN RATES THAT THE		
21			COMPANY IS SEEKING IN THIS PROCEEDING.		
22		A.	The Company is seeking a rate increase that would produce		
23			additional annual revenues of \$7.645 million or 20.58%. The		
24	•		Company last filed for a rate increase in November 2006.		
25					
26	8.	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS		
27			CASE?		

My testimony will 1) sponsor the Company's Accounting Exhibits **A.** 1 Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4 which support the proposed revenue increase of 2 \$7,644,859 and 2) support the Company's attrition year level of 3 Labor, Fuel and Power, Chemicals, Waste Disposal, Group 4 Insurance, Insurance Other than Group, General Taxes, and Rate 5 Base. I will also address the Company's Operating Revenues per 6 books for the test year and for the attrition year under both 7 present and proposed rates, except for average daily usages for 8 both the residential and commercial customer classes. 9

10

- 9. Q. WHO WILL ADDRESS THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED
 AVERAGE DAILY USAGE FOR THE RESIDENTIAL AND
 COMMERCIAL CUSTOMER CLASSES?
- A. The Company has retained the services of Dr. Edward L.

 Spitznagel, Jr., Professor, Washington University in St. Louis,

 Missouri, to provide weather normalizations for both the
 residential and commercial customer classes. I will explain how

 Dr. Spitznagel's averages were used in the Company's bill
 analysis.

20

21

10. Q. WHAT IS THE TEST PERIOD REFLECTED IN THIS CASE?

22 A. The Company has used a historical test period of twelve months
23 ending November 30, 2007. The Company has adjusted the test
24 period for two levels of adjustments. The first adjustment
25 normalizes the test year. The second level adjusts the normalized
26 year to arrive at the attrition year which is the twelve months
27 ended August 31, 2009.

Exhibit 1 is a financial summary of the filing which details how the Company arrived at the amount of the requested revenue increase. There is also a rate base summary for the test year and attrition year with supporting schedules.

Exhibit 2 is an operating income summary for the test year and attrition year with supporting schedules broken down by major account group.

<u>Exhibit 3</u> provides a cost of capital summary for the attrition year and supporting schedules which provide detail on each component of the capital structure.

Exhibit 4 provides a bill analysis for the attrition year at both present and proposed rates.

12. Q. HOW DID THE COMPANY DETERMINE THE OPERATING REVENUES SHOWN IN ITS ACCOUNTING EXHIBIT?

A. The Company's operating revenues are obtained from (i) metered sales, (ii) private fire service, and (iii) miscellaneous, service revenues, rents from property, and other water revenues. The Company uses a bill analysis reflecting the actual billing determinants for the test year, twelve months ended November 30, 2007. Exhibit No. 4, Schedules 1 and 2, set forth the individual

bill analysis by customer class. This schedule multiplies attrition year billing determinants by present and proposed rates.

13. Q. DID THE COMPANY MAKE ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO PER BOOK REVENUES FOR THE TEST YEAR?

A. Yes, the Company made a total of five adjustments, as follows:

(1) normalized test year adjustments which include eliminating the extra month of billing on routes with 13 bills; eliminated revenues for Velsicol Chemical Corporation due to the closing of the plant; annualized the rate increase for the Catoosa Utility District that was effective June 2007 and Fort Oglethorpe effective May 2008; and annualized the rate increase effective May 22, 2007 for TAWC customers; (2) weather normalization adjustment for the residential and commercial customer classes; (3) eliminated net change in accrued revenues; (4) eliminated Walden's Ridge revenues from the filing, and (5) added revenue for the estimated number of new customers to be added during the attrition year. The estimate is based on historical results.

14. Q. HOW WERE THE OPERATING EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS IN THE ACCOUNTING EXHIBIT CALCULATED?

A. The adjustments reflect an ongoing level of operating expenses consistent with the test year matching principles. Known and measurable price adjustments have been included to restate test year expense levels to rate year levels, the first 12 month period in which new rates will be in effect.

15. Q. WHAT METHODOLOGY DID THE COMPANY UTILIZE IN

1 CALCULATING GOING-LEVEL LABOR EXPENSE IN ITS 2 COST OF SERVICE?

A. The Company calculated labor expense by individual employee.

Each employee's wages during the twelve months ended

November 30, 2007 were adjusted to account for the wage level to

be paid during the first twelve-months in which the new rates will

be in effect. This is the same approach the Company has used in

prior filings. Since 20.28% of the labor expense is capitalized, this

amount is eliminated from the O & M expenses.

11 16. Q. HOW WERE THE WAGE LEVELS FOR THE ATTRITION 12 YEAR DETERMINED?

10

23

- A. Hours worked during the historical test year were obtained from 13 the Company's actual payroll records for union employees. 14 Adjustments were then made to overtime hours to restate those 15 hours to a level equivalent to the employee's hourly pay rate. For 16 example, the hours paid at time and a half wage rates were 17 multiplied by 1.5 to yield hours that could be applied to the 18 employee's hourly wage rate. Similar adjustments were made for 19 other premium overtime hours. Each employee's equivalent 20 hours were then applied to their average attrition year wage rate 21 to determine going-level wages. 22
- 24 17. Q. HOW WERE THE AVERAGE RATE YEAR WAGE RATES
 25 CALCULATED?
- A. For union employees, the Company's existing bargaining agreements were examined. The agreements contain negotiated

wage rates through the attrition year. The wage rates for each pay class in effect for the attrition year were pro-rated based upon the number of days in the attrition year. The result is an average attrition year wage rate for each union employee.

For non-union hourly and clerical employees, current wage rates that became effective on April 1, 2007 were adjusted for wage increases of 3.6% on April 1, 2008 and April 1, 2009. The test year wage rate was calculated based upon the number of days each of those wages were in effect, just as the union wage rates were calculated.

18. Q. HOW WERE THE ATTRITION YEAR WAGE LEVELS FOR SALARIED EMPLOYEES DETERMINED?

A. Salaried employees are exempt from overtime pay. For that reason, the current annual salary for each employee has been adjusted for wage increases that would become effective April 1, 2008 and April 1, 2009. Consistent with the other employee classes, the 2008 and 2009 salaries were calculated based upon the number of days each of the salary amounts would be in effect.

22 19. Q. WHAT IS THE BASIS UPON WHICH FUEL AND POWER 23 COST WAS CALCULATED FOR THIS RATE ADJUSTMENT?

A. Fuel and power is based upon expected water pumped to the system during the attrition year (system delivery), and its cost to pump and treat. Attrition year water sales, non-revenue usage water (which is water used for system flushing, street cleaning,

sewer flushing, etc.) and an estimated unaccounted for water is combined to arrive at system delivery. Fuel and power is based on kilowatt hours (KWH's) per million gallons system delivery.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

Fuel and power costs are based on estimated KWH usage and kilowatt demand (KWD) required to produce the projected system delivery and deliver the water to the customer for the attrition year. The attrition year system delivery is multiplied by the KWH's per thousand gallons to arrive at total kilowatt hours. Total kilowatt hours are priced at the rates charged by the Chattanooga Electric Power Board to arrive at the attrition year energy charges. An adjustment was made for the increase in rates that will be effective April 1, 2008, as well as the increase in the fuel cost adjustment amount. The KWH's per thousand gallons required is based on the latest 12 months actual, with adjustments for any known changes that will affect KWH usage. KWD usage is also priced at the rates charged by the Chattanooga Electric Power Board. The total energy charges plus the demand charges equate to the fuel and power cost. The total attrition year fuel and power expense is \$1,986,259 which represents a decrease of \$288,609 over the test year expense. This is due to a decrease in system delivery in the attrition period and the elimination of Walden's Ridge fuel & power expense from the rate filing.

24

25

26

27

20. Q. WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR THE CHEMICAL COSTS?

A. Chemical costs are based upon expected water pumped to the system (system delivery) for the attrition year and the cost to treat

that water. Attrition year water sales, non-revenue usage (used for street cleaning, sewer flushing, etc.) and an estimated unaccounted for water is combined to arrive at system delivery. System delivery, plus water used at the treatment plant, is combined to produce total treatment rate. Historical chemical usage per pound is priced at the current contract chemical costs to arrive at a total chemical cost for the historical test year. The total cost of each chemical is divided by the historical system delivery to arrive at an average cost per pound of chemical per CCF. The average costs per pound of chemical are then applied to the normalized system delivery and attrition year system delivery to arrive at the appropriate adjustments for chemical The total attrition year chemical expense is \$1,049,272 expense. which is a decrease of \$25,900 over the test year expense due to the decrease in system delivery.

16

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 21. Q. WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR THE COST OF WASTE DISPOSAL?

A. Waste disposal is based upon the 2007 actual expenditures paid to the City of Chattanooga Sanitary Board to treat the water plant residuals adjusted for a 3% increase which was effective October 2007 and another 3% increase effective April 2008.

23

22

- 24 22. Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT FOR GROUP
 25 INSURANCE.
- A. The group insurance expense for the normalized test year was calculated first by determining the annualized group insurance

cost. The cost is determined by applying the group insurance rates in effect at November 30, 2007 to the proforma insurance coverages based upon the employee complement and salary and wage information. From this number, an amount representing the employee contribution for their healthcare coverage is subtracted. The result is the Company's annualized group insurance cost.

Since group insurance is an employee benefit, the cost for group insurance is charged based upon direct labor charges. Since 20.28% of labor was not charged to expense (as previously explained) this percentage of group insurance cost is excluded from the Company's claim for group insurance expense.

15 23. Q. ARE THERE ANY OTHER PAYROLL-RELATED 16 EXPENSES?

17 A. Yes, there are. The Company's claim for payroll taxes is based on
18 historic and future test year salary and wage expense. As is the
19 case with group insurance, 20.28% of the calculated payroll taxes
20 are eliminated, representing the portion not charged to
21 operations.

23 24. Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT FOR OTHER GENERAL TAXES.

A. Certainly. I will address them individually.

PROPERTY TAXES

Property taxes for the test year were \$2,901,497. This amount was

actually over-accrued which created a normalized adjustment of (\$317,200). An effective property tax rate based on the latest property tax returns was applied to the attrition year rate base to arrive at the attrition year adjustment of \$268,883.

GROSS RECEIPTS TAXES

Gross receipts tax was based on projected jurisdictional revenues for Tennessee American Water including Other Operating revenues. The revenues, adjusted for the Franchise Tax, Excise Tax, and a \$5,000 exemption, were multiplied by the current 3% tax rate to arrive at the attrition year level. The forecasted amount was prepared using 83% of the Gross Receipts Tax Return based on 2007 revenues. This return is due August 2008 and is for the taxable period ending June 2009. The remaining 17% is based on 2008 budgeted revenues and adjusted for any rate increase included in this filing. This properly matches the Gross Receipts Tax with the attrition period in this case.

PSC FEES

The PSC Inspection Fee is paid in advance and based on the previous years' revenues. This calculation was also matched to the attrition period in this rate filing. One third (September 2008 thru December 2008) was based on 2007 revenues and two thirds (January 2009 thru August 2009) was based on projected 2008 jurisdictional revenues. The revenues were reduced by uncollectibles and a \$5,000 exemption to arrive at taxable revenues. The result was multiplied by the Tennessee statutory rates that were taken from the 2007 return.

2 FRANCHISE TAX

Franchise tax, as imposed by the state of Tennessee, applies to the company's apportioned net worth or value of property owned and used in Tennessee, whichever is greater, as shown at the close of the corporation's fiscal year. The Franchise Tax is paid in arrears and is based on the previous years original cost of assets. For this filing, we utilized the balances as of December 2007 as a basis for one third of the attrition year tax and two thirds was calculated using the projected balance at December 2008. Those values were then multiplied by the statutory rate of \$.25 per \$100.

12

13

10

11

1

5

7

RATE BASE:

- 14 25. Q. HAS THE COMPANY CHANGED THE METHODOLOGY IN
 15 CALCULATING REQUESTED RATE BASE FROM THE
 16 APPROACH ADVOCATED IN ITS LAST CASE?
- Yes. The Company utilized a thirteen month average rate base Α. 17 calculation as approved in Case No. 06-00290. Shown on Exhibit 18 No.1, Schedule 2, Column No. 1 of the Company's Accounting 19 Exhibit, is the rate base for the historical test year totaling 20 \$108,531,513. In Column No. 2, the Company has summarized its 21 requested ratemaking adjustments to rate base totaling 22 \$11,349,993. In column 3, the Company has shown its requested 23 rate base of \$119,881,506. 24

25

26 **26.** Q. PLEASE DETAIL THE COMPONENTS THAT COMPRISE RATE BASE SHOWN ON EXHIBIT 1, SCHEDULE 2.

A. <u>UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE</u>

Includes the original cost of all land, land rights, easements, structures and improvements, together with equipment in service at November 30, 2007. The Utility Plant balance was calculated through August 31, 2009 by adding net additions and retirements through the end of the attrition period. The 13-month average of the Utility Plant balances from August 1, 2008 through August 31, 2009 was calculated to arrive at the utility plant balance for the attrition period. These additions and retirements are summarized on Exhibit 1, Schedule 2, page 3 of 3, and are addressed in greater detail in Mr. Watson's direct testimony. As with the revenues and expenses, we eliminated utility plant associated with Walden's Ridge.

CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS

The amount shown in Exhibit 1, Schedule 2 is the 13 month average of the CWIP balance from August 2008 through the attrition year ending August 30, 2009. These amounts represent the forecasted project work for utility plant that is not in service as of August 31, 2009.

WORKING CAPITAL

Working capital shown on Exhibit 2, Schedule 2, is further detailed on Exhibit 2, Schedule 3, and I will explain each of those components.

<u>Prepaid Taxes, and Materials and Supplies</u> represent an average of the thirteen month end balances for the test year ending

November 30, 2007.

<u>Deferred Regulatory Expense</u> consists of an average of the unamortized balances at August 31, 2009, the attrition year end. The amounts included in rate base include \$962,885 for rate case expense, \$30,384 for the cost of service study expense, and \$27,000 for depreciation study expense.

Other Deferred Debits consist of three items which include the thirteen month average of the unamortized transition costs of the Customer Call Center totaling \$433,326, the unamortized transition costs of the Shared Services Center in the amount of \$162,358, and the unamortized balance of the management audit totaling \$256,500.

<u>Lead-Lag Study</u> – The Company is utilizing a Lead/Lag Study that was performed based on historical data for the twelve months ending July 31, 2002.

ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

The accumulated depreciation balance as shown on Exhibit 1, Schedule 2 begins with the historical test year balance as of November 30, 2007. Accumulated depreciation was calculated through the end of the attrition period utilizing current deprecation rates through August 31, 2008 and the new depreciation rates as prepared by Mr. John Spanos through August 31, 2009. A thirteen month average was then calculated using the month end accumulated depreciation balances from August 1, 2008 to August 31, 2009 to arrive at the accumulated deprecation at the end of the attrition period.

2 27. Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
 3 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via the method(s) indicated, on this the 8th day of August, 2008, upon the following:

	Hand-Delivery U.S. Mail Facsimile Overnight Email	Timothy C. Phillips, Esq. Consumer Advocate and Protection Division Office of Attorney General 2nd Floor 425 5th Avenue North Nashville, TN 37243-0491
[] [] [x]	Hand-Delivery U.S. Mail Facsimile Overnight Email	David C. Higney, Esq. Counsel for Chattanooga Manufacturers Association Grant, Konvalinka & Harrison, P.C. 633 Chestnut Street, 9th Floor Chattanooga, TN 37450
	Hand-Delivery U.S. Mail Facsimile Overnight Email	Henry M. Walker, Esq. Counsel for Chattanooga Manufacturers Association Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC Suite 700 1600 Division Street Nashville, TN 37203
[] [] [x]	Hand-Delivery U.S. Mail Facsimile Overnight Email	Michael A. McMahan, Esq. Special Counsel City of Chattanooga (Hamilton County) Office of the City Attorney Suite 400 801 Broad Street Chattanooga, TN 37402
[] [] [x]	Hand-Delivery U.S. Mail Facsimile Overnight Email	Frederick L. Hitchcock, Esq. Harold L. North, Jr., Esq. Counsel for City of Chattanooga Chambliss, Bahner & Stophel, P.C. 1000 Tallan Building Two Union Square Chattanooga, TN 37402 Manual M